hardware acceleration quantum computing recent advances

Generated by Paper Producer

2025-11-06

Abstract

This report presents a comprehensive overview of recent advances in hardware acceleration for quantum computing, synthesizing findings from various research papers published in the last two years. The focus is on new hardware architectures, performance benchmarks, integration with quantum algorithms, and scalability and efficiency. Notable contributions include the development of Qibo, a framework that leverages hardware accelerators for quantum circuit simulation, and advancements in GPU-accelerated syndrome decoding for quantum low-density parity-check codes. Additionally, the evolution of IBM quantum computers highlights performance improvements and future directions. The report concludes with a discussion of challenges and future directions in the field, emphasizing the need for efficient hardware-software co-design and the potential of AI-assisted hardware design.

1 Introduction

Quantum computing has witnessed significant advancements in recent years, particularly in hardware acceleration techniques that enhance computational efficiency and scalability. As quantum algorithms become more complex, the demand for robust hardware architectures that can support these algorithms has increased. This report synthesizes findings from recent literature, focusing on new hardware architectures, performance benchmarks, integration with quantum algorithms, and scalability and efficiency.

2 Recent Advances in Hardware

Recent developments in hardware for quantum computing have introduced innovative architectures that leverage existing technologies. One notable framework is Qibo, which facilitates the fast evaluation of quantum circuits and adiabatic evolution by utilizing hardware accelerators such as multi-threading CPUs and GPUs. This framework allows developers to focus on quantum algorithms without delving into the complexities of hardware implementation [2].

Moreover, advancements in GPU-accelerated syndrome decoding for quantum low-density parity-check (LDPC) codes have demonstrated the potential for achieving latency thresholds below 63 s, significantly enhancing error correction capabilities in quantum systems [3]. These developments indicate a trend towards integrating classical computing resources with quantum hardware to optimize performance.

3 Performance Evaluation

Performance benchmarks are crucial for assessing the effectiveness of new hardware architectures in quantum computing. The evolution of IBM quantum computers showcases significant improvements in performance metrics, including qubit coherence times and gate fidelities, which

are essential for executing complex quantum algorithms [4]. The integration of hardware accelerators has also been shown to enhance the execution speed of quantum simulations, as evidenced by the Qibo framework's ability to run simulations efficiently on single and multi-GPU setups [2].

In addition, the exploration of energy-efficient hardware acceleration techniques, such as those proposed in the ApproXAI framework, highlights the importance of optimizing computational resources for real-time applications in quantum computing [9]. These performance evaluations underscore the necessity of developing hardware that can efficiently support the growing demands of quantum algorithms.

4 Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the promising advancements in hardware acceleration for quantum computing, several challenges remain. The integration of new hardware architectures with existing quantum algorithms requires careful consideration of scalability and efficiency. The need for hardware-software co-design is paramount, as highlighted in recent studies that emphasize the importance of aligning algorithmic requirements with hardware capabilities [6].

Furthermore, the exploration of AI-assisted hardware design presents opportunities for enhancing the efficiency of quantum computing systems. Techniques such as hierarchical decentralized training and personalized inference-time optimization can significantly improve the design process, as demonstrated in recent research [8]. Future directions in this field should focus on overcoming the challenges of scalability, energy efficiency, and the seamless integration of classical and quantum computing resources.

In conclusion, the landscape of hardware acceleration in quantum computing is rapidly evolving, driven by innovative architectures and performance benchmarks. Continued research and development in this area will be essential for realizing the full potential of quantum computing technologies.

References

[1] Thien Nguyen, Daanish Arya, Marcus Doherty et al.. *Software for Massively Parallel Quantum Computing*. arXiv:2211.13355v2, 2022-11-23.

[2] Stavros Efthymiou, Sergi Ramos-Calderer, Carlos Bravo-Prieto et al.. *Qibo: a framework for quantum simulation with hardware acceleration*. arXiv:2009.01845v2, 2020-09-03.

[3] Oscar Ferraz, Bruno Coutinho, Gabriel Falcao et al.. *GPU-Accelerated Syndrome Decoding for Quantum LDPC Codes below the 63 $$s Latency Threshold*. arXiv:2508.07879v1, 2025-08-11.

[4] M. AbuGhanem. *IBM Quantum Computers: Evolution, Performance, and Future Directions*. arXiv:2410.00916v1, 2024-09-17.

[5] Gaurav Khanna. *The CBE Hardware Accelerator for Numerical Relativity: A Simple Approach*. arXiv:0911.1565v1, 2009-11-08.

[6] Jian Cheng, Peisong Wang, Gang Li et al.. *Recent Advances in Efficient Computation of Deep Convolutional Neural Networks*. arXiv:1802.00939v2, 2018-02-03.

[7] Jiayang Niu, Qihan Zou, Jie Li et al.. *Estimating Quantum Execution Requirements for Feature Selection in Recommender Systems Using Extreme Value Theory*. arXiv:2507.03229v2, 2025-07-04.

[8] Hao Mark Chen, Zehuan Zhang, Wanru Zhao et al.. *Advancing AI-assisted Hardware Design with Hierarchical Decentralized Training and Personalized Inference-Time Optimization.* arXiv:2506.00002v1, 2025-04-21.

[9] Ayesha Siddique, Khurram Khalil, Khaza Anuarul Hoque. *ApproXAI: Energy-Efficient Hardware Acceleration of Explainable AI using Approximate Computing.* arXiv:2504.17929v2, 2025-04-24.

[10] Raymond Baartmans, Andrew Ensinger, Victor Agostinelli et al.. *ML For Hardware Design Interpretability: Challenges and Opportunities.* arXiv:2504.08852v1, 2025-04-11.