

O. BACKGROUND

TriTryp Phylogenetic Tree

Phylogenetic trees of Trypanosomatids from these works [?, ?, ?, ?] are used for classification of genomes in this work. figure 1 shows a simplified classification of Trypanosoma and Leishmania genomes.




Figure 1: TriTryp genomes clustered into three classes of Leishmania(L), Trypanosoma1(T1), and Trypanosoma2(T2). other TriTryp genomes within this tree which does not fit into these categories are labeled as Others(o)

Gene Cluster

We define clusters as a group of two or more genes found within a genome located within a thousand base pairs of each other. For example, cluster "IVQRLTRKGW" is a sequence of genes shown with their one letter amino acid code which are located on same double stranded sequence of a genome and are within a 1000bp of each other. Also the orientation of a cluster is shown as a sequence of +s and -s which refer to the strand of each gene within the cluster, in order. for instance the orientation of cluster "IVQRLTRKGW" can be shown as " + - - - - + + - +".

2. Initiator tDNAs from Eukarya use A54 and A60. Some eukaryotic elongators also use either A54 or A60 but none (with only one exception) uses both
3. Initiator tDNA-iMet (CAT) from all domains display the GGG sequence (Mandal et al., 1996) or, very seldom, the AGG sequence at positions 29 to 31, pairing with the complementary CCC or CCT sequences at positions 39 to 41
4. Another domain-specific feature in all eukaryotic initiators is the systematic nonoccupancy of all optional positions of the D-loop (17, 17a, 20a, and 20b) whereas in elongators, only position 17a is always unoccupied.
5. At position 20, A is strictly conserved in all eukaryotic initiators

To investigate all these features first we used CAT genes from the gene set. We had 188 genes with anticodon CAT from which 4 genes were found by only ARA and the rest were found by both genefinders. Later, we aligned all the genes from the intersection of ARA and TSE along with 4 CAT genes found only by ARA. In the result gene set, we had 185 CAT genes left (3 of them which were found by ARA were removed during the alignment due to unusual structure. These genes were also low score ARA genes with ARA score of less than 102). Later we clustered these 185 CAT genes using Levenshtein (edit) distance between gene sequences and Ward.D2 method to measure the dissimilarity between each two clusters. We ended up with three clusters. Table 1 investigates each of these features in each column. From this table we see that only tRNA genes in cluster 1 have almost all the conserved features for eukaryotic initiators. So, we marked these genes as initiators represented with letter X in our gene file. The only CAT gene found by ARA was included in cluster 3. Further, to verify these 76 initiators, we compared them to the CAT genes marked as initiators (iMet) by TSE. There were 76 genes marked as initiator by TSE which matched with all the CAT genes we marked as initiator.

Table 1: Table of CAT clusters to show how many tRNA genes in each cluster satisfy each feature

Clusters	# tRNAs	11-24(C-G)	54-60(A-A)(T-T)	1-72(A-T)	29-31(GGG)	39-41(CCC/CCT)	# posInDloop	20A	distanceRange
Cluster1	76	76	76	76	76	76	7	75	
Cluster2	95	95	2	0	0	0	8	0	
Cluster3	14	2	13	0	0	0	1	0	

Detailed Gene Annotation

The following is a list of ambiguities in predicted genes by two gene finders which needs to be resolved in order to integrate and filter out the untrusted genes.

1. Genes found by Aragorn only
2. Genes found by tRNAScan-SE only
3. Genes marked as pseudo or truncated by TSE
4. Genes with unidentified identity by both gene finders
5. Genes with different identities between two gene finders

