

Assessing the Stress-Buffering Effects of Positive Events on Adolescents from Microblogs

Qi Li^a, Yuanyuan Xue^b, Liang Zhao^c, Ling Feng^{b,*}

^aFaculty of Psychology, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China.

^bDept. of Computer Science and Technology, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China.

^cInstitute of Social Psychology, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China.

Abstract

Studies have shown that the occurrence of positive events could conduct stress-buffering functions. Mastering the process and characteristics of stress-buffering is necessary to understand the mental health status of overwhelmed individuals. The assessment of stress-buffering in previous studies was mainly conducted through subjective self-reporting, and was influenced by many factors, such as social appreciation and pressure from measurement scenarios. However, there is a lack of research on the stress-buffering characteristics that individuals actually exhibit at the behavioral level. At the same time, previous studies has been based on static perspectives, focusing on single measurements of events and psychological state after events, while the dynamic process of stress-buffering was difficult to track due to the lack of effective scientific method. With the widespread use of social networks, users often exhibit natural self-discipline and rich behavioral characteristics. So, what is the potential link between the stress-buffering function of positive events and the user's microblogging behaviors? How to automatically observe the behavioral characteristics of stress-buffering through microblogs, and further capture the dynamic process of stress-buffering? This study provided two solutions to the above two problems. We first tested the potential relationship between positive events and individual's microblogging behaviors, in order to replace the subjective self-reported assessment method. Further, based on the microblog sequence, this paper studied the dynamic perspective of the stress-buffering process, rather than a static investigation. We conduct our study on the data set of 500 high school students. Our exploration provides guidance for school and parents that which kind of positive events could help relieve adolescent's stress in both stress prevention and stress early stopping situations.

Keywords: stress-buffering, positive events, adolescents, microblogs

1. Introduction

Life is always full of ups and downs. Accumulated stress comes from daily hassles, major stressful events and environmental stressors could drain inner resources, leading to psychological maladjustment, such as depression and suicidal behaviours (Nock et al., 2008). According to the newest report of American Psychological Association, 91 percent of youngest adults say they have experienced physical or emotional symptom due to stress in the past month compared to 74 percent of adults overall (APA, 2018). More than 30 million Chinese

teenagers are suffering from psychological stress, and nearly 30% of them have a risk of depression (Youth and Center, 2019). Stress-induced mental health problems are becoming an important social issue worldwide.

Studies have found that the occurrence of positive events could conduct exert obvious protective effects on emotional distress, that is, *stress-buffering* (Cohen et al., 1984; Folkman, 1997; Needles and Abramson, 1990; Folkman and Moskowitz, 2010; Shahar and Priel, 2002). As an essential process in human's stress coping system, stress-buffering helps individuals get out of overwhelmed status (Susan, 1984; Wheeler and Frank, 1988; Cohen and Hoberman, 2010). Thus, accurately assessing the state of stress-buffering is important for judging the mental health trends of overwhelmed individuals.

Assessing people's stress-buffering status was not a trivial task. Previous assessments of stress-buffering were mainly con-

*Dept. of Computer Science and Technology, Centre for Computational Mental Healthcare Research, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China.

Email addresses: liqi2018@bnu.edu.cn (Qi Li),

xue-yy12@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn (Yuanyuan Xue),

zhaoliang0415@xjtu.edu.cn (Liang Zhao),

fengling@tsinghua.edu.cn (Ling Feng)

ducted through subjective self-reporting (Kanner et al., 1981b; Alden et al., 2008; Mcmillen and Fisher, 1998; Jun-Sheng, 2008) which was influenced by many factors, such as social appreciation and pressure from measurement scenarios. However, there is a lack of research on the stress-buffering characteristics that individuals actually exhibit at the behavioral level. At the same time, previous studies have been based on static perspectives, focusing on single measurements of positive events and psychological state after events (Chang et al., 2015; Kleiman et al., 2014; Santos et al., 2013), while the dynamic process of stress-buffering was difficult to track due to the lack of effective scientific methods.

As the social media is becoming deeply woven into our daily life, an increasing number of natural self-disclosures are taking place, thus providing a new channel for timely, content-rich and non-invasive exploration of adolescents' mental health status. Previous studies have shown the feasibility and reliability to sense user's psychological stress and stressor events, and predict future development of stress through social network (Li et al., 2015; Xue et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2014; Li et al., 2017a). The current study aims to contribute to this growing area of interdisciplinary research by examining the potential relationship between positive events and adolescent's microblogging behaviors, and track the stress-buffering process in a dynamic perspective from microblogs.

2. Literature review

2.1. Theoretic stress-buffering function of positive life events.

Positive life events are conceptualized as exerting a protective effect on emotional distress in psychological literature (Cohen et al., 1984; Needles and Abramson, 1990). Many psychological researchers have focused on the restorative function of positive events with respect to physiological, psychological, and social coping resources. (Folkman and Moskowitz, 2010) identified three classes of coping mechanisms that are associated with positive emotion during chronic stress: positive reappraisal, problem-focused coping, and the creation of positive events. The author also considered the possible roles of positive emotions in the stress process, and incorporated positive emotion into a revision of stress and coping theory in the work (Folkman, 1997). They conducted a longitudinal study of the care giving partners of men with AIDS and described coping

processes that were associated with positive psychological states in the context of intense distress.

The protective effect of uplift events was hypothesized to operate in both directly (i.e., more positive uplift events people experienced, the less distress they experience) and indirectly ways by 'buffering' (Cohen and Hoberman, 2010). In the direct way, the more positive uplift events people experienced, the less distress they experience. While in the indirectly way, positive life events play its role by buffering the effects of negative events on distress. A pioneer experiment conducted by Reich and Zautra provided enlightening evidence for us (Shahar and Priel, 2002). In this experiment, sampled college students who reported initial negative events were encouraged to engage in either two or twelve pleasant activities during one-month, and compared with students in the controlled group experiencing no pleasant activities. Results indicated that participants in the two experimental groups reported greater quality of life compared with controlled students, and participants who engaged in twelve uplift events exhibited lower stress compared with whom engaging two or none uplifts, implicating the protective effect of uplift events on adolescents.

Positive events are verified as protective factors against loneliness, suicide, daily stressors, depression and helping improve health. (Chang et al., 2015) investigated the protective effect of positive events in a sample of 327 adults, and found that the positive association between loneliness and psychological maladjustment was found to be weaker for those who experienced a high number of positive life events, as opposed to those who experienced a low number of positive life events. This is assistant with the conclusion made by (Kleiman et al., 2014) that positive events act as protective factors against suicide individually and synergistically when they co-occur, by buffering the link between important individual differences risk variables and maladjustment. Through exploring naturally occurring daily stressors, (Ong et al., 2006) found that over time, the experience of positive emotions functions to assist high-resilient individuals to recover effectively from daily stress. In the survey made by (Santos et al., 2013), strategies of positive psychology are checked as potentially tools for the prophylaxis and treatment of depression, helping to reduce symptoms and for prevention of relapses. Through a three-week longitudinal study, (Bono et al., 2013) examined the correlation between employee stress and health and positive life events, and concluded that naturally occurring positive events are correlated with de-

creased stress and improved health.

Due to the immature inner status and lack of experience (Vitelli, 2014), young people exhibit more exposure to uplift events compared with adults, such as satisfying social interactions, excellent academic performance and pleasant entertainments. Researchers indicate that positive events mitigate the relation between negative events and maladjustment in samples of adolescents experiencing family transitions (Doyle et al., 2003). The written expression of positive feelings has also been shown to prompt increased cognitive re-organization among an undergraduate student group (Coolidge, 2009). Positive uplifts can not only help reinforce adolescents' sense of well-being, help restore the capacity for dealing with stress, but also have been linked to medical benefits, such as improving mood, serum cortisol levels, and lower levels of inflammation and hyper coagulability (Jain et al., 2010). Through examining the relationship between self-reported positive life events and blood pressure in 69 sixth graders, researchers found that increased perceptions of positive life events might act as a buffer to elevated blood pressure in adolescents (Caputo et al., 1998).

