Department of Agriculture Food and the Marine
National Seafood Centre, Clonakilty, Co. Cork, Ireland

The Marine Institute, Fisheries Ecosystems Advisory Services,
Rinville, Oranmore, Galway, Ireland

An Bord Iascaigh Mhara (Irish Sea Fisheries Board),
Crofton Road, Dun Laoghaire, Co Dublin, Ireland

EU Council Regulation 199/2008

Concerning the establishment of a community framework for the collection, management and use of data in the fisheries sector and support for scientific advice regarding the Common Fisheries Policy

Annual Report 2016

IRELAND

31st May 2017

I. General framework

The Marine Institute has been delegated responsibility by its parent government department, Department of Agriculture Food and Marine (DAFM) to organise and co-ordinate Irelands obligations under the DCF and the MI has therefore compiled this document as the Annual Report on 2013 sampling activity for Ireland. This programme addresses the following; Article 7 of Council Regulation 199/2008, Article 5 of Commission Regulation 665/2008 and the Annex of Commission Decision 2010/93/EU. The general framework presented adheres to the most recent guidelines, (version January 2016) as agreed and circulated by the European Commission.

Table I.A.2 provides an updated list of Ireland's bi – lateral agreements with UK – Scotland, Denmark, and France.

Ireland also has agreements with the Danish and the Dutch on Regional Coordination for the Cost sharing of the International Ecosystem Survey in Nordic Waters, and the Blue Whiting joint research surveys. This agreement was coordinated through the RCM NS&EA in 2016.

Ireland began the transition towards Statistically Sound Sampling strategies in 2016, in order to ensure that Irish sampling programmes are more representative of the landings. The first step in this process focused on the demersal port sampling programmes, where the target number of sampling trips to each port was allocated so as to be proportional to the landings of the main demersal species. There are a large number of small ports that contribute very little to the overall landings, and it was decided that only the top 21 ports would be sampled. These ports represent 95% of the demersal landings in the last 2 years. The focus in 2017 will be on rolling out 4S sampling to the pelagic port sampling programmes and the at-sea programmes.

II. National data collection organisation

II.A National correspondent and participating institutes

National Correspondent for Ireland

Dr. Leonie O Dowd,

The Marine Institute (MI)

Fisheries Ecosystems Advisory Services,

Rinville,

Oranmore,

Co. Galway.

IRELAND

Phone: 00353 91 387200

Fax: 00353 91 387201

leonie.odowd@marine.ie

Participating Institutes

The Irish National Programme was conducted in close co-operation between three organisations in Ireland;

Department of Department of Agriculture, Food and Marine (DAFM)

DAFM is the main governmental department with responsibility for sea fisheries policy, and management. In carrying out its mandate the Department undertakes a variety of functions including:

- Policy advice and development on all areas of Departmental responsibility.
- Representation in international especially EU and national negotiations.
- Development and implementation of national and EU schemes in support of Agriculture, Food, Fisheries, Forestry and Rural Environment.
- Monitoring and controlling aspects of Food Safety.
- Control and audit of public expenditure under its control.
- Regulation of the agriculture, fisheries, and food industries through national and EU legislation.
- Monitoring and controlling animal and plant health and animal welfare.
- Monitoring and direction of State Bodies engaged in the following areas - research training and advice - market development and promotion- industry regulation and development- commercial activities.
- Direct provision of support services to Agriculture, Fisheries, Food and Forestry.

Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine
National Seafood Centre,
Clonakilty, Co . Cork
Phone : 00353 23 59500
Fax : 00353 23 59508
www.agriculture.gov.ie

The Marine Institute (MI)

The MI is a state marine research organisation charged by DAFM with the collection of scientific data on the fisheries sector. The MI is the State agency responsible for marine research, technology development and innovation in Ireland. It provides scientific and technical advice to Government to help inform policy and to support the sustainable development of Ireland's marine resource.

The aim of the MI is to safeguard Ireland's unique marine heritage through research and environmental monitoring. The research, strategic funding programmes, and national marine research platforms support the development of Ireland's maritime economy.

The Marine Institute was set up under the Marine Institute Act 1991:

"To undertake, to coordinate, to promote and to assist in marine research and development and to provide such services related to research and development, that in the opinion of the Institute, will promote economic development and create employment and protect the marine environment." and the Marine Institutes vision is for:

"A thriving maritime economy in harmony with the ecosystem and supported by the delivery of excellence in our services."

The Marine Institute
Rinville,
Oranmore.
Co. Galway
Phone: 00353 91387200
Fax : 00353 91387201
www.marine.ie

An Board Iascaigh Mhara (BIM – The Irish Sea Fisheries Board)

BIM, was established under the Sea Fisheries Act 1952, and is the Irish State agency responsible for developing the Irish seafood industry.

BIM's mission is to grow a thriving Irish seafood industry; expand the raw material base, add value and develop efficient supply chains that together deliver on the Government's Food Harvest 2020 targets for seafood and create sustainable jobs. The Agency helps to develop the Irish seafood industry by providing:

- technical expertise
- business support
- funding
- training
- and by promoting responsible environmental practices

BIM Strategy

BIM Strategy 2013-2017 (pdf 1,482Kb) is an action plan to deliver 1200 jobs and €1 billion seafood sales by building scale and enhancing competitiveness in the Irish seafood sector.

Board Iascaigh Mhara
PO Box 12
Crofton Road.
Dun Laoghaire
Co. Dublin.
Phone : 00353 1 2144100
Fax : 00535 1 2144119
www.bim.ie

A **National Data Collection Framework portal website** for the dissemination of information on DCF related activities by Ireland has been established in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) 665/2008 Article 8(2): and can be accessed at the following web link:

http://www.dcmap-ireland.ie/

National Co – Ordination

A National Co – Ordination meeting was held in The Marine Institute**,** Galway on the 29th of July 2016 to ensure all participating institutes were clear on their roles and responsibilities regarding the DCF programme. The Commission was also invited to participate. Here are the full minutes of that meeting:

National Coordination Meeting [IRELAND]

Data Collection Framework

Marine Institute, Oranmore, July 29th, 2016

Minutes and Action Points

Present:

Marine Institute (MI): Leonie O'Dowd (*LOD*) – National Correspondent, Gráinne Ni Chonchuir (*GNC*), Helen McCormick (*HMC*), Niamh Slattery (*NS*), Russell Poole (*RP*), Hans Gerritsen (*HG*)

Bord Iascaigh Mhara (*BIM*) (Irish Sea Fisheries Board): Herbie Dennis (*HD*), Emmet Jackson (*EJ*), Cathriona McCarthy (*CMC*).

1. Review of Action Points

Under 10m workshop: A workshop is planned as part of PGECON in Autumn 2016.

% Action point: BIM to keep MI in the loop with regards to an under 10 workshop (*EJ*).

Open Access DCF website: Current MI DCF website needs to be updated.

% Action point: Review MI DCF website and whether parts of it can be open access with some parts restricted to project partners. Check with DAFM if this could be linked to the DAFM EMFF website or if there needs to be a separate EMFF UP3 DCF website component as part of the DAFM EMFF site (*LOD*).

2. National Programme 2015 Annual Report and data transmission

DCF reports and evaluations, including annual report, cost statement and STECF comments: Annual report was well received; improvement in the fleet economics has been noted. In the other modules only minor issues have been noted.

% Action point: Everybody to review circulated issues and get back to GNC by the end of week 5th of August.

Data calls: missing data for under 10s. This is a reoccurring issue and will be much improved for 2016. Clustering can cause issues. No issues have been noted for other data calls so far.

3. Current National Programme ~ 2016 (All)

- Biological sampling: Inaugural year for the 4S sampling, carried out for demersal port sampling, but not for pelagics or *Nephrops*. Working well for the port sampling scheme with new ports and sampling locations added.
- Sampling at sea is problematic and only 50% of the sampling targets have been met for demersal and *Nephrops* directed trips. Reasons are being investigated, but are believed to be a combination of the effects of the landing's obligation, the penalty point system and a limited pool of contractors. From next year refusal rates have to be recorded as well, which could further inhibit access to vessels. Sampling of pelagic trips are at 100% of targets to date.
- Sentential vessel data is good.
- Surveys at sea are on target with no vessel issues to date.
- Socio-economic aquaculture data: New variables are required. Information sources based on voluntary surveys are working satisfactory at the moment, but there are concerns that the additional data requirements will reduce response rates. Alternative sources should be investigated to reduce burden on voluntary sources. Some variables are sensitive and could alienate survey participants, e.g. education level. Should be seen in the context of the sector only.

  % Action point: *HD* to liaise with other sections within BIM and other agencies to see if this data is already collected and available.
- Socio economic fisheries data: Data collection is linked to grant aids, which has improved the return rates of survey questionnaires. Self-declaration of economic data is possible for under 10s. Quality of data is poor and has high variability. For vessels over 10 meters permission to contact their accountants directly for the next 5 years is tied into EMFF funding for POs, this improves the data quality and data access. Overall the return rates have improved from 10% to 15%.

- Socio-economic data for processing sector: No issues to report. Data is still being made available.
- Data Calls: MI staff resource issues are currently being resolved, the new data manager team leader will work with DAFM/SFPA to improve access to data. Currently BIM are receiving the landings data from the SFPA and the effort data from the MI. For capacity reporting it has been agreed to use the EU Fleet register on the 31st of December.

4. DCF/DC MAP 2017 + New implementing decisions

% Action point: LOD to circulate the presentation on new DCF legislations.

- Socioeconomics- main changes: Calculation of income is problematic, units are not defined. As a general issue, there is a problem with the renaming and definition of socio economic variables in the new EUMAP/Workplan template. Need to have a compendium of definitions and "metrics" which explain how the variables are calculated to ensure clarity and consistency between member states. This was strongly recommended by PGECON and needs to be circulated ASAP before the national workplan is drafted. Units need to be explained and definition of categories, e.g. age classes, education level etc. need to be provided to have a unified approach among MS.
- Detail Aquaculture: Environmental data for aquaculture table 8: Mortalities are not a problem, but the data source for introduction of chemicals/medicines needs to be identified.

% Action point: Check with MI- Fiona Geoghegan / Dave Jackson on availability of environmental aquaculture variables (*LOD/HD*).

5. Clarification from the Commission on DCF/DC MAP 2017 + -Summary of teleconference:

Question Ireland: In terms of the processing we would like confirmation that the voluntary nature of this part of the data collection would still be eligible for funding under the programme and that the data 'required' would be table 11 which was removed from the more recent Annex document.

Answer Commission: Yes, eligible under Article 77 of the EMFF regulation.

Question Ireland: In terms of the new social variables for fisheries and aquaculture we still hold the opinion that some of these are not relevant. This is not likely to change, but we do need specific definitions regarding the meaning behind these variables and their unit of measure, which, as they stand are ambiguous. Variable definitions have been worked on through ad hoc contracts and at PGECON so we would like confirmation that these will be provided.

Answer Commission: The ad hoc contract on the methodology/definitions was completed and will be published on the DCF website. Any queries for clarification should be sent to the Commission (Bas and Venetia) who will pass this onto the inhouse experts dealing with the socioeconomic aspects of the DCF.

Question Ireland: In terms of recreational fisheries, Ireland has pre – approved derogations for recreational fisheries (table provided to the Commission). Does Ireland need to re – establish these derogations (re – do pilot surveys etc.) or can they be rolled over into the new programme?

Answer Commission: If the derogations have been approved on the basis of high survivability and/or low mortality on the stock (ie low levels/proportion of recreational catches in relation to commercial fisheries) these can carry on until the DCF recast is adopted as they are still valid under the current DCF. The basis for the derogation should be included in the NWP. In the future EUMAP, the basis for derogations will be the thresholds and it needs to be checked if current derogations are still valid. Some recreational fisheries have no agreed thresholds so they must be collected. International agreements supersede EU agreements and need to be covered.

Question Ireland: Previous derogation for Biological sampling, eg seed mussel derogation?

Answer Commission: need to check what basis was used for the derogations and if this is still holds under the new EUMAP.

Question Ireland: In terms of EMFF indicators, how is the indicator "% of data calls fulfilled" calculated and how do the timelines of the evaluation process fit in with the requirements of the EMFF annual implementation report due in May?
Answer Commission: This is still being developed by the EMFF expert group.

Question Ireland: In terms of WP deadlines, the email from the Commission (18.7.2016) stated that the NP should be submitted before the legal deadline in order to facilitate evaluation by STECF, can you elaborate?
Answer Commission: The legal deadline is the 31st of October, but STECF is meeting on the 7th of November. The commission needs to pre-screen the workplans. Hence the commission urges MS to have the NWP submitted at least two weeks before the STECF meeting. The commission is putting together a repository for common questions and queries to circulate to the RCMs. MS should provide any comments/problems to the Commission and to the appropriate RCM chair for consideration by the RCMs.

Question Ireland: Where can we access the final reports of the studies funded under regional grants 'MARE/2014/19?
Answer Commission: Final reports are currently being reviewed and will be available on the DCF website shortly.
Question Ireland: EM Map Annex Table 1A: will it be sufficient to list the stocks for which the MS has either landings or a TAC share?
Answer Commission: Prefers to have all the stocks listed but only for the geographical regions applicable to MS. This will allow easy comparison to other MS.

Question Ireland: Table 1B: 'Frequency' this is likely to be different for different parameters (e.g length: quarterly, maturity: annual)
Answer Commission: Agrees, proposes a frequency code. Asks for all issues that need to be communicated to the RCMs to be sent to the Commission in advance of the RCMs.

Question Ireland: Table 4D: How is this table informative; there is no way to split the landings to match the sampling programme, e.g. demersal/pelagic/shellfish.

Answer Commission: Allows MS to have a description of the populations and or sampling units within their sampling scheme. The rationale behind these table columns is explained in the fish pie project and will be disseminated as final report on the DCF website.

Question Ireland: Table 4D: Fishing grounds to be agreed at marine regional level on the basis of existing areas defined by RFMO or scientific bodies. What needs to go in here?
Answer Commission: Table is very flexible, fishing ground can be added if available.

Question Ireland: Table 5A: 'Is the sampling design documented' what about documents that are not available online?
Answer Commission: Add link or explain that document is not online. The aim is to have the methodology/design fully documented and available.

Question Ireland: Table 6A: is the year of implementation not the same as the year the data are collected?
Answer Commission: Mostly, but for economic variables it may be the previous year.