1.3 Pseudo Genes

There are 43 genes marked as pseudo or truncated by TSE. Table 2 shows the number of pseudo and truncated genes from our initial gene set. Among these, 4 genes found only by TSE with score of between 20-30 (genes which barely passed the score threshold (20) by TSE) were not assigned any identity or anticodon. From 39 remain genes, 15 genes were found by both gene finders and the rest (24 genes) were predicted by only TSE. In order to verify these 39 genes with assigned identity, we analyzed them among clusters. Table 3 shows the pseudo/truncated genes within clusters along with singletons. Pseudo genes are marked with lower letter characters. Table 4 shows the sets of clusters which are similar to the pseudo containing clusters with possible variations including deletion, duplicated, insertion and inversion. From these tables we can see that all the pseudo containing clusters except for cluster "Eye" are similar to other non-pseudo gene containing clusters, which means that these genes have potentially lost some functionalities due to structural variations in chunks of genomes including inversions and duplication. Also, 21 of these pseudo genes appeared as singletons from which 8 genes were found by both gene finders. Also, one of the the pseudo genes found by both gene finders has been assigned with two different anticodon/identity, I (by TSE) and D (by ARA).

Table 2: Genes labeled as pseudo, truncated or both by TSE

pseudo	truncated	truncated,pseudo
28	11	4

1.4 Other Unidentified Genes

There were **15 genes** from our initial gene set with unassigned identity both genefinders, 9 found by TSE (with score < 30), 4 found by ARA (with scores between 100-101) and 2 found by both (with ARA score of 116,112 and TSE score of 54,51). Three of the 9 genes found by TSE are marked as pseudo and one as truncated. TSE has not been able to assign any anticodon to these genes. However ARA assigned anticodon of length 2 or 4 to these genes. These genes seems to have unusual arm length including unpaired base and/or insertion in their arms. **(These 15 genes are excluded in annotation of genes in the fallowing sections which leaves the total number of 4366 genes: 3595 found by both TSE and ARA, 30 genes by TSE only and 741 genes by ARA only)**

(note! we can find the identity of these two genes using the profiles! and look at them within clusters for potential shifts or inversions).

Also, there were 4 genes with identities A,K,Z,E. 1 found by only TSE and 3 found by both genefinders which has 1- 3 letters of N. with TSE score of 60-11 and ARA score of 107-117.

1.5 Genes found by only one gene finder

1.5.1 ARA only genes

741 genes of our total initial gene set were found by only ARA. Table 5 shows the ARA-only genes within clusters along with ARA-only singletons. ARA -only genes are shown

Table 3: Table of pseudo-gene containing clusters along with singleton pseudo genes. Column genes foundby shows which gene finder predicted each gene within the cluster, in order. Column cluster foundby shows whether the whole cluster has been found by both gene finders or TSE only. Cluster i/d refers to genes found by both genefinders with different assigned identity. TSE identity of I and ARA identity of D.

cluster	frequency	genes foundby	cluster foundby
DSa	1	both-both-both	both
Eye	1	both-tse-both	tse
f	4	both,tse	both,tse
flQ	1	tse-both-both	tse
FIQa	1	both-both-both-tse	tse
FIQFIQafIQ	1	both-both-both-both-both-both-tse-tse-both-both	tse
i	1	both	both
i/d	2	both	both
IQl	1	both-both-both	both
k	3	both	both
lS	1	both-both	both
Nk	1	both-tse	tse
p	1	tse	tse
PTn	1	both-both-tse	tse
r	1	both	both
s	7	tse	tse
sK	1	both-both	both
sKHSk	1	both-both-both-both-tse	tse
t	1	tse	tse
YTyTTy	2	both-both-tse-both-both-tse	tse
z	1	both	both

Table 4: Sets of pseudo containing clusters with potential variations between clusters of each set including duplication, deletion, inversion. There are three genome classes T, L and O which refer to Trypanosoma, Leishmania and Other genomes, in order. Clusters with same set number are considered similar. Three last column shows the orientation of genes within clusters for each class of genomes.