To assess the impact of uplift events, Doyle et al. Kanner et al. (1981b) conducted *Hassles and Uplifts Scales*, and concluded that the assessment of daily hassles and uplifts might be a better approach to the prediction of adaptational outcomes than the usual life events approach. Silva et al. Silva et al. (2008) presented the *Hassles & Uplifts Scale* to assess the reaction to minor every-day events in order to detect subtle mood swings and predict psychological symptoms. To measure negative interpretations of positive social events, Alden et al. Alden et al. (2008) proposed the interpretation of positive events scale (*IPES*), and analyzed the relationship between social interaction anxiety and the tendency to interpret positive social events in a threat-maintaining manner. Mcmillen et al. Mcmillen and Fisher (1998) proposed the *Perceived Benefit Scales* as the new measures of self-reported positive life changes after traumatic stressors, including lifestyle changes, material gain, increases in self-efficacy, family closeness, community closeness, faith in people, compassion, and spirituality. Specific for college students, Jun-Sheng et al. Jun-Sheng (2008) investigated in 282 college students using the *Adolescent Self-Rating Life Events Checklist*, and found that the training of positive coping style is of great benefit to improve the mental health of students. Previous exploration for the protective effect of uplift events on adolescents are mostly conducted in psychological area, relying on traditional manpower-driven

investigation and questionnaire. In view of the above mentioned literature, this article will be based on the following hypothesis:

H. Positive events could conduct stress-buffering impact on overwhelmed adolescents.

2.2. User psychological stress analysis based on social network

With the high development of social networks, researchers explored applying psychological theories into social network based stress mining, offering effective tools for adolescent stress sensing. As billions of adolescents record their life, share multimedia content, and communicate with friends through such platforms, e.g., Tencent Microblog, Twitter, Facebook and so on, researchers tend to digging psychological status from the self-expressed public data source. Xue et al. Xue et al. (2014) proposed to detect adolescent stress from single microblog utilizing machine learning methods by extracting stressful topic words, abnormal posting time, and interactions with friends. Lin et al. Lin et al. (2014) construct a deep neural network to combine the high-dimensional picture semantic information into stress detecting. Based on the stress detecting result, Li et al. Li et al. (2015) adopted a series of multi-variant time series prediction techniques (i.e., Candlestick Charts, fuzzy Candlestick line and SVARIMA model) to predict the future stress trend and wave. Taking the linguistic information into consideration, Li et al. Li et al. (2017c) employed a NARX neural network to predict a teen's future stress level referred to the impact of co-experiencing stressor events of similar companions. To find the source of teens' stress, previous work Li et al. (2017a) developed a frame work to extract stressor events from microblogging content and filter out stressful intervals based on teens' stressful posting rate. Given the scholars, we propose to depict the stress-buffering measures in three aspects, and tested the relationships as:

H1. The stress-buffering function of positive events is correlated with a) posting behavior, b) stress intensity and c) microblog linguistic expressions.

Since previous scholars were conducted in a self-report method, to utilize the abundant self-exposures in adolescents' microblogs, the present study propose to work in a non-invasion way. Therefore, two research questions are proposed:

RQ1. How to (a) automatically sense the positive events experienced by adolescents in a timely manner, and (b) identify the time interval impacted by a particular positive event.

To examine the the dynamic process of stress-buffering the present study propose to assess the mitigation effect of positive events in different phases, and assume that:

H2. positive events cause monotonous stress changes in two cases: a) slowing down the increase in stress at the beginning of stressful events, and b) promoting the reduction of stress after stressful events.

Given this, the research question to be solved is:

RQ2. How to (a) quantify the impact of positive events on different types of stress, and (b) identify the temporal order between positive events and monotonous stress changes from microblogs.

In addition, if the stress-buffering effect of positive events could be automatically assessed, it helps to predict the future stress changes more accurately. This will benefit schools and parents in arranging positive events at appropriate times to ease and intervene the psychological stress of students. Thus we push forward the research from how to find stress to the next stage: how to deal with stress. From this perspective, a exploration is conducted at the end of the study:

RQ3. how to predict adolescents' future stress under the mitigation effect of positive events from microblogs? Specifically, (a) which stress-buffering pattern contributes the most in stress prediction, and (b) influence of different window lengths on stress prediction accuracy will be taken into consideration.

3. Current study

Given the limitations in the existing literature, this study proposes a complete solution to verify the stress-buffering effect of positive events on overwhelmed adolescents from social network. In study 1, a case study is firstly conducted on the microblog dataset of 124 high school students associated with the school's scheduled positive and stressor event list. After observing the posting behaviours and contents of stressful teens under the influence of positive events, several hypothesis are conducted to guide the next step research. In study 2, we present the procedure to automatically extract positive events and the corresponding impacted interval from microblogs. A

Chinese linguistic parser model is applied to extract structural positive events from microblogging content, based on a six-dimensional positive event scale and LIWC lexicons. In study 3, to quantify the restoring impact of uplift events, we describe a teen's stressful behaviours in three groups of measures (stress intensity, posting behaviour, linguistic), and model the impact of positive events as the statistical difference in comparative situations. Last but not least, In study 4, the present study explores how to predict future stress changes integrating the buffering impact of positive events. Our exploration provides guidance for school and parents that which kind of positive events could help relieve adolescent' stress in both stress prevention and stress early stopping situations.

4. Pilot study: Observation on the stress-buffering function of school scheduled positive events

4.1. Participants


We built our dataset based on two sources: 1) the microblogs of students coming from Taicang High School, collected from January 1st, 2012 to February 1st, 2015; and 2) list of scheduled school events, with exact start and end time. We filtered out 124 active students according to their posting frequency from over 500 students, and collected their microblogs throughout the whole high school career. Totally 29,232 microblogs are collected in this research, where 236 microblogs per student on average, 1,387 microblogs maximally and 104 posts minimally.

4.2. Measures

School-scheduled positive events. The list of weekly scheduled school events (from February 1st, 2012 to August 1st 2017) are collected from the school's official website¹, with detailed event description and grade involved in the event. There are 122 stressor events and 75 uplift events in total. Here we give the examples of scheduled uplift and stressor events in high school life, as shown in Table 1. Comparing the stress curves *a*), *b*) with *c*), when an uplift event (*campus art festival, holiday* here) happens, the overall stress intensity during the stressful period is reduced. An uplift event might happen before a teen's stress caused by scheduled stressor events (*example a*), conducting lasting easing impact; Meanwhile, an uplift event might also

¹<http://stg.tcedu.com.cn/col/col82722/index.html>

Figure 1: Examples of school related stressor events, uplift events and a student’s stress fluctuation



happen during (example b) or at the end of the stressful period, which might promote the teen out of current stressful status more quickly. There are 2-3 stressor events and 1-2 positive event scheduled per month in current study.

Table 1: Examples of school scheduled positive and stressor events.

| Type | Date | Content | Grade |
|----------------|-----------|----------------------------|------------|
| stressor event | 2014/4/16 | first day of mid-term exam | grade1,2 |
| uplift event | 2014/11/5 | campus art festival | grade1,2,3 |

Stress detected from microblogs. Since our target is to observe the restoring impact of positive events for teenagers under stress, based on previous research [Xue et al. \(2013\)](#), we detected the stress level (ranging from 0 to 5) for each post; and for each student, we aggregated the stress during each day by calculating the average stress of all posts. To protect the privacy, all usernames are anonymized during the experiment. The positive level (0-5) of each post is identified based on the frequency of positive words (see Section 5 for details). Figure 1 shows three examples of a student’s stress fluctuation during three mid-term exams, where the positive event *campus art festival* was scheduled ahead of the first exam, the positive event *holiday* happened after the second exam, and no scheduled positive event was found nearby the third exam. The current student exhibited differently in above three situations, with the stress lasting for different length and with different intensity.

4.3. Method

To further observe the influence of positive events for students facing stressor events, we statistic all the stressful intervals [Li et al. \(2017a\)](#) detected surround the scheduled exam-

inations over the 124 students during their high school career. For each student, we divide all the stressful intervals into two sets: 1) In the original sets, stress is caused by a stressor event, lasting for a period, and no other intervention (namely, uplift event) occurs. We call the set of such stressful intervals as **SI**; 2) In the other comparative sets, the teen’s stressful interval is impacted by a positive event x , we call the set of such stressful intervals as **U-SI**. Thus the difference under the two situations could be seen as the restoring impact conducted by the positive event of type x . Based on the scheduled time of stressor and positive events, we identified 518 scheduled academic related stressful intervals (SI) and 259 academic stressful intervals impacted by four typical scheduled positive events (U-SI) (in Table 5) from the students’ microblogs. Further observations are conducted on the two sets to verify the impact of positive events from multi perspectives.

4.4. Results

Figure A.5 shows five measures of each teen during the above two conditions: the *accumulated stress*, the *average stress* (per day), the *length of stressful intervals*, the *frequency of academic topic words*, and the *ratio of academic stress among all types of stress*. For each measure, we calculate the average value over all eligible slides for each student. Comparing each measure in scheduled exam slides under the two situations: 1) existing neighbouring positive events or 2) no neighbouring scheduled positive events, we find that students during exams with neighbouring positive events exhibit less average stress intensity (both on accumulated stress and average stress), and the length of stress slides are relatively shorter.

Further, we statistic the frequency of academic related topic words for each exam slide (as listed in Table 2), and look into the ratio of academic stress among all five types of stress. Results in Figure A.5 shows that most students talked less about the upcoming or just-finished exams when positive events happened nearby, with lower frequency and lower ratio.

Table 2: Examples of academic topic words from microblogs.

| |
|--|
| exam, fail, review, score, test paper, rank, pass, math, chemistry homework, regress, fall behind, tension, stressed out, physics, nervous, mistake, question, puzzle, difficult, lesson, careless |
|--|

The statistic result shows clues about the stress-buffering function of scheduled positive events, which are constant with

the psychological theory (Cohen et al., 1984; Cohen and Hoberman, 2010; Needles and Abramson, 1990), indicating the reliability and feasibility of the microblog data set. However, this is an observation based on specific scheduled events, and cannot satisfy our need for automatic, timely, and continuous perception of stress-buffering. Therefore, in study 1, we will propose a framework to automatically detect positive events and its impact interval. Based on this, in study 2, we will examine whether the stress-buffering function of the automatically extracted positive events is related to the microblogging measures (posting behavior, stress intensity, linguistic expressions), and explore its function mode.

