Running head: ECG_STUDY

1

4

1

ECG_Binocular_Rivalry_Paradigm

Fan Gao¹

¹ University of Chicago

Author Note

- For this apa 6 style pdf document, I used Tinytex
- 6 [https://github.com/rstudio/tinytex-releases].
- The authors made the following contributions. Fan Gao: Data collection, Writing -
- 8 Original Draft Preparation, Writing Review & Editing.
- Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Fan Gao, University of
- Chicago. E-mail: fgao38@uchicago.com

11 Abstract

Though we are unconscious of most bodily sensations (e.g. immune system), in a place where 12 the internal (i.e. self) and external (i.e. physical world) interact, interoceptive stimuli—the 13 sensation that arises from an internal organ (e.g. heartbeat), have been found to yield an 14 unexpected influence over how we see and sense the world (i.e. exteroceptive stimuli). A 15 substantial prior study has been dedicated to exploring how external stimuli affect our body 16 and brain. For example, intentionally observing and recognizing external stimuli typically 17 results in a deceleration of the heart rate, referred to as "bradycardia of attention" (Lacey, 18 Kagan, Lacey, & Moss, 1963). Such an effect is further examined in a follow-up study that 19 showed subjects' heart rate decreased following a ready signal (Lacey & Lacey, 1978). These 20 findings have provided us with a novel understanding of how exteroceptive stimuli (e.g. a 21 ready signal at a traffic light) influence our interoceptive stimuli (e.g. heart rate), but also 22 raises the interesting question about the reverse effect: could interoceptive stimuli have an influence on exteroceptive stimuli? The question may seem counterintuitive at first since most of the interoceptive stimuli within one's self are not accessible (e.g. immune system, heartbeat). For example, studies have suggested that only a quarter of the participants could perceive and judge their heart rate that closely synchronized with external stimuli above 27 chance (Brener & Ring, 2016). How can these interoceptive stimuli affect our perception of the world if we, for the most of time, do not have conscious access to them? Yet, recent 29 research has shed light on this question. 30

Keywords: ECG, Binocular-rivalry-paradigm, heart-rate, vision

Word count: X

ECG_Binocular_Rivalry_Paradigm

33

[1] 5

##

```
[1] "Hi"
  ##
  ##
           names values
  ## tom
                       1
              tom
                       2
  ## david david
                       3
  ## sam
              sam
       Hello_world function.
40
     [1] "Today is: Monday Yes! I have no classes today"
     [1] "Today is: Tuesday Hello Dr. Dowling! I hope you are having a great day!"
     [1] "Today is: Wednesday Yes! I have no classes today"
43
     [1] "Today is: Thursday Hello Dr. Dowling! I hope you are having a great day!"
     [1] "Today is: Friday Yes! I have no classes today"
     [1] "Today is: Saturday Yes! I have no classes today"
46
     [1] "Today is: Sunday Yes! I have no classes today"
     [1] "Today is: Monday Yes! I have no classes today"
     [1] "Today is: Tuesday Good afternoon Dr. Hamilton"
     [1] "Today is: Wednesday Yes! I have no classes today"
50
     [1] "Today is: Thursday Good afternoon Dr. Hamilton"
51
  ## [1] "Today is: Friday Yes! I have no classes today"
52
     [1] "Today is: Saturday Yes! I have no classes today"
     [1] "Today is: Sunday Yes! I have no classes today"
     [1] "Today is: Monday Yes! I have no classes today"
     [1] "Today is: Tuesday Good afternoon Dr.Wang"
     [1] "Today is: Wednesday Yes! I have no classes today"
```

[1] "Today is: Thursday Good afternoon Dr.Wang"

```
[1] "Today is: Friday Yes! I have no classes today"
      [1] "Today is: Saturday Yes! I have no classes today"
60
     [1] "Today is: Sunday Yes! I have no classes today"
61
         "Today is: Monday Yes! I have no classes today"
62
      [1] "Today is: Tuesday Yes! I have no classes today"
63
     [1] "Today is: Wednesday Yes! I have no classes today"
     [1] "Today is: Thursday Yes! I have no classes today"
65
         "Today is: Friday Yes! I have no classes today"
      [1] "Today is: Saturday Yes! I have no classes today"
67
     [1] "Today is: Sunday Yes! I have no classes today"
```

Practice Citation

This is using year only: Lacey in (1978) found that heart rate decrased following a ready signal.

