3 Examples for Lectures 9-10

(Numerical methods for solving linear systems)

3.1 Direct methods

Example 3.1 Solve the system

$$\begin{cases} 2x_1 + 4x_3 + x_4 = 7 \\ 2x_2 + 4x_3 + x_4 = 7 \\ 2x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3 = 9 \\ x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_4 = 5 \end{cases}$$

using the Gauss method with partial pivoting.

We start by writing the matrix A that contains the coefficients of the unknowns (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) . We also write \overline{A} which contains also the column vector b (the result of each equation), since the modifications should be performed on this column too.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 4 & 1 & | & 7 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 1 & | & 7 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 0 & | & 9 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & | & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the first column of \overline{A} , the pivot (maximum element in absolute value) is $a_{11} = 2$, so we do not interchange any rows. $a_{21} = 0$ so we let it the same, and to obtain $a_{31} = 0$ and $a_{41} = 0$, we have to perform $a_{31} = 0$ and $a_{42} = 0$. (Don't forget to change also the column of free term b!)

$$\overline{A} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 4 & 1 & | & 7 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 1 & | & 7 \\ 0 & 4 & -1 & -1 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 & \frac{3}{2} & | & \frac{3}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

On the second column (below the main diagonal - and including it), the maximum element in absolute value is $a_{32} = 4$, so we interchange L_2 and L_3 .

$$\overline{A} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 4 & 1 & | & 7 \\ 0 & 4 & -1 & -1 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 1 & | & 7 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 & \frac{3}{2} & | & \frac{3}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

The pivot is now $a_{22} = 4$. Now, to obtain 0 below the main diagonal on the second column, we need $a_{32} = 0$ and $a_{42} = 0$, so we perform $L_3 - \frac{1}{2}L_2$ and $L_4 - \frac{1}{2}L_2$, obtaining

$$\overline{A} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 4 & 1 & | & 7 \\ 0 & 4 & -1 & -1 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{9}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & | & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & 2 & | & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

On the third column, the maximum element in absolute value below the main diagonal (including it) is $a_{33} = \frac{9}{2}$, so we don't interchange anything. To obtain 0 below the main diagonal, we need $a_{43} = 0$, so we have to compute $L_4 + \frac{1}{3}L_3$, obtaining

$$\overline{A} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 4 & 1 & | & 7 \\ 0 & 4 & -1 & -1 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{9}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & | & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{5}{2} & | & \frac{5}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

1

Now, using backward substitution, we obtain

$$\frac{5}{2}x_4 = \frac{5}{2} \implies x_4 = 1$$

$$\frac{9}{2}x_3 + \frac{3}{2} \cdot 1 = 6 \implies x_3 = 1$$

$$4x_2 - 1 \cdot 1 - 1 \cdot 1 = 2 \implies x_2 = 1$$

$$2x_1 + 0 \cdot 1 + 4 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1 = 7 \implies x_1 = 1$$

Remark 3.2 The theory can be found in Course 9, slides 17–20. Other examples using the Gauss elimination with partial pivoting are in Course 9, slides 21–25.

Example 3.3 Solve the system

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 - 3x_3 = -9 \\ 4x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 = 9 \\ 2x_1 + 4x_2 - x_3 = -5 \end{cases}$$

using the Gauss-Jordan method.

The idea here is to make zeros below and above the main diagonal, reducing the system to something of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{a}_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\
0 & \hat{a}_{22} & \dots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \dots & \hat{a}_{nn}
\end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{b}_1 \\ \hat{b}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{b}_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ such that } x_i = \frac{\hat{b}_i}{\hat{a}_{ii}}, \ i = \overline{1, n}.$$

$$\overline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -3 & | & -9 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & | & 9 \\ 2 & 4 & -1 & | & -5 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{L_2 - 4L_1 \atop L_3 - 2L_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -3 & | & -9 \\ 0 & -3 & 14 & | & 45 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 & | & 13 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{L_3 + \frac{2}{3}L_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -3 & | & -9 \\ 0 & -3 & 14 & | & 45 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{43}{3} & | & 43 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{L_1 + \frac{1}{3}L_2 \atop \frac{143}{3}L_3}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{5}{3} & | & 6 \\ 0 & -3 & 14 & | & 45 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & | & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{L_1 - 5L_3 \atop -2 - 3 - 14L_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & | & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & | & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Longrightarrow \begin{cases} x_1 = 1 \\ x_2 = -1 \\ x_3 = 3 \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.4 In this case we did not use the partial pivoting, we have only solved this system with the usual Gauss-Jordan method.

