

A CLASS OF SOLUBLE DIOPHANTINE EQUATIONS

BY MORGAN WARD

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE, PASADENA

Communicated by E. T. Bell, November 21, 1950

1°. Let R be a commutative ring with a unit element, $F(x)$ a homogeneous polynomial of degree n in t indeterminates x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t with coefficients in R . Let I denote the subring of the coefficients of $F(x)$ in R ; that is, the smallest ring containing all of them. We consider the existence of solutions of the diophantine equation

$$F(x) = z^m \quad (1)$$

in R or in I . Here z is an indeterminate and m is a given positive integer.

If y_1, y_2, \dots, y_t are t new indeterminates and if there exist $t + 1$ polynomials $Q(y); P_i(y)$, ($i = 1, \dots, t$), with coefficients in R (or in I) such that

$$F(P(y)) = Q(y)^m \quad (2)$$

identically in the y , (1) will be said to have a t -parameter family of solutions in R (or in I).

2°. THEOREM. *If m is prime to the degree n of $F(x)$, then the diophantine equation (1) always has a t -parameter family of solutions \mathfrak{M} both in R and in I .*

For assume that m is prime to n . If m is less than n , write r for m . Then integers k and l exist uniquely determined by n and r such that

$$kn + 1 = lr, \quad 0 < k < r, \quad 0 < l < n.$$

Define polynomials $P(y); Q(y)$ by

$$P_i(y) = y_i F(y)^k, \quad (i = 1, \dots, t); \quad Q(y) = F(y)^l.$$

Then the coefficients of the $P(y)$ and $Q(y)$ lie in I . Since $F(x)$ was assumed to be homogeneous of degree n , (2) holds identically in the y with m equal to r .

If m is greater than n , divide m by n and let the quotient be q and the remainder r . Then if m is prime to n ,

$$m = qn + r, \quad 0 < r < n, \quad r \text{ prime to } n.$$

With $k, l, P(y)$ and $Q(y)$ as before, let

$$y_i^* = y_i F(y)^k \quad (i = 1, \dots, t).$$

Then $F(y^*) = Q(y)^r$. Hence if

$$\begin{aligned} P_i^*(y) &= y_i^* Q(y)^q & (i = 1, \dots, t) \\ Q^*(y) &= Q(y), \end{aligned}$$

then $F(P^*(y)) = Q^*(y)^m$ identically in the y . Since the polynomials $P^*(y)$ and $Q^*(y)$ have their coefficients in I , the proof is complete.

3°. The most interesting case of this theorem is when I is the ring of ordinary integers. For example the diophantine equation

$$x^n + y^n = z^m$$

has a two parameter family of integral solutions for every m prime to n ; the diophantine equation

$$x^4 + y^4 + z^4 = z^m$$

has a three-parameter family of integral solutions for every odd m , and so on. Many other special cases occur in the literature.¹

4°. The family \mathfrak{M} of solutions of (1) in R consists of vectors $[\xi; \eta] = [\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_t; \eta]$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= P(\alpha), & \eta &= Q(\alpha) & m < n, \\ \xi &= P^*(\alpha), & \eta &= Q^*(\alpha) & m > n. \end{aligned}$$

Here α stands for t arbitrarily chosen elements $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$ of R or of I . If the α are such that $F(\alpha) = 0$, we obtain the trivial zero solution of (1) and this is evidently the only solution of the family \mathfrak{M} with $\eta = 0$ if R has zero radical. In any event the solutions of (1) in R with $z = 0$ are entirely independent of the choice of m .

5°. If R is a field, it is easy to show that every solution $[\kappa, \lambda]$ of (1) in R with $\lambda \neq 0$ is of the form

$$\kappa_i = \theta^a \xi_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, t); \quad \lambda = \theta^b \eta.$$

Here $[\xi; \eta]$ belongs to the family \mathfrak{M} , a and b are positive integers depending only on m and n , while θ is a field element depending only on λ . Thus in this case, \mathfrak{M} gives essentially all solutions of (1) with $z \neq 0$.

6°. The situation is quite different for the solutions \mathfrak{M} in I if I is a domain of integrity. \mathfrak{M} by no means exhausts the possible solutions of (1) in I ; in fact the components ξ, η of any \mathfrak{M} solution will usually have common factors in I . For example, if I is the ring of integers, the diophantine equation

$$x_1^2 x_2 + x_1 x_2^2 = z^m$$

has a two-parameter family of integral solutions $[\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta]$ for every odd prime m other than three. But the existence of a single integral solution with ξ_1, ξ_2 co-prime [other than the trivial solutions $(1, 0; 1)$, $(0, 1; 1)$] would disprove Fermat's last theorem.

¹ Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers*, Vol. 1.