5. Study1: Identify Positive Events and the Corresponding Impact Interval from microblogs

In this section, we first introduce the procedure to extract uplift events and stressful intervals from teens' microblogs. The uplift events are extracted from microblogs applying LTP natural language processing segmentation and parser models (Zhang et al. (2008)). Stressful intervals are identified using probability based statistical method according to the teen's stressful posting frequency. We judge whether each stressful interval is correlated with neighboring uplift events, thus to classify all stressful intervals into two sets: SI and U-SI.

5.1. Uplift Events

Linguistic structure. Let $u = [type, \{role, act, descriptions\}]$ be an uplift event, where the element *role* is the subject who performs the *act*, and *descriptions* are the key words related to u . According to psychological scales (Kanner et al. (1981a); Jun-Sheng (2008)), teens' uplift stressors mainly focus on six aspects, as $\mathbb{U} = \{ 'entertainment', 'school life', 'family life', 'peer relation', 'self-cognition', 'romantic' \}$, $\forall u, u.type \in \mathbb{U}$. Similar to uplift event, let $e = [type, \{role, act, descriptions\}]$ be a stressor event. According to psychological questionnaires (Jiang (2000); Baoyong and Ying (2002); Kanner et al. (1981b); Yan et al. (2010)), we classify stressor events into five types, as $\mathbb{S} = \{ 'school life', 'family life', 'peer relation', 'self-cognition', 'romantic' \}$, $\forall e, e.type \in \mathbb{S}$.

Lexicon. We construct our lexicon for six-dimensional uplift events from two sources. The basic positive affect words are selected from the psychological lexicon SC-LIWC (e.g., *expectation*, *joy*, *love* and *surprise*) (Tausczik and Pennebaker, 2003). Then we build six uplift event related lexicons by expanding the basic positive words from the data set of teens' microblogs, and divide all candidate words into six dimensions corresponding to six types of uplift events, containing 452 phrases in *entertainment*, 184 phrases in *family life*, 91 phrases in *friends*, 138 phrases in *romantic*, 299 phrases in *self-recognition* and 273 phrases in *school life*, with totally 2,606 words, as shown in Table 3. Additionally, we label *role* words (i.e., *teacher*, *mother*, *I*, *we*) in the uplift lexicon.

Parser relationship. For each post, after word segmentation, we parse current sentence to find its linguistic structure, and then match the main linguistic components with uplift event related lexicons in each dimension. The parser model in Chinese natural language processing platform (Che et al. (2010); Zhang et al. (2008)) is adopted in this part, which identifies the central verb of current sentence first, namely the *act*, and constructs the relationship between the central verb and corresponding *role* and *objects* components. By searching these main elements in uplift event related lexicons, we identify the existence and type of any uplift event. Due to the sparsity of posts, the *act* might be empty. The *descriptions* are collected by searching all nouns, adjectives and adverbs in current post. In such way, we extract structured uplift events from teens' microblogs.

5.2. Impact Interval of Current Positive Event

Basically, in this part, we identify stressful intervals from time line thus to support further quantifying the influence of an uplift event. Splitting interval is a common time series problem, and various solutions could be referred. Here we identify the teen's stressful intervals in three steps.

In the first step, we extract uplift events, stressor events and filter out candidate intervals after a smoothing process. Since a teen's stress series detected from microblogs are discrete points, the loess method (Cleveland and Devlin (1988)) is adopted to highlight characteristics of the stress curve. The settings of parameter *span* will be discussed in the experiment section, which represents the percentage of the selected data points in the whole data set and determines the degree of smoothing. The details are present as Algorithm Appendix B of the appendix.

In the second step, applying the Poisson based statistical method proposed in (Li et al. (2017a)), we judge whether each candidate interval is a confidential stressful interval. The details are present as Algorithm Appendix C of the appendix.

Table 3: Examples of topic words for uplift events.

| Dimension | Example words | Total |
|-----------------------|---|-------|
| <i>entertainment</i> | hike, travel, celebrate, dance, swimming, ticket, shopping, air ticket, theatre, party, Karaoke, self-driving tour, game, idol, concert, movie, show, opera, baseball, running, fitness, exercise | 452 |
| <i>school life</i> | reward, come on, progress, scholarship, admission, winner, diligent, first place, superior hardworking, full mark, praise, goal, courage, progress, advance, honor, collective honor | 273 |
| <i>romantic</i> | beloved, favor, guard, anniversary, concern, tender, deep feeling, care, true love, promise, cherish, kiss, embrace, dating, reluctant, honey, sweetheart, swear, love, everlasting, goddess | 138 |
| <i>peer relation</i> | listener, company, pour out, make friends with, friendship, intimate, partner, team-mate, brotherhood | 91 |
| <i>self-cognition</i> | realize, achieve, applause, fight, exceed, faith, confidence, belief, positive, active, purposeful | 299 |
| <i>family life</i> | harmony, filial, reunite, expecting, responsible, longevity, affable, amiability, family, duty | 184 |

Finally, we divide the stressful intervals into two sets: the SI set and the U-SI set, according to its temporal order with neighboring uplift events. The details are present as Algorithm Appendix D of the appendix.

5.3. Results

The examples of teens’ microblogs describing uplift events are listed in Table 4. For the post ‘Expecting Tomorrow’ Adult Ceremony[Smile][Smile], we translate it into *act* = ‘expecting’, *object* = ‘Adult Ceremony’, and *type* = ‘self-cognition’.

To check the performance of uplift event extraction and the validation of our assumption, we first identify uplift events and corresponding restoring performance from microblogs, and compare the results with scheduled positive events collected from the school’s official web site.

Table 4: Structured extraction of positive events from microblogs.

| |
|---|
| I am really looking forward to the spring outing on Sunday now. (Doer:I, Act:looking forward, Object:spring outing) |
| My holiday is finally coming [smile]. (Doer:My holiday, Act:coming, Object:[smile]) |
| First place in my lovely math exam!!! In memory of it. Object:first place, math, exam, memory) |
| You are always here for me like sunshine. (Doer:You, Object:sunshine) |
| Thanks all my dear friends taking the party for me. Happiest birthday!!! (Doer:friends, Act:thanks, Object:party, birthday) |
| I know my mom is the one who support me forever, no matter when and where. (Doer:mom, Act:support) |
| Expecting Tomorrow’ Adult Ceremony[Smile][Smile] (act: expecting, object:Adult Ceremony) |

6. Study2: Quantify the impact of uplift events

To quantify the restoring impact of uplift event, in this section, we propose to model the impact as the teen’s behavioral differences in two cases: 1) stressful intervals unaffected by uplift events (SI), and 2) stressful intervals impacted by uplift events (U-SI). Multiple stress and positive emotion related measures are proposed to describe the correlation between SI and U-SI, and we quantify such differences as correlations using a two-sample based statistical method.

6.1. Restoring Patterns and Behavioral Measures

To extract the restoring patterns *A* for each type of uplift events, we describe a teen’s positive and stressful behavioral

measures in SI and U-SI sets from three aspects: posting behavior, stress intensity, and linguistic expressions.

Posting behavior. Stress could lead to a teen’s abnormal posting behaviors, reflecting the teen’s changes in social engagement activity. For each stressful interval, we consider four measures of posting behaviors in each time unit (day) and present each measure as a corresponding series. The first measure is *posting frequency*, representing the total number of posts per day. Research in Li et al. (2017a) indicates that overwhelmed teens usually tend to post more to express their stress for releasing and seeking comfort from friends. Further, the second measure *stressful posting frequency* per day is based on previous stress detection result and highlights the stressful posts among all posts. Similarly, the third measure is the *positive posting frequency*, indicating the number of positive posts per day. The fourth measure *original frequency* is the number of original posts, which filters out re-tweet and shared posts. Compared to forwarded posts, original posts indicate higher probability that teens are talking about themselves. Thus for each day in current interval, the teen’s posting behavior is represented as a four-dimension vector.

Stress intensity. We describe the global stress intensity during a stressful interval through four measures: *sequential stress level*, *length*, *RMS*, and *peak*. Basically, *stress level* per day constructs a sequential measure during a stressful interval, recording stress values and fluctuation on each time point. The *length* measures the lasting time of current stressful interval. As uplift events might conduct impact on overwhelmed teens, and postpone the beginning or promote the end of the stressful interval, we take the *length* as a factor representing the interval stress intensity. To quantify the intensity of fluctuations for stress values, we adopt the *RMS* (root mean square) of stress values through the interval as the third measure. In addition, the *peak* stress value is also a measure to show the maximal stress value in current interval.

