

SCUOLA DI INGEGNERIA INDUSTRIALE E DELL'INFORMAZIONE

Software Engineering 2 Design Document

Author(s): Filippo Balzarini - 10719101 Christian Biffi - 10787158 Michele Cavicchioli - 10706553

22 December 2023 - Version 1.0 Academic Year: 2023-2024



Contents

C	Contents		
1	Intr	$\operatorname{roduction}$	1
	1.1	Purpose	1
	1.2	Scope	1
	1.3	Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations	1
		1.3.1 Definitions	2
		1.3.2 Acronyms	2
	1.4	Revision history	2
	1.5	Reference Documents	2
	1.6	Document Structure	2
2	Arc	hitectural design	3
	2.1	Overview: High-level components and their interaction	3
	2.2	Component view	3
	2.3	Deployment view	3
	2.4	Runtime view	3
	2.5	Component interfaces	3
	2.6	Selected architectural styles and patterns	3
	2.7	Other design decisions	3
3	Use	er interface design	5
4	Rec	quirements traceability	21
	4.1	Requirement Traceability	21
5	Imp	plementation, integration and test plan	25
	5.1	Plan Definition	25
6	Effo	ort Spent	35

References 37

1 Introduction

1.1. Purpose

This document contains the design description of the *CodeKataBattle* system. It includes the architectural design, the user interface design and the description of all the operations that the system will perform. It also show how the requirements and use cases detailed in the RASD document are satisfied by the design of the system.

This document is intended to be read by the developers of the system, the testers and the project managers. It is also intended to be used as a reference for the future maintenance of the system.

1.2. Scope

The CodeKataBattle system is a web application that allows educators to create challenges for their students based on solving programming problems. In particular the system is based on the concept of Code Kata that is an exercise in programming which helps a programmer hone their skills through practice and repetition. The system will allow the educators to create competition and battle based on Code Kata. The students will be able to participate in the battles with a team or by themselves and solve the challenges in order to earn points. The system will also provide a leader board that will show the ranking of the students based on their scores.

A more detailed description of the system can be found in the RASD document, whilist in this document is provided a detailed description of the design of the system to implement the requirements and use cases described in the RASD document.

1.3. Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations

2 1 Introduction

1.3.1. Definitions

User	Anyone interacting with the system, it can be both a Student of	
	Educator	
Manage	Create, supervise and edit a certain element of the application.	
Code Kata	A challenge intended to improve programming abilities, including de-	
	scription, test cases and build automation scripts.	

Table 1.1: List of definitions

1.3.2. Acronyms

ST	Student
ED	Educator
CKB	CodaKataBattle
RASD	Requirements Analysis and Specification Document
SAT	Static Analyzer Tool
Т	Team

Table 1.2: List of Acronyms

1.4. Revision history

1.5. Reference Documents

1.6. Document Structure

2 Architectural design

- 2.1. Overview: High-level components and their interaction
- 2.2. Component view
- 2.3. Deployment view
- 2.4. Runtime view
- 2.5. Component interfaces
- 2.6. Selected architectural styles and patterns
- 2.7. Other design decisions



3 User interface design

In this section we will describe the user interface design of the system. We will provide a mockup of the main pages of the system and a description of the main functionalities of the system.

The user interface of the system is designed to be simple and intuitive. As the system is intended to be used with a desktop browser, the interfaces presented here are based on a desktop browser, but the interface is thought to be responsive and consequently usable also on mobile devices.

Common Interfaces

Some pages of the platform are common, or very similar, for both ST and ED, so in this section we will show only once the mockup of the pages and we will describe the functionalities of the pages for both ST and ED.

Login Page




Figure 3.1: CKB login page

Registration Page

Here for simplicity we show only the registration page for a ST, but the registration page for a ED is equal to this one with the only difference that the ED is required to insert also information about the institution he/she works for.




Figure 3.2: CKB registration page

Home Page

This is a mockup of the homepage of a ST. ED would see a very similar home page with statistics about the competition and battle created.




Figure 3.3: CKB student home page

Competition Page

In this page the ST can see the list of all the competitions he/her is currently enrolled in. The ED can see the list of all the competitions he/her has created.




Figure 3.4: CKB competition page

Ranking Page

This is a the mockup of all the rankings present in the system. In particular, this is equal for the global ranking, competition raning and battle ranking pages. Both the ST and the ED are presented with the same interface and functionalities when consulting the ranking pages.




Figure 3.5: CKB global ranking page

ST Profile Page

Also this page is equal for both ST and ED. In particular in this page it is possible to see all the badges earned by the ST, other than the information about the competition he/her has partecipated in and their statistics.




Figure 3.6: CKB ST profile page

ST Interfaces

Now are presented the interfaces that are specific for the ST, in particular are shown the pages relative to the join of a battle by the ST.

