Prepared for submission to JHEP

ML Cheatsheet

Steve Young

Abstract: Everything I know about machine learning.

Contents

1	Conventions	1
2	Linear Regression 2.1 Basics	2 2
3	Logistic Regression	2
	3.1 2 classes 3.2 2+ classes	2 2
4	Gaussian Discriminant Analysis (GDA) and Naive Bayes	3
	4.1 GDA	3
	4.2 Naive Bayes (for text classification)	3
5	Bias/Variance Tradeoff	4
6	Model Assessment	4
	6.1 Classification	4
	6.1.1 Precision/Recall	4
	6.1.2 Receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC)	5
	6.2 Misc	5
7	K-means	5
8	PCA	5
9	Time Series	6
	9.1 Stationarity	6
	9.2 ARCH	6
10	Gaussian Processes	6
11	Neural Networks	6
	11.1 Hyperparameters	6
12	Variational Methods	6
A	Math Stuff	7
	A.1 Singular value decomposition	7
	A.2 Matrix derivatives	7

В	The Linear Regression Hierarchy	7
	B.1 Maximum likelihood	7
	B.2 Maximum a posteriori	7
	B.3 Full Bayesian (stationary prior)	7
	B.4 Variational Bayes (non-stationary prior)	7

1 Conventions

Math Notation

- $x \in \{0,1\}^r$: x is a vector of form e.g. (0,1,1,0,...,1,0) of length r.
- $1(\cdot)$: indicator function 1 when arg is true, 0 when arg is false.
- Boldface capital letters are matrices, e.g. $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{V}^T$
- log is base e by default \rightarrow entropy in nats.

Ng CS229 / DeepLearning.ai

- m: number of training examples in the dataset
- *n* : dimension of training examples
- $x^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$: i^{th} training example (*column* vector), $1 \le i \le m$
- $y^{(i)}$: i^{th} output (column vector), $1 \le i \le m$
- $x_i : j^{th}$ component of a training example, $1 \le j \le n$.
- $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$: (input/design) matrix (training examples are *column* vectors)¹
- $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$: (input/design) matrix (training examples are *row* vectors)

 $^{^{1}}$ Note our non-conventional definition of the design matrix X. The more conventional version is denoted X.

2 Linear Regression

2.1 Basics

- Hypothesis $h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \dots = \sum_{j=0}^n \theta_j x_j \equiv \theta^T x$, where $x_0 = 1$.
- Cost function

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right)^{2}$$
 (2.1)

• Analytically solve via normal equations, where X is the augmented $(n + 1) \times m$ design matrix

$$\vec{\theta} = (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T)^{-1}\mathbf{X}\,\vec{y} \tag{2.2}$$

where $\vec{\theta}$ and \vec{y} are column vectors of the n+1 weights and m outputs, respectively.

3 Logistic Regression

3.1 2 classes

With 2 labels $y \in \{0, 1\}$ and m training examples $x^{(i)}, 1 \le i \le m$, we have

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^T x}} \tag{3.1}$$

$$p(y|x;\theta) = (h_{\theta}(x))^{y} (1 - h_{\theta}(x))^{1-y}$$
(3.2)

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}))^{y^{(i)}} (1 - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}))^{1 - y^{(i)}}$$
(3.3)

$$l(\theta) = \log L(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} y^{(i)} \log h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}))$$
(3.4)

with deriv

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} l(\theta) = x_j^T (y - h_{\theta}(x)) \tag{3.5}$$

We can't minimize the log-likelihood analytically, so we must use numerical optimization.

The log-likelihood is just the cross entropy

$$H(p,q) = -\sum_{i} p_i \log q_i \tag{3.6}$$

with p the actual outputs, and q the hypothesis $h_{\theta}(x)$

3.2 2+ classes

One-versus-all: For k classes, do k normal log regs. Each has two classes: the target class, and all the rest. New examples are classified by whichever of these k log regs. has highest score.

Softmax regression: Model classification cases as *multinomial* distribution. For k classes, hypothesis is k-dim vector

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{\sum_{l=1}^{k} \exp(\theta^{(l)T} x)} \times \left[\exp(\theta^{(1)T} x), \dots, \exp(\theta^{(k)T} x) \right]$$
(3.7)

The log-likelihood is

$$l(\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathbf{1}(y^{(i)} = l) \log \frac{\exp(\theta^{(l)T} x)}{\sum_{m=1}^{k} \exp(\theta^{(m)T} x)}$$
(3.8)

TODO: show how 2-class log reg cost func can be written this way. write down deriv of cost func. clean up notation.