clusters	set	Genomeclass	Tdirs	Ldirs	Odirs	clusters	set	Genomeclass	Tdirs	Ldirs	Odirs
Eye	0	T	++-			FIQa	5	T	—+		
Nk	1	T	-			flQ	5	T	—		
KN	1	L		+-		FIQFIQafIQ	5	T	—+—		
ENKRRA	1	O			—+++	QFIQ	5	T	—		
DSa	2	T	—++			IQl	6	T	—++		
ASD	2	LT	—+	—+		ILQ	6	T	—+		
AS	2	O			—+	ILQl	6	T	—++		
DSA	2	OLT	—++	—++	—++	ILQQI	6	T	—++		
ASDD	2	T	—++			IQ	6	T	—+		
DS	2	T	—+			IQL	6	T	—++		
DSV	2	T	—++			IQQL	6	T	—++		
YTyTTy	3	L		—++		IQQLI	6	T	—++		
YTTY	3	L		—++		QLI	6	LT	—+	++	
YTY	3	L		—+		QLIIII	6	O			—+++
YT	3	LT	—+	—		PTn	7	T	—++		
YTRD	3	OL		—	—	NPTYN	7	L		—++	
TY	3	OLT	—+	++	++	YTn	7	O			—
IS	4	T	—			NYTPN	7	OL		—++	—++
MEYSL	4	O			—++	TN	7	OT	++		++
LS	4	OLT	—	—	—	NT	7	T	—		
SL	4	OLT	—l++	++	++	NTP	7	T	—+		
LSM	4	T	—+			NY	7	T	—+		
LSMEMSMYV	4	T	—+++++			PTN	7	T	—++		
LSMEMYV	4	T	—+++++ —+—++			PTYN	7	T	—++		
MEMSL	4	T	—++ —++++			sK	8	T	—		
VYMEMSL	4	T	—+++++ —+—++			sKHSk	8	T	—		

written in lower case letters. Among these genes 20 are within clusters and the rest appeared as singletons. Column #similar shows number of times each of these ARA-only-gene containing cluster sequences has appeared as subsequence of other clusters made from non-ara-only genes. Last column shows the number of each ara-only gene within the clusters (or singletons) with score of > 106 . Based on these two columns, we can see that ara-only-genes which are complementing already seen clusters (clusters that have occurred many times shown in column similar), have higher scores than most of the ara-only singletons genes. However, the ara-only gene containing clusters which have not been seen in other clusters (their #similar is 0 or 1), have relatively low scores (lower than 107). This shows that using a cutoff score of 107 for ARA genes will keep 36 genes which are potentially most of the reliable ara-only genes figure 3a. Table 6 shows few sample of these similar clusters. (note: the sequences of these 20 genes are potentially same as already found genes by TSE and ARA, which were missed by TSE. this should be checked!)

1.5.2 TSE only genes

TSE predicted **30 genes** which were not predicted by ARA. 29 of these genes are very low scored TSE genes scored from 20 to 48. and one gene with score 58. Table 7 shows the frequency of these genes as three sets of pseudo, truncated, and truncated,pseudo. the last three sets has already been annotated. Table 8 shows a summary of TSE-only genes as clusters. Genes appeared as singletons have very low score, however genes appeared within the clusters have relatively higher scores. cluster VYIMLs which contains gene S found by only TSE has been seen before as exact same clusters with genes found by both genefinders. Also, cluster QLIiIII which contains gene i, has also appeared many times as form of QLI, ILQ and other similar clusters which can be validate the existence of this TSE-only gene. Figure 3b shows the TSE scores of genes found by TSE.




Figure 3: a) ARA score of genes found by both genefinders TSE and ARA, and genes found by only ARA. b) TSE score of genes found by both genefinders and genes found by only TSE

Table 5: Table of ara-only-gene containing clusters and singletons. column #similar shows number of times cluster sequence has appeared as subsequence of other clusters made from the non-ara-only genes from our initial gene set. Last column shows the number of occurrences of the ara-only gene within the clusters (or singletons) with score of > 106. this column will be used later for assigning a cutoff score for ara genes. * in the last columns shows an except. Cluster sl has been found as substring of 22 clusters, however its genes have scores lower than 107. the score for these two genes are 106,105 in order which is close to the threshold.