Join Battle Pages

To create a more pleasant experience for the ST, the join battle pages are divided in different steps. In particular, the first step is to choose to join with a T or as a single ST. In the second step, if the ST has decided to join as a T he/her has to choose if he/her wants to create a new T or join an existing one. In case the ST has decided to create a new T is presented with the relative page, otherwise he/her is presented with the page to join an existing public T.




Figure 3.7: Join battle page - step 1




Figure 3.8: Join battle page - step 2




Figure 3.9: Create a new team




Figure 3.10: Join a public team

ED Interfaces

In this section are presented the interfaces that are specific for the ED, in particular are shown the pages relative to the creation of a competition, the creation of a battle and the creation of a badge.

Create Competition Page




Figure 3.11: CKB create competition page

Create Battle Pages

As for the join of a battle for a ST also the creation of a battle is divided in two different steps. In the first step the ED has to insert all the general information about the battle, while in the second step he/her has to insert the code and settings for the automatic evaluation of the code.




Figure 3.12: CKB create battle page - step 1




Figure 3.13: CKB create battle page - step 2

Create Badge Pages

Similarly to the last function, the creation of a badge is divided in two steps. In the first step the ED can insert all the information of the badge, that include the name of the badge, a description and a picture. In the second step the ED can choose the criteria that the ST has to satisfy to earn the badge, this is done by a set of pre-defined criteria that the ED can choose from.




Figure 3.14: CKB create badge page - step 1




Figure 3.15: CKB create badge page - step 2 $\,$

Manual Evaluation Page

This is the page where the ED can manually evaluate the code of a T. In particular, the ED can see some information about the latest submission of a T and can visit GitHub to see the code of the T. Then the ED can evaluate the latest submission of the T, assigning a score.




Figure 3.16: CKB manual evaluation page



4 Requirements traceability

4.1. Requirement Traceability

	Description	Components	
R1	CKB shall allow an unregistered user to create an	Authentication Service, Data Man-	
	account	ager	
R2	CKB shall allow users to log in	Authentication Service, Data Man-	
		ager	
R3	CKB shall allow ED to create competition	Dashboard Manager, Competition	
		Manager, Data Manager	
R4	CKB shall allow ED to create battle within a	Dashboard Manager, Battle Man-	
	competition that he/she manages	ager, Data Manager	
R5	CKB shall allow ED to invite other EDs to man-	Dashboard Manager, Competition	
	age battles in a competition	Manager, Battle Manager, Data	
		Manager, Notification Service	
R6	CKB shall allow ED to upload the code kata	Dashboard Manager, Battle Man-	
		ager, Data Manager	
R7	CKB shall allow ED to set a registration deadline	Dashboard Manager, Battle Man-	
	to the battle	ager, Data Manager	
R8	CKB shall allow ED to set a minimum number of	Dashboard Manager, Battle Man-	
	STs per group in a battle	ager, Data Manager	
R9	CKB shall allow ED to set the maximum number	Dashboard Manager, Battle Man-	
	of STs per group in a battle	ager, Data Manager	
R10	CKB shall allow ED to set a final submission	Dashboard Manager, Battle Man-	
	deadline	ager, Data Manager	
R11	CKB shall allow ED to set how to perform static	Dashboard Manager, Battle Man-	
	analysis	ager, Static Analyzer	
R12	CKB shall allow ST to subscribe to a competition	Dashboard Manager, Competition	
		Manager, Data Manager	

R13	CKB shall send notifications about a new compe-	Competition Manager, Notification	
tition to ST		Service	
R14	CKB shall send notification about battle created	Battle Manager, Notification Ser-	
	within a competition ST are subscribed in	vice	
R15	CKB shall allow ST to join a battle on his own	Dashboard Manager, Battle Man-	
		ager, Team Manager, Data Man-	
		ager	
R16	CKB shall allow ST to invite other ST in a T for	Dashboard Manager, Team Man-	
	a battle	ager, Data Manager, Notification	
		Service	
R17	CKB shall create a GitHub repository containing	Dashboard Manager, Battle Man-	
		ager	
	automation scripts		
R18	CKB shall send the Github repository link to ST	Battle Manager, Data Manger, No-	
	member of a T competing in the battle	tification Service	
R19	CKB shall supply API to call with Github actions	Battle Manager	
R20	CKB shall be able to pull sources from GitHub	Battle Manager	
R21	CKB shall be able to send the ST source code to	Evaluator Controller, Static Ana-	
	the correct SAT	lyzer	
R22	CKB shall be able to receive the evaluation given Point Manager, Data Mar		
	by SAT on a source code		
R23	CKB shall be able to run tests on code	Code Evaluator	
R24	CKB shall evaluate the code in terms of test cases	Code Evaluator	
	passed		
R25	CKB shall evaluate the code in terms of timeliness	Code Evaluator	
R26	CKB shall allow ED to assign a score to codes	Dashboard Manager, Team Man-	
		ager, Data Manager	
R27	CKB shall update the score of a T (as soon as	Evaluator Controller, Code Evalua-	
	new push actions are performed)	tor, Static Analyzer, Point Manger,	
		Data Manger	
R28	CKB shall allow ED to go through sources pro-	Dashboard Manager, Battle Man-	
	duced by Ts	ager	
R29	CKB shall notify ST when final battle ranks are	Battle Manager, Notification Ser-	
		1	

