Problem Set 3 - Solutions

UCLA - Econ 102 - Fall 2018

François Geerolf

1 Two-period Intertemporal Optimization

1. Given the expression for the utility function:

$$u(c) = \frac{c^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1 - \sigma},$$

we know that marginal utility is:

$$u'(c) = c^{-\sigma},$$

while the derivative of marginal utility is:

$$u''(c) = -\sigma c^{-\sigma - 1}.$$

Thus, because u''(.) must be negative for the function to be concave, we have $\sigma > 0$.

- 2. This is straight from lecture 3.
- 3. Using the equation from question 2, we can write:

$$\frac{\beta c_1^{-\sigma}}{c_0^{-\sigma}} = \frac{1}{1+R} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \frac{c_1}{c_0} = \beta^{1/\sigma} (1+R)^{1/\sigma}$$

4. The intertemporal budget constraint is:

$$c_0 + \frac{c_1}{1+R} = f_0 + y_0 + \frac{y_1}{1+R},$$

and therefore:

$$\left(1 + \beta^{1/\sigma} (1+R)^{1/\sigma - 1}\right) c_0 = f_0 + y_0 + \frac{y_1}{1+R}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_0 = \frac{1}{1 + \beta^{1/\sigma} (1+R)^{1/\sigma - 1}} \left(f_0 + y_0 + \frac{y_1}{1+R}\right).$$

which implies:

$$c_1 = \frac{\beta^{1/\sigma} (1+R)^{1/\sigma}}{1+\beta^{1/\sigma} (1+R)^{1/\sigma-1}} \left(f_0 + y_0 + \frac{y_1}{1+R} \right)$$

- 5. Assume $\sigma=1/2$. If R=1%, then according to this Google Spreadsheet, c_0 is equal to \$44,776. If R=2%, then c_0 is equal to \$44,554. Consumption thus falls by \$222, approximately -0.5% in percentage terms.
- 6. Assume $\sigma=1$. If R=1%, then according to this Google Spreadsheet, c_0 is equal to \$45,000. If R=2%, then c_0 is equal to \$45,000. Consumption does not change, this is the case we have seen in class. **Remark.** Note that this case is the one we saw in the class, because when σ approaches 1, we have:

$$\lim_{\sigma \to 1} \frac{c^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1 - \sigma} = \log(c).$$

You can see this in many different ways. The simplest way is to write that:

$$c^{1-\sigma} = e^{(1-\sigma)\log(c)} = \exp\left((1-\sigma)\log(c)\right).$$

1

Then, we use that:

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{e^{ax} - 1}{x} = a$$

Indeed, the limit of $(e^{ax} - 1)/x$ when x goes to 0 is by definition the derivative of e^{ax} at x = 0. Thus, since the derivative of e^{ax} is ae^{ax} , we get that the derivative at x = 0 of e^{ax} is a. Using that formula for $x = 1 - \sigma$ and $a = \log(c)$ allows to show:

$$\lim_{(1-\sigma)\to 0} \frac{e^{\log(c)(1-\sigma)} - 1}{1-\sigma} = \log(c)$$

Therefore, we get:

$$\lim_{\sigma \to 1} \frac{c^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1 - \sigma} = \log(c).$$

- 7. Assume $\sigma = 2$. If R = 1%, then according to this Google Spreadsheet, c_0 is equal to \$45,112. If R = 2%, then c_0 is equal to \$45,223. Consumption increases by \$111, or approximately 0.25%.
- 8. Whether an increase in real interest rates leads to a fall or an increase in consumption depends on σ , which can be seen on this formula (it is crucial for this that $y_1 = 0$, or that second-period income is zero):

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{1 + \beta^{1/\sigma} (1+R)^{1/\sigma-1}} (f_0 + y_0).$$

When $1/\sigma - 1 > 0$, or $\sigma < 1$, an increase in the real interest rate leads to lower consumption today, and more saving. Conversely, when $1/\sigma - 1 < 0$, or $\sigma > 1$, an increase in the real interest rate leads to higher consumption today, and less saving. Finally, when $\sigma = 1$, the interest rate has no effect on current consumption c_0 or saving.

2 Another Overlapping Generations model

- 1. Agents care only about old age consumption, so they save everything, regarless of what the utility function is.
- 2. Since they save everything, saving is equal to the wage, and thus:

$$S_t = w_t$$
.

The wage paid by employers, given that L=1, is:

$$w_t = (1 - \alpha)K_t^{\alpha}L^{-\alpha} = (1 - \alpha)K_t^{\alpha} = (1 - \alpha)Y_t.$$

This implies, in turn, the following law of motion for the capital stock:

$$\Delta K_{t+1} = S_t - \delta K_t = (1 - \alpha)Y_t - \delta K_t.$$

3. The corresponding value of the saving rate in the Solow model is:

$$s = 1 - \alpha$$
.

4. The Golden rule level of capital accumulation is characterized by a level of the saving rate equal to α . Thus, to be below the Golden Rule level of capital accumulation, the saving rate must be lower than that:

$$1 - \alpha < \alpha$$
.

This, in turn, implies:

$$\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$$
.

5. This condition is likely not satisfied, as we saw in Lecture 1. Thus, there is too much saving in this situation.