cluster	frequency	# similar	# genes with score > 106
dSA	1	37	1
fRA	1	4	1
rh	1	25	1
gT	1	29	1
lA	2	24	2
lI	1	26	1
sl	1	22	*
tt	1	14	1
aE	1	1	0
pppp	1	0	0
Ek	1	0	0
Za	1	0	0
Zr	1	0	0
#	2	-	0
a	43	-	2
c	22	-	1
d	13	-	0
e	31	-	1
f	19	-	1
g	109	-	2
h	48	-	3
i	41	-	1
k	6	-	1
l	38	-	3
m	4	-	0
n	17	-	0
o	2	-	0
p	24	-	0
q	13	-	0
r	69	-	1
s	130	-	7
t	28	-	2
v	41	-	0
w	5	-	1
y	12	-	0
z	4	-	0

Table 6: Sets of ara-only-gene containing clusters with potential variations between clusters of each set including duplication, deletion, inversion. There are three genome classes T, L and O which refer to Trypanosoma, Leishmania and Other genomes, in order. Clusters with same set number are considered similar. Three last column shows the orientation of genes within clusters for each class of genomes.

clusters	set	Genomeclass	Tdirs	Ldirs	Odirs	clusters	set	Genomeclass	Tdirs	Ldirs	Odirs
RRAE	1	O			---	pppp	2	T	---		
EARR	1	L		---		PP	2	O			++
Ek	1	L		-		rh	7	T	-		
dSA	4	T	---			EVRH	7	OL		---	---
DSA	4	OLT	---	---	---	FHVRH	7	L		---	
DSV	4	T	---			RHLP	7	T	++++		
ASD	4	LT	+	+		HRVE	7	L		---	
ASDD	4	T	---			sl	5	T	-		
DS	4	T	+			SL	5	OLT	++	++	++
fRA	1	T	---			SLS	5	T	+- +-		
FRA	1	T	---			SLMIV	2	L		---	
ARF	1	T	+			MEMSL	5	T	---+ ---+		
ARFARF	1	T	---			MEYSL	5	O			---
gT	2	T	+			VYMEMSL	5	T	-----+ -----+		
GT	2	OLT	+	++	++	LS	5	OLT	-	-	-
GTGP	2	L		+++ ++++		LSM	5	T	+-		
GTGPVK	2	L		++++		LSMEMSMYV	5	T	-----+		
GTP	2	L		++		LSMEMYV	5	T	-----+ -----+		
PGITG	2	L		---+		LSSS	5	O			---
TG	2	OT	+		-	LSMIVY	2	O			---+
TGP	2	OL		+	+	Za	13	L		-	
PTG	2	L		---		Zr	1	O			-

Table 7: TSE-only genes

others	pseudo	truncated	truncated,pseudo
6	17	5	2
28-58	20-38	28-47	22-25

Table 8: TSE-only genes

cluster	frequency	TSE score
g	2	28
i	1	33
k	1	24
QLiIII	1	58
VYIMLs	1	47

1.6 Deviations between two gene finders

Deviation between two gene finders for the predicted genes is defined in form of following question: Assuming two genes: A₁ (predicted by TSE with identity I₁) and B (predicted by ARA with identity I₂) which I₁ can be equal to I₂ or not. The coordinates of A and B overlaps, However, there is a small displacement at the 3' and/or 5' ends of these genes. We say that these two genes are one gene (lets call it gene A) with different predicted structure by two gene finders which had caused the displacement and potentially the identity disagreement. The question is which coordinate and which identity best describe gene A? We will approach this problem in two cases described in next two sections for TriTryp genes:

- Genes with unmatched Identity
- End displacement between two genefinders

1.6.1 Genes with unmatched Identity

From **3595 genes** found by both gene finders there are 35 genes with mismatched identity by TSE and ARA. Table 10 shows the frequency of clusters which contains each of these ambiguities. From this table we see that for clusters of length > 2, which contain an ambiguity, have been always appeared with this ambiguity across different genomes.

