Autonini.

- -π: A->B morphism of unital algorithms,
 -Sp B π(x) C Sp + +, Since π(λ-x) = λ-n(x)
- fix k = K(C), let k(x) stational funcs Poles. for $T \in k(x)$ written as $t = \frac{a_0(a,-\lambda_1)...(a_m-\lambda_n)}{b_0(b_1-p_1)...(b_m-p_m)}$ P(T) set of poles
- -for RCK any subset define

 KR(4) = 2TELK(4) | Pol(T) DR = \$}
- -for x 6 (K(x)) Spikp(x) x = R, since (1-x) is not investible for any rer in 1kp(x)
- -let A any unital aly, y EA s.t. Spay CK
 -define (K (x) spay) = 2 rat. funcs. without poles on Spay}
 - for 1kspy (x) = T = P , Q (y) & A-1 => T (y) = P (y) (Q (y)-1
- Prop. given yet, the map T +> T(y) 18

 the unique morphism of unital algebras

 y: |kspag(x) -> A, \phi(x) = y,
- Rak, Pelkix => Spx (P(y)) = P(Spxy)

```
Banach algebon.
- Banach space B (complete wot norm | 1-113)
- B will be acpx algebra w bounded multiplication
    11 × y 113 € 11×113 11 y 11 8
- if unital > 11 1811B=1
- example: (Esll 11) Bunuch space,
 then Z(ESE)=B(E) Bunuch aly
-1f 1 & A, embed A C> A = A X C
 and set 11(a, 2) 11 = 11 all + 121
Prop. Let (Esll-14) Banach space w bounded multip
      ie. 11 x. ylle Ecll x lle lly lle and with unit.
      Then there is an equivalent norm making
       E into a unital Banach alg
      ( II · II equir to III · III · ff & cisco s.t.
      (1 \times 11 \leq c_1(11 \times 111)) \wedge (11 \times 111 \leq c_2(1 \times 11))
  Pf. E -> B(E) injective
        b -> Ly where Ly(a)=ba
       Then | | x111:= | Lx11 BC6).
Lemma. Let A unital Banach alg.
   1) a E A, || a || < 1 => 1-a E A-1
                         and (1-4)-1= 2 44
                         15 ubs. convergent
  11) Set x & A. Then + R& C s.t. 11x11 < 121,
    λ ¢ S<sub>P*</sub>×.
     Fusther more | 1 2-(7-x) -1 | = 6
```

9 & Spax

Det. VsW normed spaces, UCV open.

f: U -> W is Fréchet - differentiable at

EEU if f bounded lin. op. T: V-> W

S.L.

lin ||f(x+h)-f(x)-Th||w = 6

h-> 0 ||h||w

We call T its Frachet demonstra (write dat)

Prop A untal Banach alg. then 4-1 CA is open, and 4: A-12 given by X 1-> X-1

15 C' and satisfies dx 4(h) = -X-1 h X-1

Thm. A cpx unital Banachaly. Fix XEA.
Then

Spax > 11) 15 compact

(11) the resolvent, i.e. map

(1) Spax +> A (5 holomorphic)

Z > (x-2)-1 × mently no

* "weakly holom!", meaning
HleA*, loy1 (> Spx > 6 is holom

```
Pt. 11) 2 14 > (1-x) 15 continuous so
      (\Spax = 24-1 (A-1) is open.
      We know Spx & B(O, 11x11).
      So Spy + cpt.
   (11) fix any leA and look at
         (\Spar -> C
            1) assume Spx + = p. Then ((x-z)-1) is
      entire for any let.
     Since la l((x-2)") = 0 , l(x-2)-1)=0.
     But Als not empty.
A Bunach alg and a division ring => A = C. (skew-field)
Pf. let i: C -> A
       \lambda \mapsto \lambda \cdot 1
     Clearly i surjects.
Further, for x EA = 12 EC St. i(2) -x # A-1
    Since Spx x & o. Since A-1 = {0}
- fix A commutative unital
Det. A character is a nonzero continuous morphism of unital algebras X: A -> C
```

-recall that a principal ideal ICA is maximal

if (ICJ #A) => J=I.

Prop. In A unital Banach algo all maximul ideals are closed.

P(I maximal 5) I=I +A,

Since I +A, I \(A^{-1} = 0 \)
\[\begin{align*}
\text{T \(A \) A \(A^{-1} \)
\end{align*}

-seculi: A committing. IdA maximal => A(T field.

-for A commutative unital Banach algo characters => maximal ideals & => kerx

Spectrum,

- A comm. unital Banach alg.

Sp A:= Space of characters with

topology of pointwise

convergence

weakest top

weakest top

making the family

of maps & X -> X(x) 3 acA

SpA

Continuous