The Kalman filter and extensions Filtering without simulation methods

Introduction

- Linear Gaussian State Space models (LGSSM) still useful
 - econometrics, engineering, neuroscience,...
- ► The filtering problem is tractable
 - Kalman filtering and other deterministic methods
 - very useful as benchmark
- ► More general question?
 - for which models are there tractable filtering solutions?
 - or approximations not based on simulation?

Filtering Recursions

Prediction

$$p_{\theta}(x_{n}|y_{0:n-1}) = \int f_{\theta}(x_{n}|x_{n-1}) p_{\theta}(x_{n-1}|y_{0:n-1}) dx_{n-1}$$
$$= \int p_{\theta}(x_{n},x_{n-1}|y_{0:n-1}) dx_{n-1}$$

Update

$$p_{\theta}(x_{n}|y_{0:n}) = \frac{p_{\theta}(x_{n}|y_{0:n-1})g_{\theta}(y_{n}|x_{n})}{p_{\theta}(y_{n}|y_{0:n-1})}$$

$$= \frac{p_{\theta}(x_{n}|y_{0:n-1})g_{\theta}(y_{n}|x_{n})}{\int p_{\theta}(x_{n}|y_{0:n-1})g_{\theta}(y_{n}|x_{n})dx_{n}}$$

$$= \frac{p_{\theta}(x_{n},y_{n}|y_{0:n-1})}{p_{\theta}(y_{n}|y_{0:n-1})}$$

Finite and discrete state spaces

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{X} = \{1, \dots, d_x\}$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, \dots, d_y\}$
- ► Filtering computations can be done analytically
- Integrals are sums, densities (row) vectors and kernels matrices.

Linear Gaussian HMMs

Multidimensional case:

$$X_n = AX_{n-1} + BW_n,$$

 $Y_n = CX_n + DV_n,$

 W_n , V_n iid zero mean Gaussian vectors.

Some constraints need to be placed for $\theta = \{A, B, C, D\}$ to achieve controllability, observability etc.

The Filtering problem

Objective 1: Compute $\pi_n(\cdot) = \mathbb{P}[X_n \in \cdot | Y_{0:n}]$ on-line as we receive y_n .

▶ LGSSM: if $\pi_0 = \mathcal{N}(\mu_0, \Sigma_0)$ or δ_{x_0} then π_n is also Gaussian; let:

$$\pi_n = \mathcal{N}(\mu_{n|n}, \Sigma_{n|n})$$

Objective 2: Compute $p_{\theta}(y_{0:n})$ or $p_{\theta}(y_n|y_{0:n-1})$ on-line.

▶ Point above holds for also for $p_{\theta}(y_{0:n})$; recall:

$$p_{\theta}(y_{0:n}) = \prod_{k=0}^{n} p_{\theta}(y_{k}|y_{0:k-1})$$

The Kalman filter: the history

- ▶ Before 1960 filtering was a frequency domain business
 - Nobert Wiener, Andrey Kolmogorov
- Importance of time-domain analysis took of after Rudolf Kalman papers
 - Kalman filter: A new approach to linear filtering and prediction problems (1960)
 - Kalman-Bucy filter: Kalman & Bucy (1961) New Results in Linear Filtering and Prediction Theory (cont. time)
- Some historical precursors
 - Thorvald N. Thiele 1880
 - Ruslan Stratonovich 1958 (general continuous time work on filtering, Kalman-Bucy is a special case)
 - Stanley F. Schmidt 1950-1965 (navigation, square-root formulations Kalman-Schmidt filters)
 - Peter Swerling 1959 (optimal estimation of target tracks, fluctuating target models)

