#### Markov Chain Monte Carlo

some more advanced methodology

#### Introduction

- Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC):
  - $lackbox{f V}$  We need an ergodic and  $\pi$ -invariant Markov probability kernel K
  - Use sample averages from this Markov chain to compute expectations
- So far have seen only basics
  - ► Metropolis Hastings, Gibbs sampling
- Numerous possible extensions and algorithms

# Outline & Reading List

- Computing the normalising constant
  - Chib & Jeliazkov (2001) Marginal Likelihood From the Metropolis-Hastings Output, JASA, Vol 96, Issue 453, 270-281
- Adaptive MCMC
  - Andrieu, C. & Thoms, J. (2008). A tutorial on adaptive MCMC. Stat. & Comp., 18(4), 343-373.
- Pseudo-marginal MCMC
  - Andrieu and Roberts, (2009) The pseudo-marginal approach for efficient Monte Carlo computations, Ann. Stat., Vol, No 2, 697-725.

# Computing the normalising constant

- ▶ In contrast to Importance sampling computing the normalising constant is not natural here.
- ▶ One possibility is harmonic mean estimator:
  - set test function  $\varphi = \frac{q}{\gamma}$ , integrate and invert:
  - quite problematic, can lead even to infinite estimator variance.
- A more interesting approach appeared in
  - (Chib 95, Chib and Jeliazkov 01)
  - and extended in (Mira and Nicholls 04)

# Computing the normalising constant

▶ Basic idea: consider the identities for any point  $x' \neq x$ 

$$Z = \frac{\gamma(x')}{\pi(x')} \tag{1}$$

and use detailed balance to construct

$$\pi(x') = \frac{\int \alpha(x, x') q(x, x') \pi(x) dx}{\int \alpha(x', x) q(x', x) dx}$$

Numerator is integral w.r.t  $\pi$  (so can use MCMC output), denominator integral w.r.t q

# Computing the normalising constant

Pick a point x' near the mode of  $\pi$  and estimate  $\pi(x')$  as

$$\frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha(X_i,x')q(X_i,x')}{\frac{1}{N'}\sum_{j=1}^{N'}\alpha(x',Y_j)}$$

and use this in (1).

- ► Here:
  - $\triangleright$   $X_n$  is output of MCMC chain
  - ▶  $Y_j$  can be i.i.d samples of  $q(x', \cdot)$
- One can construct a similar method for Gibbs samplers using full conditionals and Rao Blackwelisation
  - Chib, S. (1995), "Marginal Likelihood from the Gibbs Output," Journal of the American Statistical Association, 90, 1313–1321

### Adaptive MCMC

- ► Another question very relevant in the multivariate case is how to choose the scaling in the proposals?
  - theory suggests a good proposal should have a similar covariance with the target
- Consider

$$Q(x,\cdot) = \mathcal{N}(x,\frac{\varrho^2}{d}\Sigma)$$

Is it possible to use the output of the chain to construct  $\Sigma$ ?

### Adaptive MCMC

► (Haario , Saksman , Tamminen 01) proposed to use stochastic approximation:

$$\mu_{n} = \mu_{n-1} + \gamma_{n}(X_{n} - \mu_{n-1})$$
  
$$\Sigma_{n} = \Sigma_{n-1} + \gamma_{n} \left( (X_{n} - \mu_{n}) (X_{n} - \mu_{n})^{T} - \Sigma_{n-1} \right)$$

- ▶ The next question is: given we know what value  $\alpha$  should be, is it possible to vary on-line the step size  $\varrho$  to achieve this?
  - Use again Robbins Monro

$$\varrho_n = \varrho_{n-1} + \gamma_n(\alpha(\varrho_{n-1}) - 0.234)$$

#### Adaptive MCMC

- Originally  $\gamma_n = \frac{1}{n}$  but it was soon noticed that the convergence of this algorithm can be unstable
  - $ightharpoonup (X_n)$  process is no longer a Markov Chain
- This was sorted using a more careful adaptation approach: "diminishing adaptation",
  - see (Andrieu & Thoms 08) for a tutorial.
- Interesting topic related to theory and practice:
  - see works from Andrieu, Vihola, Moulines, Atchade, Latuszynski, Roberts, Rosenthal, Haario, Saksman, Tamminen,....

