

# Assignment 3

Filip Mellgren

2019-02-23

## Contents

Theoretical exercises	1
1 . . . . .	1

Empirical exercises	1
---------------------	---

hejhej Hello,this is a comment from Ismael

## Theoretical exercises

### 1

a:

test Show that  $*$  =  $Cov(z_t, \varepsilon_{yt}) \neq 0$ .

- Recall the formula for covariance:  $Cov(z_t, \varepsilon_{yt}) = E(z_t \varepsilon_{yt}) - E(z_t)E(\varepsilon_{yt})$ . Because  $\varepsilon_{yt} \sim WN(0, \sigma_y^2)$ , we obtain:  $*$  =  $E(z_t \varepsilon_{yt})$ .
- Next, expand the the expression for  $y_t$  in the expression for  $z_t$ :  $*$  =  $E[(-b_{21}[(b_{12}z_t + \gamma_{11}y_{t-1} + \gamma_{12}z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{yt}) + \gamma_{21}y_{t-1} + \gamma_{22}z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{zt}]\varepsilon_{yt})]$ .
- Now distribute  $\varepsilon_{yt}$  over the system:  $*$  =  $E(-b_{21}[(b_{12}z_t + \gamma_{11}y_{t-1} + \gamma_{12}z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{yt})\varepsilon_{yt} + \gamma_{21}y_{t-1}\varepsilon_{yt} + \gamma_{22}z_{t-1}\varepsilon_{yt} + \varepsilon_{zt}\varepsilon_{yt}])$
- Expand the expectation operator to a sum:  $*$  =  $E(-b_{21}[(b_{12}z_t + \gamma_{11}y_{t-1} + \gamma_{12}z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{yt})\varepsilon_{yt}) + E(\gamma_{21}y_{t-1}\varepsilon_{yt}) + E(\gamma_{22}z_{t-1}\varepsilon_{yt}) + E(\varepsilon_{zt}\varepsilon_{yt})$ .
- Exploit intertemporal independence and that  $\varepsilon_{yt}$  and  $\varepsilon_{zt}$  are independent:  $*$  =  $E(-b_{21}[(b_{12}z_t + \gamma_{11}y_{t-1} + \gamma_{12}z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{yt})\varepsilon_{yt}])$
- Distribute  $\varepsilon_{yt}$ :  $*$  =  $-b_{21}E([(b_{12}z_t\varepsilon_{yt} + \gamma_{11}y_{t-1}\varepsilon_{yt} + \gamma_{12}z_{t-1}\varepsilon_{yt} + \varepsilon_{yt}\varepsilon_{yt})])$
- Expand the expectation:  $*$  =  $-b_{21}[E(b_{12}z_t\varepsilon_{yt}) + E(\gamma_{11}y_{t-1}\varepsilon_{yt}) + E(\gamma_{12}z_{t-1}\varepsilon_{yt}) + E(\varepsilon_{yt}^2)]$
- What remains after exploiting independence is  $*$  =  $-b_{21}E(\varepsilon_{yt}^2) = -b_{21}\sigma_y^2 \neq 0$  QED.

The implications on estimation are that estimates will be inefficient and biased. ## 2

## Empirical exercises

Do exercises 10a-10g in the textbook (p.340)

- Remark 1: It is possible that the values you obtain for the F-statistics, p-values and correlations are different than those reported since the sample is extended. However, the main conclusions should be the same.

- Remark 2: Exercise d. is optional and so is the part on the forecast error variance in e. (but you could use the command fevd in STATA to answer these questions).
- Remark 3: You find the appropriate specifications for the variables  $st$ ,  $\Delta lip$ , and  $\Delta ur$  described in the text to exercise 9 (p.339).

```
## -- Attaching packages ----- tidyverse 1.2.1 --
## v ggplot2 3.1.0      v purrr  0.2.5
## v tibble  2.0.1      v dplyr  0.7.8
## v tidyr   0.8.2      v stringr 1.3.1
## v readr   1.3.1      v forcats 0.3.0

## -- Conflicts ----- tidyverse_conflicts() --
## x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
## x dplyr::lag()    masks stats::lag()

## Loading required package: MASS

##
## Attaching package: 'MASS'

## The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr':
##
##     select

## Loading required package: strucchange

## Loading required package: zoo

##
## Attaching package: 'zoo'

## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
##     as.Date, as.Date.numeric

## Loading required package: sandwich

##
## Attaching package: 'strucchange'

## The following object is masked from 'package:stringr':
##
##     boundary

## Loading required package: urca

## Loading required package: lmtest

##
## Please cite as:
##
## Hlavac, Marek (2018). stargazer: Well-Formatted Regression and Summary Statistics Tables.
## R package version 5.2.2. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=stargazer
```

10:

Estimate the three-VAR beginning in 1961Q1 and use the ordering such that  $\Delta lip_t$  is causally prior to  $\Delta ur_t$  and that  $\Delta ur_t$  is causally prior to  $s_t$ .

We begin by defining the variables we are going to include in our analysis.

Do we need to check for stationarity here? Might be enough to assume it.

The lag length is already determined to be 3.

**10 a:**

If you perform a test to determine whether  $s_t$  Granger causes  $\Delta lip_t$ , you should find that the F-statistic is 2.44 with a prob-value of 0.065. How do you interpret this result?

Statistic	N	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Pctl(25)	Pctl(75)	Max
Res.Df	2	225.500	2.121	224	224.8	226.2	227
Df	1	-3.000		-3.000	-3.000	-3.000	-3.000
F	1	2.774		2.774	2.774	2.774	2.774
Pr(>F)	1	0.042		0.042	0.042	0.042	0.042

**10 b:**

Verify that  $s_t$  Granger causes  $\Delta unemp_t$ . You should find that the F statistic is 5.93 with a prob value of less than 0.001.

Statistic	N	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Pctl(25)	Pctl(75)	Max
Res.Df	2	225.500	2.121	224	224.8	226.2	227
Df	1	-3.000		-3.000	-3.000	-3.000	-3.000
F	1	4.450		4.450	4.450	4.450	4.450
Pr(>F)	1	0.005		0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005

**10 c:**

It turns out that the correlation coefficient between  $e_{1t}$  and  $e_{2t}$  is -0.72. The correlation between  $e_{1t}$  and  $e_{3t}$  is -0.11 and between  $e_{2t}$  and  $e_{3t}$  is 0.10. Explain why the ordering of a Choleski composition is likely to be important for obtaining the impulse responses.

**10 e:**

Now estimate the model using the levels of  $lip_t$  and  $ur_t$ . Do you now find a lag length of 5 appropriate?

**10 f:**

Obtain the impulse response function from the model using  $\Delta lip_t$ ,  $\Delta ur_t$  and  $s_t$ . Show that a positive shock to the industrial production induces a decline in the unemployment rate that lasts six quarters. Then,  $\Delta ur_t$  overshoots its long run level before returning to zero.

**10 g:**

Reverse the ordering and explain why the results depend on whether or not  $\Delta lip_t$  proceeds  $\Delta ur_t$