The Kalman filter in practice

- A nice historical account:
 - D. Crisan, The stochastic filtering problem: a brief historical account, 2014
- ► Importance of Kalman filter:
 - time-domain analysis took over then traditional frequency domain analysis
- Applications:
 - all purpose guidance & navigation systems
 - aerospace & military
 - tracking, SONAR and RADAR
 - ballistic systems
 - naval vessels
 - aircraft navigation, tracking and air traffic control
 - NASA Space Shuttle/ISS, NASA uses EKF still today!
 - robotics
 - economics (monetary policy models), finance & volatility models
 -



The model

Linear Gaussian state space model

$$X_n = AX_{n-1} + BW_n,$$

 $Y_n = CX_n + DV_n,$

 $W_n, V_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ i.i.d.

ightharpoonup Transition density and conditional likelihood (omitting θ -s)

$$f(x_n|x_{n-1}) = \mathcal{N}_{x_n}(Ax_{n-1}, BB^T)$$

$$g(y_n|x_n) = \mathcal{N}_{y_n}(Cx_n, DD^T)$$

Filtering Recursions

▶ We want to compute (again omitting θ -s)

$$p(x_{n}|y_{1:n-1}) = \int f(x_{n}|x_{n-1})p(x_{n-1}|y_{1:n-1})dx_{n-1}$$

$$= \mathcal{N}_{x_{n}}(\mu_{n|n-1}, \Sigma_{n|n-1})$$

$$p(y_{n}|y_{1:n-1}) = \int g_{n}(y_{n}|x_{n})p(x_{n}|y_{1:n-1})dx_{n}$$

$$= \mathcal{N}_{y_{n}}(m_{n}, S_{n})$$

$$p(x_{n}|y_{1:n}) = \frac{g_{n}(y_{n}|x_{n})p(x_{n}|y_{0:n-1})}{\int g_{n}(y_{n}|x_{n})p(x_{n}|y_{0:n-1})dx_{n}}$$

$$= \mathcal{N}_{x_{n}}(\mu_{n|n}, \Sigma_{n|n})$$

The Kalman filter

▶ The Kalman filter computes $\mu_{n|n}$, $\Sigma_{n|n}$, m_n , S_n , $\mu_{n|n-1}$, $\Sigma_{n|n-1}$ recursively

$$\mu_{n|n-1} = A\mu_{n-1|n-1}$$

$$\Sigma_{n|n-1} = A\Sigma_{n-1|n-1}A^{T} + BB^{T}$$

$$m_{n} = C\mu_{n|n-1}$$

$$S_{n} = C\Sigma_{n|n-1}C^{T} + DD^{T}$$

$$K_{n} = \Sigma_{n|n-1}C^{T}S_{n}^{-1}$$

$$\mu_{n|n} = \mu_{n|n-1} + K_{n}(Y_{n} - m_{n})$$

$$\Sigma_{n|n} = \Sigma_{n|n-1} - K_{n}C\Sigma_{n|n-1}$$

The Kalman filter: sketch of probabilistic derivation

- Key elements
 - 1. Gaussian integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ax^2 + bx + c} dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} e^{\frac{b^2}{4a} + c}$$

or in d_x dimensions

$$\int e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{T}Ax + x^{T}b} dx = \sqrt{\frac{(2\pi)^{d_{x}}}{\det A}} e^{\frac{1}{2}b^{T}A^{-1}b}.$$

2. taking log and rearranging terms in exp and gather in quadratic form $-\frac{1}{2}x^T\sum_{n|n}^{-1}x + x^T\mu_{n|n}$

The Kalman filter: sketch of probabilistic derivation

Gaussian integral is the key to computing prediction

$$p_{\theta}(x_n|y_{0:n-1}) = \int f_{\theta}(x_n|x_{n-1}) p_{\theta}(x_{n-1}|y_{0:n-1}) dx_{n-1}$$

The update

$$p_{\theta}(x_n|y_{0:n}) \propto p_{\theta}(x_n|y_{0:n-1})g_{\theta}(y_n|x_n)$$

can be computed by 2.

