Lecture 3 - Consumption

UCLA - Econ 221 - Fall 2018

François Geerolf

Contents

1	Theory
2	Data
	2.1 Keynes [1936], Friedman [1957]
	2.2 Poterba and Summers [1987]
	2.3 Campbell and Deaton [1989]
	2.4 Cole et al. [1992]
	2.5 Deaton [1992]
	2.6 Parker [1999]
	2.7 Parker [2000]
	2.8 Carroll [2000]
	2.9 Souleles [2002]
	2.10 Johnson et al. [2006]
	2.11 Kaplan et al. [2014]
	2.12 Jappelli and Pistaferri [2014]
	2.13 Saez and Zucman [2016]
	2.14 Parker [2017]
	2.15 Wong [2016]

1 Theory

Assume that:

$$U(c_1, c_2) = u(c_1) + \beta u(c_2)$$

Income is y_1 and consumption is c_1 , so that:

$$a = y_1 - c_1$$

Income is y_2 and consumption is c_2 , so that:c_{2}=y_{2}+(1+r)a

Budget constraint:

$$c_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}c_2 = y_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}y_2$$

TRherefore, the household problem is:

$$\max_{c_{1},c_{2}} u(c_{1}) + \beta u(c_{2})$$
s.t.
$$c_{1} + \frac{1}{1+r}c_{2} = y_{1} + \frac{1}{1+r}y_{2}$$

Forming the Lagrangian:

$$L(c_1, c_2, \lambda) = u(c_1) + \beta u(c_2) - \lambda \left[c_1 + \frac{1}{1+r} c_2 - y_1 - \frac{1}{1+r} y_2 \right]$$

Therefore:

$$u'(c_1) - \lambda = 0$$
$$\beta u'(c_2) - \lambda \frac{1}{1+r} = 0$$

Finally:

$$u'(c_1) = \beta(1+r)u'(c_2)$$

CRRA utility. Using the CRRA utility:

$$u(c) = \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$$

Marginal utility is:

$$u'(c) = c^{-\sigma}$$

Therefore:

$$c_1^{-\sigma} = \beta(1+r)c_2^{-\sigma} \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_2 = [\beta(1+r)]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}c_1$$

Replacing in the budget constraint:

$$c_1 + \frac{1}{1+r} [\beta(1+r)]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} c_1 = y_1 + \frac{1}{1+r} y_2$$

Therefore:

$$c_1 = \frac{y_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}y_2}{1 + \beta^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}(1+r)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1}}$$

2 Data

- 2.1 Keynes [1936], Friedman [1957]
- 2.2 Poterba and Summers [1987]
- 2.3 Campbell and Deaton [1989]
- 2.4 Cole et al. [1992]

Cole et al. [1992]:

But think for a moment about an already very rich agent such as Donald Trump. Why does he continue to work long days, endure substantial amounts of stress, and take enormous risks? Surely it cannot be that he is savoring the prospect of going to the grocery store with a looser budget constraint next year. He seems to have more money than he could spend in several lifetimes. Even if we are wrong about Trump's net worth, there clearly seem to be wealthy individuals that continue to work very hard and take large risks to increase their net worth. It is hard to reconcile such behavior with the underlying decision making in traditional growth models. We propose that people like Trump continue to care about increasing their net worth because their utility depends not only on the absolute level of their wealth but also on their wealth relative to that of other very rich people.

2.5 Deaton [1992]

If consumers are aware of these facts, as now they should be, and if their current consumption depends on their total lifetime resources, then the younger generations will be saving now to pay the tax bills and the reduced social security that they are going to receive in the future. In the light of the evidence against low-frequency smoothing, and of the limited relevance of expected future income, especially distant future income, it seems unlikely that they will do so. For exactly the same reasons, Ricardian equivalence will not hold, and government deficits will decrease national saving. The US government may not be able to manipulate its fiscal stance in the short run, but its long-term policies are not so constrained, and their consequences are unlikely to be offset by the clear-sighted and far-sighted actions of permanent income consumers.