Table 9: 35 genes with unmatched identity/anticodon reported by ARA and TSE. first row shows the ambiguities with the format <ara identity | tse identity>

Ambiguity	(D I)	(L ?)	(L E)	(L M)	(N Y)	(O M)	(S R)	(W G)
Frequency	5	3	1	9	11	2	1	3

Table 10: Table of clusters and singletons which contain the Undetermined-identity genes. column #similar shows number of times cluster sequence has appeared as subsequence of other clusters made from the non-ara-only genes from our initial gene set.

TSE Cluster	TSE Cluster Freq	Ambiguity	ARA Cluster	ARA Cluster Freq	arascore	tsescore	#ARAsimilar	#TSEsimilar
e	1	(L E)	l	1	113	48	327	150
gV	2	(W G)	wV	2	113	54,66	0	0
i	5	(D I)	d	5	115,101	49,20*	103	161
LSMEMSMYV	1	(N Y)	LSMEMSMnV	1	108	72	0	0
LSMEMyV	5	(N Y)	LSMEMnV	5	108	72	0	0
m	2	(O M)	o	2	112	47	0	74
m	9	(L M)	l	9	112	50	327	74
rV	1	(S R)	sV	1	113	43	7	3
?V	3	(L ?)	lV	3	113	43	1	215
Vg	1	(W G)	Vw	1	113	54	0	2
Vy	1	(N Y)	Vn	1	101	60	0	6
VyMEMSL	3	(N Y)	VnMEMSL	3	108	72	0	0
yV	1	(N Y)	nV	1	108	72	0	1

continues...

1.6.2 End displacement between two genefinders

continues...

2 SUMMERY OF FINAL GENE SET ANNOTATION

We made the final gene set data by removing genes with TSE score of less than 50 and genes with ARA score of less than 107. The cutoff score is set based on the ARA and TSE score distribution shown in figure 3. This cutoff score as shown in section 1.5 will keep the most trusted genes found by only one of the gene finders (Genes appeared within common clusters across genomes which also complement their clusters to look like other clusters). It will only remove 43 of our genes from intersection set from which 13 are pseudo and/or truncated genes, 10 genes have different identities by two genefinders and 20 other genes removed are shown in table 12. In the remained gene set, there were 30 genes including: pseudo|truncated genes, genes with unmatched identity, genes with unassigned identity|anticodon by any of genefinders, and genes with letter N in their sequence (we had 4 of these genes). 20 of these genes are marked as genes with vague identity (shown as ??), and the other 10 genes are kept with their TSE identity. These 10 genes were identified as Y by TSE and N by ARA (Genes with ambiguity (y|n)). These genes were manually compare to the other identified genes with identity n and y found by both gene finders. In all cases these 10 genes were considerably more similar to the genes marked with identity Y than genes identified as N. Also, by looking at genes as clusters (a group of two or more genes found within a genome located within a thousand base pairs of each other) they were observed in clusters "LSMEM(y|n)V", "V(y|n)MEMSL", "LSMEMSM(y|n)V", and (y|n)V. Further we observed that there was no occurrence of genes NY or YN within any cluster, however there were 6 occurrences of YV or VY (one of them in cluster EMYV). Hence, we kept these genes as genes of identity Y. 9 of these genes were identified for organisms of clade T.cruzi which completed the 21 class of predicted tRNA genes for 8 T.cruzi genomes figure 4. Summary of these 3591 left genes are shown in table 11 as three sets. Also, Cluster size distribution of these genes for three categories of TryTryp genomes is visualized as figure 5.





Figure 4: Percentage of 21 predicted tRNA gene class for each genome. the missing classes are shown at the top of each bar. the numbers show the number of predicted genes for genomes. a) This figure shows the percentage of tRNA gene classes for each genome before adding 10 genes with unmatched identity between two genes finder (genes identified as Y by TSE and N by ARA) b) This figure shows the percentage of tRNA gene classes for each genome after adding these 10 genes.




Figure 5: Cluster size distribution for three categories of TryTryp genomes. Labels in green on top of each bar show the percentage of total number of genes as cluster of a specific length. Each color refers to one category of TriTryp genomes. Numbers within each color section of the bar shows the counts of clusters with a specific length.