4 Gaussian Discriminant Analysis (GDA) and Naive Bayes

Generative algorithm: Instead of modeling p(y|x), model p(y) and p(x|y), then get posterior p(y|x) via Bayes' theorem.

4.1 GDA

Can used GDA for classification problem when input features are continuous-val random vars. Models p(x|y) as multivariate Gaussian. Model is

$$y \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\phi)$$
 (4.1)

$$x|y = 0 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_0, \Sigma) \tag{4.2}$$

$$x|y = 1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \Sigma) \tag{4.3}$$

TODO: finish —

4.2 Naive Bayes (for text classification)

For training examples $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ (a *vocabulary*² of length n), assume the components of an example, x_i , are conditionally independent given y (*Naive Bayes assumption*).

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n | y) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i | y)$$
(4.4)

Model is parameterized by

$$\phi_y = p(y=1),$$
 $\phi_{j|y=1} = p(x_j = 1|y=1),$ $\phi_{j|y=0} = p(x_j = 1|y=0)$ (4.5)

²the j^{th} entry is 1 if the example contains the j^{th} word in the vocabulary. **Example**: If the vocab is {cats, rats, bats}, then n = 3, and a training example that contains "cats", "rats", but not "bats", would be x = (1, 1, 0).

where $j \in (1, n)$, for a total of 2n + 1 parameters. Likelihood is

$$L(\phi_y, \{\phi_{j|y=1}, \phi_{j|y=0}\}_{j=1}^n) = \prod_{i=1}^m p(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$$
(4.6)

which has MLE values

$$\phi_{j|y=1}$$
 = fraction of spam (y=1) in which word j appears
$$\phi_{j|y=0}$$
 = fraction of non-spam (y=0) in which word j appears
$$\phi_{v}$$
 = fraction of training examples that are spam (4.7)

To make predictions, we don't need the evidence p(x); we just need to compare

$$p(y = 1|x) \propto p(x|y = 1)p(y = 1)$$

$$p(y = 0|x) \propto p(x|y = 0)p(y = 0)$$
 (4.8)

and pick the class that has the higher value (un-normalized posterior).

TODO: finish — show how last two eqs are actually calculated

5 Bias/Variance Tradeoff

- **High bias**: underfitting. high training error and test error.
- **High variance**: overfitting. *low* training error and *high* test error

Can't use the test set to decide on values of hypers (*e.g.* number of parameters in model) because "we could just tweak the values of the hypers until the estimator performs optimally [on the test set]".

Cross-validation allows you to do model scoring (on frequentist stats, versus *e.g.* info criteria for Bayesian stats.. **TODO: verify i know what i'm talking about**).

Can average together multiple models with high capacity (low bias, but high variance). The result of combining is to *reduce* the variance of the combined model.

6 Model Assessment

6.1 Classification

6.1.1 Precision/Recall

For 2 classes, with $\{TN, FN, FP, TP\} = \{\text{true negatives, false negatives, false positives, true positives}\},$ we have the *loss* or *confusion matrix*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} TN & FP \\ FN & TP \end{pmatrix},$$
(6.1)

where the rows/columns are actual/predicted numbers of examples not-in-class (negative), or in-class (positive). We define the *precision* and *recall*:

precision =
$$\frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$
, recall = $\frac{TP}{TP + FN}$. (6.2)

Precision is ability of classifier to not classify actual negatives as positive (*i.e.* low false positives). So *e.g.* if positive is "person doesn't have cancer", we want high precision.

Recall is ability of classifer to find all positive samples.

6.1.2 Receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC)

True Positive Rate (TPR): same as recall

True Negative Rate (TNR): fraction of actual negatives that are classified as negative TN/(TN + FP)

False Positive Rate (FPR): 1 - TNR

ROC plots TPR versus FPR.