This is a way to move author out of the parentheses: Lacey and Lacey (1978) states
that subjects' heart rate decreased following a ready signal

This is adding a page number: subjects' heart rate decreased following a ready signal(see Lacey & Lacey, 1978, pg 99-113)

76 Introduction

69

Though we are unconscious of most bodily sensations (e.g. immune system), in a place
where the internal (i.e. self) and external (i.e. physical world) interact, interoceptive
stimuli—the sensation that arises from an internal organ (e.g. heartbeat), have been found to
yield an unexpected influence over how we see and sense the world (i.e. exteroceptive
stimuli).

A substantial prior study has been dedicated to exploring how external stimuli affect our body and brain. For example, intentionally observing and recognizing external stimuli

typically results in a deceleration of the heart rate, referred to as "bradycardia of attention" (Lacey, Kagan, Lacey, & Moss, 1963). Such an effect is further examined in a follow-up study 85 that showed subjects' heart rate decreased following a ready signal (Lacey & Lacey, 1978). 86 These findings have provided us with a novel understanding of how exteroceptive stimuli 87 (e.g. a ready signal at a traffic light) influence our interoceptive stimuli (e.g. heart rate), but also raise the interesting question about the reverse effect: could interoceptive stimuli have 89 an influence on exteroceptive stimuli? The question may seem counterintuitive at first since most of the interoceptive stimuli within one's self are not accessible (e.g. immune system, heartbeat). For example, studies have suggested that only a quarter of the participants can perceive and judge their heart rate that is closely synchronized with external stimuli above 93 chance (Brener & Ring, 2016). How can these interoceptive stimuli affect our perception of the world if we, for the most of time, do not have conscious access to them? Yet, recent research has shed light on this question. For instance, the heart rate and gastrointestinal tract (GI) are shown to be continuously producing electrical activity, thus sending messages to the brain, and eventually altering our perception and cognition (Azzalini et al., 2019). In addition, it is suggested that interoceptive stimuli may play a significant role in shaping 99 emotions and cognition, and this process is derived from a low-level function—homeostatic 100 regulation (Smith et al., 2017). These emotional states can, in turn, affect how we perceive 101 the world. For instance, we may perceive a neutral stimulus as threatening when we are in 102 an anxious state. One study investigating the subjective experience of body ownership 103 (EBO) presented even more compelling evidence of interaction between interoceptive and 104 exteroceptive stimuli by showing that during the induction of a "fake" rubber hand, 105 participants exhibited an increased sense of EBO if the heartbeat were synchronized with the 106 color change of the rubber hand (Suzuki et al., 2013). Another study delves into examining 107 how activation of certain cortical areas impacts subjects' hits and misses on a visual signal 108 detection task: participants were asked to identify whether or not they saw a faint annulus; 109 the study showed that the activation of ventromedial prefrontal cortex bilaterally 110