Linguistic expressions. We extract the teen’s positive and stressful expressions from the content of posts in SI and U-SI sets, respectively. The first linguistic measure is the frequency of *positive word*, which represents the positive emotion in current interval. The second measure is the frequency of *uplift event topic words* in six dimensions, reflecting the existence of uplift events. Another important factor is whether existing *self-mentioned words* (i.e., ‘I’, ‘we’, ‘my’). Self-mentioned words show high probability that the current stressor event and stress-

ful emotion is related to the author, rather than the opinion about a public event or life events about others.

Except uplift-related linguistic descriptions, we also take stressful linguistic characters as measures, which is opposite with positive measures, while also offers information from the complementary perspective. The first stressful linguistic measure is the frequency of *stressor event topic words* in five dimensions, which represents how many times the teen mentioned a stressor event, indicating the degree of attention for each type of stressor event. The frequency of *pressure words* is the second stressful linguistic measure, reflecting the degree of general stress emotion during the interval. We adopt this measure specifically because in some cases teens post very short tweets with only stressful emotional words, where topic-related words are omitted.

Next, based on the posting behavior, stress intensity and linguistic measures from both the stressful and positive views, we quantify the difference between SI and U-SI sets, thus to measure the impact of uplift events.

6.2. Quantify the Correlation

In our problem, there are two sets of stressful intervals to compare: the SI set and the U-SI set, containing stressful intervals unaffected by uplift events and stressful intervals impacted by uplift events, respectively. The basic elements in each set are stressful intervals, i.e., the sequential stress values in time line, which are modeled as multi-dimensional points according to the three groups of measures in section 6.1. Thus we formulate this comparison problem as finding the correlation between the two sets of multi-dimension points. Specifically, we adopt the multivariate two-sample hypothesis testing method Li et al. (2017b); Johnson and Wichern (2012) to model such correlation. In this two-sample hypothesis test problem, the basic idea is judging whether the multi-dimension points (i.e., stressful intervals) in set SI and set U-SI are under different statistical distribution. Assuming the data points in SI and U-SI are randomly sampled from distribution $F^{(1)}$ and $F^{(2)}$, respectively, then the hypothesis is denoted as:

$$H_0 : F^{(1)} = F^{(2)} \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1 : F^{(1)} \neq F^{(2)}. \quad (1)$$

Under such hypothesis, H_0 indicates points in SI and U-SI are under similar distribution, while H_1 means points in SI and U-SI are under statistically different distributions, namely

Table 5: Quantify the impact of scheduled uplift school events using KTS and baseline method.

| | Practical activity | Holiday | New year party | Sports meeting | All |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Size of U-SI | 219 | 339 | 235 | 226 | 1,019 |
| Pearson | 54.52% | 78.39% | 63.39% | 58.74% | 69.52% |
| KTS ¹ | 55.65% | 70.97% | 56.45% | 54.84% | 65.32% |

¹ KTS denotes the knn-based two sample method adopted in this research.

uplift events have conducted obvious restoring impact on current stressed teen. Next, we handle this two-sample hypothesis test problem based on both positive and stressful behavioral measures (i.e., *posting behavior*, *stress intensity* and *linguistic expressions*), thus to quantify the restoring patterns of uplift events from multi perspectives.

As a classic statistical topic, various algorithms have been proposed to solve the two-sample hypothesis testing problem. Since each point in the two sets (SI and U-SI) is depicted in multi-dimensions, here we take the KNN (k nearest neighbors) Schilling (1986) based method to judge the existence of significant difference between SI and U-SI. For simplify, we use the symbol A_1 to represent set SI, and A_2 represent set U-SI, namely A_1 and A_2 are two sets composed of stressful intervals. In the KNN algorithm, for each point ℓ_x in the two sets A_1 and A_2 , we expect its nearest neighbors (*the most similar points*) belonging to the same set of ℓ_x , which indicates the difference between the points in the two cases. The model derivation process is described in detail in the Appendix D.1 part of the appendix.

6.3. Temporal Order

To measure the temporal order of stress changes in the two sets of intervals (SI and U-SI), we further compare each interval with the front and rear adjacent intervals, respectively. Here we adopt the t-test method as the intensity computation function, to observe whether the occurrence of uplift events relieve the monotonic negative effect and the monotonic positive effect. Details are presents in part Appendix E of the appendix.

The overall pipeline for identifying the restoring impact of uplift events is presented in algorithm Appendix F.


6.4. Results

Restoring Impact of scheduled uplift events. Basically, we focused on four kinds of scheduled positive events: *practical activity*, *holiday*, *new year party* and *sports meeting*. For each of the four scheduled positive events, we quantify the restoring impact and temporal order based on corresponding SI and U-SI interval sets of the 124 students. Table 5 shows the experimental results, where 54.52%, 78.39%, 63.39%, 58.74% significant restoring impact are detected for the four specific scheduled positive events, respectively, with the total accuracy to 69.52%.

Baseline methods. We adopt the commonly used Pearson correlation algorithms to compare with the two sample statistical method in this study. As a widely adopted measure of the linear correlation between two variables, the Pearson correlation method computes a value in the range $(-1, 1)$, where 1 denotes total positive linear correlation, 0 denotes no linear correlation, and -1 is total negative linear correlation. In our two sample statistical procedure, to calculate the distance between two n dimension points X and Y , we adopt the Euclidean metric.

For comparison, our knn-based two sample method (denoted as KTS) outperforms the baseline method with the best improvement in *new year party* to 10.94%, and total improvement to 6%. The correlation of uplift events for *linguistic expression*, *stress intensity* and *post behaviors* towards five types of stressor events are shown in Figure 2, among which the uplift events conduct most intensive restoring impact in 'school life' and 'peer relationship' dimensions.

Figure 2: Correlation towards each types of stressor events



Monotonous stress changes caused by uplift events. Furthermore, to verify the monotonous stress changes when an uplift

Table 6: Monotonous stress intensity changes in U-SI and SI intervals compared with adjacent intervals.

| | School life | | Romantic | | Peer relationship | | Self-cognition | | Family life | | All types | |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|----------|--------|-------------------|--------|----------------|--------|-------------|--------|-----------|--------|
| | U-SI | SI | U-SI | SI | U-SI | SI | U-SI | SI | U-SI | SI | U-SI | SI |
| # Interval | 365 | 514 | 536 | 587 | 128 | 391 | 564 | 609 | 321 | 481 | 1,914 | 2,582 |
| Front \rightarrow I | 0.7260 | 0.7879 | 0.6903 | 0.7751 | 0.7422 | 0.8159 | 0.7004 | 0.7767 | 0.6791 | 0.7796 | 0.7017 | 0.7851 |
| I \rightarrow rear | 0.7589 | 0.7840 | 0.7463 | 0.7905 | 0.7813 | 0.8261 | 0.7500 | 0.7915 | 0.7414 | 0.7942 | 0.7513 | 0.7955 |

event impacts a stressful interval, we collected 1,914 stressful intervals in U-SI, and 2,582 stressful intervals impacted by uplift events in SI. For each stressful interval in SI and U-SI, we quantify its stress intensity by comparing with the front and rear adjacent intervals, respectively. Here four situations are considered and compared according to the temporal order in Section 6.3, as shown in Table 6, where the *ratio of intervals* detected with monotonous increase from the *front interval* to *stressful interval* (denoted as *front* \rightarrow *I*), and monotonous decrease from the *stressful interval* to the *rear interval* (denoted as *I* \rightarrow *rear*) are listed. Under the impact of uplift events, both the ratio of intensive stress increase in *front* \rightarrow *I* and the ratio of intensive stress decrease in *I* \rightarrow *rear* are decreased, showing the effectiveness of the two sample method for quantifying the impact of uplift events, and the rationality of the assumption that uplift events could help ease stress of overwhelmed teens.

7. Exploration: Integrating the stress-buffering effect into stress prediction

Stress prediction model. To measure the effectiveness of our method for quantifying the restoring impact of uplift events, we integrate the impact of uplift events into traditional stress series prediction problem, and verify whether the restoring pattern of uplift events could help improve the prediction performance. Here we choose the SVARIMA (Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average) algorithm Shumway and Stoffer (2006) which is proved to be suitable for teens' linear stress prediction problem Li et al. (2015), due to the seasonality and non-stationarity of teens' stress series. The basic stress prediction is conducted using SVARIMA approach, in the set of stressful intervals impacted by uplift events (U-SI). Since stressor events cause the fluctuation of stress series from normal states, to eliminate the interference, we simply consider the prediction problem in those stressful intervals rather than randomly picked out

stress series. The impact of uplift events are utilized as adjust values to modify the stress prediction result. Four metrics are adopted to measure the stress forecasting problem, where *MSE*, *RMSE* and *MAD* measure absolute errors and *MAPE* measures relative errors.

