Problem Set 6

UCLA - Econ 102 - Fall 2018

François Geerolf

Another Numerical Example

During lecture 9, we studied the aggregate demand effects of tax cuts on the bottom 90% financed by tax increases on the top 10%. In this problem, we study another example of these aggregate demand effects, looking at redistribution from the top 1% income share to the bottom 99%. *An important warning*: Again, note that this model only has Keynesian, aggregate demand effects. However, raising taxes on high income earners probably has effects on the supply side as well - look at the discussions on the Trump taxes online. Raising taxes on the top 1% probably also has effects on entrepreneurship, incentives to take risk and create jobs, which are not taken into account here. Whether these supply effects are sufficiently large to offset the aggregate demand effects that we have calculated on GDP is a very controversial and politically charged question.

To illustrate the effects on aggregate demand of redistributive policies between the top 1% and the bottom 99%, we now use the same notations as in lecture 9. There is a share $\lambda = 99\%$ of population N who are in the bottom 99%, who earn individual income $\underline{y}$, pay net taxes $\underline{t} = \underline{t}_0 + t_1 \underline{y}$, have an MPC $\underline{c}_1$, baseline consumption $\underline{c}_0$. Notations for high income are similar, but with bars: $\bar{y}$, $\bar{t} = \bar{t}_0 + t_1 \bar{y}$, $\bar{c}_1$, $\bar{c}_0$. Total GDP is Y, investment is $I = b_0 + b_1 Y$, government spending is exogenous and equal to G.

1. Use Google to find out how much income would put you in the top 1%.

2. The World Income Database suggests that the top 1% captures approximately 20% of total U.S. income in 2017, while it was approximately 10% in 1980. Using the notations of the class, what is $\gamma = \bar{y}/\underline{y}$?

3. Compute aggregate consumption $C = \underline{C} + \bar{C}$, as in lecture 9, using the following notations:

$$c_1 \equiv \frac{\lambda \underline{c}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \gamma \bar{c}_1}{\lambda + (1 - \lambda)\gamma}$$
$$C_0 \equiv \lambda N \underline{c}_0 + (1 - \lambda) N \bar{c}_0$$
$$T_0 \equiv \lambda N \underline{t}_0 + (1 - \lambda) N \bar{t}_0.$$

4. What is an economic interpretation for c_1 ? Calculate c_1 if $\underline{c}_1 = 1$ and $\bar{c}_1 = 1/4$.

5. Using the expression for I, and for aggregate consumption C, compute Y.

6. Assume $t_1 = 1/4$ and $b_1 = 1/6$. Compute the impact on GDP of a 100 billion dollars tax cut on the top 1%. What is the impact on the government deficit of such a cut?

7. Assume $t_1 = 1/4$ and $b_1 = 1/6$. Compute the impact on GDP of a 100 billion dollars tax cut on the bottom 99%. What is the impact on the government deficit of such a cut?

8. Assume $t_1 = 1/4$ and $b_1 = 1/6$. Compute the impact on GDP of a transfer of 100 billion dollars from the top 1% to the bottom 99%. What is the impact on the government deficit of such a transfer?

9. What happens if there are no automatic stabilizers in this economy $(t_1 = 0)$? Explain.