Remark 3.5 For the LU factorization (modified forms of Gauss elimination), see Course 10, slides 1-3 for theory and slides 4-6 for an example.

3.2 Iterative methods

Example 3.6 Determine the approximate solution for the system

$$\begin{cases} 5x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = 7 \\ x_1 + 5x_2 + x_3 = 7 \\ x_1 + x_2 + 5x_3 = 7 \end{cases}$$

with the initial approximation $x^{(0)} = (0,0,0)^T$ using

a) Jacobi method in 3 steps;
 We can see that the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 5 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

2

is strictly diagonally dominant since

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{11}| &= |5| > |a_{12}| + |a_{13}| = |1| + |-1| = 2 \\ |a_{22}| &= |5| > |a_{21}| + |a_{23}| = |1| + |1| = 2 \\ |a_{3}| &= |5| > |a_{31}| + |a_{32}| = |1| + |1| = 2 \end{aligned}$$

hence all the three methods will converge (no matter what the initial approximation $x^{(0)}$ is). To apply the methods, we have to express the unknown x_k from the equation k with respect to the other unknowns. So, we have

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = \frac{7 - x_2 + x_3}{5} \\ x_2 = \frac{7 - x_1 - x_3}{5} \\ x_3 = \frac{7 - x_1 - x_2}{5} \end{cases}$$
 (3.1)

Now, the Jacobi method consists in expressing $x^{(k)}$ (the unknown x at step k) using the previous approximations $x^{(k-1)}$. We have:

$$\begin{cases} x_1^{(1)} = \frac{7 - x_2^{(0)} + x_3^{(0)}}{5} = \frac{7 - 0 + 0}{5} = \frac{7}{5} = 1.4\\ x_2^{(1)} = \frac{7 - x_1^{(0)} - x_3^{(0)}}{5} = \frac{7 - 0 - 0}{5} = \frac{7}{5} = 1.4\\ x_3^{(1)} = \frac{7 - x_1^{(0)} - x_2^{(0)}}{5} = \frac{7 - 0 - 0}{5} = \frac{7}{5} = 1.4 \end{cases}$$

Next, on the second iteration we have:

$$\begin{cases} x_1^{(2)} = \frac{7 - x_2^{(1)} + x_3^{(1)}}{5} = \frac{7 - \frac{7}{5} + \frac{7}{5}}{5} = \frac{7}{5} = 1.4\\ x_2^{(2)} = \frac{7 - x_1^{(1)} - x_3^{(1)}}{5} = \frac{7 - \frac{7}{5} - \frac{7}{5}}{5} = \frac{21}{25} = 0.84\\ x_3^{(2)} = \frac{7 - x_1^{(1)} - x_2^{(1)}}{5} = \frac{7 - \frac{7}{5} - \frac{7}{5}}{5} = \frac{21}{25} = 0.84 \end{cases}$$

and the last one:

$$\begin{cases} x_1^{(3)} = \frac{7 - x_2^{(2)} + x_3^{(2)}}{5} = \frac{7 - \frac{21}{25} + \frac{21}{25}}{5} = \frac{7}{5} = 1.4\\ x_2^{(3)} = \frac{7 - x_1^{(2)} - x_3^{(2)}}{5} = \frac{7 - \frac{7}{5} - \frac{21}{25}}{5} = \frac{119}{125} = 0.952\\ x_3^{(3)} = \frac{7 - x_1^{(2)} - x_2^{(2)}}{5} = \frac{7 - \frac{7}{5} - \frac{21}{25}}{5} = \frac{119}{125} = 0.952 \end{cases}$$

b) Gauss-Seidel method in 2 steps;

