

# **Module 5.5: Friends and Strangers**

## **MCIT Online - CIT592 - Professor Val Tannen**

### LECTURE NOTES

# Sums of consecutive subsequences I

**Problem.** Given any sequence of  $n$  integers (not necessarily distinct), show that we can always pick some of them (a **subsequence**) which appear in **consecutive** positions in the sequence and whose **sum** is a **multiple** of  $n$ .

**Answer.** Let's first look at some examples.

**Sequence:** 3 7 5 5

**Consecutive subsequences whose sum is divisible by 4:**

7 5      3 7 5 5

**Sequence:** 7 (−4) 7

**Consecutive subsequences whose sum is divisible by 3:**

7 (−4)      (−4) 7

# Sums of consecutive subsequences II

**Answer (continued).** Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be the sequence of  $n$  integers. Consider the following  $n$  sums.

$$s_1 = x_1$$

$$s_2 = x_1 + x_2$$

$$\dots$$

$$s_n = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$$

If any of  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  is divisible by  $n$ , then we are done.

On the next slide we consider the other case, namely when each of  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  is not divisible by  $n$ , that is,  $n \nmid s_1, \dots, n \nmid s_n$ .

# Sums of consecutive subsequences III

**Answer (continued).** In the case where  $n \nmid s_1, \dots, n \nmid s_n$ .

Let  $r_i$  be the remainder of the integer division of  $s_i$  by  $n$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .  
Each  $r_i \neq 0$ .

Note that there are  $n - 1$  different possible non-zero remainders:  
 $1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ .

We apply PHP with  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  as pigeons and  $1, 2, \dots, n - 1$  as pigeonholes.  
Hence there exist distinct  $p$  and  $q$  such that  $r_p = r_q$ .

By integer division, for some integers  $k$  and  $\ell$  we have

$$s_p = kn + r_p \quad \text{and} \quad s_q = \ell n + r_q$$

W.l.o.g. assume  $p < q$ . Subtracting both sides, since  $r_p = r_q$  we get

$$s_q - s_p = x_{p+1} + \dots + x_q = (\ell - k)n$$

We conclude that  $x_{p+1} + \dots + x_q$  is divisible by  $n$ .

# The theorem of friends and strangers I

**Theorem.** In any group of 6 Facebook (FB) users, there are 3 that are pairwise FB **friends** or there are 3 that are pairwise FB **strangers** (that is, **not** FB friends).

(This theorem is a particular case of a famous result of Ramsey that created an entirely new branch of Combinatorics called Ramsey Theory. We will mention this again later.)

**Proof.** Let  $A, B, C, D, E, F$  be a group of six FB users.

Each of  $B, C, D, E, F$  is either friends with  $A$  or not.

We apply PHP placing  $B, C, D, E, F$  into the two categories  
“friend of  $A$ ” / “not friend of  $A$ ”.

Since  $5 > 2 \cdot 2$  at least 3 of  $B, C, D, E, F$  belong to the same category.  
W.l.o.g., let these 3 be  $B, C, D$ . Now we have two cases.

# The theorem of friends and strangers II

**Proof (continued).**

**Case 1:**  $B, C, D$  are in the “friend of  $A$ ” category. We continue with two subcases.

**Subcase 1.1:**  $B, C, D$  are pairwise strangers. Done.

**Subcase 1.2:**  $B, C, D$  are **not** pairwise strangers. Then at least two of them, say w.l.o.g.  $B$  and  $C$ , are friends. Therefore  $A, B, C$  are pairwise friends. Done again.

# The theorem of friends and strangers III

## Proof (continued).

**Case 2:**  $B, C, D$  are in the “not friend of  $A$ ” category. Again we have two subcases.

**Subcase 2.1:**  $B, C, D$  are pairwise friends. Done, yet again.

**Subcase 2.2:**  $B, C, D$  are **not** pairwise friends. Then at least two of them, say w.l.o.g.  $B$  and  $C$ , are strangers. Therefore  $A, B, C$  are pairwise strangers. Finally, done!

Does it feel like we did some redundant work? Indeed Case 1 and Case 2 use exactly the same reasoning, except that “friend” and “stranger” are swapped! Mathematicians would skip Case 2 entirely, saying that it proceeds **analogously** or **similarly**. We shall do the same in the future!