

Fantechi

Def. An additive cat is abel. if

- i) every mor has ker. & coker
- ii) finite direct sums exist
- iii) every mor $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ induces

$$K \xrightarrow{i} X \xrightarrow{\pi} P \xrightarrow{j} Y \xrightarrow{p} C$$

st. $i = \ker f, j = \operatorname{coker} f, \pi = \operatorname{coker} i, p = \ker p, j \circ \pi = f$

-exercise. Show iii) functorial, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \xrightarrow{f} Y & & K \rightarrow X \rightarrow P \rightarrow Y \rightarrow C \\ \downarrow f' \quad \downarrow & \text{comm.} \Rightarrow & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y' & & K' \rightarrow X' \rightarrow P' \rightarrow Y' \rightarrow C' \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Comm.,} \\ \text{unique} \end{array}$$

Def. Let \mathcal{C} any cat, $X, Y \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{C}$. A product for X and Y is an object $P = X \times Y$ which represents $h_X \times h_Y: \mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{op}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Set}$,
 $h_X \times h_Y(S) = h_X(S) \times h_Y(S)$

-in other words, to give mor $S \rightarrow X \times Y$ is the same as giving $S \rightarrow X$ and $S \rightarrow Y$

-exercise: see it in $\operatorname{Top}, \operatorname{Grp}, \operatorname{Mfd}, \dots$

-exercise: \downarrow canonical projs. $X \times Y \rightarrow X, Y$ (use γ).

Def. \mathcal{C} cat, $X \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{C}$. Put $h^X: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Set}, h^X(Y) = \operatorname{Mor}(X, Y)$.

-exercise: define corepresentable functors, state co-Yoneda.

Def. Let \mathcal{C} cat, $X, Y \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{C}$. A coproduct Z of X and Y corepresents $h^X \times h^Y$.

-exercise: as before. What about comm. rings?

Prop Let \mathcal{A} add. cat satisfying (I) and (II).
Then $\forall X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$, a coproduct exists if and only if a product exists. Further, they are equal.

Pf. Assume \exists product P . Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & X & \\
 (id_X, 0) \searrow & & \swarrow \\
 Y \xrightarrow{(0, id_Y)} P \xrightarrow{\pi_X} X & & \\
 \swarrow & \downarrow \pi_Y & \searrow \\
 & Y &
 \end{array}$$

- exercise: $\pi_X = \text{coker } i_Y$,
 $i_Y = \text{coker } \pi_X$
and $(X \leftrightarrow Y)$

Given $Z \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hom}(P, Z) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(X, Z) \times \text{Hom}(Y, Z) \\
 f &\mapsto (f \circ i_X, f \circ i_Y)
 \end{aligned}$$

is bijection, so P direct sum.

Check converse.

Prop. \mathcal{A} abel. $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ abel.

Def. Let \mathcal{A} abel. cat. \mathcal{A} (possibly inf.)
sequence of objects and morphisms
indexed by morphisms indexed by \mathbb{Z}
 $\dots \rightarrow A_i \xrightarrow{d_i} A_{i+1} \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} A_{i+2} \rightarrow \dots$
is called a complex if $\forall i, d_{i+1} \circ d_i = 0$,
if makes sense. Its i -th cohomology
(group) object is $\ker d_{i+1} / \text{Im } d_i$

$$A_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} A_3, \quad \text{Ker } d_1 = K_1 \xrightarrow{i_1} A_1 \rightarrow P_1 = \text{coker } i_1$$

$\swarrow \quad \searrow$
 $\text{Im } d_1 = P_1 \quad K_2 = \text{Ker } d_2$

$$d_2 \circ d_1 = 0 \quad A_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} A_2, \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1 & \xrightarrow{i_1} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & A_2 \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ & & K_2 & & \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow K_2. \quad \text{Def. } H_1(A_\bullet) = \text{coker}(P_1 \rightarrow K_2)$$

Notation. we use cohom. indexing A^i .