2.1 Criteria for filtering out genes with vague identity for creating CIFs

For the purpose of creating CIFs, 20 genes marked with "??" were removed and genes with identity Z were excluded which left us with 3500 genes. Later, From GtRNAdb, we downloaded the last version of Homo sapiens High confidence tRNA sequences which include 433 genes with functional class distribution shown in table 13 and length size distribution shown in table 14. TriTryp genes and Human genes (excluding genes with identity Z) were aligned using the alignment pipeline described in section 3 to be used as input for creating CIFs. figure 4 shows the number of genes annotated for each genome, and the missing functional classes for each genome after the alignment.

Table 11: Summary of Gene Set. Last three columns are each for one gene set. Sets are defined as: a) Intersection Of two gene finders. Two genes are considered same gene if their coordinate overlaps at least one base. Displacement of overlapped genes between ARA and TSE does not pass 4bp. b) Union of two gene finders. c) Genes found by only ARA. Genes marked as # include: pseudo | truncated genes (6 genes), genes with different predicted identity by two genefinders(23 genes), genes with unassigned identity | anticodon by any of genefinders (2 genes), and genes with letter N in their sequence(we had 4 of these genes). we also had 1 genes preicted by only TSE labeled as # which is not shown in this table as a seperate column, however it is considered in the union set.

Sets	Intersection	ARAonly	Union
#tRNA	3579	36	3616
#N/#G	74	98	75
Min Gene Length	68	71	68
Max Gene Length	89	206	206
%intron	2	28	3
%G	32	33	32
%C	26	26	26
A	210	2	212
C	64	1	65
D	105	1	106
E	160	1	161
F	104	2	106
G	228	3	231
H	80	4	84
I	171	1	172
K	183	1	184
L	335	6	341
M	97	0	97
N	125	0	125
P	200	0	200
Q	161	0	161
R	348	2	350
S	228	7	235
T	218	4	222
V	236	0	236
W	52	1	53
X	76	0	76
Y	88	0	88
Z	76	0	76
#	34	0	35

Table 12: non-pseuso | truncated | unmatched-identity genes removed from the gene set after the applying score cutoff

Functional Class	?	A	C	E	G	H	I	L	M	Q	R	S	V	Y	Z
Frequency	3	1	1	1	1	1	3	2	2	1	1	1	6	1	5

Table 13

Functional Class	A	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	K	L	M	N	P	Q	R	S	T	V	W	X	Y	Z
Frequency	38	29	13	16	10	28	9	23	27	32	11	25	20	19	28	25	20	28	7	9	14	1

Table 14

Gene Length	70	71	72	73	74	75
Frequency	1	20	151	118	60	1

TRYTRYP CLASSIFICATION

Using phylogenetic trees of *Trypanosoma* from these works [?, ?, ?, ?], we grouped TryTryp genomes as table 15.

Table 15: Classification of TryTryp genomes. genomes not mentioned here are clustered as one genome.

Leishmaniasis	African Trypanosome (T1)	American Trypanosome (T2)	Leishmania1	Leishmania2	Leishmania3	Leishmania4	Leishmania5 (L.vianna)
1. LspMARLEMA494 2. LennietiniEM3945	1. ThracosigambiensisDA1972 2. Thracosilister427 3. Thracosilister427 4. TervansST1805 5. TervansST1805 6. TervansST1805	1. Tgraj1ANR4 2. TcrusiCLBrenerEsmeraldo-like 3. TcrusiCLBrenerNon-Esmeraldo-like 4. TcrusiCLBrenerNon-Esmeraldo-like 5. TcrusiCLBrenerNon-Esmeraldo-like 6. TcrusiCLBrenerNon-Esmeraldo-like 7. TcrusiCLBrenerNon-Esmeraldo-like 8. TcrusiCLBrenerNon-Esmeraldo-like 9. TcrusiCLBrenerNon-Esmeraldo-like 10. TcrusiCLBrenerNon-Esmeraldo-like 11. TcrusiCLBrenerNon-Esmeraldo-like	1. ClasciculataCCL 2. LseymouriATCC30220 3. LpyrrhocorisH10	1. Lamazonensis MHOMBR71973M2269 2. Lmexicana MHOMCT2003U1103	1. LmajorFriedlin 2. LmajorV3965 3. LmajorSD75 4. LurancicaLEM423 5. LurancicaLEM423 6. Ltropical590 7. Ltropical590 8. Ltropical590	1. LdonovaniBHU1220 2. LdonovaniBHU1220 3. LinfantumPCM5	1. Lbraziliensis MHOMBR75M2904 2. Lbraziliensis MHOMBR75M2903 3. Lpanamensis MHOMBR94PSC1 4. Lpanamensis MHOMCOL8L113