Question Ireland: IMPLEMENTING DECISION- work plan template- Table 1A: is there a difference between two stocks that are given on separate lines (e.g. Conger in X and Conger in all areas excluding X) and stocks that are separated by a dash (e.g. Herring in VIa/VIaN/VIaS etc)?
Answer Commission: Stock break down in table 1 A should be reviewed by RCMs and end-users (not Commission), as not consistent. Multiple stock entries in single rows could have been entered to reduce size of table in legal document. The table can be improved for the next legal version.

Question Ireland: Quality assurance 5a & 5b
STECF plenary made reference to recommendations 16/08.

Question Ireland: Will there be a more detailed structure of the work plan and guidelines for the submission?

Answer Commission: Yes these will be published on the DCF website.

% **Action Point for all**: Document any issues when filling in the tables to report back to the commission and appropriate RCM chair before the RCMs. It is hoped there will be a RCM repository to address common questions and queries.

6. DCF 2017 National Programme – planning ahead (All)

% **Action points**:

- Compile document listing the agencies responsible for the different data required under the DCF, ie MI, BIM, SFPA, DAFM (EJ/LOD).
- Ensure DAFM are fully aware of data sets used for data calls. Issues around who is responsible for data quality, SFPA collect it, MI and BIM report on it. Similar issue for recreational data collected by DAFM, IFI & MI. Needs to be agreements (MOU's) put in place.
- Subgroup under 10m – possible in October/November depending on PGECON workshop. To include SFPA?
- Sentinel Programme- the utility is being queried: Meeting with EJ and OT to discuss sentinel programme. A goal is to disseminate data back to the fishermen. Should be a discussion point under the NIFs and RIFs (EJ to discuss with IL & MK-BIM).
- All – to start filling out the tables and noting any issues arising between now and end of August. Phone conference between all 2 weeks before RCM to go through progress and issues. Provisional target of 21st of October to submit Ireland's new national workplan.

II.B Regional and International coordination

II.B.1 Attendance of international meetings

Attendance at International meetings in 2016 is described in Table II.B.1. During 2016 Ireland participated fully at the relevant ICES planning groups, study groups, working groups, regional co-ordination meetings and workshops which are directly related to data collection requirements under Commission Regulation (EC) 199/2008.

II.B.2 Follow-up of regional and international recommendations

All relevant recommendations addressed directly to Ireland, to the Member States in general, or to the National Correspondents are outlined in Table II.B.2 along with Ireland's responsive actions in 2016. Recommendations from the RCM NA, are included in Table II.B.2. No new recommendations arose during discussions at the 48th plenary meeting of the STECF (PLEN-15-01), nor from the 49th plenary meeting of the STECF (PLEN-15-02) and finally no new recommendations arose during discussions at the 50th plenary meeting of the STECF (PLEN-15-03. The relevant survey planning group recommendations are also listed in Table II.B.2. PGECON made no recommendations in 2015 to be actioned in 2016, by individual Member States, which is why no recommendations appear in Table II.B.2. In 2016 the International Bottom Trawl Survey Working Group (IBTSWG) made six recommendations which were directed at WGNSSK, SCICOM and the ICES Data Centre, and so no IBTSWG recommendations appear in Table II.B.2. The Working Group on *Nephrops* Surveys (WGNEPS) made two recommendations in 2015 for action in 2016, where MS were included as being responsible to action. Recommendations from WGMEGS and WGBEAM are also included in Table II.B.2

Ireland participates at the RCM-NA and does not participate at any other RCM, as the vast majority of our fisheries are in the North Atlantic. Ireland has never attended the RCM NS & EA, as Irish fishing activity in the North Sea in recent years has been limited to pelagic fisheries and some crab potting in the central North Sea, accounting for between 5 – 8% of Irish landings, and accounting for <1% of the total fishing effort in this area. It is clear that under these circumstances Ireland can have no meaningful contribution to make at the RCM NS & EA, and we would ask that our non-attendance at this meeting is considered reasonable.

III. Module of the evaluation of the fishing sector

III.A General Description of the fishing sector

The Irish fishing fleet consists of a little over 1,400 vessels and is divided into 5 segments; polyvalent, pelagic trawl, specific bivalve, aquaculture and beam trawl. Inshore vessels (<10m) are also sampled under the DCF and are included in the polyvalent, specific bivalve and aquaculture segments. There are about 6,000 people working in the fishing fleet associated activities. The majority of Irish fishing, data collection and sampling activity is concentrated in the ICES Sub-areas around Ireland VI and VII where Irish vessels are engaged in demersal, pelagic, industrial, and tuna-like fisheries (Table III A 1). The pelagic fishery targeting mackerel and horse mackerel also operates in the II, IIIa, IV and since 2015 have been subject to the landing obligation for both small and large pelagic species. Some pelagic landings in those fisheries are landed in the UK–Scotland, France, Denmark and Norway where scientists in those countries sample them. In recent years Ireland has one vessel fishing small pelagics off Mauritania which are landed into Spain. In the RCM long distance fisheries held in Vigo it was decided that sampling levels by Spain and the Netherlands cover this metier adequately. Ireland no longer has a deep water or eel fishery.

Two new metiers for sampling have emerged in area VIIa as a response to the Cod Management Plan (EC regulation 1342/2008). In 2010, three Irish vessels were exempted from effort restrictions associated with 1342/2008. These vessels use a selective grid to maintain cod catches below 1.5%, in accordance with article 11.3 of 1342/2008. The number of vessels using grids initially increased to eleven but this has subsequently decreased again as vessels have tended to take up alternative species selective gears including large (300mm) square mesh panels and the SELTRA trawl. In addition, UK vessels operating in the same fishery have been granted exemption for effort limitations due to the use of large square mesh panels, SELTRA trawls. Irish vessels are also being encouraged to use this gear and will automatically avail of effort exemptions. Those vessels opting to use such gears can automatically avail of exemption from effort restrictions but are required (EU Regulation 237/2010) to be subject to an increased sampling programme and are now considered as a separate metier for sampling purposes as their catch composition is different to other otter trawl vessels targeting *Nephrops*, as well as to satisfy reporting requirements under article 11.3. Given the shift towards more selective gears and the two articles associated with the cod recovery plan, it is necessary to treat the vessels using grids or SELTRA trawls as one discrete metier while those using separator panels or the large square

mesh panels as another. As a result the numbers of metiers targeting prawns is now two, however they are now divided into grid, SELTRA and other separator methods and this has been reflected in our sampling strategy.

The landing obligation is now being phased in for demersal species. Currently OTB vessels in the Celtic Sea are obliged to land all catches of whiting and *Nephrops* depending on the contribution to their overall catches, while in the Irish Sea and West of Scotland, vessels are obliged to land haddock and *Nephrops*. It would appear at this stage that the introduction of the landing obligation is having an adverse effect on the willingness of skippers to carry scientific observers; and this situation is being closely monitored and mitigating actions are being taken to try to address the concerns and fears of skippers.

III.B Economic variables

Baltic Sea (ICES areas III b-d), North Sea (ICES areas IIIa, IV and VIId) and Eastern Arctic (ICES areas I and II), and North Atlantic (ICES areas V-XIV and NAFO areas)

III.B.1 Achievements: Results and deviation from NP proposal

See tables III.B.1, III.B.2 and III.B.3 for information collected during the sampling year.

The data sources for the implementation of the NP are:

- Fleet register information from the Community Fishing Fleet Register;
- Fleet register information from the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine (DAFM);
- Log sheet information from the Sea Fisheries Protection Authority (SFPA) of DAFM;
- Voluntary questionnaire information, returned from vessel owners targeted for the annual economic survey;
- Mandatory questionnaire information, returned from vessel owners when applying for government grant aid;
- End of year accountant's reports, from certified accountants, containing income and earnings and balance sheet details;

The structure of the fishing fleet, for 2014 (Table III.B.1), represents all active vessels over 10m in length and all vessels on the Community fishing fleet register under 10m fleet with an estimate of activity as it is not possible to accurately estimate the inactivity in this segment. The frame population consisted of all active vessels from which a planned sample was targeted.

The following data sources have been used to segment the fleet:

- EU Fleet Register on the 1st January of the reference year;
- EU log-book gear effort activity records for vessels active in the reference year (>10 metres LOA);
- Recorded fishing activity from previous economic surveys.

The MS continued to operate a system of data collection, whereby all vessel owners were required to submit DCF data with all applications for EU and National grant aid. These actions contributed significantly to the successful achievement of the data collection strategy by the MS and improved the returns rates when compared with previous years. As in previous years, the timing of the 2014 survey was scheduled to coincide with the final date for submission of tax returns for the previous financial year. However, the survey was circulated earlier in the year (July) to encourage the active participation of fishermen and their accountant's in providing the relevant data in a timely fashion.

Estimation of capital value and capital costs

In accordance with Appendix VI of Commission Decision (2008/199/EC), the Perpetual Inventory Method (PIM) was applied to estimate capital value and costs for each of the fleet segments in Table III.B.1. The following input parameters (required by the STECF model) were estimated;

- Selected capacity unit,
- Price per capacity unit,
- Share in total investment ,
- Government bonds,
- Market rate for loans.

Capacity indicators and capital value was estimate for those vessels that responded to the annual survey. This was a deviation from the NP. In future, capacity indicators and capital value will be estimated for all vessels on the register, regardless of their activity. The following sources will be used to estimate the input parameters to the PIM model:

- Questions on fixed assets, investments, and depreciation from the annual economic survey,
- EU fleet register,
- EU log-book data,
- Sentinel vessel programme.

Specifically, the following methods, are used to determine capital value:

- Book value (depreciated value of capital at acquisition prices) is the reference value used in the estimation of the Price per Capacity unit;

- Calculation of gross historical value (observed depreciated historical value + cumulated depreciation costs);

Depreciation rates are indicated on the balance sheet provided by each vessel. For vessels with no survey returns, the depreciation schedules permitted by national tax laws were used to estimate depreciation.

The following service lives are used for macro-economic analysis:

- Hull – 25 years;
- Engine – 10 years;
- Electronics – 5 years;
- Other equipment – 7 years.

Agreed values for share of each asset on the total value of capital were taken from No FISH/2005/03, Evaluation of the Capital Value, Investment and Captial Cost in the Fisheries Sector.

Results

The national programme tables indicate higher planned sample numbers than those in III.B.1 in this report. This was due to the inclusion of all registered vessels in the frame population of the National Programme table II.B.1. This overestimated the planned sample rate. Correcting the frame population to account for inactive vessels has lessened the frame population and increased the achieved sample returns rates.

Due to the timing of the 2015 survey the MS is still collecting data for 2014.

All efforts are made to upload the most up-to-date estimates. At the time of the 2016 Economic data call there was an overall 13% survey return. This is expected to rise up until the next survey is launched in July 2016.

Deviation from NP proposal

Where possible and not withstanding any programmatic change, and fleet changes, the annual report tables aim to reflect the National Programme's tables for the reference year, in this case 2014.

The fleet segmentations presented in Table III.B.1 deviate slightly from those presented in the National Programme and have been updated to match the fleet segment clustering

used for the 2016 DCF economic data submission to the Joint Research Council (JRC) for years 2008-2014.

Clustering was carried out following the methodologies required by the DCF and STECF recommendations. Clustering has taken place where the segments are not important or to protect confidentiality. As clustering is kept temporally consistent, some clusters, in certain years, can have zero vessels for some segments. This reflects changes in gear effort and thus the gear classification.

The operation of the economic aspect of the data collection framework has been much improved relative to previous years with MS sampling targets increasing for some segments. The MS has also increase its target sampling size in some instances in an attempt to tackle data poor segments. There was an effort to collect more data from the under 10m fleet and this was realised.

Lacking a mandatory European legislative instrument to direct compliance with DCF data requests, the MS continues to be forced to rely on the goodwill of the seafood industry to provide data on a voluntary basis. This situation is far from ideal and as a result, survey response rates are highly variable and unpredictable. Without incentive, this unstable situation is likely to persist.

III.B.2 Data quality: Results and deviation from NP proposal

See tables III.B.3 with the values of the accuracy indicators.

Deviation from NP

Due to the voluntary nature of the national survey, it was not possible to guarantee that the sampling levels of the national programme were achieved. The actions of the MS in the encouragement of the industry to provide DCF returns resulted in the over-achievement of sampling targets in a number of segments and an under-achievement of targets in other segments. The total number of returns for vessels from the voluntary survey exceeded the sampling target total and this is regarded as a positive achievement by the MS and a first indication of recognition from the industry.

Estimation of Employment

In accordance with Appendix VI, a harmonised FTE was estimated for each of the fleet segments in Table III.B.1. For vessels >10 meters in length (LOA), operational data from log-book submissions was used in the estimation of fishing time on a trip-by-trip basis. In addition, several questions on the annual economic survey forms that deal specifically with hours worked, both at sea and in land-based activities associated with fishing, were used to provide additional detail. For segments that lacked data industry averages were applied from similar, data rich segments.

III.B.3 Actions to avoid deviations

The Member State is fully aware of its obligations under the DCF in relation to population coverage and the reporting of precision. As the MS has no current option but to operate a voluntary survey, success is dependent on industry cooperation to achieve the required level of sampling detailed in the National Programme. Mindful of the limitations of a voluntary survey, the following actions have been taken by the MS, to increase the response rates to present and future surveys and to improve the management of the DCF:

- The MS will retain conditions relating to EU or National grant-aid which make it mandatory for the provision of economic data under the DCF. This action was included in the 2014 Annual Report and the MS has delivered on this action and has significantly increased survey return rates, especially for the under 10m fleet.
- The MS expects to improve the data provision rate in compliance with the DCF, through the requirements of the national ISO65 standard for wild seafood. This action was included in the 2014 Annual Report. This standard will be put in place this year and survey response rates are expected to increase once this is in operation.
- The MS has improved the survey return rate and decreased the time lag between the end of the reference year and the provision of data. The MS tightened this gap further by conducting the annual economic survey earlier in 2015 so that more data was available by the time of the DCF data call in early 2016.

- In previous years data for effort and landings for under 10m segments was lacking. This has been addressed this year and the best available data was provided.