We integrate the impact of uplift events into stress prediction. The experimental set contains 1,914 stressful intervals under the impact of uplift events (U-SI). As shown in Table 7, the original prediction result using only SVARIMA method achieves 0.1281 MSE, 0.3579 RMSE, 0.3604 MAPE and 0.1482 MAD ($L = 7$, $\alpha = 0.5$). Then we integrate the impact of each type of uplift events into stress prediction. Specifically, for uplifts with obvious restoring impact (under the L&S&P pattern), the average stress level during historical restoring intervals are integrated to modify the result, with adjusting the parameter α (details see 7). After the modification, the prediction performance achieves 0.0649 MSE, 0.2548 RMSE, 0.2638 MAPE and 0.0858 MAD, reducing the prediction errors efficiently (with MSE, RMSE, MAPE and MAD reduced by 49.34%, 28.81%, 26.80% and 42.11%, respectively).

Predicting stress under different windows. We present the prediction result under the impact of uplift events under different lengths of prediction windows, ranging from 1 to 10 days, as shown in 3. With the window length increasing, the prediction error shows decreasing trend in all metrics. The reason is that longer prediction window takes more previous predicted results, and the error accumulates with more predicted values taken into the next step prediction. Among the five dimensions of events, the prediction for school life stress achieves the best performance. On one side, more uplift events and stressors about school life events are detected from teens microblogs, providing sufficient data in prediction. On the other side, stress coming from school life is the most common stress in the student group, with relative stable periodicity and high frequency.


Table 7: Compare the stress forecast performance under three restoring patterns of uplift events.

| | None | | | | Uplift (L) | | | | Uplift (S) | | | | Uplift (P) | | | |
|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | MSE | RMSE | MAPE | MAD | MSE | RMSE | MAPE | MAD | MSE | RMSE | MAPE | MAD | MSE | RMSE | MAPE | MAD |
| School life | 0.0856 | 0.2926 | 0.4852 | 0.1146 | 0.0259 | 0.1609 | 0.2991 | 0.0923 | 0.0297 | 0.1723 | 0.3135 | 0.0899 | 0.0223 | 0.1493 | 0.3438 | 0.0931 |
| Romantic | 0.0703 | 0.2651 | 0.3555 | 0.1083 | 0.0291 | 0.1706 | 0.2832 | 0.0919 | 0.0379 | 0.1947 | 0.2941 | 0.1026 | 0.0332 | 0.0835 | 0.2746 | 0.1240 |
| Peer relationship | 0.2800 | 0.5292 | 0.3256 | 0.1697 | 0.3140 | 0.5604 | 0.3626 | 0.1202 | 0.2972 | 0.5452 | 0.3060 | 0.1298 | 0.2557 | 0.1472 | 0.3481 | 0.1458 |
| Self-cognition | 0.0445 | 0.2110 | 0.3066 | 0.1895 | 0.0345 | 0.1857 | 0.2721 | 0.1653 | 0.0366 | 0.1913 | 0.2557 | 0.0754 | 0.0245 | 0.0862 | 0.2863 | 0.1447 |
| Family life | 0.1602 | 0.4002 | 0.3291 | 0.1587 | 0.0889 | 0.2982 | 0.2891 | 0.0944 | 0.0378 | 0.1944 | 0.2952 | 0.0842 | 0.1827 | 0.0979 | 0.3148 | 0.1131 |
| All | 0.1281 | 0.3579 | 0.3604 | 0.1482 | 0.0985 | 0.3138 | 0.3012 | 0.1128 | 0.0878 | 0.2964 | 0.2929 | 0.0964 | 0.1037 | 0.1128 | 0.3135 | 0.1241 |

| | Uplift (L&S) | | | | Uplift (L&P) | | | | Uplift (S&P) | | | | Uplift (L&S&P) | | | |
|-------------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | MSE | RMSE | MAPE | MAD | MSE | RMSE | MAPE | MAD | MSE | RMSE | MAPE | MAD | MSE | RMSE | MAPE | MAD |
| School life | 0.0283 | 0.1682 | 0.2934 | 0.0824 | 0.0261 | 0.1616 | 0.2770 | 0.0768 | 0.0342 | 0.1849 | 0.2629 | 0.0590 | 0.0132 | 0.1149 | 0.2364 | 0.0717 |
| Romantic | 0.0219 | 0.1480 | 0.2532 | 0.0839 | 0.0180 | 0.1342 | 0.2644 | 0.0952 | 0.0176 | 0.1327 | 0.2549 | 0.0823 | 0.0251 | 0.1584 | 0.2507 | 0.0891 |
| Peer relationship | 0.2361 | 0.4859 | 0.3182 | 0.1300 | 0.2349 | 0.4847 | 0.3283 | 0.1189 | 0.2351 | 0.4849 | 0.3558 | 0.1297 | 0.2341 | 0.4838 | 0.3096 | 0.1093 |
| Self-cognition | 0.0329 | 0.1814 | 0.2942 | 0.0946 | 0.0262 | 0.1619 | 0.2791 | 0.0858 | 0.0245 | 0.1565 | 0.2740 | 0.0945 | 0.0144 | 0.1200 | 0.2580 | 0.0739 |
| Family life | 0.1489 | 0.3859 | 0.2750 | 0.1244 | 0.0395 | 0.1987 | 0.2853 | 0.0939 | 0.0484 | 0.2200 | 0.2946 | 0.0992 | 0.0378 | 0.1944 | 0.2645 | 0.0848 |
| All | 0.0936 | 0.3060 | 0.2868 | 0.1031 | 0.0689 | 0.2626 | 0.2868 | 0.0941 | 0.0720 | 0.2683 | 0.2884 | 0.0929 | 0.0649 | 0.2548 | 0.2638 | 0.0858 |

¹ Three restoring pattern measures: 'L' represents *linguistic expression*, 'S' represents *stress intensity*, and 'P' represents *posting behavior*.


Figure 3: Teens' stress forecast performance under different lengths of predicting windows.



Contribution of each restoring measure. We conduct experiments with different restoring patterns included respectively to show its contribution to the impact of uplift events during prediction. Four groups of situations are considered here, as shown in Table 7, considering 1) all the stress intensity, linguistic expression and post behavior measures (the L&S&P pattern), 2) any two of the three measures included (the L|S, L&P, and S&P patterns), 3) only one of the three measures included (the L, S, or P patterns), and 4) none measure included. We integrate the impact of uplift events under the four situations into stress prediction using the parameter α , as overlapping $\alpha \times S_{historical}$, where $S_{historical}$ is the average stress level in historical restoring intervals. The detailed adjust process of α is presenting in section 7. Here we present the prediction result when $\alpha = 0.5$ in each dimension of stress respectively. Results show that the correlation in the L&S&P pattern outperforms other patterns (0.0649 MSE, 0.2548 RMSE, 0.2638 MAPE and 0.0858 MAD), showing the effectiveness of considering all the three correlations.

Parameter settings. The parameter α is adjusted when integrate the impact of uplift events into stress prediction. For each of the four groups of restoring patterns, we adjust α in the effect of $\alpha \times L$. We calculate the corresponding prediction result for each teen respectively, and show the result of the whole testing group using the averaging performance. Figure 4 shows the changing trend under the L&S&P pattern.

Figure 4: Stress forecast performance under the L&S&P pattern of uplift events.



The prediction error decreases first and then increases, and the best performance is achieved when α is nearby 0.52, with 0.0649 MSE, 0.2548 RMSE, 0.2638 MAPE and 0.0858 MAD

as the average performance of the whole experimental data set. Multiple methods for integrating the impact of uplift event into stress prediction could be adopted. In this paper we adopt the simple one to verify the effectiveness of our model in quantifying the impact of uplift events, and the setting of parameter α could be changed due to different individuals and data sets.

8. Discussion and conclusion

The present study gives a deep inside into the stress-buffering function of positive events. We first proposed a comprehensive framework to extending traditional survey-based methods to automatically detection methods based on social network data. Positive events were validated to alleviate the psychological stress of overwhelmed adolescents, in particular academic stress and self-cognitive stress. Experimental results show that our model could measure the stress-buffering impact of school scheduled positive events efficiently, and integrating such impact helps reduce the stress prediction errors. This exploratory work provides guidance for school and parents that which kind of positive events could help relieve students' overwhelmed stress in both stress prevention and stress early stopping situations.

There were four groups of results in this work. The first group of findings relates to the Hypothesis 1, which assumes positive events can conduct stress-buffering effects on adolescents. In study 1, the scheduled school events with exact time intervals and the microblogs posted by 124 students are collected and statistically analyzed. Results showed that when positive events are scheduled neighboring stressful events, students exhibits less stress intensity and shorter stressful time intervals from their microblogs. In response to the stressor event of exam, the study found that most students talked less about the upcoming or just-finished exams when positive events happened nearby, with lower frequency and lower ratio. The results substantiated previous studies reporting the protective effect of positive events on adolescents (Cohen and Hoberman, 2010; Shahar and Priel, 2002) using laboratory methods. Based on this, this article carried out more in-depth follow-up studies.

