CONCEPT DESIGN REVIEW ASSESSMENT FORM

** Please Email Responses to finl0264@vandals.uidaho.edu **

Project:				Date:			
Check status: ☐ Underclassman ☐ Engineering Senior ☐ Engineering Graduate/Customer							
	Your Score	Scoring Scale 1 2 3 4 5			. 5		
ORGANIZATION COMMENTS:	3.076	Order and flow unclear and confusing; time usage ineffective; not aligned with audience needs	Order and fl fit into who well in gene	ow clear, parts ble; time used eral; responds dience needs	Order and flow smooth, clear; time usage very good to achieve purposes; appropriate level of detail for the intended audience		
DELIVERY COMMENTS:		terms misused; voice unsteady; difficult to listen to	kindles mo	r and steady; dest audience erest	speaker shows command of discipline; very good word choice; persuasive and engaging		
COMPLETENESS/ACCURACY COMMENTS:		Presentation lacks some key information needed by client; information is uncertain and sheds doubt about reliability	most key needed informati correct a	ion includes information I by client; on appears ind reliable: proof lacking	Presentation includes all key information needed by client; information proven to be reliability; key data, sources, and engineering analysis given		
VISUAL AIDS COMMENTS:		Visuals crude, have some errors, are generally readable; mixed impact	attractive, h	generally ave no serious ave positive apact	Visuals attractive, excellent quality; no errors; achieve desired impact		
AUDIENCE INTERACTION (LISTENING/ RESPONDING) COMMENTS:		Interaction with audience limited; not effective in Q/A	with audier	some rapport nce; generally ve in Q/A	Excellent rapport with audience; very effective listening, answering Q/A		

CONCEPT/DESIGN QUALITY COMMENTS:	Team partially understands needs of clients; concept not viable, does not address some important criteria*	Team understands main technical and nontechnical needs of clients; concept plausible, addresses most crucial requirements, constraints*	Team fully understands diverse needs of clients, society; concept innovative, viable, satisfies all requirements and constraints*
PROJECT MANAGEMENT COMMENTS:	Generally ignores cost issues; work plan vague or unrealistic	Discusses primary cost concerns; concept has economic plausibility; work plan includes milestones but path to achieving these is incomplete	Effectively judges costs, benefits; concept has economic viability; work plan very detailed and driven by meaningful, achievable milestones

^{*} Incorporate engineering standards and realistic constraints that include most of the following considerations: economic; environmental; sustainability; manufacturability; ethical; health and safety; social; and political

Revised 10/28/2020 MJS