III.C Metier-related variables

North Atlantic (ICES areas V-XIV and NAFO areas)

III.C.1 Achievements: Results and deviation from NP proposal

For details of identified métiers, merges and planned and achieved sampling trips per sampling frame, métier and numbers aged and measured please see Tables IIIC1, III.C.3, III.C.4 and III.C.6
Ireland began the transition towards Statistically Sound Sampling strategies in 2016, in order to ensure that Irish sampling programmes are more representative of the landings. The first step in this process focused on the demersal port sampling programmes, where the target number of sampling trips to each port was allocated so as to be proportional to the landings of the main demersal species. There are a large number of small ports that contribute very little to the overall landings, and it was decided that only the top 21 ports would be sampled. These ports represent 95% of the demersal landings in the last 2 years. This phased roll – out approach will continue in 2017, where pelagic port and at sea sampling programmes will be reviewed and adjusted in line with best practice guidelines.

In 2014 the reference period used to rank and select métiers for sampling was updated from 2008 – 2009 and now refers to the reference years 2011 – 2013. The previous ranking which appears in the National Programme; NP 2011 – 2013, which was subsequently rolled over into 2014 – 2015 is now considered to be outdated

The Catch Sampling at sea programme provides valuable data that is used in ICES stock assessments and has, until now, relied on the trust and good will of the industry to take observers to sea. The phased introduction of the landings obligation (LO) has however changed the operating environment significantly, resulting in the number of catch sampling trips achieved in 2016 being 31% below the target (planned 222 trips and achieved 154). The numbers of soft and hard refusals has increased significantly in 2016.
This situation is not unique to Ireland as other member states are also experiencing problems, but the severity of the problem varies. All member states are expecting the situation to get worse in the near term as the LO is phased in and questions are raised regarding official and scientific discard estimates. Solutions in member states have mainly focused on addressing the risk dimension by minimising risk to vessels facilitating the catch sampling programmes often in an informal way or by pseudo-anonymising data collected.

Analysts, sampling in VIIa ports have been met with a new reticence, from the industry to allow sampling events, either at sea or in the markets. This is mostly related to issues with limiting quotas, and fears over the Landing Obligation. They have noted an increase in soft and hard refusals in this area in particular. As a result, and in spite of focused communications and effort, many VIIa targets have not been fully met in 2016.

III.C.2 Data quality Issues

Changes to Métiers

The following changes have been made to the métiers stated within the 2015 national program due to the implementation of gear technology regulations:

- Vessels operating within the Irish Sea *Nephrops* fishery are now required to install a separator panel, therefore the métier OTB_CRU_70-99_0_0 has been replaced by OTB_CRU_70-99_1_0. Métier OTB_CRU_70-99_2_0 with the use of a separator grid is still permitted.

- Vessels using bottom otter trawl gears within the west of Scotland (VIa) are now required to use a 120mm square mesh panel within the codend. Therefore in fishing ground VI this results in the splitting of métier OTB_100-119_0_0 into OTB_100-119_0_0 for those trips occurring in VIb and OTB_100-119_1_120 for those occurring in VIa.

- From August 1st 2012 within VIIf and VIIg bottom otter trawls using 70-99mm mesh cod ends were required to fit a 110mm square mesh panel this therefore splits the métier OTB_70-99_0_0 into OTB_70-99_0_0 and OTB_70-99_1_110. Within larger mesh cod ends 100-119mm meshes, a 120mm square mesh panel was required, splitting métier OTB_100-119_0_0 into OTB_100-119_0_0 and OTB_100-119_1_120.

Metier LVL6	Replacement Métier LVL6	Space strata	Time strata	Comments
OTB_CRU_70-99_0_0	OTB_CRU_70-99_1_0	VIIa	Yearly	Inclusion of separator panel

Metier LVL6	Replacement Métier LVL6	Space strata	Time strata	Comments
	OTB_CRU_70-99_2_0	VIIa	Yearly	Inclusion of separator grid
OTB_DEF_70-99_0_0	OTB_DEF_70-99_0_0	VIIfgh	Yearly	Prior to 1/8/2012 in VIIf and VIIg, else VIIh
	OTB_DEF_70-99_1_110	VIIfgh	Yearly	Post 1/8/2012 in VIIf and VIIg
OTB_DEF_100-119_0_0	OTB_DEF_100-119_0_0	VIIfgh	Yearly	Prior to 1/8/2012 in VIIf and VIIg, else VIIh
	OTB_DEF_100-119_1_120	VIIfgh	Yearly	Post 1/8/2012 in VIIf and VIIg
OTB_DEF_100-119_0_0	OTB_DEF_100-119_0_0	VI	Yearly	Fishing activity in VIb
	OTB_DEF_100-119_1_120	VI	Yearly	Fishing activity in VIa

Table III.C.1 provides details of the updated ranking and
métier selection using the reference years 2011 – 2013.
Table III.C.4 outlines Ireland's planned sampling strategy by sampling frame in 2016.
And Table III.C.3 further breaks this down to present the achieved sampling trips by métier within each of the sampling frames.
In general, the majority of the planned market sampling targets were achieved or surpassed in an effort to substitute for the loss of sampling opportunities at sea (please refer to earlier text in this section on the catch sampling at sea programme).

Additional sampling over and above the DCF targets was funded at national expense.

Table III.C.3: Sampling Trips by Métier.

DRB_MOL_0_0 in VIIa, targeting scallops, and cockles. 5of the planned 13 at sea trips were achieved and 1 additional concurrent trip to those planned was achieved ashore. No at sea cockle sampling was undertaken in 2016 as there was no fishery.

DRB_MOL_0_0_0 in VIIfgh, targeting scallops. A total of 10 trips were planned and 20 trips were achieved, with a redistribution of effort towards market sampling.

DRB_MOL_0_0_0 in VIIbcjk, targeting Razor Clams (Ensis silique) - 6 opportunistic, concurrent at sea trips and 1 sampling trip ashore were achieved for this métier.

DRB_MOL_0_0_0 in VI, targeting Razor Clams (Ensis silique) and oysters - 10 opportunistic, market sampling trips ashore were achieved for this métier.

FPO_CRU_0_0_0:, in VI; targeting brown crab and lobster. A total of 31 trips were planned and only 5 trips were achieved. This was due to a difficulty in getting a contractor in place to sample this fleet. Data from crab and lobster catches at sea, and size composition data were collected through the self-sampling element of the Sentinel Vessel Programme. A contractor has been appointed and is in place for 2017.

FPO_CRU_0_0_0:, in VIIa, targeting lobster and brown crab. A total of 12 trips were planned for this metier, and 22 concurrent at sea sampling trips were achieved.

FPO_CRU_0_0_0:, in VIIbcjk targeting lobster. A total of 19 trips were planned and 37 trips were achieved, with the majority of these trips (29) being completed at sea.

FPO_CRU_0_0_0:, in VIIfgh; targeting crab and lobster. 16 trips were planned for this metier, however only 3 sampling trips at sea were achieved. This was due to the fact that no lobster or crab fishing took place in VIIf&h. 3 trips in VIIg is reasonable given the low landings and effort for crab and lobster in VII generally.

FPO_MOL_0_0_0 in VIIa, targeting Whelk (Buccinum undatum). A total of 12 market sampling trips were planned for this metier and 25 trips were achieved.

FPO_MOL_0_0_0 in VI, targeting Whelk (Buccinum undatum). A total of 12 market sampling trips were planned for this metier and 0 trips were achieved. This was due to a difficulty in getting a contractor in place to sample this fleet and also difficulty in accessing the landings which reduced by 300t in 2016 when compared to 2015 landings. A contractor is now in place and will sample this métier in 2017.

OTB_DEF__70 – 99_0_0 and OTB_DEF__100 – 119_0_0 in VIIfgh. 4 concurrent at sea trips were planned and 7 were achieved. 4 concurrent in the market trips were also planned and 95 trips were achieved.

OTB_DEF_100-119_0_0 in VIIa: A total of 8 trips were planned and 4 trips were achieved for this metier. There were significant difficulties experienced with sampling at sea and also sampling in the market in VIIa during 2016. The addition of grids and panels has resulted in a steady decline in landings of whitefish landed from this ICES Area.
There have also been accessibility issues, with staff encountering a lot of "soft no's" when attempting to sample at the various markets. Refusals are being logged and every effort is being made to address this issue at the highest level – please refer to text under section III.C.1 and III.C.3

OTB_DEF_70-99_0_0 and OTB_DEF_100-119_0_0 in VIIbcjk targeting whitefish: A total of 7 concurrent at sea sampling trips were achieved out of 16 planned. However a total of 123 market sampling trips ashore were completed instead of the 16 planned, to balance this shortfall.

OTB_DEF_100-119_0_0 and OTB_DEF_100-119_1_120 in VI, targeting whitefish. 14 concurrent at sea sampling trips were planned for these métiers and 8 trips were achieved. However 43 market sampling trips ashore were achieved instead of the 10 trips planned, to make up for the shortfall in at sea sampling.

OTB_CRU_70 – 99_0_0 and OTB_CRU_70-99_1_0: *Nephrops* in VIIa. A total target of 22 trips were planned in 2016 and 57 trips were achieved. Only 7 of the planned 16 sampling trips at sea were achieved, however additional market sampling trips were undertaken to make up for this shortfall (6 were planned but 50 were achieved).

OTB_CRU_70 – 99_0_0 and OTB_CRU__100 – 119_0_0: *Nephrops* in VIIbcjk. 8 of the planned 13 concurrent at sea sampling trips were completed in 2016. Effort was re – directed to concurrent sampling ashore and as a result 62 trips were achieved instead of the originally planned 6 trips.

OTB_CRU__70 – 99_0_0 and OTB_CRU__100 – 119_0_0 in VIIfgh: 8 concurrent at sea trips were planned and a total of 4 trips were achieved. Effort was re – directed to concurrent sampling ashore and as a result 36 trips were achieved instead of the originally planned 21 trips.

GNS_DEF_120-219_0_0 in VIIa This métier represents the inshore Spring cod fishery in Q1. 2 opportunistic market sampling trips were completed on this metier in 2016, as uptake in this fishery is minimal and declining year on year.

GNS_DEF_120-219_0_0 in VIIfgh: A total of 12 trips were planned for this metier and 5 trips were achieved. Landings from the gillnet fleet have declined significantly and remain low. However the issues outlined earlier regarding the catch sampling programme apply to this métier, none of the at sea sampling trips could be achieved, and staff encountered many "soft no's" when arranging sampling ashore, also due to concerns surrounding the Landings Obligation, and quota restrictions.

GNS_DEF_120-219_0_0 in VIIbcjk: 3 out of 12 at sea trips were achieved for this métier. A further 22 on shore sampling trips were planned, however only 11 were achieved. Landings from this métier have been steadily declining over the past four years, and landings are very fragmented. The main vessel in this métier lands into a remote location in which we have no presence at the moment, making access to samples difficult. This vessel has also refused to cooperate with the at sea observer programme. Attempts will be made to increase sampling on this métier in the future.

SSC_DEF_100-119_0_0: VIIfgh: A total of 6 (2 at sea and 4 market sampling) trips were planned for this métier, and 16 market sampling trips were achieved.

SSC_DEF_100-119_0_0 VIIbcjk, 0 of the 3 planned concurrent at sea trips were achieved, however 21 concurrent at the market trips were completed instead of the 3 trips planned to compensate for this shortfall.

SSC_DEF_100-119_0_0 VI : One opportunistic market sampling trip was undertaken.

TBB_DEF_70_99_0_0 VIIfgh: 1 of the 7 at sea trips was completed. All concurrent targets were reached and additional stock specific sampling trips were also carried out to supplement the at sea sampling. As a result 24 trips were planned ashore and 30 sampling trips were achieved.

TBB_DEF_70-99_0_0 VIIa: 18 sampling at sea trips were planned but only 7 could be achieved. However additional market sampling trips were undertaken to supplement the at sea sampling shortfall. As a result 30 market sampling trips, instead of the 24 planned trips were completed. This is a difficult métier to sample as it comprises of only a few vessels. Over 50% of this fleet was decommissioned in 2009. In 2016, only 8 vessels reported landings from VIIa with 1 vessel accounting for the majority of the landings (79%), and in 2016 continued to be uncooperative with the observer and market sampling programmes. The next most important vessel with 7% of the landings is also not co-operative with sampling programmes. The other vessels in the fishery, fish sporadically in VIIa making sampling difficult to plan. In addition landings from the vessels in this métier are often split with a portion of the landings being sold and shipped outside Ireland.

PTM_SPF_32-69_0_0 in VI, targeting Herring, Mackerel, Blue whiting and Scad.. A total of 83 trips were planned on this metier and 86 trips were achieved. All targets both at sea and ashore were achieved.

PTM_SPF_32-69_0_0 VIIfgh.. 2of the planned 10 concurrent at sea trips were achieved and only 30 of the planned 57 concurrent at the market trips could be achieved. Landings of small pelagics in this area have fallen almost by 50% since 2014, reducing sampling opportunities.

PTM_SPF_32-69_0_0 in VIIbcjk. targeting mackerel, scad, blue whiting and boarfish 5 of the 7 planned observer trips at sea were achieved and 35 of the 36 Planned trips ashore were achieved. Landings from this métier have continued to decline in 2016, and have fallen by almost 50% since 2014.

PTM_SPF_32-69_0_0 in VIIa. Targeting Herring: 2 of the 4 planned observer trips at sea were achieved and 14 trips ashore were achieved instead of the original 24 planned. Sampling was lower than expected due to difficulties accessing both observer trips at sea and also the markets ashore.

PTM_SPF_32-69_0_0 VIIIabde: Targeting Mackerel: There was very little effort in this fishery by Irish vessels in 2016, however all sampling targets for sea and markets sampling were achieved or surpassed.

PTM_LPF_100-119_0_0 VIIIabde: Tuna: No concurrent at sea sampling trip was achieved, even though 1 was planned. No concurrent trip was possible ashore as only two fishing trips occurred for Tuna in area VIII in 2016. Notable changes in the fishery over the past four years, includes a geographic shift in effort from the Bay of Biscay to an area southwest of Ireland, and an associated shift in sampling effort. Albacore tuna were sampled in areas VIIbcjk and IX&VIIC and in X.

PTM_LPF_100-119_0_0 in Western Ireland (ICES VIIbcjk): Targeting Tuna: The majority of the Irish tuna landings came from this métier in 2016. 1 of the planned 2 sampling trip at sea was successfully completed and 7 instead of the planned 4 market sampling trips ashore were also completed.

PTM_LPF_100-119_0_0 in X : Targeting Tuna: There was extremely low effort by Irish vessels in this métiers – only 6 commercial trips took place in 2016. However 2 market sampling trips ashore were completed.

