### Phrase-Based MT



#### Machine Translation Lecture 7

**Instructor: Chris Callison-Burch** 

**TAs: Mitchell Stern, Justin Chiu** 

Website: mt-class.org/penn

# Translational Equivalence

Er hat die Prüfung bestanden, jedoch nur knapp

He insisted on the test, but just barely.

He passed the test, but just barely.

How do lexical translation models deal with contextual information?

# Translational Equivalence

Er hat die Prüfung bestanden, jedoch nur knapp

He insisted on the test, but just barely.

He passed the test, but just barely.

F	E	prob
bestanden	insisted	0.06
	were	0.06
	existed	0.04
	was	0.04
	been	0.04
	passed	0.03
	consist	0.01

# Translational Equivalence

Er hat die Prüfung bestanden, jedoch nur knapp

He insisted on the test, but just barely.

He passed the test, but just barely.

Lexical Translation

What is wrong with this?

How can we improve this?

- What are the atomic units?
  - Lexical translation: words
  - Phrase-based translation: phrases
- Standard model used by Google, Microsoft ...
- Benefits
  - many-to-many translation
  - use of local context in translation
- Downsides
  - Where do phrases comes from?

 With a latent variable, we introduce a decomposition into phrases which translate independently:


$$p(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}) = p(\mathbf{a}) \prod_{\langle \overline{\mathbf{e}}, \overline{\mathbf{f}} \rangle \in \mathbf{a}} p(\overline{\mathbf{f}} \mid \overline{\mathbf{e}})$$

 ${f f}={f Morgen}$  Morgen fliege ich nach Baltimore zur Konferenz

 $\mathbf{e} = \mathtt{Tomorrow}$  I will fly to the conference in Baltimore


 With a latent variable, we introduce a decomposition into phrases which translate independently:

$$p(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}) = p(\mathbf{a}) \prod_{\langle \overline{\mathbf{e}}, \overline{\mathbf{f}} \rangle \in \mathbf{a}} p(\overline{\mathbf{f}} \mid \overline{\mathbf{e}})$$



 With a latent variable, we introduce a decomposition into phrases which translate independently:


$$p(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}) = p(\mathbf{a}) \prod_{\langle \overline{\mathbf{e}}, \overline{\mathbf{f}} \rangle \in \mathbf{a}} p(\overline{\mathbf{f}} \mid \overline{\mathbf{e}})$$



p(Morgen|Tomorrow)

 With a latent variable, we introduce a decomposition into phrases which translate independently:


$$p(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}) = p(\mathbf{a}) \prod_{\langle \overline{\mathbf{e}}, \overline{\mathbf{f}} \rangle \in \mathbf{a}} p(\overline{\mathbf{f}} \mid \overline{\mathbf{e}})$$



 $p(Morgen|Tomorrow) \times p(fliege|will fly)$ 

 With a latent variable, we introduce a decomposition into phrases which translate independently:


$$p(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}) = p(\mathbf{a}) \prod_{\langle \overline{\mathbf{e}}, \overline{\mathbf{f}} \rangle \in \mathbf{a}} p(\overline{\mathbf{f}} \mid \overline{\mathbf{e}})$$



 $p(Morgen|Tomorrow) \times p(fliege|will fly) \times p(ich|I)$ 

 With a latent variable, we introduce a decomposition into phrases which translate independently:

$$p(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}) = p(\mathbf{a}) \prod_{\langle \overline{\mathbf{e}}, \overline{\mathbf{f}} \rangle \in \mathbf{a}} p(\overline{\mathbf{f}} \mid \overline{\mathbf{e}})$$



 $p(Morgen|Tomorrow) \times p(fliege|will fly) \times p(ich|I) \times ...$ 

 With a latent variable, we introduce a decomposition into phrases which translate independently:

$$p(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{e}) = p(\mathbf{a}) \prod_{\langle \overline{\mathbf{e}}, \overline{\mathbf{f}} \rangle \in \mathbf{a}} p(\overline{\mathbf{f}} \mid \overline{\mathbf{e}})$$

Marginalize to get p(f|e):

$$p(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{e}) = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} p(\mathbf{a}) \prod_{\langle \overline{\mathbf{e}}, \overline{\mathbf{f}} \rangle \in \mathbf{a}} p(\overline{\mathbf{f}} \mid \overline{\mathbf{e}})$$

### Phrases

- Contiguous strings of words
- Phrases are not necessarily syntactic constituents
- Usually have maximum limits
- Phrases subsume words (individual words are phrases of length I)

# Linguistic Phrases

- Model is not limited to linguistic phrases (NPs, VPs, PPs, CPs...)
- Non-constituent phrases are useful

es gibt there is | there are

 Is a "good" phrase more likely to be [P NP] or [governor P]
Why? How would you figure this out?


