

# Team Reflection week 7

## Customer Value and Scope

We've continued to do our user stories to match the INVEST criteria, formulated according to "As X i want Y because Z" and also the checklists for each user story to mark them as done. Our weekly meeting with our PO confirms the progress and value addition. As well as developer stories contribute to our project workspace.

Our KPIs were performed by having the PO grade the different parts of the project, and we saw an increase in all areas and also in the overall assessment of satisfaction.

## Social Contract and Effort

A lot of this week has been devoted to making final touch ups since it's the last sprint. We didn't start anything huge that made bugs and other problems possible to appear. The social contract has been followed quite well.

## Design decisions and product structure

It occurred to us during the supervision that we did not properly document the project during the course. When we thought about it, we concluded that the need (for our sake) never occurred. Given the simple structure of our project, and the weekly rotations on the different components everyone had a pretty uniform understanding from the start.

Through having independent user stories we are able to divide the work and making sure that we can merge our independent branches without conflicts. To ensure the quality in our code we are making sure that it runs without too high complexity and most of the process can be done dynamically instead of hard coding everything. Because we have a website it is pretty clear cut from that point that code is up to standard because of what is being shown on the page. For example a button should be in the right spot at all times with the acquired design and fulfill its function without any hiccups.

## Application of Scrum

We did have a product owner that came in about halfway through the course, it's a friend of ours that studies mechanical engineering. During the review she would navigate the web page and try the features and look at the overall design. It had an impact on our user stories because we had met the MVP and the focus shifted more towards polishing the functions already in place and improving the design instead of shifting towards expanding the project. In terms of our way of working it remained mostly the same, even though we narrowed down and focused more on fixing tiny things we were still able to do

so independently and without having merging conflicts. The reviews correlated well to our DoD, the PO was very clear on what needed a little more touch up and how it could've looked better.