Informal deformation theory (Micheley) - deforming south like F(X) = e.xd. + a, xd. + -> deforming coefficients is ust a good idea, it might us longer de a curve -infinitesimal family: lk's k(a), +=k(f, -+,)/a sa=(+, -+,) X = Spec B C> A"k > T = Spec A - fam(y (= , 4) s, t X=Speckx== ->= Speck -> T Lemma. ZoC>ZoNCGz nilpotent. Zo affine implies Zaffine -> so we can take == Spec... 12 m R. | K C A - why flatness? it "preserves relations": P" -> P -> P/I-> 0 Ph -> Ph -> Ph/2 -> 0

Def. X C>A" is a complete intersection

if $I_{X}=(f_{1})$ where coding (X) sm. -we would like to deform these?
-start with 1st order deformations: A=|k[+]/(+e) => Tx=(fis-)fm)

| L=[(fi+2gi)] - (fm+8gm) = gm)

forbidden by

flaturess =

-if JA=((fi+8gi)]--,(fm+2gm)

also a deformation - is it the same? when?

CLAIM. Tx=JA = Gi-gi eTx. - we will not define a "change of coordinates" explicitly beyond this, but uso the team: - now look, land Pkx··-×Pk/ = 6x + ··· + 6x/J

Rule: for locally complete intersections,

If X is smooth => X is RIGID.

-> because not all derivatives vanish,

JD1.

1