

## References

- Athanasiadou, Angeliki & René Dirven (eds.). 1997. *On conditionals again* (Current Issues in Linguistic Theory 143). John Benjamins Publishing Company. <https://benjamins.com/catalog/cilt.143>.
- Ciardelli, Ivano. 2022. The restrictor view, without covert modals. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 45(2). 293–320. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10988-021-09332-z>.
- Csipak, Eva. 2020. A realis subjunctive in German. *Journal of Semantics* 37(4). 475–508. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jos/ffz005>.
- Dahl, Östen. 1997. The relation between past time reference and counterfactuality: A new look. In Angeliki Athanasiadou & René Dirven (eds.) (Current Issues in Linguistic Theory 143), 97–114. John Benjamins Publishing Company. <https://doi.org/10.1075/cilt.143.06dah>.
- Dorr, Cian & John Hawthorne. 2013. Embedding epistemic modals. *Mind* 122(488). 867–913. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mind/fzt091>.
- Ferreira, Marcelo. 2022. A square of necessities: X-marking weak and strong necessity modals.
- von Fintel, Kai. 1998. The presupposition of subjunctive conditionals. In Uli Sauerland & Orin Percus (eds.), *The interpretive tract* (MIT Working Papers in Linguistics 25), 29–44. Cambridge, MA: MITWPL. <http://mit.edu/fintel/fintel-1998-subjunctive.pdf>.
- von Fintel, Kai. 1999. NPI licensing, Strawson entailment, and context dependency. *Journal of Semantics* 16(2). 97–148. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jos/16.2.97>.
- von Fintel, Kai & Sabine Iatridou. 2008. How to say *ought* in Foreign: The composition of weak necessity modals. In Jacqueline Guéron & Jacqueline Lecarme (eds.), *Time and Modality* (Studies in Natural Language and Linguistic Theory 75), 115–141. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-8354-9\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-8354-9_6).
- von Fintel, Kai & Sabine Iatridou. 2022. Prolegomena to a theory of X-marking. <http://mit.edu/fintel/fintel-iatridou-2022-x.pdf>.
- von Fintel, Kai & Robert Pasternak. 2022. Attitudes, aboutness, and indirect restriction. <http://mit.edu/fintel/fintel-pasternak-2022-AttitudesAboutness.pdf>.
- Gillies, Anthony S. 2010. Iffiness. *Semantics and Pragmatics* 3(4). 1–42. <https://doi.org/10.3765/sp.3.4>.

- Heim, Irene. 1992. Presupposition projection and the semantics of attitude verbs. *Journal of Semantics* 9(3). 183–221. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jos/9.3.183>.
- Huddleston, Rodney D. & Geoffrey K. Pullum. 2002. *The Cambridge grammar of the English language*. Cambridge, UK; New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Iatridou, Sabine. 2000. The grammatical ingredients of counterfactuality. *Linguistic Inquiry* 31(2). 231–270. <https://doi.org/10.1162/002438900554352>.
- Iatridou, Sabine & David Embick. 1993. Conditional inversion. *North East Linguistics Society (NELS)* 24. 189–203.
- Kasper, Walter. 1992. Presuppositions, composition, and simple subjunctives. *Journal of Semantics* 9(4). 307–331. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jos/9.4.307>.
- Khoo, Justin. 2022. *The meaning of if*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Klein, Wolfgang. 2021. Another way to look at counterfactuals. *Theoretical Linguistics* 47(3-4). 189–226. <https://doi.org/10.1515/tl-2021-2019>.
- Knobe, Joshua & Zoltán Gendler Szabó. 2013. Modals with a taste of the deontic. *Semantics and Pragmatics* 6. <https://doi.org/10.3765/sp.6.1>.
- Kratzer, Angelika. 1986. Conditionals. *Chicago Linguistic Society (CLS)* 22(2). 1–15. <https://semanticsarchive.net/Archive/ThkMjYxN/Conditionals.pdf>.
- Lewis, David. 1973. *Counterfactuals*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Lewis, David. 1974. Semantic analyses for dyadic deontic logic. In Sören Stenlund, Ann-Mari Henschen-Dahlquist, Lars Lindahl, Lennart Nordenfelt & Jan Odelstad (eds.), *Logical theory and semantic analysis*, 1–14. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-2191-3\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-2191-3_1).
- Lewis, David. 1981. Ordering semantics and premise semantics for counterfactuals. *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 10(2). 217–234. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00248850>.
- Lewis, David. 1986. *On the plurality of worlds*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Mackay, John. 2015. Actuality and fake tense in conditionals. *Semantics and Pragmatics* 8(12). 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3765/sp.8.12>.
- Mackay, John. 2019. Modal interpretation of tense in subjunctive conditionals. *Semantics and Pragmatics* 12(2). 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.3765/sp.12.2>.
- Močnik, Maša. 2019. Slovenian *dopuščati* and the semantics of epistemic modals. *Formal Approaches to Slavic Linguistics (FASL)* 27. <http://www.mit.edu/~mocnik/mocnik-fasl27.pdf>.

- von Prince, Kilu, Ana Kraljinić & Manfred Krifka. 2022. Irrealis is real. *Language* 98(2). 221–249. <https://doi.org/10.1353/lan.2022.0009>.
- Sæbøe, Kjell Johan. 2022. Non-actualistic mood in Czech, Russian, German, and Norwegian.
- Schueler, David. 2008. *The syntax and semantics of implicit conditionals: Filling in the antecedent*. UCLA PhD thesis.
- Schulz, Katrin. 2014. Fake tense in conditional sentences: A modal approach. *Natural Language Semantics* 22(2). 117–144. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11050-013-9102-0>.
- Stalnaker, Robert. 1975. Indicative conditionals. *Philosophia* 5(3). 269–286. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02379021>.
- Stalnaker, Robert. 2014. *Context*. Oxford University Press.
- Stowell, Tim. 2008. The English Konjunktiv II. In Jacqueline Guéron & Jacqueline Lecarme (eds.), *Time and modality* (Studies in Natural Language and Linguistic Theory 75), 251–272. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-8354-9\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-8354-9_11).
- Thomason, Richmond & Anil Gupta. 1980. A theory of conditionals in the context of branching time. *The Philosophical Review* 89(1). 65–90. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2184864>.
- Van linden, An & Jean-Christophe Verstraete. 2008. The nature and origins of counterfactuality in simple clauses. *Journal of Pragmatics* 40(11). 1865–1895. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2008.03.008>.