# Homework 5

### 6CCS3CFL - Compilers & Formal Languages

## Finley Warman

## November 1, 2020

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

# Question 1 Question 2 Question 3

Contents

Question 4

Question 5
Question 6
3

Question 7 (Optional) 3

#### Question 1

Q: How would you show a property P(r) holds for all regular expressions r, by structural induction?

A:

- As a basis, show P(r) holds for all terminal cases, i.e. rBase ::= 0 | 1 | c .
- Let r1 and r2 be regexes, and suppose P(r1) and P(r2) hold [This is the inductive hypothesis, IH].
- Induction over the remaining cases (which are inductively defined) to show property holds on by applying IH:

```
rInd ::== r1+r2 | r1.r2 | r* .
```

• Thus all cases are covered, which is sufficient to prove a property by structural induction.

#### Question 2

```
Q: Define a regular expression, ALL, that can match every string. Write this in terms of r := 0 \mid 1 \mid c \mid r1+r2 \mid r1.r2 \mid r* \mid r A: ALL = ^(0)
```

#### Question 3

Q: Define the expressions  $r^+$ ,  $r^2$ ,  $r^{\{n\}}$ ,  $r^{\{m,n\}}$  in terms of basic regular expressions.

A:

- $r^+ = r \cdot r^*$
- $r^? = 1 + r$
- $\bullet \ r^{\{n\}} = r_1 \ . \ r_2 \ . \ . \ . \ . \ r_n$
- $\bullet$   $r^{\{m,n\}} = (r_1 . r_2 . . . . . r_m) . (1 + (r_{m+1}) + (r_{m+1} . r_{m+2}) + (r_{m+1} . . . . . r_n))$
- (where  $r_i = r$  for all i)

## Question 4

Q: Given the regular expressions for lexing a language with identifiers, parenths, numbers (postive/negative), and operators +, -, \*:

```
A:
```

```
DIGIT
            = RANGE("0123456789")
START_DIGIT = RANGE("123456789")
            = OPT(CHAR('-')) . (DIGIT + (START_DIGIT . DIGIT*))
NUMBER
                  [Numbers are implicitly positive, or explicitly negative]
LPAREN
            = CHAR('(')
RPAREN
            = CHAR(')')
            = CHAR('+') + CHAR('-') + CHAR('*')
OPERATOR
            = RANGE("abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz")
LOWERCASE
            = LOWERCASE . LOWERCASE*
ID
T.ANG
            = ("num":NUMBER) + ("op":OPERATOR) + ("lp":LPAREN)
                   + ("rp":RPAREN) + ("id":ID)
 • (a3+3)*b = YES, lp:(, id:a, op:+, num:3, rparen:), op:*, id:b
 • )()++-33 = YES, rp:), lp:(, rp:), op:+, op:+, num:-33
 • (b42/3)*3 = NO, / is not an accepted token.
```

#### Question 5

Q: Suppose the context-free grammar G:

```
S ::= A.S.B \mid B.S.A \mid \epsilon
A ::= a \mid \epsilon
B ::= b
```

where the starting symbol is S, which of the following are in the language of G?:

A:

a - No, a must be followed by b
b - Yes
bb - Yes (B . (B . S . A) . A)
ab - Yes
baa - No, a must be followed by b

#### Question 6

Q: Suppose the context-free grammar  $S:=a.S.a\mid b.S.b\mid \epsilon$  Describe the language generated by this grammar.

A: All even-length palindromes over the alphabet {a,b}.

#### Question 7 (Optional)

Q: Prove by induction on r that the property  $L(der\ c\ r) = Der\ c\ (L(r))$  holds.

A:  $Der(c, A) \equiv \{s \mid c :: s \in A\}$ , i.e. the derivate of language A w.r.t character c is the language of all strings in A beginning with c, with the c 'chopped off' the front.

#### Basis:

- R = 0: der(c, R) = 0 and  $L(0) = \{\}$ .  $Der(c, \{\}) = \{\}$  so holds.
- R = 1: der(c, R) = 0, L(0) as above, so holds.
- $R = c_1$ :  $der(c, c_1) = 1$  if  $c = c_1$  else 0}.  $L(1) = \{[]\}$  and  $L(0) = \{\}$ .  $Der(c, \{c\}) = \{[]\}$  and  $Der(c, \{d\}) = \{\}$  so holds.

Inductive Hypothesis:

Assume some expressions  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  such that  $L(der(c, r_i)) \equiv Der(c, L(r_i))$  holds for i = 1, 2. Show true for remaining regex cases.

#### Inductive Proof:

- $R = r_1 + r_2$ The language accepted by  $r_1 + r_2$  is  $L(r_1) \cup L(r_2)$ . The language accepted by  $der(c, r_1 + r_2)$  is  $L(der(c, r_1)) \cup L(der(c, r_2))$ . Since by IH  $L(der(c, r_i)) \equiv Der(c, L(r_i))$  holds,  $Der(c, L(r_1 + r_2)) = L(der(c, r_1)) \cup L(der(c, r_2)) = L(der(c, r_1 + r_2))$  also holds.
- $R = r_1 \cdot r_2$ The language accepted by  $r_1 \cdot r_2$  is  $L(r_1)@L(r_2)$ . The language accepted by  $der(c, r_1 + r_2)$  is  $L(((der(c, r_1)) \cdot r_2) + der(c, r_2))$  if  $\epsilon \in L(r_1)$ , else  $L((der(c, r_1)) \cdot r_2)$ .

The second case is equivalent to  $L(der(c,r_1))@L(r_2)$  and the first case is equivalent to  $(L(der(c,r_1))@L(r_2)) \cup L(der(c,r_2))$ 

Since by IH  $L(der(c, r_i)) \equiv Der(c, L(r_i))$  holds, when  $\epsilon \in L(r_1)$ :  $Der(c, L(r_1.r_2)) = (L(der(c, r_1))@L(der(c, r_2))) \cup L(der(c, r_2)) = L(der(c, r_1+r_2))$  also holds, as does  $Der(c, L(r_1.r_2)) = L(der(c, r_1))@L(der(c, r_2)) = L(der(c, r_1+r_2))$  otherwise.

•  $R = r_1*$   $D = der(c, R) = der(c, r_1*) \cdot r*$   $L(D) = L(der(c, r_1)) \cup L(R)$   $Der(c, r*) = Der(c, L(r)) \cup L(r*) = L(D)$ Holds, by all previous cases as  $r_i$  can only be of the forms:  $r := 0|1|c|r_1 + r_2|r_1.r_2|r^*.$