6.2 Misc

k: number of model parameters

• Akaike Information Criteria (AIC): $2k - 2 \ln L(\theta)$ TODO: how to apply in practice

7 K-means

- Setup: Select any K points from data to serve as initial centroids of the clusters.
- Repeat until (stopping criteria: *e.g.* no points change clusters, sum of distances is minimized, some total number of iterations..):
 - 1. Assign each datapoint to the cluster with closest centroid.
 - 2. Compute K new centroids, each the mean of the datapoints in its cluster.

8 PCA

PCA is unsupervised technique for finding directions of most variance in dataset. The *principal components* are a set of *n* orthonormal *n*-dim basis vects along the directions of maximum variance, ordered by decreasing variance in their directions.

To calc:

• via eigenvectors: Principal components of de-meaned $n \times m$ design matrix \mathbf{X} are the eigenvectors of the covariance matrix of \mathbf{X} , $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{1}{m} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T$.

• via SVD: Define $\mathbf{Y} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \mathbf{X}^T$, and take its SVD A.1, which is $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{V}^T$. Then \mathbf{U}^T is $n \times m$ matrix that projects \mathbf{X} onto its principal components, \mathbf{W} is the matrix of principal comp values, and \mathbf{V} is orthonormal matrix of the principal components. TODO: Verify/fix statement on \mathbf{U} projection.

9 Time Series

9.1 Stationarity

Definition 1 (Second Order Stationarity) is when correlation between sequential observations is only a function of the lag.

• **Dickey-Fuller test:** tests for stationarity of AR model. Null hypothesis is "series is *non-stationary*".

9.2 ARCH

Box-Jenkins: systematic methodology for identifying and estimating models that can incorporate both AR and MA.

10 Gaussian Processes

11 Neural Networks

11.1 Hyperparameters

Hypers: learning rate, nm iteractions, num hidden layers, num units in each layer, choise of activation function, amnt of momentum, minibatch size, regularization type and amount.

12 Variational Methods

Preliminary section!

If our prior is not a conjugate prior to our likelihood, we can't compute posterior in closed form. Try:

- approximate intractable posterior $p(\vec{\theta}|\vec{y})$ with tractable $q(\vec{\theta}|\gamma)$.
- Adjust γ to minimize $KL\left[p(\vec{\theta}|\vec{y})||q(\vec{\theta}|\gamma)\right] \rightarrow \text{complicated}$, since we don't know $p(\vec{\theta}|\vec{y})$. Eventually will use *Expectation Propagation* to solve.
- Adjust γ to minimize $KL[q(\vec{\theta}|\gamma)||p(\vec{\theta}|\vec{y})] \rightarrow \text{simpler: } Variational \ Bayes.$
- Variational Bayes: minimizing $KL[q(\vec{\theta}|\gamma)||p(\vec{\theta}|\vec{y})] \iff$ maximizing ELBO \mathscr{L}
- note the *latent/hidden variables* helping us are the $\vec{\theta}$

Appendix A Math Stuff

A.1 Singular value decomposition

An $M \times N$ matrix **A** can be written as $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{V}^T$, where **U** is $M \times N$, **W** is $N \times N$ and diagonal, and **V** is $N \times N$ and orthonormal.

If A is square, V are its eigenvectors, and W are its eigenvalues...

Uses

• If $M \ge N$, cols of **U** are an orthonormal basis for space spanned by cols of **A**

TODO: finish —

A.2 Matrix derivatives

Appendix B The Linear Regression Hierarchy

Linear regression starting from maximum likelihood, through variational Bayes.

B.1 Maximum likelihood

Maximize likelihood $p(y|\theta; \beta)$ wrt θ, β .

B.2 Maximum a posteriori

Introduce prior $p(\theta; \alpha) = \exp(-\alpha \theta^T \theta)$. Find θ by maximizing $p(y|\theta; \beta)p(\theta; \alpha)$ wrt θ . Q: what is proper way to estimate β and α here?

B.3 Full Bayesian (stationary prior)

Calc posterior $p(\theta|y) = p(y|\theta; \beta)p(\theta; \alpha)/p(y; \alpha, \beta)$, where $p(y; \alpha, \beta) = \int_{\theta} p(y|\theta; \beta)p(\theta; \alpha)$ is the evidence. Determine values of hypers α, β by maximizing evidence. This is difficult to do directly, as derivs wrt α, β are hard to compute, so use EM algorithm.

B.4 Variational Bayes (non-stationary prior)