PTM_LPF_100-119_0_0 in Iberian (ICES sub-area IX and ICES Division VIIIc) : Targeting Tuna: There was extremely low effort by Irish vessels in this métiers – only 2 commercial trips took place in 2016. However 2 market sampling trips ashore were completed.

LHP_SPF_0_0_0; in Western Ireland (ICES VIIbcjk): 2 opportunistic market sampling trips took place on this métier.

Table IIIC6: Achieved length sampling of catches, landings and discards by metier and species

Any sampling in excess of the DCF minimum required levels is the result of several reasons. These are the additional length measurements resulting from the implementation of the concurrent market sampling programme, and also the additional sampling carried out by the at

sea observers whilst on discard trips. As has been stated in past reports the main cost associated with the observer at sea programme is getting the observer on board, once on board any sampling in excess of the planned targets is effectively cost neutral.
Sampling targets in general in VIIa have been difficult to attain, for several reasons. Firstly landings in VIIa across all species have been in decline since the introduction of the Cod Management Plan (EC regulation 1342/2008), the use of species selective gears to minimise the capture of cod by-catches has become mandatory for all Irish vessels targeting *Nephrops* in the Irish Sea since March 2012. The use of the grids and separator panels has resulted in a significant decrease in other fish species landings from this fleet operating in area VIIa, and has resulted in lower sampling levels than planned in proportion to this decline in landings.

The sample target numbers in the 2016 programme were rolled over from previous programmes and with changes in landings volumes and fishing activity need to be updated for 2017 onwards.

Analysts, sampling in VIIa ports have been met with a new reticence from the industry to allow sampling events, and they have noted an increase in soft and hard refusals in this area in particular. As a result and in spite of focused communications and effort, many VIIa targets have not been fully met in 2016.

Clupea harengus in VI: Landings of herring in ICES Area VI have steadily declined over the past few years. The targets in the National Programme are based on the average landings from 2008 and 2009, when the average landings from those two years, in VI was 8,471 tonnes, as compared with 1,866 tonnes landed in 2016. It is clear that the targets previously set in the NP are now unrealistic, this will be reviewed in future National Programmes. However. 8,517 herring were sampled from Area VI, which should be considered a more than adequate sample for his stock.

Clupea harengus in VIIbcjk: Irish vessels have usually concentrated there fishing effort on herring in ICES Area VIIb. As with herring in VI, the landings have steadily decreased over the years from an average landing in 2008/2009 of 837 tonnes to average landings of 200 tonnes in 2013/2014. In 2016 landings from VIIb were only 240 tonnes. The majority of herring landings now come into ICES Area VIIg, accounting for approx 11,860t in 2016, and as a result of this change, 6,903 individual herring were sampled here instead of from VIIbcjk as originally planned in the NP.

Gadus morhua VIIa. 796 of the planned 2700 individuals were sampled. In response to the Cod Management Plan (EC regulation 1342/2008), the use of species selective gears to minimise the capture of cod by-catches has become mandatory for all Irish vessels targeting *Nephrops* in the Irish sea since March 2012. The use of the grids and separator panels has resulted in a significant decrease in other fish species landings from this fleet operating in area VIIa, and has resulted in lower sampling levels than planned in proportion to this decline in landings across the board in VIIa. Only 84 tonnes of Cod were landed in the Irish Sea in 2016.

Gadus morhua VIIbcjk: Landings of cod by the Irish fishing fleet, come mainly from areas VIIb and VIIj. In 2016 landings from VIIb were only 29t which was landed across 17 different ports. Landings from VIIj were also low at 95t, landed across 22 ports. It's clear from this information that tracking and sampling these landings is very difficult, as they are so small and dispersed. However in spite of this 741 individual cod were sampled and all efforts will be made to build on this sampling in the future.

Homarus gammarus: VI: This was due to a difficulty in getting a contractor in place to sample this fleet. This issue has now been resolved and sampling targets should be achieved in 2017.

Homarus gammarus: VIIfgh: A total of 86 individuals were measured from a planned target of 250 individuals. The lower than expected sample numbers was as a direct result of there being no lobster or crab fishing VIIf&h in 2016.

Lepidorhombus whiffiagonis VIIa*:* In response the Cod Management Plan (EC regulation 1342/2008), the use of species selective gears to minimise the capture of cod by-catches has become mandatory for all Irish vessels targeting *Nephrops* in the Irish sea since March 2012. The use of the grids and separator panels has resulted in a significant decrease in megrim landings from this fleet operating in area VIIa, down to 12.6t in 2014, and with only 6t landed in VIIa in 2016. This decrease in landings has made it virtually impossible to sample megrim in VIIa.

Lophius piscatorious VIIbcjk: 1,953 individuals were sampled from the 2,400 planned in the NP. This slight under sampling is in line with a decrease in the landings of monkfish from 992t in 2014 to 851t in 2015.

Melanogrammus aeglefinus: VIIa: A target of 2,400 individuals sampled was set and 1,398 individuals were measured. In response the Cod Management Plan (EC regulation 1342/2008), the use of species selective gears to minimise the capture of cod by-catches has become mandatory for all Irish vessels targeting *Nephrops* in the Irish sea since March 2012. The use of the grids and separator panels has resulted in a significant decrease in haddock landings from this fleet operating in area VIIa, resulting in fewer sampling opportunities than were originally planned.
Melanogrammus aeglefinus: VIIbcjk: Haddock landings from VIIbcjk have declined from almost 800t in 2014 to approximately 400t in 2016, resulting in fewer than planned samples. However a total of 5,338 individual fish were sampled, which should be considered as more than adequate.

Merlangius merlangus VIIa 4,340 individuals were sampled out of 8,450 planned. The achieved sample numbers are actually very large and these numbers are more than adequate to support a stock assessment.

Merluccius merluccius in VIIa: In response the Cod Management Plan (EC regulation 1342/2008), the use of species selective gears to minimise the capture of cod by-catches has become mandatory for all Irish vessels targeting *Nephrops* in the Irish sea since March 2012. The use of the grids and separator panels has resulted in a significant decrease in hake landings from this fleet operating in this area, with only 9t landed in VIIa in 2016. This decrease in landings has made it virtually impossible to sample hake in VIIa.

Micromesistius poutassou VI*:* 1500 individuals were planned to be sampled for blue whiting in VI, however only 617 was achieved. Landings for blue whiting have been declining over the past number of years, from 10,266t in 2014 to approximately 2,000t in 2016, resulting in lower than anticipated sample numbers. The original planned sample numbers do not reflect this decrease, and need to be adjusted in any new programmes.

Pleuronectes platessa in VIIa: In response the Cod Management Plan (EC regulation 1342/2008), the use of species selective gears to minimise the capture of cod by-catches has become mandatory for all Irish vessels targeting Nephrops in the Irish sea since March 2012. The use of the grids and separator panels has resulted in a significant decrease in other fish species landings from this fleet operating in area VIIa. 2,039 individual Plaice were sampled, and should be considered as sufficient to support stock assessment.

Pleuronectes platessa in VIIfgh*:* 5,477 individuals were sampled out of a planned 6,000, this was a very good achievement considering the landings of Plaice have remained low in VIIfgh in 2015 at approximately 50t.

Pleuronectes platessa in VIIbcjk*:* 3,598 individuals were sampled in 2016, and this number provides ample data to support the stock assessment, and represents a 98.5% achievement rate against planned targets.

Pleuronectes platessa in VIIbfgh*:* 4,330 individuals were sampled in 2016, and this number provides ample data to support the stock assessment, especially considering only 53.5t were landed in 2016.

Pollachius virens VIIa: unfortunately no saithe were sampled in VIIa in 2016. This was as a result of only very minor and fragmented landings – only 18t were landed in 2016. However a more concerted effort to ensure these targets are reached will be made in 2017.

Pollachius virens VIIfgh: unfortunately saithe proved difficult to find in the markets as landings are spread across multiple ports and are very fragmented. As a result saithe was under sampled. However a more concerted effort to ensure these targets are reached will be made in 2017.

Raja brachyuran, Raja clavata, and Raja montagui, Raja naevus All Areas: Just 903t of all species of skates and rays were landed by Irish fishing vessels across all areas in 2016. As rays are landed as a mixed species it can be difficult to target individual species to reach targets. Overall 646 measurements on rays were achieved from a planned number of 900. The overall sampling reflects what was landed on any given sampling event.

Scomber scombrus in VIIbcjk: 1,630 mackerel were sampled from a planned target of 5800. The landings of mackerel in VIIbcjk dropped dramatically from 30,669t in 2015 to 7,000t in 2016, thereby reducing sampling opportunities.

Solea solea VIIa: Landings of sole declined to a mere 15t in 2016, and these landings have been steadily decreasing due to the implementation of the Cod Management Plan (EC regulation 1342/2008). In spite of this however 1132 individual measurements were taken for sole.

Solea solea VIIfgh are fished by the Irish fishing fleet mostly in ICES area VIIg. Landings in 2016 remained very low at 24.8t. These small landings are very difficult to track and sample. However in spite of this, 2,740 individuals were sampled. All efforts are made to secure these samples and sampling targets for future work programmes may need to be reduced further in line with the low landings.

Thunnus alalunga VIIIadbe: None of a planned 400 individuals of tuna were measured from this area. Notable changes in the fishery over the past three years, includes a geographic shift in effort from the Bay of Biscay to an area southwest of Ireland. As a result, Ireland instead sampled the fishing fleet operating in ICES AreaVIIbcjk, where 922 tuna were measured. Tuna were also sampled from landings in IX & VIIIc and area X, adding a further 384 individual measurements. A total of 1,306 individuals were sampled (planned target was 500) in 2016.

Trachurus trachurus VIIbcjk: 5,325 individuals were sampled out of a planned 7,000. The majority of the horse mackerel landings in 2016, came from Area VI and as a result the targets for area VI were exceeded (3,500 planned and 6,809 individuals sampled). This number of individual measurements is more than adequate to support stock assessment on horse mackerel.

III.C.3 Actions to avoid deviations

With regards to the catch sampling programme, the Marine Institute has been monitoring the situation throughout 2016, and has discussed it internally and with external stakeholders. We have produced a detailed document, laying out potential future options, with an associated SWOT analysis for each option and have presented these to both the government and the Industry representatives. This document will form the basis of future discussions with stakeholders in order to arrive at a solution that addresses the short term issues and long-term sustainability of the programme.

In addition a new contract is being put in place where the MI is looking at centrally arranging trips following 4S guidelines and recording of refusals for observers and this is being coupled with a robust outreach programme outlining the consequences of non-

cooperation to the industry, e.g. If the quantity or quality of the data deteriorates it is likely that it will result in more precautionary catch advice and reduced quotas in the short to medium term.

There has also been a renewed dialogue with all the major fish producer organisations and our parent government department, focusing on accessing sampling opportunities in the auction halls and markets ashore. The consequences of little or no sampling is being illustrated, and it has been highlighted to them that the MI is logging refusal rates both hard and soft throughout the year. This data may be used to demonstrate non – cooperation and could impact producers organisations funding in the future. This conversation is on – going and it is hoped will yield positive results in 2017.

III.C Metier-related variables

North Sea (ICES areas IIIa,IV and VIId and Eastern Arctic (ICES I and II)

III.C.1 Achievements: Results and deviation from NP proposal

For details of identified métiers, merges and planned and achieved sampling trips per sampling frame, métier and numbers aged and measured please see Tables IIIC1, III.C.3, III.C.4 and III.C.6

The use of sampling frames evolved from the recommendations of the ICES WKPRECISE workshop (September 2009) and developed by the ICES WKMERGE workshop (January 2010). The use of sampling frames was introduced into the DCF in 2010 for sampling taking place from 2011 onwards and so Ireland is reporting its planned and achieved sampling including sampling frames.

In 2014 the reference period used to rank and select métiers for sampling was updated from 2008 – 2009 and now refers to the reference years 2012 – 2013. The previous ranking which appears in the National Programme; NP 2011 – 2013, which was subsequently rolled over into 2014 – 2015 is now considered to be outdated

Ireland began the transition towards Statistically Sound Sampling strategies in 2016, in order to ensure that Irish sampling programmes are more representative of the landings. The first step in this process focused on the demersal port sampling programmes, where the target number of sampling trips to each port was allocated so as to be proportional to the landings of the main demersal species. There are a large number of small ports that contribute very little to the overall

landings, and it was decided that only the top 21 ports would be sampled. These ports represent 95% of the demersal landings in the last 2 years. This phased roll – out approach will continue in 2017, where pelagic port and at sea sampling programmes will be reviewed and adjusted in line with best practice guidelines.

The Catch Sampling at sea programme provides valuable data that is used in ICES stock assessments and has, until now, relied on the trust and good will of the industry to take observers to sea. The phased introduction of the landings obligation (LO) has however changed the operating environment significantly, resulting in the number of catch sampling trips achieved in 2016 being 31% below the target (planned 222 trips and achieved 154). The numbers of soft and hard refusals has increased significantly in 2016.

This situation is not unique to Ireland as other member states are also experiencing problems, but the severity of the problems varies. All member states are expecting the situation to get worse in the near term as the LO is phased in and questions are raised regarding official and scientific discard estimates. Solutions in member states have mainly focused of addressing the risk dimension by minimising risk to vessels facilitating the catch sampling programmes often in an informal way or by pseudo-anonymising data collected.

III.C.2 Data quality issues

Table III.C.1 provides details of the updated ranking and métier selection using the reference years 2011 – 2013.

Table III.C.4 outlines Ireland's planned sampling strategy by sampling frame in 2014. And Table III.C.3 further breaks this down to present the achieved sampling trips by metier within each of the sampling frames. In general, the majority of the planned sampling targets were achieved or surpassed.

Table III.C.3: Sampling Trips by Métier.

PTM_SPF_32-69_0_0 IV, VIId: Targeting Blue Whiting and Mackerel: 1 of the planned 3 at sea sampling trips was completed and 9 of the 10 market sampling trips were completed. There were no blue whiting landings from this area in 2016, and effort was relatively low with only 38 trips being completed by Irish vessels in 2016.

PTM_SPF_32-69_0_0 I, II: Targeting Atlanto Scandian Herring: 3 trips were planned on this fishery (1 at sea and 2 ashore), however no Atlanto Scandian Herring were landed into Ireland in 2016, so sampling was not possible.