3. ALIGNMENT PIPELINE

...
...

4. CIF RESULTS

...
...

Table 16: nucleotide composition of 46 TriTryp genomes

organism	A%	T%	C%	G%	GC%	Seq#	Gene#
TbruceigambienseDAL972	26	26	24	24	47	11	64
TevansiSTIB805	27	27	23	23	47	13	67
CfasciculataCfCl	21	22	29	28	57	31	105
TbruceiLister427	26	26	22	23	45	32	67
LpanamensisMHOMPA94PSC1	21	21	28	28	56	35	74
LdonovaniBHU1220	19	20	29	29	57	36	84
LdonovaniBPK282A1	19	20	29	29	57	36	85
LinfantumJPCM5	20	20	30	30	60	36	84
LmajorFriedlin	20	20	30	30	60	36	84
LmajorSD75.1	20	20	30	30	59	36	82
TcruziCLBrenerEsmeraldo-like	20	20	20	20	40	41	57
TcruziCLBrenerNon-Esmeraldo-like	21	21	22	22	43	41	57
TcruziSylvioX10-1	24	23	25	25	50	47	69
LpyrrhocorisH10	21	22	28	28	56	60	104
TbruceiTREU927	27	27	23	23	45	131	73
LbraziliensisMHOMBR75M2904	21	21	29	29	58	139	83
LgerbilliLEM452	20	20	30	29	59	142	81
LaethiopicaL147	19	20	30	29	59	160	83
LtropicalL590	19	19	29	28	57	160	87
LarabicaLEM1108	20	20	29	29	58	168	85
LturanicaLEM423	19	20	30	29	59	219	86
LspMARLEM2494	20	20	30	29	59	251	80
TtheileriEdinburgh	26	26	17	17	35	253	159
LenriettiiLEM3045	20	20	29	29	59	495	82
BayalaiBo8-376	22	22	27	27	55	546	69
LmexicanaMHOMGT2001U1103	20	20	30	30	60	588	84
LbraziliensisMHOMBR75M2903	19	20	27	27	53	745	86
LmajorLV39c5	20	20	29	29	59	809	84
LpanamensisMHOMCOL81L13	21	21	29	28	57	856	88
TcruzicruziDm28c	24	24	26	26	52	1029	97
TcruziDm28c	25	25	26	25	50	1210	51
LseymouriATCC30220	22	22	28	28	55	1222	94
LtarentolaeParrotTarII	21	21	27	27	55	1351	79
EmonterogeiiLV88	23	23	26	26	52	1961	104
PconfusumCUL13	18	18	28	28	57	2188	61
LamazonensisMHOMBR71973M2269	20	20	30	30	59	2627	66
TcongolenseIL3000	21	21	20	20	40	2839	72
TgrayiANR4	23	23	27	27	54	2871	95
TrangeliSC58	24	23	27	26	53	7433	6
TvivaxY486	21	21	23	23	46	8290	82
TcruziJRcl4	24	23	26	24	50	15312	74
TcruziEsmeraldo	23	22	24	23	47	15803	74
TcruzimarinkelleiB7	22	22	23	23	45	16783	57
TcruziSylvioX10-1-2012	24	24	26	26	51	27019	72
TcruziCLBrener	23	23	27	27	53	29407	18
TcruziTulacl2	22	21	23	23	46	45711	121

Table 17: Orthologous tRNA gene clusters conserved within Trypanosoma clade. This table only shows clusters of length at least 3 with frequency of at least 2. Clusters with same group id are considered similar. Similarity of clusters is calculated based on Jaccard distance, and gene clusters are clustered into similar groups using Ward.D2 method.

