

# Final Project Rubric

GEOG 370-006: Introduction to Geographic Information

Spring 2023

## Presentations:

- Full credit if presentations provide a brief topic background, thorough explanation of your group's original geospatial research project methods/ results (original maps and figures), interpret the findings, and discuss the broader impact/significance of the findings. Everyone in the group should talk for roughly equivalent times. Presentations should be 10-12 and leave ~3 minutes for questions. The story map format should be neat, organized, and contain all relevant information.
- Partial credit if presentations are significantly under/over time, are missing materials, or if some group members dominate the discussion.
- No credit if you don't prepare a presentation and story map.

## Write up:

Your write up should include a complete overview of your group's original research and geospatial analysis. Additional points may be taken off at the reviewer's discretion if the paper is over the 5-page limit or has significant writing/editing issues (such as tone, spelling, grammar, organization, or formatting).

- Introduction:
  - Full credit for a complete discussion of the main objectives/ research question and a review of related studies.
  - Partial credit if your answer is incomplete or does not address previous work on the topic.
  - No credit: No credit if you did not answer or if your answer is entirely off the mark.
- Methods:
  - Full credit if your methods section describes the geospatial data and analytical procedures in precise, technical language used to address the main objective/ research question.
  - Partial credit: if your answer is incomplete or uses imprecise language when describing the geospatial analysis.
  - No credit if you did not answer or if your answer is entirely off the mark.
- Results:
  - Full credit if you present the results of analytical procedures applied to geospatial data in the form of original maps and tables/figures, with text describing them.
  - Partial credit if your answer is incomplete, imprecise, or misinterprets the findings.
  - No credit if you did not answer or if your answer is entirely off the mark.
- Discussion:
  - Full credit for a thorough explanation of the results obtained in the project, their significance (within the context of previous related studies), challenges, and future directions.
  - Partial credit if your answer is perfunctory (does not explain well enough for us to tell whether you actually understand the issue)

- No credit: No credit if you did not answer or if your answer is entirely off the mark.
- References: add a list of the references you cited throughout your writeup, using the Chicago Citation Style
  - Full credit for full list of citations in correct formatting.
  - Partial credit for incorrect formatting or missing citations.
  - No credit if you are missing citations or if your answer is entirely off the mark.

**Peer evaluation:**

- Full credit: A response that is detailed and complete enough that we can tell that you paid attention and engaged with your peer's work.
- A partial credit if your answer is perfunctory or incomplete.
- No credit if you did not answer or if your answer is entirely off the mark.