

## Sender–Receiver Exercise 5: Reading for Receivers

Harvard SEAS - Fall 2024

2024-10-29

The goals of this exercise are:

- to develop your skills at understanding, distilling, and communicating proofs and the conceptual ideas in them,
- to practice reductions to SAT, and in particular how logic is useful for modelling problems

To prepare for this exercise as a receiver, you should try to understand the theorem statement and definition in Section 1 below, and review the material on Logic covered in class on October 24. Your partner sender will communicate the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 1 The Result

In class, we saw how the (seemingly hard) problem of Graph  $k$ -Coloring can be efficiently reduced to CNF-Satisfiability (SAT). Although SAT also seems to be a hard problem (as we'll formalize in the last part of the course), this allows all the effort put into SAT Solvers to solve many large  $k$ -coloring instances in practice.

In this exercise, you'll see a similar reduction for the *Longest Path* problem. Recall that a *path* is a walk with no repeated vertices.

<b>Input</b>	: A digraph $G = (V, E)$ and two vertices $s, t \in V$
<b>Output</b>	: A <i>longest path</i> from $s$ to $t$ in $G$ , if one exists

**Computational Problem** LongestPath

Actually, it will be more convenient to consider a version where the desired path length is specified in the input.

<b>Input</b>	: A digraph $G = (V, E)$ , two vertices $s, t \in V$ , and a path-length $k \in \mathbb{N}$
<b>Output</b>	: A path from $s$ to $t$ in $G$ of length $k$ , if one exists

**Computational Problem** LongPath

Since a path has no repeated vertices, it suffices to consider  $k \leq n$ . If we have an efficient algorithm for LongPath, then we can solve LongestPath by trying  $k = n, n-1, \dots, 0$  until we succeed in finding a path. The  $k = n$  case is essentially the same as the *Hamiltonian Path* problem,<sup>1</sup> which is a special case of the notorious *Travelling Salesperson Problem (TSP)*. In the TSP, we have a salesperson who wishes to visit  $n$  cities (to sell their goods) in the shortest travel time possible. If we model the possible travel between cities as a directed graph, then Hamiltonian Path corresponds to the special case where all pairs  $u, v$  of cities either have a travel time of 1 (edge  $(u, v)$  present)

<sup>1</sup>In the HamiltonianPath problem, we don't specify the start and end vertex; any path of length  $n$  suffices. But the two problems can be efficiently reduced to each other (exercise).

or a very large travel time (edge  $(u, v)$  not present). In such a case, the only way to visit all cities in travel time at most  $n - 1$  is via a Hamiltonian Path.<sup>2</sup>

The reduction from LongPath to SAT is given as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *LongPath on a digraph with  $n$  vertices,  $m$  edges, and a path length  $k$  reduces to SAT in time  $O(n^2k)$ .*

## 2 The Proof

**Constructing a SAT instance  $\varphi$  from a LongPath instance  $(G, s, t, k)$ .**

**Converting a satisfying assignment  $\alpha$  to  $\varphi$  into a LongPath solution  $P$ .**

---

<sup>2</sup>Often in the TSP, it is also required that the salesperson return back to their starting city  $s$ . If we add edges of travel time 1 from all cities to the starting city, then we see that Hamiltonian Path is also a special case of this variant of the TSP.

**Correctness of the Reduction.**

**Runtime of the Reduction.**