

Lecture 1 - Thoughts on Empirical Macro and Finance

UCLA - Econ 221 - Fall 2018

François Geerolf

Contents

1	Macro or micro ?	1
2	My philosophy	1
3	A brief (partial and subjective) history of macroeconomics	2
4	Crisis of Keynesian Thought	2
5	A brief (partial and subjective) history of macroeconomics	2
6	Crisis of Keynesian Thought	2

1 Macro or micro ?

Axel Leijonhufvud, professor emeritus at UCLA, says it best in “Life among the Econ” (Leijonhufvud [1973]):

Whatever may have been the case in earlier times, the “fields” of the Econ apparently do not now form a strong rank-ordering. This may be the clue to the problem of the non-transitivity of individual status. First, the ordering of two castes will sometimes be indeterminate. Thus, while the Micro assert their superiority over the Macro, so do the Macro theirs over the Micro, and third parties are found to have no very determined, or at least no unanimous, opinion on the matter. Thus the perceived prestige of one caste relative to another is a non-reflexive relation. In other instances, however, the ranking is quite clear. The priestly caste (the Math-Econ) for example, is a higher “field” than either Micro or Macro, while the Devlops just as definitely rank lower. Second, we know that these caste-rankings (where they can be made) are not permanent but may change over time. There is evidence, for example, that both the high rank assigned to the Math-Econ and the low rank of the Devlops are, historically speaking, rather recent phenomena. The rise of the Math-Econ seems to be associated with the previously noted trend among all the Econ towards more ornate, ceremonial modls, while the low rank of the Devlops is due to the fact that this caste, in recent times, has not strictly enforced the taboos against association with the Polscis, Sociogs, and other tribes. Other Econ look upon this with considerable apprehension as endangering the moral fiber of the tribe and suspect the Devlops even of relinquishing modl-making.

2 My philosophy

First of all, I teach in a coherent way across undergraduates and graduates. In contrast, undergraduate education has continued to teach the IS-LM model, while the RBC model was solely taught in graduate schools.

The idea to test macroeconomics, and discipline the model with microeconomic data, goes back to Larry Summers’ contributions.

Larry Summers’ contributions: Poterba [1995]

3 A brief (partial and subjective) history of macroeconomics

Macroeconomics is born with J.M. Keynes who notes that Marshallian Economics he was brought up into failed to explain the crisis, and policy responses.

4 Crisis of Keynesian Thought

Very defensive attitude of New-Keynesian economics.

Possible solution to the paradox: Keynesian prescriptions were never about sticky prices?

5 A brief (partial and subjective) history of macroeconomics

Macroeconomics is born with J.M. Keynes who notes that Marshallian Economics he was brought up into failed to explain the crisis, and policy responses.

6 Crisis of Keynesian Thought

Very defensive attitude of New-Keynesian economics.

Possible solution to the paradox: Keynesian prescriptions were never about sticky prices?

References

Axel Leijonhufvud. Life Among the Econ. *Economic Inquiry*, 11(3):327–337, September 1973. ISSN 1465-7295. doi: 10.1111/j.1465-7295.1973.tb01065.x. URL <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1465-7295.1973.tb01065.x>.

James M. Poterba. In Honor of Lawrence H. Summers, Winner of the John Bates Clark Medal. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 9(1):165–182, March 1995. ISSN 0895-3309. doi: 10.1257/jep.9.1.165. URL <https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/jep.9.1.165>.