

# FDS: Pokemon Battles prediction 2025 - Team dugTRIO

**Line 60:** emiliano.grandis5@gmail.com, eyahouij02@gmail.com, filippovitozzi@gmail.com

**Filippo Vitozzi 2265118, Emiliano Grandi 2258965, Eya Houij 2238231**

## 001 1. Feature Engineering

002 The dataset provides both initial team information and full  
 003 turn-by-turn battle logs. From these sources we derive  
 004 a compact set of matchup-level and temporal descriptors  
 005 designed to capture strategic asymmetries and momentum  
 006 shifts throughout the battle.

007 Our features include: (i) **stat-based differences** (speed,  
 008 bulk, offenses, total base stats, lead advantage), (ii) **type-**  
 009 **and coverage-related signals** (STAB differential, cover-  
 010 age differential, weak/strong attack potential, net attack  
 011 advantage), (iii) **status and reliability indicators** (effec-  
 012 tive status differential, last-turn status effects), and (iv) **dy-  
 013 namic battle-flow metrics** such as HP momentum, alive-  
 014 difference evolution, switch efficiency, first-strike potential,  
 015 and round-count ratio.

016 These engineered features summarize complex battle  
 017 trajectories into stable numerical representations, enabling  
 018 downstream models to learn both structural matchup prop-  
 019 erties and temporal dynamics without processing raw se-  
 020 quences.

## 021 2. Models Evaluated

022 We experiment with three classes of supervised models of  
 023 increasing expressive power: a linear baseline, a lightweight  
 024 ensemble, and a gradient-boosted decision tree architecture.  
 025 The goal is to progressively assess how much non-linearity  
 026 is required to capture the strategic dependencies encoded in  
 027 the engineered features.

### 028 2.1. Logistic Regression (Baseline).

029 Our first approach is a regularized Logistic Regression  
 030 model trained within a standardized pipeline. We perform  
 031 an extensive grid search over penalties (L1/L2), inverse-  
 032 regularization strengths  $C$ , and optional class weighting.  
 033 Despite careful tuning and 5-fold stratified validation, the  
 034 model saturates around a training accuracy of 0.796, failing  
 035 to exceed the 0.80 threshold.

### 036 2.2. Soft Voting Ensemble.

037 To introduce limited non-linearity while retaining inter-  
 038 pretability, we train a soft-voting ensemble combining: (i)  
 039 a scaled Logistic Regression classifier, (ii) an SVM with

probabilistic outputs, and (iii) a shallow Decision Tree (max  
 040 depth 4). This ensemble benefits from complementary in-  
 041 ductive biases and successfully surpasses the 0.80 accu-  
 042 racy barrier on the training set. However, its performance  
 043 remains unstable across validation splits, suggesting that  
 044 deeper nonlinear modeling is required to fully exploit the  
 045 engineered feature space.

### 046 2.3. XGBoost (Final Model).

047 Our best-performing model is an XGBoost classifier trained  
 048 with histogram-based tree construction. We perform a 40-  
 049 iteration RandomizedSearchCV over tree depth, learning  
 050 rate, number of estimators, child weight, subsampling ra-  
 051 tios, column sampling, and L1/L2 regularization. The best  
 052 configuration achieves a cross-validated AUC of 0.8777.  
 053 We then retrain the model on the training split with an 80-  
 054 round early-stopping patience on the validation set, select-  
 055 ing the optimal number of boosting rounds and prevent-  
 056 ing overfitting. The final classifier is trained on the full  
 057 dataset and delivers strong generalization, achieving a val-  
 058 idation AUC of 0.8844 and a public-leaderboard score of  
 059 0.8213. This demonstrates that gradient-boosted trees are  
 060 well suited to the complex, nonlinear structure of Pokémon  
 061 battle dynamics.