For recursive likelihood use both 1. and 2. (with 2. acting on Y_n)

$$p_{\theta}(y_n|y_{0:n-1}) = \int p_{\theta}(x_n|y_{0:n-1}) g_{\theta}(y_n|x_n) dx_n$$

The Extended Kalman filter (EKF)

Consider nonlinear case with zero mean additive noise

$$X_n = \psi_{\theta}(X_{n-1}) + BV_n, \ Y_n = \phi_{\theta}(X_n) + DW_n,$$

Linearise ψ, ϕ around $\mu_{n-1|n-1}$ and $\mu_{n|n-1}$ resp. to get 1st order Taylor approx.

$$X_n = a_n + A_n X_{n-1} + BW_n, \ Y_n = c_n + C_n X_n + DV_n$$

with

$$A_{n} = \nabla_{x} \psi_{\theta}|_{\mu_{n-1|n-1}}, \quad C_{n} = \nabla_{x} \phi_{\theta}|_{\mu_{n|n-1}}$$

$$a_{n} = \psi_{\theta} (\mu_{n-1|n-1}) - A_{n} \mu_{n-1|n-1}, \quad c_{n} = \phi_{\theta} (\mu_{n|n-1}) - C_{n} \mu_{n|n-1}$$

Run Kalman filter for linearised model

The Extended Kalman filter (EKF)

► General non-linear case

$$X_{n+1} = \psi_{\theta} \left(X_n, V_{n+1} \right), \ Y_n = \phi_{\theta} \left(X_n, W_n \right), \tag{1}$$

▶ Replace (1) with an approximate linear Gaussian model

$$X_n = a_n + A_n X_{n-1} + B_n V_n, \ Y_n = c_n + C_n X_n + D_n W_n,$$

▶ 1st order Taylor approx.: linearisation of ψ, ϕ around $\mu_{n-1|n-1}$ and $\mu_{n|n-1}$ resp.

$$A_n = \nabla_x \psi_\theta |_{(\mu_{n-1|n-1},0)}, \quad C_n = \nabla_x \phi_\theta |_{(\mu_{n|n-1},0)}$$

and 0 for the noises (assuming they are zero mean)

$$B_n = \nabla_V \psi_\theta |_{\left(\mu_{n-1|n-1},0\right)}, \quad D_n = \nabla_W \phi_\theta |_{\left(\mu_{n1|n-1},0\right)}$$

SO

$$a_n = \psi_{\theta} \left(\mu_{n-1|n-1}, 0 \right) - A_n \mu_{n-1|n-1}, \quad c_n = \phi_{\theta} \left(\mu_{n|n-1}, 0 \right) - C_n \mu_{n|n-1}$$

The extended Kalman filter

- EKF is Kalman filter for linearised model
- ▶ Computes $\mu_{n|n}$, $\Sigma_{n|n}$, m_n , S_n , $\mu_{n|n-1}$, $\Sigma_{n|n-1}$ recursively:

$$\mu_{n|n-1} = a_n + A_n \mu_{n-1|n-1}$$

$$\Sigma_{n|n-1} = A_n \Sigma_{n-1|n-1} A_n^{\mathsf{T}} + B_n B_n^{\mathsf{T}}$$

$$m_n = c_n + C_n \mu_{n|n-1}$$

$$S_n = C_n \Sigma_{n|n-1} C_n^{\mathsf{T}} + D_n D_n^{\mathsf{T}}$$

$$K_n = \Sigma_{n|n-1} C_n^{\mathsf{T}} S_n^{-1}$$

$$\mu_{n|n} = \mu_{n|n-1} + K_n (Y_n - m_n)$$

$$\Sigma_{n|n} = \Sigma_{n|n-1} - K_n C_n \Sigma_{n|n-1}$$

The extended Kalman filter: discussion

- Pros:
 - ► The recursion is deterministic
 - update requires propagation of the the moments of π_n , i.e. deterministic quantities
 - ► This is easy to implement, cheap computationally
 - (derivations of derivatives are done off-line)
 - sometimes it works, for smooth and "close to linear models" it can give reasonable answers

The extended Kalman filter: discussion

- Cons:
 - approximation is hard to justify
 - higher order terms in Taylor series can be significant
 - so often is very inaccurate
 - approximation works for small class of models
 - \blacktriangleright makes sense only when ψ, ϕ are close to being linear.
 - hard non-linearities and discontinuities are an issue.
 - inapplicable when one cannot take gradients
 - we are still assuming V_n , W_n are Gaussian, if not still the approximation can be quite bad.