Group ID	Cluster	Frequency
1	ARF , - - +	10
1	FRA , - + +	5
2	ASD , - - +	7
2	DSA , - + +	14
3	FEV , - - +	5
3	HEF , + + +	6
3	VEF , - + +	7
4	IQL , - + +	7
4	LQI , - - +	6
4	IQVK , - + + +	4
4	ILQQI , + - - + +	3
5	LXP , - - +	6
5	LXP , + - +	5
5	PXL , - + +	6
6	NTP , - - +	2
6	PTN , - + +	8
7	SLS , + - -	4
8	VLM , - - -	5
8	EMSV , - + - +	4
8	LSMEMYV , - + - - + - +	2
8	LSMEMYV , - + - + + - +	3
8	VYMEMSL , - + - - + - +	2
9	GLTR , + + - +	6
9	IQVKGLTRKR , - + + + + - - + + -	7
9	RKRTLGVQI , + - - + + - - - - +	3
10	NARK , + - + +	2
10	NARKR , + - + + +	11

Table 18: Orthologous tRNA gene clusters conserved within Leishmania clade. This table shows only clusters of length at least 3 with frequency of at least 2. Clusters with same group id are considered similar. Similarity of clusters is calculated based on Jaccard distance, and gene clusters are clustered into similar groups using Ward.D2 method.

Group ID	Cluster	Frequency
1	DSA , - + +	21
2	GAL , - - +	7
2	LAG , - + +	10
3	KGN , + - -	13
4	KVP , - - -	2
4	PVK , + + +	20
5	LXP , - - +	5
5	CLXP , + - - +	16
6	MRR , - + +	2
7	QLI , + - +	19
7	IVQRLTRKGW , + - - - - + + - +	18
8	RRA , - - +	19
8	EARR , - - + +	2
9	TGP , - - +	2
9	GTGP , + - - +	12
9	PGTTG , - + + - -	4
10	VHF , + + -	15
10	EVRH , - - + +	21
11	YTTT , - - - +	2
11	YTTY , - - + +	8
12	NPTYN , - - + + +	8
12	NYTPN , - - - + +	14
13	SLMIV , - + - - +	3
13	VIMLS , - + + - +	18

Table 19: Orthologous tRNA gene clusters conserved within both Leishmania and Trypanosoma clade. Clusters DSA,LXP,QLI and LXP are the only clusters conserved within both clades. However, this table shows groups of similar clusters within both clades. Note that this tables only shows clusters of length at least 3, however does not apply the frequency limits applied in table 1 and 2.

Group ID	Cluster	Frequency	Clade
1	ASD , - - +	8	L,T
1	DSA , - + +	35	L,T
2	HEF , + + +	6	T
2	VHF , + + -	15	L
3	IQL , - + +	7	T
3	LQI , - - +	6	T
3	QLI , + - +	19	L,T
3	ILQQI , + - - + +	3	T
4	LXP , - - +	11	L,T
4	LXP , + - +	5	L,T
4	PXL , - + +	6	T
4	CLXP , + - - +	16	L
5	NTP , - - +	2	T
5	PTN , - + +	8	T
5	NPTYN , - - + + +	8	L
5	NYTPN , - - - + +	14	L
6	VLM , - - -	5	T
6	SLMIV , - + - - +	3	L
6	VIMLS , - + + - +	18	L
7	IQVKGLTRKR , - + + + + - - + + -	7	T
7	IVQRLTRKGW , + - - - - + + - +	18	L
7	RKRTLGVQI , + - - + + - - - +	3	T