The difference between Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel is that in this case, we have to replace the unknowns with their most recent approximations. So, if we are at the step k, when we compute $x_3^{(k)}$, we won't use x_1 and x_2 from the previous step $(x_1^{(k-1)}, x_2^{(k-1)})$, but instead we will use their values from the current step, since we have already determined them. Using again (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} x_1^{(1)} = \frac{7 - x_2^{(0)} + x_3^{(0)}}{5} = \frac{7 - 0 + 0}{5} = \frac{7}{5} = 1.4 \\ x_2^{(1)} = \frac{7 - x_1^{(1)} - x_3^{(0)}}{5} = \frac{7 - \frac{7}{5} - 0}{5} = \frac{28}{25} = 1.12 \\ x_3^{(1)} = \frac{7 - x_1^{(1)} - x_2^{(1)}}{5} = \frac{7 - \frac{7}{5} - \frac{28}{25}}{5} = \frac{112}{125} = 0.896 \end{cases}$$

Next, we have:

$$\begin{cases} x_1^{(2)} = \frac{7 - x_2^{(1)} + x_3^{(1)}}{5} = \frac{7 - \frac{28}{25} + \frac{112}{125}}{5} = \frac{847}{625} = 1.3552 \\ x_2^{(2)} = \frac{7 - x_1^{(2)} - x_3^{(1)}}{5} = \frac{7 - \frac{847}{625} - \frac{112}{125}}{5} = \frac{2968}{3125} = 0.94976 \\ x_3^{(2)} = \frac{7 - x_1^{(2)} - x_2^{(2)}}{5} = \frac{7 - \frac{847}{625} - \frac{2968}{3125}}{5} = \frac{14672}{15625} = 0.939008 \end{cases}$$

c) SOR method for $\omega = \frac{1}{2}$ in 2 steps.

It is similar to Gauss-Seidel method. First, we compute an intermediary point $\tilde{x}^{(k)}$ as in Gauss-Seidel and then $x^{(k)} = \omega \tilde{x}^{(k)} + (1 - \omega) x^{(k-1)}$. So, for (3.1), we have:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{x_1}^{(1)} = \frac{7 - x_2^{(0)} + x_3^{(0)}}{5} = \frac{7 - 0 + 0}{5} = \frac{7}{5} = 1.4 \\ x_1^{(1)} = \omega \tilde{x_1}^{(1)} + (1 - \omega) x_1^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1.4 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 = 0.7 \\ \tilde{x_2}^{(1)} = \frac{7 - x_1^{(1)} - x_3^{(0)}}{5} = \frac{7 - 0.7 - 0}{5} = 1.26 \\ x_2^{(1)} = \omega \tilde{x_2}^{(1)} + (1 - \omega) x_2^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1.26 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 = 0.63 \\ \tilde{x_3}^{(1)} = \frac{7 - x_1^{(1)} - x_2^{(1)}}{5} = \frac{7 - 0.7 - 0.63}{5} = 1.134 \\ x_3^{(1)} = \omega \tilde{x_3}^{(1)} + (1 - \omega) x_3^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1.134 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 = 0.567 \end{cases}$$

And the second iteration is

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{x_1}^{(2)} = \frac{7 - x_2^{(1)} + x_3^{(1)}}{5} = \frac{7 - 0.63 + 0.567}{5} = 1.3874 \\ x_1^{(2)} = \omega \tilde{x_1}^{(2)} + (1 - \omega) x_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1.3874 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0.7 = 1.0437 \\ \tilde{x_2}^{(2)} = \frac{7 - x_1^{(2)} - x_3^{(1)}}{5} = \frac{7 - 1.0437 - 0.567}{5} = 1.07786 \\ x_2^{(2)} = \omega \tilde{x_2}^{(2)} + (1 - \omega) x_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1.07786 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0.63 = 0.85393 \\ \tilde{x_3}^{(2)} = \frac{7 - x_1^{(2)} - x_2^{(2)}}{5} = \frac{7 - 1.0437 - 0.85393}{5} = 1.020474 \\ x_3^{(2)} = \omega \tilde{x_3}^{(2)} + (1 - \omega) x_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1.020474 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0.567 = 0.793737 \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.7 The exact solution is (1.4; 0.9(3); 0.9(3)).

Remark 3.8 See the theory and other examples for the three Iterative methods in Course 10, slides 7-22.