Table IIIC6: Achieved length sampling of catches, landings and discards by metier and species

Scomber scombrus IV & VIId: 1,940 individual Mackerel in IV and VIId were sampled in 2016.

III.C.3 Actions to avoid deviations

With regards to the catch sampling programme, the Marine Institute has been monitoring the situation throughout 2016, and has discussed it internally and with external stakeholders. We have produced a detailed document, laying out potential future options, with an associated SWOT analysis for each option and have presented these to both the government and the Industry representatives. This document will form the basis of future discussions with stakeholders in order to arrive at a solution that addresses the short term issues and long-term sustainability of the programme.

In addition a new contract is being put in place where the MI is looking at centrally arranging trips following 4S guidelines and recording of refusals for observers and this is being coupled with a robust outreach programme outlining the consequences of non-cooperation to the industry, e.g. If the quantity or quality of the data deteriorates it is likely that it will result in more precautionary catch advice and reduced quotas in the short to medium term.

There has also been a renewed dialogue with all the major fish producer organisations and our parent government department, focusing on accessing sampling opportunities in the auction halls and markets ashore. The consequences of little or no sampling is being illustrated, and it has been highlighted to them that the MI is logging refusal rates both hard and soft throughout the year. This data may be used to demonstrate non – cooperation and could impact producers organisations funding in the future. This conversation is on – going and it is hoped will yield positive results in 2017.

III.D Recreational fisheries

North Atlantic (ICES areas V-XIV and NAFO areas)

III.D.1 Achievements: Results and deviation from NP proposal

Recreational fisheries in Ireland occur in freshwater and at sea. In the marine environment shore based and sea-based angling targets a wide range of species including shark. Freshwater recreational fishing includes salmon. Salmon and Eel are targeted in freshwater and in estuarine waters.

Eel

Ireland does not have a commercial fishery for Eel. Eel is now protected in Ireland by legislation. There are the two byelaws, one prohibiting the issuing of eel fishing licences and the other prohibiting the possession and sale of Irish caught eel:
http://www.dcenr.gov.ie/Natural/Inland+Fisheries/Legislation/Bye+Laws/Bye-Laws+2009.htm

- Bye-Law No 858, 2009 prohibits the issue of eel fishing licences by the regional fisheries boards in any Fishery District.

- Bye-law No C.S. 303, 2009 prohibits fishing for eel, or possessing or selling eel caught in a Fishery District in the State until June 2012.

Recreational eel fishing is now only carried out by a minority of rod anglers on a catch and release basis. Length and age composition of eels will not be sampled as there is no legitimate catch, and as a result Ireland has a derogation to sample Eel, which is supported by the RCM North Atlantic.

***RCM NA 2012 Comment**: RCM NA supports the request under the assumption that the laws are respected.*

Salmon

There are approximately 140 salmon rivers in Ireland and advice is provided for all of these. In addition separate advice may be given for upstream and downstream areas of large rivers with hydroelectric dams and for two adult age cohorts separately. All recreational fisheries take place in freshwater.

The provisional rod harvest in 2016 was 14,587 (39.3 t) compared to 15,724 (42.5 t) in 2015. This compares to a commercial catch of 21,504 (58 t). Rod harvests are considerably lower than the reported catches prior to the introduction of the carcass tagging and logbook scheme in 2001 (102 t in 2000 and 97 t in 1999) and reflect the fact that many rod fisheries are closed since management moved to individual river assessment and the requirement to have harvest fisheries only on rivers exceeding conservation limits. In 2016, the estimated number of fish caught and released by anglers was 10,280 compared to 9,383 in 2015 and 6,537 in 2014. The 2016 released catch represented 41% of the total rod catch and 32% of the total catch.

The stock status and catch advice for the 2017 fishery is that:

- 44 rivers have an advised harvestable surplus as they are exceeding their Conservation Limits.
- A further 27 rivers, may be opened on a catch and release only basis, subject to IFI management criteria based on having a high probability of achieving 65% of their CL or exceeding the minimum fry threshold of greater than 17 fry per 5 minutes surveying in catchment wide electrofishing surveys.
- In addition 72 rivers are either not meeting 65% of CL or there is lack of recent data to determine their status relative to attainment of CL. Where there is a lack of data, or where electro-fishing surveys indicate juvenile numbers below the SSCS threshold, the SSCS make the assumption that these rivers are failing to meet CL.

There are 16 rivers for which there are significant fisheries on the MSW (spring salmon) component of the stock and a separate assessment is made. Of these:

- 12 have an advised harvestable surplus as they are exceeding their Conservation Limits .
- Three rivers may be opened on a catch and release only basis subject to IFI management criteria.as they are they have a high probability of achieving 65% of their CL or exceeding the minimum fry threshold in catchment wide electrofishing,
- One river is not meeting >65% CL and not exceeding the catchment wide electro-fishing salmon fry threshold

Amongst the stocks being assessed are 54 river stocks where no rod catch data has been available since 2006 and the most recent annual average rod catch (2002-2006) has been less than 10 salmon, making a direct assessment difficult. Although these are insignificant fisheries

(accounting for less than 0.5% of the total national rod catch when combined), their stocks are important as spawning populations in their own right, which must be maintained as constituent elements of biodiversity, as required under the EU Habitats Directive. Because there is no recent means of direct salmon stock assessment on these rivers, the SSCS have not provided a numerical assessment of CL attainment on these rivers for the 2017 advice. However, the SSCS advise that these rivers remain closed until additional information is made available to assess stock status relative to their Conservation Limits.

There are currently 40 rivers or river tributaries in SACs where salmon have a qualifying interest under the EU Habitats directive. Of these, only 21 are above their CL in 2016.

In addition, there are stocks in four major rivers used for hydro power which have been assessed as being below their conservation limits above the impoundments i.e. Upper Liffey (Dublin), Upper Lee (Cork), Upper Shannon (Limerick) and the River Erne and following the scientific advice already provided for other rivers, there should be no harvest fisheries on wild salmon in these specific rivers. It is also recognised however, that the release of hatchery reared salmon has resulted in fishery opportunities within these rivers for these stocks. Restoration programmes should therefore be given precedence until such time as significant improvements to the generation of self-sustaining runs of salmon above these impoundments has been made within the context of agreed restoration plans.

Cod

A 10 year time series of catch and effort of cod in the sea angling sector in the Irish and Celtic Seas has been compiled.

A register of sea angling charter vessels was also been maintained during 2016.

Sea Bass

The steep decline in bass stocks in Ireland in the mid-1970s led to severe restrictions on the level of bass exploitation which included the cessation of the commercial fishery in 1990 through the Bass (Conservation of Stocks) Order, 1990. Since then bass have been regarded solely as an angling species and are restrictively managed. Bass is the only marine species in Ireland to be managed for angling. Apart from the closure of the commercial fishery, legislation also prohibits the taking of bass using nets and Irish fishing boats must not have bass on board or engage in

transhipment of bass. The recreational angling fishery has also been heavily regulated - a bag limit of 2 bass per 24 hr day applies in addition to a minimum size limit of 40cm. The angling fishery is closed from 15th May to 15th June to protect spawning fish. It is also prohibited to sell or offer for sale any bass (other than bass which has been imported into the State).

The Marine Institute collaborated with Inland Fisheries Ireland (IFI) to complete a pilot survey of sea bass in Ireland in 2011. Data from the angler intercept survey, carried out during the recreational sea bass pilot survey shows high variability in the data on angling days per annum per angler, catch per annum per angler, and landings per annum per angler. Extrapolations are based on previous work in the 1980s and the use of expert opinion that 40% of sea anglers are bass anglers. Total landings are estimated at between 30-44t per annum.

Despite not knowing the stock biomass, an annual landing of 30-40 tonnes (which is possibly an inflated figure) represents a very low exploitation level, especially if we consider that the south coast stock may be a northern extension of the Celtic sea channel stock. Ireland considers that sea bass exploitation levels can be agreed to be at a minimum exploitation level below which no sampling is required in the future. On foot of this pilot study, Ireland now has a derogation to sample Sea bass, which is supported by the RCM North Atlantic.

RCM NA 2012 Comment*: RCM NA supports the request given the outcomes of the study. Ireland should specify the request officially in the National Program 2013.*

Sharks

Under the DCF, the term sharks covers all Chondrichthyan fishes, thus sharks, rays, dogfish and Chimaeras. Recreational fisheries for rays are small and dispersed. There is no legal or voluntary declaration of catch. Length and age composition of the recreational catch of rays will not be sampled.

Recreational fisheries for sharks, including dogfish, is on a catch and release basis. Claims for specimen fish of tope and blue shark are now taken as length only, so as to ensure that the fish can be returned alive (http://www.irish-trophy-fish.com/notices/conservation.htm). Most commercial angling operators now take part in the IFI Marine Sport Fish Tagging Programme, where live sharks, skates and rays are tagged with the appropriate tag and released (http://www.fisheriesireland.ie/Tagging/marine-sport-fish-tagging-programme.html). Records are kept by Inland Fisheries Ireland.

Length and age composition of the recreational catch of sharks are not sampled and Ireland now has derogation for recreational shark sampling, which is supported by the RCM North Atlantic.

RCM NA 2012 Comment: *Given the information provided by the tagging study as well as the assumption that recreational fishery takes place on a catch and release basis, RCM NA supports this request*

III.D.2 Data quality: Results and deviation from NP proposal

Salmon

Recreational fisheries are required to obtain a state license and report their catches as soon as they are landed in a mandatory logbook. They are also required to fix a self-locking tag on the carcass of the fish and record the unique tag number into the logbook. Other data required to be recorded in the logbook are Date of capture, River/lake, Beat, County, Species (salmon or sea trout), Weight (lbs or Kgs), lure (fly, spinner, worm, prawn/shrimp, other and total days fished. The information must be returned to the fisheries authority on the 19th of October of the year for which the licence is valid. Returns of recreational logbooks are over 60% while returns for commercial logbooks is 100%. Data are centralised by the Inland Fisheries Ireland and published annually.

Specific biological sampling of the salmon fisheries (commercial and recreational) is carried out in selected rivers where artificially reared salmon are tagged and released either in scientific smolt release programmes or smolt releases to mitigate against loss of natural production by hydro-damming, or other man made problems. A National Microtagging and Tag Recovery programme was established in 1980 by the fisheries authorities. Approximately 200,000 salmon smolts were tagged and released in 2015 for return in 2016. This included approximately 6,000 wild salmon sampled and tagged from the River Corrib in Galway. Over 2000 were recovered subsequently during sampling of commercial/recreational fisheries and brood stocks in 2016 and measured for fork length and weighed. Random samples of wild fish were also taken for age, length and weight comparisons. The data from the National Coded Wire Tagging and Tag Recovery programme provides information on marine survival, exploitation rates, and survival to spawn for national and international stock assessments.

Salmon stock assessment is reported on the basis of numbers rather than biomass. There are only two principal sea-age classes which are represented in the fisheries in Ireland i.e 1Sea Winter and multi sea Winter (MSW) which are predominantly 2 SW fish. Recent catch information from angling logbooks suggests an average MSW proportion of 14% for the period 2008 to 2016. Stock assessment is not dependent on sampling for length and age and results from these analyses are mainly for monitoring the biological characteristics of the stocks. On the reported recreational catch statistics estimated individual weights are provided by anglers in the mandatory reporting logbooks. In the sampling of salmon in the National Coded Wire Tagging and Tag Recovery Programme, approximately 2,000 adult salmon were measured and weighed.

Recreational catch data quality is assessed initially by district salmon fishing inspectors of Inland Fisheries Ireland. Subsequent data quality checking and analyses may be carried out by the Standing Scientific Committee for Salmon (SSC), a multi-agency scientific advisory group established under the Fisheries Act 2010. The catch data are modified to account for non-return of logbooks, anomalous returns in logbook data and for the provision of a total estimated catch and unreported catch is included. Long term trends are examined and an assessment of stock status relative to the attainment of Conservation Limits (defined as Maximum Sustainable Yield in numbers of salmon) is carried out by the SSC. Advice on catch levels and river TACs is provided on an individual river or stock basis.

Length composition of the catch originates from voluntary data provided by recreational fishermen in the logbook and from private fishery owners who monitor the number and size of fish captured in their rivers, as well as sampling carried out by staff of the Central Fisheries Board. There are no specific sampling, or precision targets associated with this process but it is known that weight estimates may be estimated and the effect of this relative imprecision on spawning parameters is being investigated by the SSC.

Up to 2010, the Wild Salmon and Sea Trout Tagging Scheme was administered by the Central and the seven Regional Fisheries Boards (Eastern, Southern, South Western, Shannon, Western, North Western and Northern Regional Fisheries Boards) on behalf of their parent Department, the Department of Communications, Energy and Natural Resources (DCENR). In 2010, a new institution was created which amalgamated the Regional and Central Fisheries Boards into one organisation i.e. Inland Fisheries Ireland (IFI). Cross boarder collaboration with the Loughs Agency (an inter-government agency between Ireland and the UK) occurs in the case of the river

Foyle, while inter-governmental collaboration also exists between the UK and Ireland in the case of the River Erne. Data collection at a regional level is co-ordinated by the IFI while, data analyses, stock assessment and research are co-ordinated by the IFI and the Marine Institute. The overseeing government department recently changed name from the Department of Communications, Energy and Natural Resources (DCENR) to the Department of Climate Change Action and Environment (DCCAE).

Cod

Sea angling returns from vessels participating in the Central Fisheries Board voluntary logbook programme and the register of sea angling vessels from 2000-2014 provide data on

- Number of cod captured per boat per day
- Number of cod captured per angler per day
- Total number of angling days and rod days covered by the sample
- Estimates of weight of fish landed by vessel day
- Raising factors (to fleet) for the sampled vessels for Irish sea and Celtic Seas
- Estimates of the total number of cod landed by the vessel based sea angling sector
- Weight of cod landed by the vessel based sea angling sector

III.D.4 Actions to avoid deviations

There were no deviations; therefore no remedial actions are required.

III.E Stock-related variables

North Atlantic (ICES areas V-XIV and NAFO areas)

The vast majority of the Irish fishery takes place in the North Atlantic Region. Three widely distributed stocks also extend into the North Sea and Eastern Arctic Regions (Micromesistius poutassou I-IX, XII, XIV; Scomber scombrus II, IIIa, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX and Trachurus trachurus IIa, IVa, Vb, VIa, VIIa-c, e-k, VIIIabde) . The numbers for these stocks are simply repeated for the two regions.

