

CYCLOTOMY AND THE CONVERSE OF FERMAT'S THEOREM

MORGAN WARD, California Institute of Technology

The following theorem of A. Hurwitz [1] was stated without proof in answer to a question raised by E. B. Escott [2] regarding a test for the primality of the Fermat numbers 2^k+1 (k a power of two) stated without proof by E. Lucas in his *Theorie des Nombres* [3].

HURWITZ'S THEOREM: Let $Q_n(x)$ denote the cyclotomic polynomial of order n and degree $\phi(n)$. Then n is a prime number if there exists an integer a such that

$$(1) \quad Q_{n-1}(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

This theorem is a simple consequence of the converse of Fermat's theorem. For let n be greater than one. Then the factorization of $x^{n-1}-1$ into its irreducible factors over the rational field is

$$(2) \quad x^{n-1} - 1 = \prod_{d|n-1} Q_d(x).$$

Here the product extends over all distinct divisors d of $n-1$.

The discriminant $\pm(n-1)^{n-1}$ of $x^{n-1}-1$ is prime to n . Consequently if d and d' are distinct divisors of $n-1$, the resultant of $Q_d(x)$ and $Q_{d'}(x)$ is always prime to n . Hence for any integer a and any proper divisor d of $n-1$, the three numbers $Q_{n-1}(a)$, $Q_d(a)$ and n are co-prime.

Now assume that the congruence (1) is satisfied. By what precedes, $Q_d(a)$ is prime to n for every proper divisor d of $n-1$. But by (2)

$$\begin{aligned} a^{n-1} - 1 &\equiv \prod_{k|n-1} Q_k(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}, \\ a^d - 1 &\equiv \prod_{k|d} Q_k(a) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}, \quad d|n-1; d < n-1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore n is a prime by the converse of Fermat's theorem. (For this converse see for example, O. Ore, *Number Theory*, Chapter XIV.)

For example, $Q_2(a) = a+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for $a=2$; $Q_6(a) = a^2-a+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ for $a=3$. Hence 3 and 7 are primes. But the most interesting application of the theorem is that made by Hurwitz himself to the case when $n=2^k+1$ so that $Q_{n-1}(x) = x^{(n-1)/2} + 1$; namely $n=2^k+1$ is a prime number if and only if there exists an integer a such that $a^{(n-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$. For $a=3$, this result is the test quoted by Lucas and used extensively by him and by other arithmeticians for investigating particular Fermat numbers.

References

1. A. Hurwitz, *Mathematische Werke*, vol. 2, page 747.
2. *Intermédiaire des Math.*, vol. II, 1896, pp. 80 and 214.
3. Lucas published proofs elsewhere, but the test is due to T. Pepin. For a history and references to other proofs see Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers*, vol. 1, Chap. XV.