### Phrase Tables

$ar{\mathbf{f}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{e}}$	$p(\mathbf{\bar{f}} \mid \mathbf{\bar{e}})$
das Thema	the issue	0.41
	the point	0.72
	the subject	0.47
	the thema	0.99
oo siba	there is	0.96
es gibt	there are	0.72
morgen	tomorrow	0.9
	will I fly	0.63
fliege ich	will fly	0.17
	I will fly	0.13

# p(a)

- Two responsibilities
  - Divide the source sentence into phrases
    - Standard approach: uniform distribution over all possible segmentations
    - How many segmentations are there?
  - Reorder the phrases
    - Standard approach: Markov model on phrases (parameterized with log-linear model)

# Reordering Model



phrase	translates	movement	distance
1	1–3	start at beginning	0
2	6	skip over 4–5	+2
3	4–5	move back over 4-6	-3
4	7	skip over 6	+1

Scoring function:  $d(x) = \alpha^{|x|}$  — exponential with distance

# Learning Phrases

- Latent segmentation variable
- Latent phrasal inventory
- Parallel data
  - EM?


Computational problem: summing over all segmentations and alignments is #P-complete

Modeling problem: MLE has a degenerate solution.


# Learning Phrases


- Three stages
  - word alignment
  - extraction of phrases
  - estimation of phrase probabilities

### Consistent Phrases




All words of the phrase pair have to align to each other.







akemasu / open




watashi wa / I




watashi / I




wate




hako wo / box




hako wo / the box



hako wo / open the box



hako wo / pen the box




hako wo akemasu / open the box

• Task: translate this sentence from German into English


er geht ja nicht nach hause

• Task: translate this sentence from German into English




• Pick phrase in input, translate

• Task: translate this sentence from German into English




- Pick phrase in input, translate
  - it is allowed to pick words out of sequence reordering
  - phrases may have multiple words: many-to-many translation

• Task: translate this sentence from German into English



• Pick phrase in input, translate

• Task: translate this sentence from German into English



• Pick phrase in input, translate

#### **Computing Translation Probability**

• Probabilistic model for phrase-based translation:

$$\mathbf{e}_{\mathsf{best}} = \mathsf{argmax}_{\mathbf{e}} \ \prod_{i=1}^{I} \phi(\bar{f}_i | \bar{e}_i) \ d(start_i - end_{i-1} - 1) \ p_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{LM}}(\mathbf{e})$$

- Score is computed incrementally for each partial hypothesis
- Components

**Phrase translation** Picking phrase  $\bar{f}_i$  to be translated as a phrase  $\bar{e}_i$ 

 $\rightarrow$  look up score  $\phi(\bar{f}_i|\bar{e}_i)$  from phrase translation table


**Reordering** Previous phrase ended in  $end_{i-1}$ , current phrase starts at  $start_i$ 

 $\rightarrow$  compute  $d(start_i - end_{i-1} - 1)$ 

**Language model** For n-gram model, need to keep track of last n-1 words


ightarrow compute score  $p_{\text{LM}}(w_i|w_{i-(n-1)},...,w_{i-1})$  for added words  $w_i$ 

#### **Translation Options**




- Many translation options to choose from
  - in Europarl phrase table: 2727 matching phrase pairs for this sentence
  - by pruning to the top 20 per phrase, 202 translation options remain

#### **Translation Options**




- The machine translation decoder does not know the right answer
  - picking the right translation options
  - arranging them in the right order
- $\rightarrow$  Search problem solved by heuristic beam search


#### **Decoding: Precompute Translation Options**



consult phrase translation table for all input phrases


#### **Decoding: Start with Initial Hypothesis**






initial hypothesis: no input words covered, no output produced


#### **Decoding: Hypothesis Expansion**






pick any translation option, create new hypothesis


#### **Decoding: Hypothesis Expansion**






create hypotheses for all other translation options

#### **Decoding: Hypothesis Expansion**



also create hypotheses from created partial hypothesis


#### **Decoding: Find Best Path**



backtrack from highest scoring complete hypothesis

# Reading

 Read Chapter 5 and 6 from the textbook



#### Announcements

- HW2 will be released soon
- HW2 due Thursday Feb 19th at 11:59pm