The planned stocks requiring sampling are highlighted in Table III E1 and III E 2

III.E.1 Achievements: Results and deviation from NP proposal

The achieved sample numbers are listed in table III E 3.

General deviations from sampling targets

In all cases where more than 100% of the target sample numbers were collected, this was done at the expense of the member state.

In some cases the target numbers were set at unrealistic levels but due to the 'roll-over' national programme, and targets were not revised, resulting in achievement of less than 100%.

In VIa and VIIa, the landings of finfish have decreased in general as a consequence of the introduction of technical measures to reduce the bycatch of gadoids which has resulted in reduced availability of samples.

For many stocks it has been difficult to obtain sufficient maturity samples in absence of a Q1 survey. However the sampling levels appear to be sufficient for monitoring changes in the proportion mature of these stocks (based on an analysis of maturity data supplied as a working document to ICES assessment working groups WGCSE and WGBIE).

The actual sampling numbers generally deviate from the planned numbers for two main reasons:

- Ireland is moving towards probabilistic sampling which means the number of samples is proportional to the landings (which are subject to change). Sampling levels have increased, relative to planned levels, for stocks with increasing landings trends.
- The target is the number of samples, not the number of individuals. The number of individuals that were sampled is largely irrelevant for the precision estimate as this is mainly determined by the number of independent sampling events (see e.g. WGPRECISE 2009; WGMERGE 2010; WGPICS 2011, 2012, 2013; WGCATCH 2014, 2015, 2016).

For these reasons it is not appropriate to judge a sampling programme using the sampling targets in table III E 3.

Specific derivations from sampling targets

Specific derivations from sampling targets are outlined in detail in the comments field in table III_E_3.

III.E.2 Data quality: Results and deviation from NP proposal

In general, the data quality is fit for purpose.

III.E.4 Actions to avoid deviations

The lack of availability of samples for certain stocks was generally related to low landings of these stocks, there is little to be gained from increasing the sampling effort if the landings are minimal.

Ireland is in the process of implementing probabilistic sampling programmes, following the recommendations from WKACCU (2008), WKPRECICE (2009), WKMERGE (2010), WKPICS (2011,12, and 13) and WGCATCH (2014,2015,2016). These changes to the sampling programmes are likely to result in deviations from the planned sample numbers.

Ireland remains focused on providing high-quality data to stock assessment working groups. Therefore the sampling effort is concentrated on providing these data with the highest feasible level of accuracy and precision. Data collection of parameters that are not directly relevant will receive a lower priority.

III.F Transversal variables

Baltic Sea (ICES areas III b-d), North Sea (ICES areas IIIa, IV and VIId) and Eastern Arctic (ICES areas I and II), and North Atlantic (ICES areas V-XIV and NAFO areas)

III.F.1 Capacity

III.F.1.1 Achievements: Results and deviation from NP proposal

Fleet capacity data has been updated and maintained during 2016 in the national register of sea fishing vessels, and includes vessels operating in both the Atlantic and North Sea and Eastern Arctic.

III.F.1.2 Data quality: Results and deviation from NP proposal

Data from the national register can be used to report exhaustively on

- Fleet capacity (kws, GTs), length distribution, age of vessels by fleet segment

III.F.1.3 Actions to avoid deviations

There were no deviations in 2016, therefore no action is required.

III.F.2 Effort

III.F.2.1 Achievements: Results and deviation from NP proposal

Sampling Strategy

In 2016 the sampling strategy laid down in Irelands DCF proposal targeted a specific number of vessels within each of the sub-métiers of the pot (FPO) and dredge (DRB) métiers in a self-sampling programme or sentinel vessel programme as outlined in the following table.

Metier	Number	Target species
VI Crustaceans (Pots)	17	Lobster, crab
VII crustaceans (Pots)	9	Lobster, crab
VII f-k Demersal (Nets)	2	Demersal fish
VIIa Molluscs (Dredgers)	7	Scallop, razor clam
VIIa-g Demersal (Nets)	3	Demersal fish
VII Crustaceans (Pots)	35	Lobster, crab
VIIa Molluscs (Pots)	6	Whelk

Results

Vessel <12m LOA:

Effort of vessels under 12m LOA (Metier FPO, DRB and GNS) was sampled by the sentinel vessel programme. The Sentinel Vessel Programme was reviewed and revised in 2011 to improve the quality and quantity of data collected. SVP logbooks were allocated to 77 vessels in 2016 from multiple sectors of the inshore fleet, representing 5% of the total national registered fleet. All 77 vessels subsequently participated successfully.

Effort indicators include:
Number of nominal effort units (pot hauls, dredge hours, net length) per vessel per year
Number of standardized effort units (pot hauls, dredge hours, net length) per vessel per year
Number of days at sea per vessel per year

In addition effort census in a number of DRB sub-metier for vessels <12m LOA was achieved
Gatherers records provide effort indicators in number of days fished per vessel for all vessels in the fleet fishing for bivalves at the level of individual target species
All vessels dredging for razor clams nationally, numbering approximately 60 vessels, report high frequency VMS data from which effort can be estimated

In addition to the SVP data a total of 76 trips at sea by sampling contractors and MI were completed in 2016 on vessels under 12m in length. In addition 265 fishing trips, mainly for FPO_Molluscs_VII1 were sampled at port. Data on fishing effort and catch composition were obtained for sea trips. Length data and landings were obtained for trips sampled at port.

Vessels 10-12m LOA

Logbook data provided a census of effort for all active vessels. The effort indicator is

Days at sea per vessel per year
Kw days at sea
Vessels 10-12m in length also report number of gear units, fishing time and landings composition

The proportion of the fleet between 10-12m LOA that was active in 2016 was estimated by comparing the number of such vessels in the national register and the number in the national

logbook database. This proportion was used as a raising factor for the sentinel vessel programme data for vessels 10-12m in LOA.

Vessels <10m LOA

The Sentinel Vessel Programme provides effort indicators for participating vessels

Days at sea and kw days at sea per vessel per year disaggregated to metier and coastal area

The proportion of the fleet <10m that was active was estimated from the number of vessels selling fish, as indicated in the buyers and sellers data, compared to the number of vessels on the national register.

Deviations

Although vessels can be identified as belonging to a particular sub-metier in a given year and a given number of vessels in each sub-metier can therefore be sampled vessels may switch métier unpredictably depending on market conditions.

III.F.2.2 Data quality: Results and deviation from NP proposal

Results

A census of effort for all vessels over 10m has been obtained. Various effort indicators can be developed from these data

In the case of vessels <10m LOA, detailed daily effort information has been obtained for sampled vessels and a census of effort data was obtained for some sub-metiers. The proportion of the under 10m registered fleet that is active has been estimated from sales notes.

III.F.2.3: Actions to avoid deviations

No deviations, other than the addition of exhaustive sampling of some DRB sub-metiers, which was carried out at national expense.

III.F.3 Landings

Sampling Strategy

Landings by vessels <10m LOA métier were sampled in the same way as effort is sampled and outlined above. The landings indicators from the sampling programme are:

- Total live weight per vessel
- Total value per vessel
- Unit value per species per vessel

These indicators can be disaggregated to live weight or value per day, per kw*day or per effort unit.

III.F.3.1 Achievements: Results and deviation from NP proposal

For vessels over 10m LOA a census of landings was obtained in 2016 from the national logbook data and, in the case of vessels less than 10m LOA, from sales notes. The sales note data is an estimate of the landings of each vessel and also all vessels less than 10m LOA landing into each port aggregated to the fleet level at that port.

III.F.3.2 Data quality: Results and deviation from NP proposal

Results

Landings data by species and métier have been exhaustively sampled by the national logbook programme and from national port reports and buyers and sellers data programmes for vessels under 10m LOA.

III.F.3.3 Actions to avoid shortfalls

There were no deviations.

III.G Research surveys at sea

III.G.1 Achievements: Results and deviation from NP proposal

Eight surveys were planned and completed in 2016. Below are the details of each survey including a survey map.

Western IBTS 4th Quarter

The Western IBTS survey was hampered slightly by adverse weather conditions, however in spite of this 100% of the planned fishing hauls were completed.

Fig 1: Western IBTS Q4 Groundfish survey cruise haul positions 2016. Valid hauls are in red, and invalid stations are marked with an x.



Blue whiting Survey

The Blue Whiting survey is an internationally coordinated survey, including 5 vessels, covering upwards of 85,000nmi² using over 7,500nmi of transects. In 2016 the blue whiting survey achieved the planned 20 days at sea. Survey planning is coordinated through WGIPS and vessel effort is allocated during the planning phase, which occurs after the submission of the DCF NP. The amount of track in (acoustic miles) is not necessarily the best measurement of achievement. In this instance, it is the coverage of the allocated area which is critical, and on this critical measure of success Ireland covered 100% of the area assigned to it, ensuring the integrity and quality of the survey results. Directed fishing trawls are conducted as and when required and are required to ground truth the insonified echotraces. The number of trawl stations is variable from year to year and is not a consistent metric on which to base success as would be the case for pre-allocated trawl stations for bottom trawl surveys. All WGIPS allocated oceanographic stations were achieved. Seabird, marine mammal and surface litter surveys are now undertaken as part of this survey since 2014.

Figure 2 Blue whiting survey cruise track completed in 2016



Western European Shelf Pelagic Acoustic Survey (WESPAS)

The Western European Shelf Pelagic Acoustic Survey (WESPAS) is the consolidation of two existing survey programs carried out by Ireland. The Malin Shelf herring acoustic survey (Pre Spawning herring acoustic survey) has been carried out annually since 2008 and reports on the annual abundance of summer feeding aggregations of herring to the west of Scotland and north of Ireland from 54°N to 59°N. The boarfish survey has been carried out since 2011 using a chartered fishing vessel and reports on the abundance of spawning aggregations of boarfish from 47°N to 57°N. In 2016 both surveys were combined and carried out onboard the RV Celtic Explorer over a 42 day period providing synoptic coverage of shelf waters from 59°N southwards to 47°N.

Stock estimates were submitted to the ICES Assessment Working Group for Widely Distributed Stocks (WGWIDE) meeting in August 2016, the Herring Assessment Working Group (HAWG) meeting in March 2017. Survey performance was reviewed at the ICES Planning Group meeting for International Pelagic Surveys (WGIPS) meeting in January 2017.

Figure 3 WESPAS acoustic survey cruise track & CTD positions in 2016



Spawning herring acoustic survey

The Spawning Herring Survey targets for days at sea, oceanographic stations and marine mammal observations were all achieved at least 100%. 29 of the planned 30 fishing hauls were achieved, however the number of fishing hauls achieved each year changes depending on the echo traces encountered

Figure 4 Celtic sea herring acoustic survey cruise track and trawl station positions (blue circle) 2016. Green and red tracks represent replicate surveys and black box denotes high intensity adaptive survey area.



International Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Survey

2016 was an International Mackerel egg survey year. WGMEGS is responsible for the international coordination of the mackerel egg surveys and has agreed the survey areas to be covered by each Member State involved. Ireland completed two surveys in 2016, very similar to those completed in 2013. The first survey commenced in Early February, lasting three weeks and surveyed the Celtic sea and the Bay of Biscay. The second survey commenced in early June, lasting three weeks, and covered West of Ireland and West of Scotland. Below are maps from the two legs of the survey completed by Ireland in 2016. Sampling achievements can be seen in Table III.G.1

Figure 5 International Mackerel Egg survey cruise track Ireland. February 2016.



Figure 5(b) International Mackerel Egg survey cruise track Ireland. June 2016.



Nephrops UWTV Survey 1

In 2012 SGNEPS recommended that a CV (or relative standard error) of < 20% is an acceptable precision level for Nephrops UWTV surveys, from analysis of the historical survey sampling effort. This conclusion was further supported by WKNEPH (ICES, 2013). In response to this recommendation Ireland reviewed its survey effort in FU 15, 17 and 22. In line with the recommendation Ireland has reallocated survey effort to FU16, 19 and 20-21 since 2015. In the interest of clarity the surveys have been renamed as UWTV Survey 1, 2 and 3.

The original targets for UWTV surveys in the National Programme were set at 343 UWTV stations. However in line with WGNEPs recommendations this target was adjusted to a total of 285 UWTV stations in 2016. As the National Programme was a roll – over these targets were not adjusted in the relevant NP table, so the original targets remain in Table III.G.1. The UWTV stations planned for 2016 cover the 5 *Nephrops* Functional Units (FU16, 17, 19, 22 and 20-21). All planned UWTV stations were completed successfully resulting in 101% achievement for the total UWTV survey programme in 2016.

Figure 6: UWTV Survey 1: FU 17 (Aran grounds), FU19 (South and South west coast).



Nephrops UWTV Survey 2

The same recommendation also applied to the UWTV survey 2, where SGNEPS (ICES 2012) and WKNEPH (ICES 2013) recommended extending the survey coverage to include FU 22 and FU16 Porcupine Bank. 100% of the survey targets were achieved in 2016.

Figure 7: UWTV Survey 2



Nephrops UWTV Survey 3

The same recommendation also applied to the Aran Grounds UWTV survey, where SGNEPS (ICES 2012) and WKNEPH (ICES 2013) recommended extending the survey coverage to include FU 20-21 Labadie, Jones and Cockburn Banks. The planned target number of stations in the Irish NP (NP 2011 – 2013 – rolled over to 2014 – 2015) are now outdated. UWTV Survey 3 covers Functional Units FU 16 (Porcupine Bank), FU19 (South and South west coast) and FU 20-21 (Labadie, Jones and Cockburn Banks). All survey targets were achieved in 2016.

Figure 8: UWTV Surveys 3: Functional Units FU 16 (Porcupine Bank), FU19 (South and South west coast) and FU 20-21 (Labadie, Jones and Cockburn Banks)



III.G.2 Data quality: Results and deviation from NP proposal

All data collected during the 2016 surveys were quality checked according to established criteria, and all survey data was submitted for use in the stock assessment process where appropriate. The only minor shortfalls in survey targets occurred on the Blue whiting, and Mackerel Egg surveys for fish haul numbers, which is a metric that cannot really be anticipated given the nature of acoustic and egg and larval surveys.