The second groups of results are presented in study 2, displaying the structural extracting results of positive events from adolescents' microblogs. This study applied positive event topic lexicons into a well developed Chinese parser models for short text (Che et al. (2010)), and allowed the existence of partially missing semantics during the process of structurally ex-

tracting. Further, inspired by the poisson-based abnormal interval detection method [Li et al. \(2017a\)](#), we considered various situations when positive events occurred at different times in or nearby a stressful interval. This study provided a complete solution for automatically detecting positive events based on microblog semantics, which are totally different from traditional questionnaire methods, enabling timely, fraud-proof and continuous detection.

The third groups of results in study 3 directly relates to the stress-buffering patterns of positive events. In order to eliminate the possible errors in the previous positive event detection and avoid false overlays, we first used four scheduled positive events to verify significant stress-buffering effects. Results showed the event *holiday* exhibits the highest proportion of significant stress-buffering. However, this conclusion is questionable because the frequency of the above four events is different and may affect the experimental results. Next, the correlation between three stress-buffering patterns and five types of stress events are test. The most intensive stress-buffering impacts are shown in 'school life' and 'peer relationship' dimensions. *Posting behavior* exhibits most significant correlations among three patterns. This resonated with the study [Blachnio et al. \(2016\)](#); [L. Bevan et al. \(2014\)](#) suggesting that users who shared important, bad health news on Facebook had a higher level of stress.

The fourth groups of results should be considered as exploratory and application. In study4, this study integrated the impact of positive events into traditional stress prediction problem, and verified whether the stress-buffering patterns of positive events could help improve the prediction performance. Results showed the effectiveness our solution in quantifying the stress-buffering function of positive events during the process of dealing with stress.

This article proposed a novel perspective for stress prevention and easing, and demonstrated how to predict adolescents' future stress buffered by different types of positive events. Since more complex situations are simplified in our first step exploration, the goals are still salient in stress-buffering researches from social network.

9. Limitations and future work

This study has a number of limitations. First, it used the microblog data set collected from the social network of high school students, and choose the scheduled positive/stressor school

events as the ground truth in the case study. This could be seen as a relative rude verification method, because individual events (i.e., 'lost love', or 'received a birthday present') may also have an impact, except for events planned by the school. Therefore, the data observation in the first study are not 100% rigorous and need further verification.

Second, this paper validate the stress-buffering impact of positive events according to the improved stress prediction accuracy indirectly. At best, it conducts some self-validation in various perspectives of algorithm. We need to conduct more convincing experiments through inviting the participants to complete related scales (e.g., uplift and stressor scales), thus to find the direct verification for such findings.

Finally, this study treats positive events as independent existence and studies the impact of each event separately, which ignores the additive and collective effects of multiple positive events at the same time. Thus, our future research may investigate the overlap effects of multiple positive events, as well as the frequent co-appearing patterns of different types of positive events and stressor events, thus to provide more accurate stress-buffering and restoring guidance for individual adolescents.

Based on current research implications, more factors could help analyze the stress restoring patterns among adolescents more comprehensively in future research. Specifically, one factor is how personality impacts the stress-buffering of positive events ([Twomey and O' Reilly, 2017](#); [Shchebetenko, 2019](#)), which could be captured from the social media contents. Another key factor is the role the social support ([Nabi et al., 2013](#); [L Bevan et al., 2015](#)) in social networks plays. This factor leaves clues in the messages under each post, and the behaviors (i.e., retweet, the like numbers) of friends. ([Nabi et al., 2013](#)) showed number of Facebook friends associated with stronger perceptions of social support, which in turn associated with reduced stress, and in turn less physical illness and greater well-being. ([L Bevan et al., 2015](#)) indicated that experiencing important life events can have a long term deleterious impact on subjective well-being, which could be partially abated by receiving social support from Facebook friends. The corresponding experimental design, and the online-offline complementary verification methods will be the key challenges in the future work.

Alden, L.E., Taylor, C.T., Mellings, T.M., Laposa, J.M.. Social anxiety and the interpretation of positive social events. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders* 2008;22(4):577-90.

APA, . Stress in america: Generation z 2018;;1-11.

- 796 Baoyong, L., Ying, H.. The development of the life stress rating scale for
797 middle school students. *Chinese Mental Health Journal* 2002;16(5):313–
798 316. 853
- 799 Blachnio, A., Przepiorka, A., Balakier, E., Boruch, W.. Who discloses the
800 most on facebook? *Computers in Human Behavior* 2016;55:664 – 667. 855
- 801 Bono, J.E., Glomb, T.M., Shen, W., Kim, E., Koch, A.J.. Building positive
802 resources: Effects of positive events and positive reflection on work stress
803 and health. *Academy of Management Journal* 2013;56(6):1601–1627. 858
- 804 Caputo, J.L., Rudolph, D.L., Morgan, D.W.. Influence of positive life
805 events on blood pressure in adolescents. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*
806 1998;21(2):115–129. 861
- 807 Chang, E.C., Muyan, M., Hirsch, J.K.. Loneliness, positive life events,
808 and psychological maladjustment: When good things happen, even lonely
809 people feel better! ☆. *Personality and Individual Differences* 2015;86:150–
810 155. 865
- 811 Che, W., Li, Z., Liu, T.. Ltp: A chinese language technology platform. In:
812 Proc. of ACL. 2010. p. 13–16. 867
- 813 Cleveland, W., Devlin, S.. Locally weighted regression: An approach to
814 regression analysis by local fitting. *Publications of the American Statistical*
815 *Association* 1988;83(403):596–610. 870
- 816 Cohen, L.H., McGowan, J., Fooskas, S., Rose, S.. Positive life events and
817 social support and the relationship between life stress and psychological dis-
818 order. *American Journal of Community Psychology* 1984;12(5):567–87. 873
- 819 Cohen, S., Hoberman, H.M.. Positive events and social supports as buffers
820 of life change stress. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 2010;13(2):99–
821 125. 876
- 822 Coolidge, F.L.. A comparison of positive versus negative emotional expression
823 in a written disclosure study among distressed students. *Journal of Aggres-*
824 *sion Maltreatment and Trauma* 2009;18(4):367–381. 879
- 825 Doyle, K.W., Wolchik, S.A., Dawsonmcclure, S.R., Sandler, I.N.. Positive
826 events as a stress buffer for children and adolescents in families in transition.
827 *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology* 2003;32(4):536–545. 882
- 828 Folkman, S.. Positive psychological states and coping with severe stress. *Social*
829 *Science and Medicine* 1997;45(8):1207–21. 884
- 830 Folkman, S., Moskowitz, J.T.. Stress, positive emotion, and coping. *Current*
831 *Directions in Psychological Science* 2010;9(4):115–118. 886
- 832 Jain, S., Mills, P.J., Von, K.R., Hong, S., Dimsdale, J.E.. Effects of per-
833 ceived stress and uplifts on inflammation and coagulability. *Psychophysiol-*
834 *ogy* 2010;44(1):154–160. 889
- 835 Jiang, G.. The development of the chinese adolescent life events checklist.
836 *Chinese Journal of Clinical Psychology* 2000;8(1):10–14. 891
- 837 Johnson, R.A., Wichern, D.W.. Applied multivariate statistical analysis third
838 ed. *Technometrics* 2012;25(4):385–386. 893
- 839 Jun-Sheng, H.U.. Influence of life events and coping style on mental health in
840 normal college students. *Chinese Journal of Clinical Psychology* 2008;. 895
- 841 Kanner, A., Coyne, J., Schaefer, C., Lazants, R.. Comparison
842 of two modes of stress measurement: Daily hassles and uplifts ver-
843 sus major life events. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine* 1981a;4:1–39.
844 doi:10.1177/089443939201000402. 899
- 845 Kanner, A.D., Coyne, J.C., Schaefer, C., Lazarus, R.S.. Comparison of two
846 modes of stress measurement: Daily hassles and uplifts versus major life
847 events. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine* 1981b;4(1):1. 902
- 848 Kleiman, E.M., Riskind, J.H., Schaefer, K.E.. Social support and positive
849 events as suicide resiliency factors: Examination of synergistic buffering
850 effects. *Archives of Suicide Research* 2014;18(2):144–155. 905
- L Bevan, J., B Cummings, M., Kubiniec, A., Mogannam, M., Price, M.,
Todd, R.. How are important life events disclosed on facebook? relation-
ships with likelihood of sharing and privacy. *Cyberpsychology, behavior and*
social networking 2015;18:8–12. doi:10.1089/cyber.2014.0373.
- L. Bevan, J., Gomez, R., Sparks, L.. Disclosures about important life events on
facebook: Relationships with stress and quality of life. *Computers in Human*
Behavior 2014;39:246–253. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2014.07.021.
- Li, Q., Xue, Y., Zhao, L., Jia, J., Feng, L.. Analyzing and identifying
teens stressful periods and stressor events from a microblog. *IEEE Journal*
of Biomedical and Health Informatics 2017a;21(5):1434–1448.
- Li, Q., Zhao, L., Xue, Y., Jin, L., Alli, M., Feng, L.. Correlating stressor
events for social network based adolescent stress prediction 2017b;.
- Li, Q., Zhao, L., Xue, Y., Jin, L., Feng, L.. Exploring the impact of co-
experiencing stressor events for teens stress forecasting. In: *International*
Conference on Web Information Systems Engineering. 2017c. p. 313–328.
- Li, Y., Huang, J., Wang, H., Feng, L.. Predicting teenager's future stress
level from micro-blog. In: *IEEE International Symposium on Computer-*
Based Medical Systems. 2015. p. 208–213.
- Lin, H., Jia, J., Guo, Q., Xue, Y., Li, Q., Huang, J., Cai, L., Feng,
L.. User-level psychological stress detection from social media using deep
neural network 2014;;507–516.
- Mcmillen, J.C., Fisher, R.H.. The perceived benefit scales: Measuring per-
ceived positive life changes after negative events. *Social Work Research*
1998;22(3):173–187.
- Nabi, R., Prestin, A., So, J.. Facebook friends with (health) benefits? ex-
ploring social network site use and perceptions of social support, stress,
and well-being. *Cyberpsychology, behavior and social networking* 2013;16.
doi:10.1089/cyber.2012.0521.
- Needles, D.J., Abramson, L.Y.. Positive life events, attributional style, and
hopefulness: Testing a model of recovery from depression. *Journal of Ab-*
normal Psychology 1990;99(2):156.
- Nock, M.K., Borges, G., Bromet, E.J., Cha, C.B., Kessler, R.C., Lee, S..
Suicide and suicidal behavior. *Epidemiologic Reviews* 2008;30(1):133–154.
- Ong, A.D., Bergeman, C.S., Bisconti, T.L., Wallace, K.A.. Psychological
resilience, positive emotions, and successful adaptation to stress in later life.
Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 2006;91(4):730–49.
- Santos, V., Paes, F., Pereira, V., Ariascarión, O., Silva, A.C., Carta, M.G.,
Nardi, A.E., Machado, S.. The role of positive emotion and contributions
of positive psychology in depression treatment: systematic review. *Clinical*
Practice and Epidemiology in Mental Health Cp and Emh 2013;9(1):221.
- Schilling, M.. Multivariate two-sample tests based on nearest neighbors. *Pub-*
lications of the American Statistical Association 1986;81(395):799–806.
- Shahar, G., Priel, B.. Positive life events and adolescent emotional distress:
In search of protective-interactive processes. *Journal of Social and Clinical*
Psychology 2002;21(6):645–668.
- Shchebetenko, S.. Do personality characteristics explain the associations be-
tween self-esteem and online social networking behaviour? *Computers in*
Human Behavior 2019;91:17–23.
- Shumway, B., Stoffer, D.. *Time Series Analysis and Its Applications*. Springer
New York, 2006.
- Silva, M.T.A., Manriquesaade, E.A., Carvalhal, L.G., Kameyama, M.. The
hassles and uplifts scale. *Estudpsicol* 2008;25(1):91–100.
- Susan, F.P.D.. Stress: Appraisal and coping 1984;:1–460.
- Tausczik, Y.R., Pennebaker, J.W.. The psychological meaning of words: Liwc
and computerized text analysis methods. *Proc of JLSP* ;29(1):24–54.

