Supplementary Materials: Modeling Heterogeneous Statistical Patterns In High-dimensional Data By Adversarial Distributions: An Unsupervised Generative Framework

Han Zhang¹, Wenhao Zheng³, Charley Chen¹, Kevin Gao¹, Yao Hu³, Ling Huang² and Wei Xu¹ Tsinghua University, Beijing, China ²AHI Fintech, China, ³Youku Cognitive and Intelligent Lab, Alibaba Group

1 Derivation of EM Updates

In E step we approximate the likelihood by finding the best variational distribution, which is given by

$$p(d_n, \mathbf{f}_n | \mathbf{x}_n, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \frac{\prod_{g=1}^G \left\{ \hat{\pi}_g \prod_{m=1}^M \gamma_{ngm}^{f_{nm}} \cdot \bar{\gamma}_{ngm}^{1-f_{nm}} \right\}^{d_{ng}}}{\sum_{g'=1}^G \phi_{ng'}}.$$

The information of this distribution is summarized in Eq. (6). In M step we optimize the log-likelihood with respect to the model parameters:

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{d_n, \mathbf{f}_n} p(d_n, \mathbf{f}_n | \mathbf{x}_n, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \log p(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{f}_n, d_n | \boldsymbol{\theta})$$
$$- \sum_{q=1}^{G} \lambda_g^{(1)} \log \pi_g - \sum_{qmi} \lambda_{gmi}^{(2)} (\log \alpha_{gmi} - \log \beta_{gmi}),$$

where the parameters lie in probability simplexes. For μ_q we set

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \mu_{gm}} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{d_n, \mathbf{f}_n} p(d_n, \mathbf{f}_n | \mathbf{x}_n, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{f}_n | d_n, \boldsymbol{\mu})}{\partial \mu_{gm}}$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{\pi}_g \prod_{m' \neq m} (\gamma_{ngm'} + \bar{\gamma}_{ngm'})}{\sum_{g'=1}^{G} \phi_{ng'}} \left(\frac{\gamma_{ngm}}{\mu_{gm}} - \frac{\bar{\gamma}_{ngm}}{1 - \mu_{gm}} \right)$$

to zero and we obtain the update equation in Eq. (7). For β_{gmi} we need to introduce Lagrange multiplier ω to eliminate the probability simplex constraint:

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \beta_{gmi}} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{d_n, \mathbf{f}_n} p(d_n, \mathbf{f}_n | \mathbf{x}_n, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \frac{\partial \log p(x_{nm} | f_{nm}, d_n, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \beta_{gmi}} + \lambda_{gmi}^{(2)} - \omega$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{\pi}_g \prod_{m' \neq m} (\gamma_{ngm'} + \bar{\gamma}_{ngm'})}{\sum_{g'=1}^{G} \phi_{ng'}} \cdot \frac{x_{nmi}}{\beta_{gmi}} + \lambda_{gmi}^{(2)} - \omega.$$

Setting $\partial Q/\partial \beta_{gmi}$ to zero and using $\sum_{i} \beta_{gmi} = 1$, $\sum_{i} x_{nmi} = 1$ we obtain the result in Eq. (7).

Optimization w.r.t. α_{gmi} and π_g is difficult since the objective function is no longer convex. [1] proposed a technique to optimize such concave problems with convex regularizers in a probability simplex. Given a variable θ in a (K+1)-simplex we can introduce a pseudo-Dirichlet prior to promote sparsity

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \lambda, \epsilon) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} c_k \log \theta_k - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda \log(\theta_k + \epsilon) + constant,$$

where c_k is the observation counts for k-th possible value and $\lambda > 0$. [1] proved that $Q(\theta; \lambda, \epsilon)$ has one global maximum, and we can use the fixed point iteration to calculate this maximum. Suppose $\sum_k c_k = c$, the update rule is

$$\theta_k = \frac{c_k + \theta_k \cdot \lambda \cdot \sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k / (\theta_k + \epsilon)}{c + \lambda / (\theta_k + \epsilon)}.$$

Using the fact that the sum of observation counts for π is N and $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \widetilde{\gamma}_{ngm} \widetilde{\phi}_{ng}$ for α_{gm} and letting $\epsilon \to 0$ we obtain the update rules in Eq. (8).

References

[1] Martin O. Larsson and Johan Ugander. A concave regularization technique for sparse mixture models. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 24: 25th Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2011. Proceedings of a meeting held 12-14 December 2011, Granada, Spain., pages 1890–1898, 2011.