III.G.3 Actions to avoid deviations

No significant shortfalls were encountered, so no actions are required

IV. Module of the evaluation of the economic situation of the aquaculture and Processing industry

IV.A Collection of data concerning the aquaculture

In 2015 the frame population of entities engaged in aquaculture were 284 production units or 255 business entities. The national program for 2014-2016 was followed. Both the census and sample surveys are launched in early January of the year (n+1) following the year being surveyed (n). The census data; production and employment are ready for presentation by mid-April. The socio-economic and operational costs data of the sample survey is collected at a less intensive rate throughout that year and financial data is collected on-line, over the period November-December. All estimations of year n are complete before the collation of the census data of year n+1.

Data for turnover, employment, wages and salaries and raw material input, obtained from both the census and sample surveys, are compared with that collected by other state agencies for the same variables for the purposes of validation.

IV.A.1 Achievements: Results and deviation from NP proposal

Tables IV.A.2 and IV.A.3 have been updated for the surveyed year 2015
The return rate for the census component of the 2015 survey was 78.5 %. A full response was obtained for the sampling survey but not for all of the 'economic' variables. Data from more than 30 % of the frame population was obtained for variables found within abridged accounts available online. Operational costs variables continue to be difficult to obtain for some segments with a minimum response rate of 7.39 % of the frame population achieved for the most difficult cost variables.

IV.A.2 Data quality: Results and deviation from NP proposal

The table has been updated for the surveyed year 2015 in Table IV.A.3
Return rate is used to date as the main indicator of accuracy. No other accuracy indicators have been described for aquaculture.

IV.A.3 Actions to avoid deviations

Shortfalls in census data and more particularly in operational costs data are being improved by a gradual acceptance of the survey, through the efforts of regional staff, to improve perceptions of the survey as a tool also useful to the producer.
A new action being taken for the collection of 2016 data onwards is to send out data estimations of the agency to businesses that have not returned completed forms by the stated deadline. These businesses then will have a tight deadline of days to respond with their own corrections to the estimates or to accept the estimates sent to them. Survey participation is a pre-requisite to the processing of grant applications. However the majority of businesses cannot access grant aid due to the ongoing difficulty in renewing licences, due to their location adjacent to or within Natura 2000 sites. The sanction therefore has little relevance. The relaxation of regulations regarding those operations adjacent to the Natura sites will allow greater access to grant aid and add greater relevance to the survey participation requirement.

IV.B Collection of data concerning the processing industry

See Tables IV.B.1 and IV.B.2, which provide a general overview of processing activities and the sampling strategy.

IV.B.1 Achievements: Results and deviation from NP proposal

In 2016, data was collected on a voluntary basis for 2014.
The collection and collation of data from the processing sector was reliant on the use of questionnaires completed in respect of applications for the receipt of EU or National grant aid and audited accounts from the Companies Registration Office (CRO).
For this reason, the achieved sample number for the companies in the size category 11-49 and size category 50-249 was greater than the planned sample number due to a larger number of returns from these companies. For companies with less than 10 employees the achieved sample number was less than the planned sample number as there was not as much information made available.
There was a deviation from the sampling targets detailed in the NP, but the MS has a high degree of confidence that the data source used is of the highest quality. The achieved sample rate was 31 companies which is the same as the planned sample rate set out in the National Programme.

Also there is a difference between the numbers of companies per size category outlined in the National Programme and those in the tables provided. The figures in the tables are more accurate as these have been updated based on information returned from the seafood companies in Ireland in 2014.

IV.B.2 Data quality: Results and deviation from NP proposal

The MS did not contact seafood companies to calculate the estimation of unpaid labour. Instead however, the MS used another method calculating the average wage per company and applied a multiplier to calculate unpaid labour.

IV.B.3 Actions to avoid deviations

None.

V. Module of evaluation of the effects of the fishing sector on the marine ecosystem

V.1 Achievements: Results and deviation from NP proposal

Indicators 1-4: Conservation status of fish species Proportion of large fish Mean maximum length of fishes Size at maturation of exploited fish species

Data for the Indicators for this module were collected by survey.

- The Western IBTS Fourth Quarter Groundfish Survey collects data for Indicators 1-4 in waters up to 200m deep from Divisions VI and VII, with the exception of VIIa and VIIf. (Years 2003-Present)
- The Spawning Herring Acoustic Survey collects data for Indicators 1-4 from VIIb, VIIj, VIIg and VIIaS in Q4 each year.
- The WESPAS Acoustic survey collects data for Indicators 1-4 in VIa and VIIb in Q2/Q3
- The Blue Whiting Acoustic Survey collects data for Indicators 1- 4 in VIa and VIb in March-April each year.
- Underwater TV surveys collects data for Indicators 1- 4 in VIIb (Aran survey – Q2) , VIIa (Irish Sea survey – Q3), and VIIg (Celtic Sea Survey – Q3). (Years 2002-Present).
- The International Mackerel/Horse mackerel surveys collected data in ICES areas Via, VII, VIII, IXa for indicator 1 in 2013, and were completed in 2016.

Indicators 5-7: Distribution of fishing activities, Aggregation of fishing activities, Areas not impacted by mobile bottom gears.

The Marine Institute was granted access to VMS data by SFPA for the purposes of the DCF. Currently, vessel position data is collected hourly or every two hours for all Irish vessels over 15m. It has already proven possible to link daily VMS positional data with logbook information for the Irish fleet thus allowing all positional data to be classified to level 6. The distribution of fishing activities, aggregation of fishing activities and areas not impacted by mobile bottom gears can be mapped and provided as required.

Ireland continued to manage and analyse all of the relevant resulting data sets from the DCF process and made these data available to ICES STECF and other expert groups.

Indicator 8: Discarding rates of commercially exploited species

Metier based discard sampling is conducted as part of the concurrent sampling at sea programme. Details of this programme are described in Module III.C, with sampling effort by metier outlined in table III.C.3 and III.C.4, and details on data collected by species shown in Table III.C.6 of the Annual Report 2016. Trip specific discard rates by species measured in weight are raised to discard rates by quarter and metier using species landings data.

Indicator 9: Fuel efficiency of fish capture

The calculation of fuel efficiency is also described in section IIIB. Fuel efficiency of fish capture is defined in Appendix XIII of Commission Decision (2008/199/EC) as the ratio between value of landings and cost of fuel, and must be estimated by quarter and by metier. The inshore components were estimated from the following data collected on a daily basis under the sentinel vessel programme;

- Landings per species,
- Price per species,
- Fuel costs,
- Fuel prices.

These data, can be raised to the total active population of vessels <10 metres in length (LOA) and will be included in their respective national metier, by quarter.

Fuel costs received from vessels >10 meters length (LOA), targeted in the annual economic survey, are apportioned equally on an effort basis to their relevant metiers and quarters, and raised to the active population. Effort was based on a log-book analysis that apportioned each fishing trip to a particular metier (see Section III.F for more details).

V.2 Actions to avoid deviations

There were no deviations

VI. Module for management and use of the data

VI.1 Achievements: Results and Deviations from the NP Proposal.

For Data transmission by Ireland please refer to Table VI.1 All relevant and requested data was submitted in 2016.

2016 involved both a consolidation of previous work and new developments. Notable developments included the continued development of the Inshore Fisheries Database and Upload Utility. The Inshore team had been collecting data into historical spreadsheets, and the aim of the project was to house the historical data into a new database and to provide a utility for uploading this data.

In addition a new database and upload utility were developed for the salmon/trout/eel traps. Historically, the salmon/trout/eel traps data had been collected and stored within Excel spreadsheets, and the aim of this project was to development a new database and upload utility for storing both the historical and current traps data. R has continued to grow in importance and the MI scientists now regularly access the various databases using R, SQL, Microsoft Excel, Microsoft Access, and specific application interfaces. A number of personnel attended training courses in 2016 to develop their skills in these technologies.

The Stockman port sampling database application continued to be developed during 2016 with constant feedback from the analysts' team. Extra functions included a new Sampling Tracking Module replacing the previously developed MS Access 2003 Application, and more extensive Quality Control functions.

Traversal data is primarily collected via Logbooks initially collected by the Sea Fisheries Protection Agency (SFPA) and stored on databases managed by the Department of Agriculture, Food, and the Marine (DAFM). During 2016 the system for receiving logbook data continued to be developed. This system also allow the MI to receive a weekly secure Logbooks data feed that allows preliminary analyses to be conducted earlier. The Logbooks data is completely refreshed on a quarterly basis, and in between the full refreshes a smaller weekly update of changes takes place. VMS data is still collected by the Naval Forces Fisheries Monitoring and Control Centre (FMC) and is made available to the MI through an annual data request sent to the FMC, via DAFM.

Survey information is stored on individual databases. Work continued in 2016 on improving the Nemesys data acquisition software. The acquisition software is used for collecting *Nephrops* data sampled both on Survey and Commercial vessels. Nemesys collects Sample Metadata (including sampling personnel, vessel, gear, functional unit and sample weight details) along with individual measurement data of the *Nephrops* measured. The application was upgraded for use with an additional Blue Calliper Type, new screens for automating *Nephrops* Whole/Tail Weight Data Collection, Calliper Configuration Screen to aid Nemesys installation and initial work with Bluetooth Weighing Scales commenced. The application includes an upload utility allowing data collect locally on the tablet / laptop to be uploaded into the central Nemesys Database. The *Nephrops* underwater TV survey database continues to be used for storage of *Nephrops* survey data. During 2016, the Underwater TV database was upgraded to SQL Server 2014, and Underwater TV Database Upload Utility was upgraded onto Windows Server 2012. The *Nephrops* underwater TV survey database stores survey metadata, burrow count, haul and fish data, as well as links to video data, and has analyses routines developed to facilitate the production of live burrow counts for the *Nephrops* UWTV index, which is used by ICES in providing advice on the *Nephrops* stocks covered by the Irish surveys.

The IBTS survey database was upgraded to run on SQL Server 2014, and the data validation checks have continued to be improved. The database also has a Datras export facility which is automated to facilitate upload of Irish IBTS data to Datras. A generic upload facility is available to allow data collected at sea on the RV's to be uploaded to the SQL server database on the MI network. This work is part of the ongoing improvement carried out on Irelands DCF data holdings.

Economic data are stored in a MS Office Access database, located on a secure server within the BIM network. In 2015, the review of the database structure and function continued and various steps were initiated on foot of this review, to improve data storage and access. In addition, the development of dynamic links with national data sources was progressed with other national agencies (i.e. MI and SFPA).

The Marine Institute continues to improve its management of data– this has resulted in the launch of a new Data Strategy in 2016. This will provide a framework so that we can continue to improve the governance and quality of our data.

During 2016 we have continued to retire legacy stand-alone applications and replace them with more integrated systems. When systems are developed (or re-developed) time is taken to evaluate whether existing reference lists can be used rather than creating new, stand-alone reference lists. Data security and integrity can also be better managed on the centralised systems.

Data exchange to the EU is still via Excel templates as supplied by the JRC. The data is pre-validated prior to submission by the import procedures, but undergo additional validation and quality checks. The key shortcoming to supplying data via this route (to meet the format of STECF requests for data) remains the integration required between multiple databases.

Quality control and validation procedures.

Data on biological variables from port sampling are collected according to documented SOP's. These SOP's cover areas such as data quality and checking and are follow closely PGCCDBS discussions and recommendations regarding international best practice. At the database front end there are validation procedures ensuring the integrity of data entered from biological sampling events. The database itself has a dedicated DBA (data base administrator) who checks inputs at a regular interval for range, date and sum cross checks.

Use of the data

The data generated or used by the Marine Institute is generally uploaded to international working groups, in which Marine Institute scientists are heavily involved.

The IBTS groundfish survey and acoustic surveys are stored on SQL server databases, and these automatically generate output to internationally coordinated survey databases such as that in PGIPS and the IBTSWG (Datras and Fishframe).

Biological data from commercial fish sampling is transmitted to ICES for international stock assessment. These data are either raised by Ireland, or sent to the ICES stock coordinator. In most cases Ireland uses either the Fishframe or Intercatch format to transmit these data. In 2016 Ireland continued to use the tools developed by the COST project to analyse and report on the sampling data for the ICES WG's. Ireland has also been involved with plans for the re-design of the DCF/ICES Regional Database.

Ireland responded to all data requests from the EC in 2016, and achieved successful transmission in all cases except where the terms of the request were beyond the operational capacity of the data collection exercise.

VI.2 Actions to avoid deviations

The application of sound data management practices, alongside a continuing effort for upgrade and consolidation of databases and exploration tools, has helped to avoid many shortfalls with the Irish DCF data.

Further work will concentrate on the areas of data governance and data quality under the auspices of the new Marine Institute Data Strategy.

VII. List of acronyms and abbreviations

Acoustic surveys Acoustic surveys use sound waves emitted from a "transducer" to estimate the density of plankton and fish shoals. The transducer is attached to a drop keel on the survey vessel, which is linked to an echo sounder in the vessel which records the shoals of fish as "marks" on a screen or paper trace. The density of these marks is used to calculate total biomass of a stock.

Age The number of years of life completed, here indicated by an Arabic numeral, followed by a plus sign if there is any possibility of ambiguity (age 5, age 5+).

BIM An Bord Iascaigh Mhara, The Irish Sea Fisheries Board, charged with responsibility for development of the fishing and aquaculture industries in Ireland. **(**see www.bim.ie**)**

By-catch Refers to discarded catch (see Discards) plus incidental catch not purposely targeted by the fishermen.

CFB Central Fisheries Board (www.cfb.ie)

CPUE /Catch Per Unit of Effort The catch of fish, in numbers or in weight, taken by a defined unit of fishing effort. Also called catch per effort, fishing success, or availability.

DAFM Department of Agriculture Food and Marine. (see www.dafm.gov.ie)

DATRAS: Is the ICES developed, Database of Trawl Surveys

DCENR Department of Communications Energy and Natural resources (see www.dcenr.gov.ie)

DCF / Data Collection Framework EU Council Regulations 1543/2000, 1639/2001, 199/2008 established a community framework for the collection and management of the data needed to conduct the common fisheries policy. Each member state must collect data on the biology of the fish stocks, on the fleets and their activities and on economic and social issues

Discard Discards are defined as that part of the catch returned to the sea as a result of economic, legal or other considerations.

Discard rate The percentage (or proportion) of the total catch which is discarded.

FAT Fisheries Assessment Technician – regionally-based sea-going staff employed by FEAS.