906 Twomey, C., O' Reilly, G.. Associations of self-presentation on face-
 907 book with mental health and personality variables: A systematic re-
 908 view. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking* 2017;20:587–
 909 595. doi:10.1089/cyber.2017.0247.
 910 Vitelli, R.. Hassles, uplifts and growing older. [https://www.
 911 psychologytoday.com/blog/media-spotlight/201406/
 912 hassles-uplifts-and-growing-older](https://www.psychologytoday.com/blog/media-spotlight/201406/hassles-uplifts-and-growing-older); 2014.
 913 Wheeler, R.J., Frank, M.A.. Identification of stress buffers. *Behavioral
 914 Medicine* 1988;14(2):78–89.
 915 Xue, Y., Li, Q., Feng, L., Clifford, G., Clifton, D.. Towards a micro-
 916 blog platform for sensing and easing adolescent psychological pressures.
 917 In: *Proc. of Ubicomp. poster*; 2013. .
 918 Xue, Y., Li, Q., Jin, L., Feng, L., Clifton, D.A., Clifford, G.D.. Detecting
 919 Adolescent Psychological Pressures from Micro-Blog, 2014.
 920 Yan, H.U., Tao, F.B., Pu-Yu, S.U.. Compilation and reliability and va-
 921 lidity assessment of multidimensional life events rating questionnaire for
 922 middle school students. *Chinese Journal of School Health* 2010;February
 923 31(2):146–159.
 924 Youth, C., Center, C.R.. Adolescent mental health alarm: nearly 30% have a
 925 risk of depression. *China Youth News* 2019;;1–2.
 926 Zhang, M., Che, W., Zhou, G., Aw, A., et al., . Semantic role labeling using
 927 a grammar-driven convolution tree kernel. *Audio Speech and Language
 928 Processing IEEE Transactions* 2008;16(7):1315 – 1329.

929 Appendix A. Observe the impact of scheduled positive events: 930 students' stress during exam intervals in two 931 situations

932 To further observe the influence of uplift events for stu-
 933 dents facing stressor events, we statistic all the stressful inter-
 934 vals Li et al. (2017a) detected surround the scheduled exami-
 935 nations over the 124 students during their high school career.
 936 For each student, we divide all his/her stressful intervals into t-
 937 wo sets: 1) stressful intervals under the influence of neighbour-
 938 ing uplift events (e.g., *Halloween activity*), and 2) independent
 939 stressful intervals. Figure A.5 shows five measures of each stu-
 940 dent during the above two conditions: the *accumulated stress*,
 941 the *average stress* (per day), the *length of stressful intervals*, the
 942 *frequency of academic topic words*, and the *ratio of academic
 943 stress among all types of stress*. For each measure, we calculate
 944 the average value over all eligible slides for each student.

945 Appendix B. Algorithm 1: Select candidate intervals im- 946 pacted by positive events

947 Let the sub-series $w_{\langle a,b \rangle} = [s'_a, \dots, s'_b]$ as a *wave*, where s'_v
 948 = $vally(w_{\langle a,b \rangle})$ is the minimum stress value, $s'_p = peak(w_{\langle a,b \rangle})$
 949 is the maximal stress value during $\{s'_a, \dots, s'_b\}$, and $s'_a \leq s'_{a+1} \leq$
 950 $\dots \leq s'_p \leq s'_{p+1} \leq \dots \leq s'_b$.

Figure A.5: Compare students' stress during exam intervals in two situations: 1) affected by neighboring uplift events (U-SI), 2) no uplift events occurred nearby (SI)




Table A.8: Algorithm 1: Select candidate stress intervals impacted by positive events.

A candidate interval $I = \langle w_1, \dots, w_i, \dots, w_m \rangle$ is identified with following rules:

- ① $s'_1 = 0, s'_m = 0. \forall s'_j \in \{s'_2, \dots, s'_{m-1}\}, s'_j > 0.$
- ② Let w_i be the biggest wave in current candidate interval, with $peak(w_i) = \omega, \forall$ wave $w_j \in I, peak(w_j) \leq peak(w_i).$
- ③ For w_k before the interval biggest wave w_i , i.e., $\forall w_k \in \langle w_1, \dots, w_{i-1} \rangle, peak(w_{k+1}) \geq peak(w_k), vally(w_{k+1}) \geq peak(w_k).$
- ④ For w_k behind the interval biggest wave w_i , i.e., $w_k \in \langle w_i, \dots, w_m \rangle, peak(w_{k+1}) \leq peak(w_k), vally(w_{k+1}) \leq peak(w_k).$

Appendix C. Algorithm2: Identify stressful intervals impacted by positive events.

For each candidate interval, a Poisson based probability model Li et al. (2017a) is adopted to measure how confidently the current interval is a stressful interval. Here a teen's stressful posting rate under stress (λ_1) and normal conditions (λ_0) are modeled as two independent poisson process:

$$Pr[N = n | \lambda_i] = \frac{e^{-\lambda_i T} (\lambda_i T)^n}{n!} \quad (C.1)$$

where $i \in \{0, 1\}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$. We expect that $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$, and measure the probability as $P(\lambda_1 > \lambda_0 | N_1, T_1, N_0, T_0)$, where N_1, N_0 are the number of stressful posts, and T_1, T_0 are time duration corresponding to λ_1 and λ_0 . Without loss of generality, we assume a Jeffreys non-informative prior on λ_1 and λ_0 , and infer the posterior distribution $P(\lambda_1 | N_1)$ and $P(\lambda_0 | N_0)$ according to Bayes Rule. Thus for current interval I_1 and historical normal interval I_0 , the quantified probability $\beta = P(\lambda_1 > \lambda_0 | I_1, I_0) \in (0, 1)$ indicates the confidence whether I_1 is a stressful interval.