- 2.6 Parker [1999]
- 2.7 Parker [2000]
- 2.8 Carroll [2000]
- 2.9 Souleles [2002]
- 2.10 Johnson et al. [2006]
- 2.11 Kaplan et al. [2014]

2.12 Jappelli and Pistaferri [2014]

In particular, we find that a debt-financed increase in transfers of 1 percent of national disposable income targeted to the bottom decile of the cash-on-hand distribution would increase aggregate consumption by 0.82 percent. Furthermore, redistributing income from the top decile to the bottom decile of the income distribution would boost aggregate consumption by about 0.1 percent. One important caveat is that our calculations of the aggregate effects of fiscal policy are performed assuming no general equilibrium effects, and, in particular, that fiscal policy does not impact asset prices and that tax changes have no effect on labor supply. Hence, our calculations are likely to be an upper bound to the true effects of fiscal policy.

- 2.13 Saez and Zucman [2016]
- 2.14 Parker [2017]
- 2.15 Wong [2016]

In this paper, Souleles [2002] shows that the consumer response to the Reagan tax cuts was very far from the one which is predicted by the Permanent Income Hypothesis.

References

John Campbell and Angus Deaton. Why is Consumption So Smooth? The Review of Economic Studies, 56 (3):357–373, July 1989. ISSN 0034-6527. doi: 10.2307/2297552. URL https://academic.oup.com/restud/article/56/3/357/1632418.

- Christopher D. Carroll. Why Do the Rich Save So Much? In Joel B. Slemrod, editor, *Does Atlas Shrug?* The Economic Consequences of Taxing the Rich, volume D. Harvard Univ Pr, 2000.
- Harold L. Cole, George J. Mailath, and Andrew Postlewaite. Social Norms, Savings Behavior, and Growth. Journal of Political Economy, 100(6):1092–1125, December 1992. ISSN 0022-3808. doi: 10.1086/261855. URL http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/261855.
- Angus Deaton. Understanding Consumption. Oxford University Press, 1992. ISBN 0-19-828824-7.
- Milton Friedman. Theory of the Consumption Function. Princeton University Press, 1957. ISBN 978-0-691-13886-2. Google-Books-ID: Quo9DwAAQBAJ.
- Tullio Jappelli and Luigi Pistaferri. Fiscal Policy and MPC Heterogeneity. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 6(4):107–136, October 2014. ISSN 1945-7707. doi: 10.1257/mac.6.4.107. URL https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/mac.6.4.107.
- David S. Johnson, Jonathan A. Parker, and Nicholas S. Souleles. Household Expenditure and the Income Tax Rebates of 2001. *American Economic Review*, 96(5):1589–1610, December 2006. ISSN 0002-8282. doi: 10.1257/aer.96.5.1589. URL https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/aer.96.5.1589.
- Greg Kaplan, Giovanni Violante, and Justin Weidner. The Wealthy Hand-to-Mouth. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, pages 77–138, 2014. ISSN 0007-2303. URL http://www.jstor.org/stable/23936272.
- John Maynard Keynes. The General Theory of Employment, Interest, and Money. 1936. ISBN 81-269-0591-3.
- Jonathan A. Parker. The Reaction of Household Consumption to Predictable Changes in Social Security Taxes. *The American Economic Review*, 89(4):959–973, 1999. ISSN 0002-8282. URL http://www.jstor.org/stable/117167.
- Jonathan A. Parker. Spendthrift in America? On Two Decades of Decline in the U.S. Saving Rate. *NBER Macroeconomics Annual* 1999, pages 317–387, January 2000. URL http://www.nber.org/chapters/c11050.
- Jonathan A. Parker. Why Don't Households Smooth Consumption? Evidence from a \$25 Million Experiment. American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics, 9(4):153–183, October 2017. ISSN 1945-7707. doi: 10.1257/mac.20150331. URL https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/mac.20150331.
- James M. Poterba and Lawrence H. Summers. Finite lifetimes and the effects of budget deficits on national saving. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 20(2):369–391, September 1987. ISSN 0304-3932. doi: 10.1016/0304-3932(87)90021-3. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0304393287900213.
- Emmanuel Saez and Gabriel Zucman. Wealth Inequality in the United States since 1913: Evidence From Capitalized Income Tax Data. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 131(May):519–578, 2016. doi: 10.1093/qje/qjw004.Advance.
- Nicholas S Souleles. Consumer response to the Reagan tax cuts. *Journal of Public Economics*, 85(1):99–120, July 2002. ISSN 0047-2727. doi: 10.1016/S0047-2727(01)00113-X. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S004727270100113X.
- Arlene Wong. Population aging and the transmission of monetary policy to consumption. 2016 Meeting Paper 716, Society for Economic Dynamics, 2016. URL https://econpapers.repec.org/paper/redsed016/716.htm.