Def. A cpx $A^\bullet = (\dots \rightarrow A^i \xrightarrow{d_i} A^{i+1} \rightarrow \dots)$ is called exact at i if $H^i(A^\bullet) = 0$. It is called exact if $\forall i, H^i(A^\bullet) = 0$.

Def. Let A^\bullet, B^\bullet complexes with same index interval I . A morphism $\varphi: A^\bullet \rightarrow B^\bullet$ is the datum: $\forall i \in I, \varphi_i: A^i \rightarrow B^i$ s.t. if $i, i+1 \in I$, then $A^i \xrightarrow{d_A^i} A^{i+1}$ commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varphi_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_{i+1} \\ B^i & \xrightarrow{d_B^i} & B^{i+1} \end{array}$$

We call φ a (co)chain map.

-exercise: show I -complexes constitute a cat.

Lemma. $\varphi: A^\bullet \rightarrow B^\bullet$ mor $\Rightarrow h^i(\varphi): h^i(A) \rightarrow h^i(B)$ whenever $i-1, i, i+1 \in I$.

Def. The cat $\mathcal{C}(A)$ has as objects \mathbb{Z} -cpxs in A and chain maps as morphisms.

- exercise: $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, h^i: C(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, A^\bullet \mapsto h^i(\cdot, \cdot), \varphi \mapsto h^i(\varphi)$
 is an additive functor (respects abel. structure on mor)

Def. Let $\varphi, \psi: A^\bullet \rightarrow B^\bullet \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$.

We say φ is homotopic to ψ if

$\exists \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \ d_i: A^i \rightarrow B^{i-1}$ $\text{mor in } \mathcal{A}$ s.t.
 $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \psi^i - \varphi^i = d^{i+1}_B \circ d^i_A + d^{i-1}_B \circ d^i_A$,

In this case we call $d = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ a
homotopy from φ to ψ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \rightarrow & A^{i-1} & \xrightarrow{d_A^{i-1}} & A^i & \xrightarrow{d_A^i} & A^{i+1} & \rightarrow \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\
 & B^{i-1} & \xrightarrow{d_B^{i-1}} & B^i & \xrightarrow{d_B^i} & B^{i+1} & \rightarrow
 \end{array}$$

~~~~~

- philosophical q.: what is the natural structure on  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ ?

- higher category theory, due to homotopies.

~~~~~

Lemma. Assume $\varphi, \psi: A^\bullet \rightarrow B^\bullet$ homotopic
 $\text{mor in } \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, h^i(\varphi) = h^i(\psi)$.

Pf. (We use objects since it is simpler.

Diagram chasing can be done, tho.)

Let $[x] \in h^i(A)$, meaning $x \in Z^i(A) = \ker d_A^i$.

$h^i(\varphi)[x] = [\varphi_i(x)]$. Now

$$\varphi_i(x) - \psi_i(x) = d^{i+1}_B(d_i x) + d^i_B(d^{i-1}_B x)$$

$$\text{so } [\varphi_i(x)] = [\psi_i(x)].$$

Def. A morphism φ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ is called a quasi-isomorphism if $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, h^i(\varphi)$ is isom.

Remark. Analogous to weak-equivalence in alg. top.

Remark. Like top. spaces, $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ also has a natural structure: model cat.

- why quisos? example:

- let A (Noeth) comm. ring, B fin. gen A -alg.

Define module of Kähler differentials $\Omega_{B/A}$

by saying it represents a functor

$(\text{Mod}_B)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow (\text{Sets}), M \mapsto \text{Der}_A(B, M),$

or by saying $B = A[x_1, \dots, x_n] / (f_1, \dots, f_r)$

and

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^n B e_j \xrightarrow{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n B \cdot dx_i \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A} \rightarrow 0 \text{ exact.}$$

- the first approach is great, but the 2nd possibly depends on basis?