Sheet1

| # Suggested Revision | Status | | |
|--|--------|--|--|
| # Abstract | | | |
| 1 As a possible baseline for Indonesian open IE, you may consider using a machine translation to process Indonesian text into English text, and then performing open IE over the translated text. | | | |
| 2 Is the F1-score calculation correct? My calculation gives 0.599. | OK | | |
| 3 (Abstract) -> to be removed | | | |
| # Introduction | | | |
| 4 where x and y called -> where x and y are called | OK | | |
| 5 Please always accompany an Indonesian text with an English translation (e.g., Fig. 1). | | | |
| 6 What is Resource Data Format? Please double-check your reference. There is no Resource Data Format. | | | |
| 7 real word -> real-world (Please double check for typos) | | | |
| 8 Table 1: depends on domain -> domain-dependent | | | |
| 9 Furthermore, considering the scarcity of Indonesian NLP resources, the system need to effectively utilize them to achieve the objective> I don't understand the logic of this sentence? | | | |
| 10 that combine -> that combines (Again, double check for typos) | | | |
| 11 This approach only requires single manually annotated dataset which is required to train triple selector/classifier> Is this an advantage of your approach? Isn't that generally one only | | | |
| needs a single dataset? # Related Work | | | |
| 12 Please add ClausIE [1] (and compare to your approach) as it is one of the most cited open IE articles. [1] Luciano Del Corro and Rainer Gemulla. 2013. In WWW. ACM, pages 355–366. ClausIE: clause-based open information extraction. | OK | | |
| 13 R2A2 is a system built to fix argument extraction problem in ReVerb -> what is the problem in | | | |
| more detail? 14 What is REPTree? | | | |
| 15 Fig. 3 is given but is not described. | | | |
| # Proposed System | | | |
| 16 Text in Fig. 4 is too small. | OK | | |
| 17 Fig. 5 misses the column names. | OK | | |
| 18 Can you say something about the comprehensiveness of the rules in Table 2? | | | |
| 19 Your training data is unbalanced (positive labels Explained in Sec. 5. Thanks! | | | |
| # Experiments | | | |
| 2 Fig. 6 misses the labels for x-axis and y-axis. | OK | | |
| 21 I believe also that for future work, more training data can be added (instead of 132 positive | | | |
| triples). # Analysis | | | |
| 22 Why is the precision of SVM better than Random Forest? | OK | | |