FEAS / Fisheries Ecosystems Advisory Services – One of seven service areas of the Marine Institute, FEAS's mission is to assess, research and advise on the marine fisheries resource in Irish waters

Fishing Effort The total fishing gear in use for a specified period of time. When two or more kinds of gear are used, they must be adjusted to some standard type

Groundfish Species of demersal fish dwelling on, or close to the sea floor, as targeted in the annual Western IBTS 4th quarter survey around the Irish coast.

IBTS International Bottom Trawl Survey Working group

ICES International Council for the Exploration of the Seas –Ireland shares the Total Allowable Catches TACs for many stocks we exploit with our European Union partners. Because of this international dimension many stocks need to be assessed in international fora such as ICES.

ICCAT International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tuna

Marine Institute The Marine Institute is Ireland's national agency with the following general functions: "to undertake, to co-ordinate, to promote and to assist in marine research and development and to provide such services related to marine research and development, that in the opinion of the Institute will promote economic development and create employment and protect the environment." Marine Institute Act, 1991 **(**see **www.marine.ie)**

Inland Fisheries Ireland (IFI) Inland Fisheries Ireland was formally established as the agency responsible for the conservation, protection, management, marketing, development and improvement of Irelands inland fisheries and sea angling resources.

IFIS Integrated Fisheries Information System .Database held by DAFF with landings, effort and first sale value of fish species

HAWG Herring Assessment Working Group

LA Laboratory Analyst.

Latt Laboratory Attendant

MS Member State.

Nemesys *Nephrops* measuring system.

NP National Programme.

RCM Regional Co-ordination Meetings.

PGCCDBS, Planning group commercial catch discards and Biological sampling

PGDATA: Planning Group on Data Needs for Assessment and Advice**.**

WESPAS: The Western European Shelf Pelagic Acoustic Survey (WESPAS) is the consolidation of two existing survey programs carried out by Ireland. The Malin Shelf herring acoustic survey (Pre Spawning herring acoustic survey) and The Irish boarfish survey.

WGBIE Working Group for the Bay of Biscay and the Iberic Waters Ecoregion - Formally the Celtic seas eco region assessment working group

WGBIOP: Working Group on Biological Parameters

WGHMM Working group Hake Monk and Megrim

WGIPS Working Group International Pelagic Surveys

WGMEGS ICES Working Group on Mackerel and Horse Mackerel Egg Surveys (WGMEGS)

WGNAPES ICES Working Group on North East Atlantic Pelagic Ecosystem Surveys

WGNEACS ICES Working Group on the North-east Atlantic Continental Slope Survey

WGWIDE Working group on Widely Distributed Stocks

WKDRP Workshop on Discard Raising Procedures

Recovery Plan This is a multi-annual plan to recover seriously depleted stock. The plans generally involve agreed Harvest Control Rules, Technical Measures, Effort Controls and various control and enforcement measures.

Recruitment The amount of fish added to the exploitable stock each year due to growth and/or migration into the fishing area. For example, the number of fish that grow to become vulnerable to the fishing gear in one year would be the recruitment to the fishable population that year. This term is also used in referring to the number of fish from a year class reaching a certain age. For example, all fish reaching their second year would be age 2 recruits.

Sample A proportion or a segment of a fish stock which is removed for study, and is assumed to be representative of the whole. The greater the effort, in terms of both numbers and magnitude of the samples, the greater the confidence that the information obtained is a true reflection of the status of a stock (level of abundance in terms of numbers or weight, age composition, etc.)

SFPA Sea Fisheries Protection Agency

STECF The Scientific Technical and Economic Committee on Fisheries was established by the European Commission and comprises fisheries scientists and economists from the Member States. The role of STECF is to advise the European Commission on scientific, technical and

economic issues related to the management of fisheries resources that are exploited worldwide by members of the European Union.

STO Scientific and Technical Officer

Stock A "stock" is a population of a species living in a defined geographical area with similar biological parameters (e.g. growth, size at maturity, fecundity etc.) and a shared mortality rate. A thorough understanding of the fisheries biology of any species is needed to define these biological parameters.

SSB / Spawning stock biomass The total weight of all sexually mature fish in the population. The size of SSB for a stock depends on abundance of year classes, the exploitation pattern, the rate of growth, fishing and natural mortality rates, the onset of sexual maturity and environmental conditions.

STOCKMAN A custom developed relational database which houses the Marine Institute Fisheries Ecosystem Advisory Services sampling data.

TAC / Total Allowable Catch is the total regulated catch from a stock in a given time period, usually a year.

TL Team Leader

Landing Obligation (LO) From the 1st of January 2015 onwards, fishermen in certain parts of the EU must land all the fish they catch. By 2019 all fishermen will have the same obligation.

VIII. Comments, suggestions and reflections

NA

IX. References

Efron, B. and Tibshirani, R.J., 1993. An introduction to the bootstrap. Chapman & Hall. CRC, 436pp. pp.

Gerritsen H, Lordan C (2006) Gutted to round-weight conversion factors for anglerfish (Lophius piscatorius) and (Lophius budegassa). Irish Fisheries Bulletin 26. 8pp. ISSN:1649 5055

ICES, 2007. Report of the herring assessment working group for the area south of 62oN. ICES CM 2008/ACOM:02. 601 pp.

STECF-SGRN, 2007. STECF Sub-group on Research Needs (SGRN). Review of list of surveys at sea (Appendix XIV of EU Commission Regulation N°1581/2004) with their priorities. Commission Staff Working Paper 2007:01. 25 pp.

WKMOG (2008) Report of the Workshop on Maturity Ogive Estimation for Stock Assessment (WKMOG). ICES CM2008/ACOM:33. 3-6 June 2008. Lisbon, Portugal.

Anon 1996 Manual for International Bottom Trawl Surveys (Revision V) Addendum to ICES CM 1996/H:1

ICES 2007. Report of the Workshop on the use of UWTV surveys for determining abundance in Nephrops stocks throughout European waters. ICES CM: 2007/ACFM: 14 Ref: LRC, PGCCDBS.

ICES 2008. Report of the Workshop and training course on Nephrops Burrow Identification (WKNEPHBID). ICES CM: 2008/LRC: 03 Ref: ACOM.

ICES. 2010. Report of the Workshop on methods to evaluate and estimate the precision of fisheries data used for assessment (WKPRECISE), 8-11 September 2009, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES CM 2009/ACOM:40. 43 pp.

ICES. 2010. Report of the Joint ICES - STECF Workshop on methods for merging métiers for fishery based sampling (WKMERGE), 19–22 January 2010, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES CM 2010/ACOM:40. 94 pp.

ICES 2012. Report of the Study Group on Nephrops Surveys (SGNEPS). ICES CM 2012/SSGESST: 19. Ref: SCICOM, ACOM.

ICES 2013. Report of the Benchmark Workshop on Nephrops Stocks (WKNEPH). ICES CM: 2013 /ACOM:45.

Minto, C. (1998) Precision level estimates: robust to small sample sizes of finite populations for the Data Collection Regulations.

(Small, I. (1991) Exploring data provided by angling for salmonids in the British Isles. In: Catch Effort Sampling Strategies - their application in Freshwater Fisheries Management. I.G. Cowx, (Ed.), Blackwell Scientific Publications Ltd.)

WKACCU, 2008. Workshop on Methods to Evaluate and Estimate the Accuracy of Fisheries Data used for Assessment. ICES CM 2008\ACOM:32, ICES, Copenhagen.

X. Annexes – Bi – Lateral Agreements

Bilateral Agreement between the Marine Institute Ireland and Marine Scotland (for the collection of length, maturity and age samples in accordance with EC Regulation 665/2008, laying down detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EC) 199/2008, and its Commission Decision 2008/949/EC.

Agreement: Vessels fishing on the Irish register, which operate and / or land into Scotland for first point of sale will be sampled as part of the 2014-2016 National Programme under the requirements of the EC Data Collection Framework (199/2008). A portion of these vessels land into Scotland. The eventual additional sampling costs will be covered within the Scottish National Sampling Programme from 2014-2016

Description of sampling: The sampling will be for length maturity and age of Demersal and Pelagic landings, sampling will be carried out in accordance with the Scottish National Sampling Programmes.

Sampling Intensity: Sampling intensity will be in accordance with the guidelines set down by Commission Decision 2008/949/EC.

Data responsibility: Scotland will send this sampling data to Ireland for inclusion with the Irish assessment working group data sets. Ireland will then be responsible for submitting the data to the relevant ICES Expert Groups, and to the EC under the requirements of its Data Collection Framework. Scotland will provide the required data for the species that are requested by the relevant ICES Expert Groups, and the data for the additional species to Ireland as and when requested.

ALSO:

Agreement: Fishing vessels on the UK- Scotland register, which operate and / or land for first sale into Ireland, will be sampled by Ireland as part of the 2014-2016 National Programme under the requirements of the EC Data Collection Framework (199/2008). The eventual additional sampling costs will be covered within the Irish National Sampling Programme from 2014- 2016.

Description of sampling: The sampling will be for length maturity and age of Pelagic landings. Sampling intensity will be in accordance with the guidelines set down by Commission Decision 2008/949/EC.

Sampling Intensity: Sampling intensity will be in accordance with the guidelines set down by Commission Decision 2008/949/EC.

Data responsibility: The samples will be processed and age, sex, length, maturity information recorded. These data will be sent to the Scottish scientist with responsibility for submitting Scottish data to the ICES WGWIDE.

Scotland is responsible for submitting the data to the relevant ICES Expert Groups, and to the EC under the requirements of its Data Collection Framework. Ireland will provide the required data for the species that are requested by the relevant ICES Expert Groups, and the data for the additional species to Scotland as and when requested.

Landings of Scottish vessels into Ireland and of Irish landings into Scotland are obviously subject to change over the period of this bi lateral agreement and will need to be monitored on an on - going basis.

Contact persons: paul.connolly@marine.ie DCF National Correspondent

Marine Institute, Ireland

Signed: Paul L. Connolly

Date : 30th July 2014.

Scotland (Marine Scotland)

Signed: Margaret Beel

Date: 26th August 2014

Marine Institute
Foras na Mara

Bilateral Agreement between the Marine Institute Ireland and National Institute of Aquatic Resources (DTU Aqua), Denmark for the collection of length maturity and age samples in accordance with EC Regulation 665/2008, laying down detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EC) 199/2008, and its Commission Decision 2008/949/EC.

Agreement:

Five Vessels fishing on the Danish register, which operate and / or land for first sale into Ireland, will be sampled as part of the 2014-2016 National Programme under the requirements of the EC Data Collection Framework (199/2008). The eventual additional sampling costs will be covered within the Irish National Sampling Programme from 2014- 2016.

Description of sampling: The sampling will be for length maturity and age of Blue whiting landings, sampling will be carried out in accordance with the Irish National Sampling Programme.

Sampling Intensity: A maximum of 3 samples of blue whiting will be collected from Danish vessels landing into Irish ports.

Data responsibility: The samples will be processed and age, sex, length, maturity information recorded. These data will be sent to the Danish scientist with responsibility for submitting Danish data to the ICES WGWIDE.

Denmark is responsible for submitting the data to the relevant ICES Expert Groups, and to the EC under the requirements of its Data Collection Framework. Ireland will provide the required data for the species that are requested by the relevant ICES Expert Groups, and the data for the additional species to Denmark as and when requested.

Contact persons: frank.obrien@marine.ie National Correspondent

Marine Institute, Ireland

Signed:

Date : 24/9/2013.

Marine Institute
Foras na Mara
Rinville
Oranmore Galway
Tel: 353 91 387 200
Fax: 353 91 387 201
Email:institute.mail@marine.ie

Danish Institute for Fisheries Research

Signed:

Date: 4/10-13

Marine Institute
Foras na Mara

Bilateral Agreement between the Marine Institute Ireland and France (Ministère de l'écologie, du développent durable et de l'énergie) for the collection of length, maturity and age samples in accordance with EC Regulation 665/2008, laying down detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EC) 199/2008, and its Commission Decision ~~2008/949/EC~~. 2010/93/UE

Agreement:

1. Fifty four vessels fishing on the French register, which operate and / or land into Ireland and transported for first point of sale to France, will be sampled as part of the 2014-2016 National Programme under the requirements of the EC Data Collection Framework (199/2008). The eventual additional sampling costs will be covered within the French National Sampling Programme from 2014-16

2. In addition, four pelagic vessels fishing on the Irish register which operate and / or land for first sale into France, on an opportunistic basis, will be sampled as part of the 2014-2016 National Programme under the requirements of the EC Data Collection Framework (199/2008). The eventual additional sampling costs will be covered within the Irish National Sampling Programme from 2014-16.

Description of sampling:

1. The sampling will be for length maturity and age of Hake, Monkfish and Megrim landings, sampling will be carried out in accordance with the French National Sampling Programme.

Sampling Intensity: In accordance with the rules laid down by the regulation.

2. The sampling will be for length maturity and age of mackerel, horse mackerel and herring landings, sampling will be carried out in accordance with the Irish National Sampling Programme.

Ten samples of herring, mackerel, horse mackerel and/or albacore tuna will be sampled annually by a contractor based in Douarnenez, France. This contractor will collect and primary-process the samples and send the data to the Irish Marine Institute where they will be aged. The data will be submitted by the relevant Irish scientist to WGWIDE, HAWG and/or ICCAT.

Sampling Intensity: Ten samples will be processed and age, sex, length, maturity information recorded. These data will be sent to the French scientist with responsibility for submitting French data to the ICES WGWIDE.

Data responsibility:

1. France is responsible for submitting the data to the relevant ICES Expert Groups, and to the EC under the requirements of its Data Collection Framework. France will provide the required data for the species that are requested by the relevant ICES Expert Groups, and the data for the additional species to Ireland as and when requested.

2. Ireland is responsible for submitting the data to the relevant ICES Expert Groups, and to the EC under the requirements of its Data Collection Framework. Ireland will provide the required data for the species that are requested by the relevant ICES Expert Groups, and the data for the additional species to France as and when requested.

Contact persons:

National Correspondent: paul.connolly@marine.ie

Marine Institute, Ireland

Signed: Paul L. Connolly

Date: 25/9/14

Ministère de l'écologie, du développent durable et de l'énergie

Signed: Marie Bénédicte PEYRAT.

Date: 28/5/15.

Correspondant national : mas.sdrh.dpma@developpement-durable.gouv.fr

La Cheffe de la Mission des Affaires Scientifiques

Marie-Bénédicte PEYRAT