



# Rate-Monotonic Scheduling

Filip Moons

Master in Applied Computer Science

Promotor: Prof. Dr. Martin Timmerman

Presentation Operating Systems & Security

Friday 9 January, 2014

# Content

- ▶ Tasks
- ▶ The algorithm
- ▶ Tests
- ▶ Example



# Some assumptions

## Definition

A task  $\tau_i$  is a process that has:

- ▶ To be periodically executed in a period  $T_i$
- ▶ The worst case execution time  $C_i$
- ▶ A deadline  $D_i$ , which is the available time on the processor.  
 $D_i = T_i$



# Some assumptions

## Definition

A task  $\tau_i$  is a process that has:

- ▶ To be periodically executed in a period  $T_i$
- ▶ The worst case execution time  $C_i$
- ▶ A deadline  $D_i$ , which is the available time on the processor.  
 $D_i = T_i$



# The algorithm

## Rate-Monotonic Scheduling: Algorithm

1. The task with the smallest period has the highest priority,
2. A higher-priority task ready to be executed, overrides the current executed task. The current executed task is interrupted and may resume afterwards.



# An example

1.  $\tau_1$ :  $T_1 = 4$  ms,  $C_1 = 1$  ms
2.  $\tau_2$ :  $T_2 = 5$  ms,  $C_2 = 2$  ms
3.  $\tau_3$ :  $T_3 = 7$  ms,  $C_1 = 2$  ms



# Schedulability test 1

## The utilization factor

The utilization factor of a task set  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$  is:

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{C_i}{T_i}$$

$\frac{C_i}{T_i}$  gives the utilization of task  $\tau_i$  on the CPU

## Schedulability test 1: Liu & Layland lower bound

With  $n$ -tasks with, a schedule exists as:

$$U \leq n(2^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1)$$

For  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(2^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1) = \ln 2 \approx 0.693147\dots$

# Schedulability test 2

## The RT-test

A task set can be scheduled by RMS if the deadline of the first execution of each task is met when using the scheduling algorithm starting all tasks at the same time.

## Total processing requirement

The total processing requirement  $u_i(t)$  of a task  $\tau_i$  in the time interval  $[0, t]$  is given by, with  $0 < t \leq T_i$ :

$$u_i(t) = C_i + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \left\lceil \frac{t}{T_i} \right\rceil C_k \quad (1)$$

The idea is immediately clear: if  $u_i(t) \leq t$  for some  $t \leq T_i$  then task  $\tau_i$  is schedulable.



# An example

1.  $\tau_1$ :  $T_1 = 4$  ms,  $C_1 = 1$  ms  $\rightarrow \frac{C_1}{T_1} = 0.25$ ,
2.  $\tau_2$ :  $T_2 = 5$  ms,  $C_2 = 2$  ms  $\rightarrow \frac{C_2}{T_2} = 0.4$ ,
3.  $\tau_3$ :  $T_3 = 7$  ms,  $C_3 = 2$  ms  $\rightarrow \frac{C_3}{T_3} = 0.28$ ,

## Schedulability test 1

With  $n = 3$ , the sum of  $\frac{C_i}{T_i}$  must be lower than 0.7798. We become that  $0.25 + 0.4 + 0.28 = 0.91 > 0.7798$ .



# An example

## Schedulability test 2

1.  $u_1(t) = C_1 = 1 \rightsquigarrow u_1(4) = 1$
2.  $u_2(t) = .. \rightsquigarrow u_2(4) = 3, u_2(5) = 4$
3.  $u_3(t) = ... \rightsquigarrow u_3(4) = 5, u_3(5) = 6, u_3(7) = 8$

We test:

1.  $u_1(t) \leq t$  satisfied for  $t = 4$ ?  $\rightsquigarrow u_1(4) = 1 \leq 4 \Rightarrow$  **OK!**
2.  $u_2(t) \leq t$  satisfied for  $t \in 4, 5$ ?  $\rightsquigarrow u_2(4) \leq 4, u_2(5) \leq 5 \Rightarrow$  **OK!**
3.  $u_3(t) \leq t$  satisfied for  
 $t \in 4, 5, 7$ ?  $\rightsquigarrow u_3(4) > 4, u_3(5) > 5, u_3(7) > 7 \Rightarrow$  **NOT OK!**