R30	CKB shall update the personal competition score	
	of a ST at the end of each battle	
R31	CKB shall create a rank with students' perfor-	Competition Manager, Data Man-
	mances in a competition	ager
R32	CKB shall allow ST to see all ST's rank in battle	Dashboard Manager, Battle Man-
	where is enrolled	ager, Data Manager
R33	CKB shall allow ED to see all ST's ranks in the	Dashboard Manager, Battle Man-
	battle that he/she manages	ager, Data Manager
R34	CKB shall allow EDs and STs to see all ST's rank	Dashboard Manager, Competition
	in competitions	Manager, Data Manager
R35	CKB shall allow ST to see the list of ongoing	Dashboard Manager, Competition
	competitions	Manager, Data Manager
R36	CKB shall allow ED to close a competition	Dashboard Manager, Competition
		Manager, Data Manager
R37	CKB shall allow ED to define badges in the con-	Dashboard Manager, Competition
	text of a competition	Manager, Badge Manager, Data
		Manager
R38	CKB shall assign badges to students at the end	Competition Manager, Badge Man-
	of the competition	ager, Data Manager
R39	CKB shall allow ED to define new rules for badges	Dashboard Manager, Competition
		Manager, Badge Manager, Data
		Manager
R40	CKB shall allow ED to define new variables for	Dashboard Manager, Competition
	badges	Manager, Badge Manager, Data
		Manager
R41	CKB shall allow users to visualize badges ob-	Dashboard Manager, Competition
	tained by a ST	Manager, Badge Manager, Data
		Manager
R42	CKB shall allow users to visualize a ST profile	Dashboard Manager, Data Manager
R43	CKB shall allow ST to join a T for which is in-	Dashboard Manager, Team Man-
	vited	ager, Data Manager
R44	CKB shall allow ST to join a public T	Dashboard Manager, Team Man-
		ager, Data Manager
R45	CKB shall allow ST to create a T	Dashboard Manager, Battle Man-
		ager, Team Manager, Data Manager

R46	CKB shall allow ST to set a T to public or private	Dashboard Manager, Battle Man-	
		ager, Team Manager, Data Manager	
R47	CKB can distinguish between an ED user and a	Authentication Service, Data Man-	
	ST user	ager	
R48	CKB shall not allow ST/ED to see the rankings	Battle Manager, Data Manager	
	of battles they are not involved in		
R49	CKB shall have the environments for all the pro-	Static Analyzer	
	gramming language it supports		
R50	CKB shall allow ED to close a battle they manage	Dashboard Manager, Battle Man-	
		ager, Data Manager	

5 | Implementation, integration and test plan

The implementation, integration and test plan will follow a **bottom-up approach**, starting from the components with no dependencies and then integrating them together.

5.1. Plan Definition

Since the application is mostly server-side, we will only describe the implementation of the server components. The client-side, which is actually a presentation layer, will be implemented and tested in parallel with the server-side.

Since our application is developed on two different servers, we will describe separately the implementation plan for the two servers: the CKB Server and the Evaluation Server.

CKB Server

In the first step, the *Model* component and the *Data Manager*, will be implemented and unit tested with a *Driver* which will substitute components which are not already implemented.




Figure 5.1: Step 1

In the second step, the Notification Manager will be implemented and tested with a *Driver* which will substitute: the *CompetitionManager*, the *BattleManager* and the *AuthenticationService*. It will also use a *Stub* to simulate the *Mail Server*.




Figure 5.2: Step 2 - CKB Server

5 Implementation, integration and test plan




Figure 5.3: Step 3 - CKB Server




Figure 5.4: Step 4 - CKB Server




Figure 5.5: Step 5 - CKB Server

Evaluation Server




Figure 5.6: Step 1 - Evaluation Server

5| Implementation, integration and test plan




Figure 5.7: Step 2 - Evaluation Server




Figure 5.8: Step 3 - Evaluation Server



6 Effort Spent

Members of group Effort spent (hours)		
	Introduction	0h
	Architectural design	10h
	User interface design	0h
 Filippo Balzarini	Requirements trace-	2h
r mppo Daizarim	ability	
	Implementation, inte-	2h
	gration and test plan	
	Reasoning	2h
	Introduction	1h
	Architectural design	4h
	User interface design	8h
Christian Biffi	Requirements trace-	0h
	ability	
	Implementation, inte-	0h
	gration and test plan	
	Reasoning	4h
	${\bf Introduction}$	0h
	Architectural design	5h
	User interface design	0h
 Michele Cavicchioli	Requirements trace-	0h
Witchele Cavicemon	ability	
	Implementation, inte-	0h
	gration and test plan	
	Reasoning	0h

Table 6.1: Effort spent by each member of the group



References