Appendix D. Algorithm3: judge stressful intervals into SI or U-SI

In this part, we filter out two sets of stressful intervals: stressful intervals without the impact of uplift events (SI), and stressful intervals under the impact of uplift events (U-SI). For a detected stressful interval $I = \langle t_1, \dots, t_n \rangle$, we consider the temporal order between I and any detected uplift event u happened at time point t_u :

- If the uplift event u happens during the stressful interval, i.e., $t_u \in [t_1, t_n]$, the uplift interval I is judged as $I \in SI$.
- For the uplift event happening nearby a stressful interval, we also consider the probability that it conducts impact on the teen's stressful interval. Here the gap between t_u and I is limited to ξ , i.e., if $t_u \in [t_1 - \xi, t_1] \cup [t_n, t_n + \xi]$, then $I \in SI$.

If a stressful interval satisfies none of the above conditions, we classify it into the U-SI set.

Appendix D.1. Model 1: quantify significant restoring impact conducted by uplift events

For each teen, three groups of behavioral measures are considered: *posting behavior*, *stress intensity* and *linguistic expressions*, indicated as $\langle D_p, D_s, D_l \rangle$, respectively. To measure the correlation for each group of positive and stressful behavioral measures, the Euclidean distance is adopted to calculate the distance of structured points in A_1 and A_2 .

For each point $\ell_x \in A = A_1 \cup A_2$, let $NN_r(\ell_x, A)$ be the function to find the r -th nearest neighbor of ℓ_x . Specifically, according to the three group of measures, three sub-functions of $NN_r(\cdot)$ are defined as $PNN_r(\cdot)$, $SNN_r(\cdot)$ and $LNN_r(\cdot)$, corresponding to the teen's posting behaviors, stress intensity and linguistic expressions in each stressful interval, respectively.

For point ℓ_x with posting behavior matrix D_p^x , stress intensity matrix D_s^x , and linguistic expression matrix D_l^x , the r -th nearest neighbor of ℓ_x in each measure is denoted as:

$$\begin{aligned} PNN_r(\ell_x, A) &= \{y | \min\{\|D_p^x - D_p^y\|_2\}, y \in (A/\ell_x)\} \\ SNN_r(\ell_x, A) &= \{z | \min\{\|D_s^x - D_s^z\|_2\}, z \in (A/\ell_x)\} \\ LNN_r(\ell_x, A) &= \{w | \min\{\|D_l^x - D_l^w\|_2\}, w \in (A/\ell_x)\} \end{aligned} \quad (D.1)$$

The r -th nearest neighbor considering all three groups of measures is denoted as:

$$NN_r(\ell_x, A) = \{v | \min\{a \times \|D_p^x - D_p^v\|_2 + \quad (D.2)$$

$$b \times \|D_s^x - D_s^v\|_2 + c \times \|D_l^x - D_l^v\|_2\}, v \in (A/\ell_x)\} \quad (D.3)$$

In this study, we set $a = b = c = 1/3$. Next, let $I_r(\ell_x, A_1, A_2)$ be the function denoting whether the r -th nearest neighbor is in the same set with ℓ_x :

$$I_r(\ell_x, A_1, A_2) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \ell_x \in A_i \&\& NN_r(\ell_x, A) \in A_i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (D.4)$$

Let $T_{r,n}$ denote the proportion that pairs containing two points from the same set among all pairs formed by $\ell_x \in A$ and its k nearest neighbors:

$$T_{k,n} = \frac{1}{n \times k} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k I_j(x, A_1, A_2) \quad (D.5)$$

The value of $T_{k,n}$ shows how differently the points in the two testing sets (SI and U-SI) perform in three groups of measures. If the value of $T_{r,n}$ is close to 1, it can be shown that the two underlying distributions $F^{(1)}$ and $F^{(2)}$ for SI and U-SI are significantly different, indicating current uplift events conduct obvious restoring impact on the teens' stress series. Let $\lambda_1 = |A_1|$ and $\lambda_2 = |A_2|$, the statistic value Z is denoted as:

$$Z = (nr)^{1/2} (T_{r,n} - \mu_r) / \sigma_r \quad (D.6)$$

$$\mu_r = (\lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_2)^2 \quad (D.7)$$

$$\sigma_r^2 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + 4 \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 \quad (D.8)$$

where μ_r is the expectation and σ_r^2 is the variance of Z . Based on hypothesis test theory Johnson and Wichern (2012), when the size of the testing set (λ_1 and λ_2) are large enough, Z obeys a standard Gaussian distribution.

Thus we judge whether the uplift events have conducted significant restoring impact on the teen's stress series as follows: if $f(SI, USI) = (nr)^{1/2} (T_{r,n} - \mu_r) / \mu_r^2 > \alpha$ ($\alpha = 1.96$ for $P = 0.025$), then the hypothesis H_1 is true.

Appendix E. Model2: identify the temporal order of stress-restoring impact

For a stressful interval $I = \langle t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_j \rangle$, let $I^{front} = \langle t_m, \dots, t_{i-1} \rangle$ be the adjacent interval before I , and $I^{rear} = \langle t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n \rangle$ be the rear adjacent interval of I . The length of I^{front} and I^{rear} are set to $|I|$. For the set of stressful intervals SI composed of $\langle I_1, I_2, \dots, I_N \rangle$, the corresponding sets of adjacent front and rear intervals are denoted as SI^{front} and SI^{rear} . Similarly, for the set of stressful intervals $U - SI = \langle UI_1, UI_2, \dots, UI_M \rangle$ impacted by uplift events, the corresponding sets of adjacent front and rear intervals are denoted as USI^{front} and USI^{rear} . We compare the intensity of stress changes in following four situations, where $g(\cdot)$ is the function comparing two sets.

- ① $g(SI, SI^{front})$ returns if intensive change happens when stressful intervals begin.

- ② $g(SI, SI^{rear})$ returns if the teen's stress change intensively after the stressful intervals end.
- ③ $g(USI, USI^{front})$ returns if intensive change happens when stressful intervals affected by uplift events appears.
- ④ $g(USI, USI^{rear})$ returns if stress change intensively after stressful intervals affected by uplift events end.

In our problem, taking the comparison between SI and SI^{rear} for example, the basic computation element $I_k \in SI \cup SI^{rear}$ in both sets is a multi-dimension interval. Here we adopt the t-test method as the intensity computation function $g(\cdot)$. The t-test algorithm measures if intensive positive or negative monotonous correlation exists between two sample sets. The function $g(\cdot) = t_{score} \in (-1, 1)$ is represented as:

$$g(SI, SI^{rear}) = \frac{\mu_{SI} - \mu_{SI^{rear}}}{\sqrt{\frac{(n_1-1)\sigma_{SI}^2 + (n_2-1)\sigma_{SI^{rear}}^2}{n_1+n_2-2} \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}} \quad (E.1)$$

where μ_{SI} and $\mu_{SI^{rear}}$ are the mean stress values of intervals in sets SI and SI^{rear} , and σ_{SI} and $\sigma_{SI^{rear}}$ are the variance stress values of intervals in sets SI and SI^{rear} , respectively. If $g(SI, SI^{rear}) > \alpha$, stress intensity in SI^{rear} show significant decrease compared with SI (monotonic negative effect). If $g(SI^{front}, SI) < -\alpha$, stress intensity in SI show significant increase compared with SI^{front} (monotonic positive effect). Here we adopt $\alpha = 1.96$, $P = 0.025$. We conduct comparison for above four situations, to observe whether the occurrence of uplift events relieve the monotonic negative effect of $g(SI, SI^{rear})$ and the monotonic positive effect of $g(SI^{front}, SI)$.

Appendix F. Algorithm4: Overall algorithm

The overall pipeline for identifying the restoring impact of uplift events is presented here. 1) To quantify the restoring impact of uplift events, we first extract uplift events and stressful intervals from the teen's microblogs. All stressful intervals are classified into two sets: the set of stressful intervals affected by uplift events (SI), and the set of stressful intervals impacted by uplift events (U-SI). 2) To judge if SI are statistically different with U-SI, next, the two-sample hypothesis testing method is conducted on the two sets with multi positive and stressful measures (posting behavior, stress intensity and linguistic expressions). 3) To further judge the monotonous restoring intensity of each type of uplift events, the final step comes to comparing SI and U-SI with adjacent intervals, respect to temporal order.

1055 For an uplift event u with type U' , a stressor event e with
 1056 type S' , the overall algorithm is represented as $F : (u, U', e, S') \rightarrow A$.
 1057

Algorithm 1: Identify the restoring impact of uplift events.

Input: SI: Set of stressful intervals caused by S' ;

U-SI: Set of stressful intervals affected by U' ;

Output: Restoring impact of uplift U' on stressor S' : A

```

1 Initialize:  $H_1, H^{front}, H^{rear} = false$ ;
2 if  $f(SI, USI) > \alpha$  then
3    $H_1 = true$ ;
4 if  $g(SI, SI^{rear}) > \alpha \ \&\& \ g(SI, SI^{rear}) > g(USI, USI^{rear})$ 
   then
5    $H^{rear} = true$ ;
6 if  $g(SI^{front}, SI) < -\alpha \ \&\& \ g(SI, SI^{front}) < g(USI, USI^{front})$  then
7    $H^{front} = true$ ;
8 return  $A = \langle H_1, H^{front}, H^{rear} \rangle$ ;
```
