## Mesh evaluation

The estimation of the spacing for the mesh along the y direction is performed through one of the utilities that can be found inside the folder 1-pre\_processing/Stretching Mesh. For a channel flow case, the default function of Incompact3d can be used, stretching\_parameter\_channel.f90.

For a temporal TBL case instead, a new function was developed, starting from the stretching subroutine that can be found inside the original solver of Incompact3d. This new function is a Python script, mesh\_evaluation\_ttbl.py. This function allows to estimate the mesh size by introducing the following inputs:

- Number of points:  $n_x, n_y, n_z$ .
- Domain dimensions:  $L_x, L_y, L_z$ .
- Stretching parameter:  $\beta$ .
- Skin friction coefficient:  $c_f$ .
- Kinematic viscosity:  $\nu$ .
- Velocity of the wall:  $U_w$ .
- Time step:  $\Delta t$ .
- Tripping wire diameter: D.

And it produces the following outputs:

- Non-dimensional domain dimensions at IC and at peak  $c_f$ :  $L_x^+, L_y^+, L_z^+$ .
- CFL, Péclet, Numerical Fourier and stability parameter:  $Co, P\acute{e}, \mathcal{D}, S$ .
- Mesh size at the first element near the wall at peak  $c_f$ :  $\Delta y_1^+$ .
- Mesh size at the last element away from the wall at peak  $c_f$ :  $\Delta y_n^+$ .
- Mesh spacings in x and z directions at peak  $c_f$ :  $\Delta x^+, \Delta z^+$ .
- Aspect ratios (ARs) of grid elements at bottom and top walls in x and z directions:  $AR_{x_1}$ ,  $AR_{x_n}$ ,  $AR_{z_1}$ ,  $AR_{z_n}$ .
- Number of mesh nodes npvis in the viscous sublayer at  $c_f$  peak.
- Number of mesh nodes npsl in the initial shear layer.
- Approximate initial shear layer momentum thickness  $\theta_{sl}$ .
- Initial shear layer thickness  $\delta_{99}^+$ .

It is worth noticing that in a temporal BL simulation, the peak  $c_f$  value constraints the height of the first cell at the wall  $\Delta y_1$ , as in standard CFD simulations. However, the decrease of  $c_f$  along the simulation (and thus the increase in viscous length  $\delta_{\nu}$ ) imposes a constraint for the domain dimensions  $L_x$ ,  $L_y$ ,  $L_z$  since they appear progressively "smaller" (their non-dimensional counterparts decreases). Too low values of  $L_x^+, L_y^+, L_z^+$  must be avoided in order to do not enforce a too strong periodicity in the turbulent structures at the wall.

## Pre-processing quantities

Calculated quantities for a temporal TBL in mesh\_evaluation\_ttbl.py.

Shear velocity at IC

$$rac{\partial U}{\partial y} = -rac{U_w}{4 heta_{sl}} \cdot rac{1}{\cosh^2\left(rac{D}{2 heta_{sl}}
ight)}$$

$$u_{ au} = \sqrt{
u \left| rac{\partial U}{\partial y} 
ight|}$$

Shear velocity at peak friction coefficient

$$u_{ au}=U_{w}\sqrt{rac{c_{f}}{2}}$$

Initial velocity profile

$$U_0^+(y) = rac{U_w^+}{2} + rac{U_w^+}{2} anh \left[rac{D}{2 heta_{sl}} \Big(1 - rac{y}{D}\Big)
ight]$$

Initial shear layer momentum thickness

$$heta_{sl}pprox rac{54
u}{U_w}$$

Initial Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy number

$$Co = rac{U_w \Delta t}{\Delta x}$$

Initial Péclet number

$$P\acute{e}=rac{U_{w}\Delta x}{
u}$$

Initial Numerical Fourier number

$$\mathcal{D}=rac{
u\Delta t}{\Delta x^2}$$

Initial stability parameter

$$S=rac{2
u}{U_w^2\Delta t}$$