(vACC-vmPFC), the site known for receiving cardiac inputs, were more likely to have 111 participants consciously perceive the faint annulus (Park et al., 2014). Recently, the impact 112 of cardiac activity on exteroceptive perception gained significant attention, particularly 113 fueled by recent theories emphasizing the crucial role of interoception in shaping the 114 subjective sense of self(Park et al., 2014; Seth & Tsakiris, 2018). To our knowledge, despite 115 these prior investigations on interoceptive and exteroceptive interaction, there is limited 116 research that closely examines this effect visually. Also, there is still a notable gap in the 117 existing literature, particularly in the context of visual bistable perceptual switching. Visual 118 bistable perceptual switching refers to presenting participants with two visual stimuli, each of 119 which dominates the visual field for a short period of time. This is usually achieved by using 120 the binocular rivalry paradigm (Carmel et al., 2010). While prior studies have primarily 121 investigated the realm of detection thresholds (A binary response: whether the signal or not), 122 it is important to study this effect more comprehensively in a bistable perception. When 123 perception oscillates between two ambiguous stimuli, it suggests dynamic processes at play 124 in the brain. Understanding the mechanism of how the brain suppresses these perceptual 125 ambiguities can shed light on fundamental aspects of perception and consciousness. To fill in 126 the gap, our research plans to use a binocular rivalry paradigm (Carmel et al., 2010), where 127 one of two competing visual stimuli will be synchronized with the subjects' heartbeat 128 (i.e. electrocardiogram ECG signals) in real-time. Our goal is to investigate whether the 129 synchronization of interoceptive stimuli (i.e. heartbeat) will influence the prioritization of the 130 visual stimuli in conscious awareness. Based on earlier studies that examined the effect of 131 interoceptive stimuli on the brain (Azzalini et al., 2019) and homeostasis regulation (Smith 132 et al., 2017), we hypothesized to find that the visual stimulus that matched with the 133 participant's real-time heartbeat should overall dominate the visual field longer than the 134 stimulus that was not synchronized. In addition, we also expect to see that this effect is not 135 dependent on participants' conscious awareness of their heartbeat sensations. 136

137 Methods

Our experiment is going to be divided into two parts.

- 1. In the first section, we are planning to use a binocular rivalry paradigm presenting different visual stimuli, one to each eye of the participant; because the brain cannot process two visual stimuli simultaneously, one visual stimulus will dominate the other visual stimulus, see Figure 1. The idea is to synchronize one of the visual stimuli with the participant's heartbeat (measured by using an electrocardiogram ECG) in real-time; the synchronization of the heartbeat and visual stimulus is randomized, see Figure 2.Participants are not going to be told that one of the stimuli was synchronized with their real-time ECG; the Participants will identify which visual stimulus they are currently viewing by pressing the left (red) and right (blue) arrow keys.
- 2. In the second section, we are going to measure whether the participants could judge the external stimulus that is synchronized with their own heartbeat correctly. This will be done by presenting two pulsing circles, one synchronizes with the participant's ECG (immediately followed at the R peak) and the other one does not (followed later after the R peak).

53 Participants

We aim to collect 60 undergraduate students taking Psychology courses at the
University of Chicago. We are going to recruit participants through an online platform
named SONA (Psychological and Brain Science Research System). Participants will need to
have normal color vision and see well without glasses, as well as consent to participate in our
study. Our participants' sample may not be representative since our sample consists of only
college students, specifically students who are taking introductory Psychology courses. The
introductory Psychology courses include a diverse population of students with different
majors and backgrounds, but it is biased toward college and well-educated students at

University of Chicago. However, as mentioned above, we do not expect that our results will vary significantly across races and genders since this effect is mostly driven by biological factors within the body. We are going to send our study protocol to the University of Chicago institutional review board for approval.

166 Material

- Electrocardiogram (ECG) was acquired at a 100 Hz sampling rate using a TMSi SAGA amplifier (TMSi, Netherlands)
- ECG data was implemented in Python (see Data and Code Availability) using Lab

 Streaming Layer (LSL, labstreaminglayer.org)
- ECG data were bandpass filtered to 5-15 Hz, and R-peaks were detected using the
 Pan-Thompkins algorithm (Pan & Tompkins, 1985), modified from an existing
 implementation for LabGraph compatibility (Michał Sznajder & Łukowska, 2017)

174 Procedure

176

175 Data analysis

We used R [Version 4.2.2; R Core Team (2022)] for all our analyses.

