

Team reflection week 6 - Team Tattooine

At first, the last sprint review was discussed throughout the team reflection this week. First off, hurdles encountered during this sprint were put in focus, which were near to none. As user stories were split up further into even smaller developer tasks during the last sprint review, the team discussed the pros and cons of this decision. It was mentioned that it boosted overall productivity as individual team members were now able to pick up many smaller tasks on different user stories without disturbing the work of the team working within that user story. On the other end, the team reflected that distributing tasks evenly now became a problem instead.

Furthermore, the team concluded that the MVP had been achieved. The question was put in focus of whether the team was content with what had been achieved until this point. The end product is roughly what had been planned from the beginning, thus far exceeding the MVP. The stakeholder was involved in rating what she thought about the project at this point, and the KPI's she put down for the team were an improvement since the last review, hence adding more value to the product owner.

The team discussed if everyone felt like they understood the project structure, as it had not been discussed previously. As the project was imported from an existing infrastructure, Vue combining Vite, it was concluded that as there had been a pre-existing structure to build the project on, the team had not needed to focus much on modeling. Furthermore, as the team had switched team members for different project parts, there was a consensus that everyone had a general understanding of all parts of the project, allowing an open discussion regarding how to improve all parts of the project.

Concluding, a question was raised regarding which parts all team members felt like were the best improvements since starting the first sprint. These were: more detailed developer tasks, using a meeting agenda, keeping meetings to once a week, involving external critique and merging after every meeting.