- ightharpoonup In many cases one cannot compute  $\gamma$  pointwise
- ► Common case is intractable likelihoods in Bayesian inference:

$$\gamma(x) = p(y|x)p(x)$$

and

$$p(y|x) = \int p(y,z|x)dz$$

- This is a very common situation, but often one may have available unbiased estimates:
  - importance sampling
  - particle filtering (Particle MCMC)

- (Andrieu & Roberts 09, extending Beaumont 03)
- ▶ Let  $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}_x$  be an unbiased estimate of  $\mathcal{Z}_x = p(y|x)$ .
- ► In the MH algorithm replace

$$\alpha(x,x') = 1 \wedge \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{x'}p(x')q(x',x)}{\mathcal{Z}_{x}p(x)q(x,x')}$$

with

$$\widetilde{\alpha}(x,x') = 1 \wedge \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{x'}p(x')q(x',x)}{\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{x}p(x)q(x,x')}$$

(x') is proposed state here

- It turns out that such a algorithm has the right invariant distribution
  - ightharpoonup more precisely admits  $\pi$  as a marginal

- $\triangleright$   $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{x}$  can be obtained using IS
  - ► Sample  $Z_n^i \sim q(\cdot|x)$ , i = 1, ..., L
  - Compute

$$\hat{\mathcal{Z}}_x = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L w(y, Z_n^i, x), \quad \text{with } w(y, z, x) = \frac{p(y, z|x)}{q(z|x)}$$

lackbox Other methods also possible as long as  $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\scriptscriptstyle X}$  is unbiased



▶ In fact we are running an MCMC algorithm with state being

$$(X_n, Z_n^1, \ldots, Z_n^L)$$

where  $Z_n^i \sim p(\cdot|x')$  are the ingredient in computing  $\mathcal{Z}_{x'}$ 

Consider this target

$$\tilde{\pi}(x, z^1, \dots, z^L) \propto p(x) \left(\frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L w(y, z^i, x)\right) \prod_{i=1}^L q(z^i|x)$$

Note because of unbiasedness of the normalising const.

$$\pi(x) = \int \tilde{\pi}(x, z^1, \dots, z^L) dz^1 \cdots dz^L$$

- lacktriangle So we can MCMC algorithm targetting  $\tilde{\pi}$ 
  - in practice marginalisation means we ignore samples for  $z^1, \ldots, z^L$
  - At each iteration we propose

$$(X_n'=x',Z_n^1,\ldots,Z_n^L)$$

using

$$q(x_{n-1}, x') \prod_{i=1}^{L} q(Z_n^i | x')$$

lacksquare Each of the  $Z_n^i \sim q(\cdot|x')$  are used in computing  $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{x'}$ 



Next write the MH acceptance ratio

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\alpha}(x,x') &= \\ 1 \wedge \frac{p(x') \prod_{i=1}^{L} q(Z_{n}^{i}|x') \left(\frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} w(y,Z_{n}^{i},x')\right)}{p(x) \prod_{i=1}^{L} q(Z_{n-1}^{i}|x) \left(\frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} w(y,Z_{n-1}^{i},x)\right)} \\ &\times \frac{q(x',x) \prod_{i=1}^{L} q(Z_{n-1}^{i}|x)}{q(x,x') \prod_{i=1}^{L} q(Z_{n}^{i}|x')} \\ &= 1 \wedge \frac{\widehat{Z}_{x'}p(x')q(x',x)}{\widehat{Z}_{x}p(x)q(x,x')} \end{split}$$

► MCMC kernel is reversible to

$$\tilde{\pi}(x,z^1,\ldots,z^L)) \propto p(x) \left(\frac{1}{L}\sum_{i=1}^L p(y|z^i,x)\right) \prod_{i=1}^L p(z^i|x)$$

and due to unbiasedness of  $\hat{Z}_{x'}$  integrating  $z^i$ -s gives  $\pi$ .

- The mixing of the pseudo-marginal algorithm depends on
  - ► The mixing of the true-marginal MCMC algorithm.
  - ▶ The variance in the estimators of the marginal likelihood
- Question between adding more computation via number of MCMC iterations N or auxiliary variables L
  - ▶ (Doucet, Pitt, Deligiannidis & Kohn 15),
  - (Sherlock, Thiery, Roberts, Rosenthal 15)
- Correlating can improve dependence on size of y
  - ▶ (Deligiannidis, Doucet, Pitt 17)

#### Discussion

- ► These topics were chosen on the basis
  - simplicity of presentation and connection with previous topics
  - convey basic concepts
  - general usefulness and possibility to extend
- Various more advanced extensions are available in many directions