177 Results

Discussion

Although the research field has shifted attention to study interoceptive stimuli on
exteroceptive stimuli, there are a limited number of studies that examined this effect in
vision. In addition, previous studies tend to focus on investigating this effect with a
detection threshold paradigm (A binary response: whether the signal or not), it is unclear
the mechanism that the brain uses to suppress perceptual ambiguity.

The results of our study extend beyond a simple examination of interoceptive stimuli 184 of exteroceptive stimuli. Understanding the influential effect of our unconscious internal 185 sensations on our conscious perception has a broader meaning across various aspects of 186 human life and the scientific field. An enhanced understanding of interoceptive cues could 187 facilitate new and more effective ways of treating mental disorders such as depression and 188 anxiety. For instance, if the accelerated heartbeat is causing a negative emotional state and 189 thus leading to symptoms of depression and anxiety, therapies could develop new 190 interventions that aim to help the patients become more aware of the interoceptive stimuli, 191 making individuals manage the emotional impact led by interoceptive stimuli, thereby 192 alleviate the depression and anxiety symptoms. Furthermore, interoceptive stimuli such as 193 heartbeat, accompanied with other diagnostic criteria can be used as a sign to predict 194 certain mental disorders. For example, anxiety is marked by excessive worries and fears that 195 tend to trigger a fight or flight response. The heartbeat is likely to get accelerated when an 196 individual is deciding whether to fight or flight. Therefore, internal sensations such as 197 heartbeat, can also serve as a complementary diagnostic information to improve current 198 therapeutic interventions.

200 References

```
<sup>201</sup> Azzalini, D., Rebollo, I., & Tallon-Baudry, C. (2019). Visceral Signals Shape Brain
```

- Dynamics and Cognition. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 23(6), 488–509.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2019.03.007
- Brener, J., & Ring, C. (2016). Towards a psychophysics of interoceptive processes: The
- measurement of heartbeat detection. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:
- 206 Biological Sciences, 371 (1708), 20160015. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0015
- ²⁰⁷ Carmel, D., Arcaro, M., Kastner, S., & Hasson, U. (2010). How to Create and Use Binocular
- Rivalry. Journal of Visualized Experiments, 45, 2030. https://doi.org/10.3791/2030
- Lacey, B. C., & Lacey, J. I. (1978). Two-way communication between the heart and the
- brain: Significance of time within the cardiac cycle. American Psychologist, 33(2),
- ²¹¹ 99–113. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.33.2.99
- Michał Sznajder, & Łukowska, M. (2017). Python Online and Offline ECG QRS Detector
- based on the Pan-Tomkins algorithm. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.583770
- Pan, J., & Tompkins, W. J. (1985). A Real-Time QRS Detection Algorithm. *IEEE*
- 215 Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, BME-32(3), 230–236.
- https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.1985.325532
- Park, H.-D., Correia, S., Ducorps, A., & Tallon-Baudry, C. (2014). Spontaneous fluctuations
- in neural responses to heartbeats predict visual detection. Nature Neuroscience, 17(4),
- 612–618. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3671
- 220 R Core Team. (2022). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R
- Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/
- 222 Seth, A. K., & Tsakiris, M. (2018). Being a Beast Machine: The Somatic Basis of Selfhood.
- 223 Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 22(11), 969–981. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2018.08.008
- Smith, R., Thayer, J. F., Khalsa, S. S., & Lane, R. D. (2017). The hierarchical basis of
- neurovisceral integration. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 75, 274–296.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.02.003

²²⁷ Suzuki, K., Garfinkel, S. N., Critchley, H. D., & Seth, A. K. (2013). Multisensory integration

- $_{\rm 228}$ $\,$ across exteroceptive and interoceptive domains modulates self-experience in the
- rubber-hand illusion. Neuropsychologia, 51(13), 2909–2917.
- 230 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2013.08.014