# **JavaUnitTestRAG**

Patricio Escudeiro, Felipe Hiba, Jose Burgos

# Dataset

## Tipo de datos

```
"class": "...",
"tests": "...",
"description": "..."
```

### ¿De dónde salen?

https://github.com/DanAg278/Java-Unit-Testing

https://github.com/christian-kesler/junit-testing-java

https://github.com/rieckpil/java-testing-toolbox

https://github.com/fhiba/paw-medics

https://github.com/fhiba/gamer-grove

## Pre procesamiento

- Claude 3.7 Sonnet & o4-mini-high
- descripciones de las clases


public class Adder {...} -> description: "A simple class that adds two integers together and returns the result."

## Text splitting, embeddings and vector DB

- Chunk Size: 750
- Overlap: 150
- Para embeddings: 'sentence-transformers/all-MiniLM-L6-v2'
  - o de los endpoints de hugging face
- Utilizamos pinecone DB
  - debimos setear las dimensiones a 384 ya que el default 1024 no era compatible con nuestro
     RAG

# Arquitectura

# **Arquitectura**



JavaUnitTestRAG

### **Prompt**

You are an autonomous code generation agent. Your task is to write \*\*new\*\* unit tests using \*\*JUnit 5\*\* for a Java class provided. IMPORTANT RULES:

- 1. You MUST return only valid Java test code using `@Test` and assertion methods such as `assertEquals`, `assertTrue`, `assertThrows`.
- 2. You MUST write tests that are relevant to the user's request.
- 3. DO NOT include 'import' statements, comments, class headers, or method explanations.
- 4. NEVER repeat code from the original class or documentation.
- 5. You MUST generate at least one passing test and one failing test \*\*if applicable\*\*.
- 6. You may assume the test class is already defined and has access to the class under test.

RESPONSE FORMAT: Only raw Java methods

CONTEXT INFORMATION (retrieved from knowledge base):

{info}

**USER REQUEST:** 

{prompt}

Generate the test methods now.

#### **Desafíos**

- Bajo rendimiento de modelos locales
- Generación del dataset
- Dificultades con RAGAs


# Demo

# Resultados


#### Métricas

- Cantidad de Test Pasados
- Cantidad / Tipos de errores de test
- Calidad de los test


#### OrderProcessor RAG Projection




#### MatrixUtils RAG Projection



#### UserManager RAG Projection



#### CsvParser RAG Projection



#### **RAG** Assessment

Error de Exception equivocada

Error de Exception equivocada Error del assert del test Order Processor (6 funciones)

X Tests failed: 1, passed: 6 of 7 tests

Matrix Útil (2 funciones)

🗴 Tests failed: 2, passed: 3 of 5 tests

UserManager (3 funciones)

✓ Tests passed: 5 of 5 tests

#### **NO RAG Assessment**

2 Errores de Assert

1 Error de Assert

Repite Test

#### Order Processor NO RAG

X Tests failed: 2, passed: 3 of 5 tests

#### Matrix Útil NO RAG

X Tests failed: 1, passed: 5 of 6 tests

User Manager NO RAG

✓ Tests passed: 6 of 6 tests

#### **Errores Comunes**

- Error de Excepción en un assert
- Mal acceso de una variable
- Errores en las cuentas

#### **Conclusiones**

- Cuanto más alejado están los documentos de la query, peor es el test
- El código de los test con RAG es más prolijo que el de sin RAG
- Es importante tener un buen prompt para que el RAG se comporte de la manera esperada




Figure 1: Overall architecture of the proposed LightRAG framework.