- Basic approach of the Kalman filter:
 - **Propagates** the first two moments of π_n recursively.
 - in the linear Gaussian case this is sufficient to capture π_n in the full filtering recursion.
- In general π_n might have a much higher number of non-zero moments.
 - so could we go ahead and try to update a fixed number of moments and/or sufficient statistics in closed form?

- These filters are often called finite dimensional
 - makes sense when filtering distribution has a finite number of moments
 - can exploit Bayesian Conjugacy
 - note propagating infinite number of moments captures the full filter distribution.
- ▶ In principle is a good idea but very few examples in practice:
 - Vidoni, Exponential family state space models based on a conjugate latent process, JRSSB, 1999
 - Vidoni & Ferrante, Finite dimensional filters for nonlinear stochastic difference equations with multiplicative noises, SPA, 1998
 - Runggaldier & Fabio Spizzichino, Sufficient conditions for finite dimensionality of filters in discrete time: a Laplace transform-based approach, Bernoulli, 2001

- Finite filters also would require finite computational cost
 - on-line filtering requires a fixed number of computations (and memory) per time
- Could possibly violate this, to see what the cost could be to update the filter:
 - look at super-linear computational costs and non-parametric filters
 - Papaspiliopoulos & Ruggiero. Optimal filtering and the dual process. Bernoulli, 2014.
- Possible for some models:
 - but the computational cost of the recursion would increase with time: exponentially or polynomially in some cases.
 - In most cases this is not practical as *n* can be quite large.

- ▶ It is worth to mention that Initially KF was used for linear models and non-Gaussian i.i.d sequence for the noise:
 - it is an approximation, but can work if higher moments are very small (hard to know a priori)
- Still intuition so far is useful.
 - We can construct approximations by approximately computing the first two moments recursively
 - could go beyond EKF

Extending the EKF

- Can we improve the performance of this basic idea?
 - using propagation of moments or other sufficient statistics
 - using numerical integration methods
 - without using any simulation based methods
- Some methods:
 - Gaussian Sum filter
 - Unscented Kalman filter
 - Quadrature/Cubature based Kalman recursions
 - ·....

Gaussian Sum filter

- Alspach and Sorenson, Nonlinear Bayesian estimation using Gaussian sum approximations, IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., 1972
- Key idea:
 - propagate a mixture of Gaussians, i.e. weights, means, covariances and number of components.
- Use a linearisation similar to EKF, but construct an approximation based on the following mixture

$$\pi_{n|n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{q'_n} w'_{n,i} \mathcal{N}_{x_n}(\mu'_{n,i}, \Sigma'_{n,i})$$

$$\pi_n = \sum_{i=1}^{q_n} w_{n,i} \mathcal{N}_{x_n}(\mu_{n,i}, \Sigma_{n,i})$$

- where $\sum_{i=1}^{q'_n} w'_{n,i} = \sum_{i=1}^{q_n} w_{n,i} = 1$.
- Construct recursions for $w_n, q_n, \mu_{i,n}, \Sigma_{i,n}$ and $w'_n, q'_n, \mu'_{i,n}, \Sigma'_{i,n}$; see paper for details.

Gaussian Sum filter

- Pros
 - addresses some limitations related to EKF approximations such as dealing with multimodality
 - can be used for a bigger class of models
- Cons
 - is still based on linearisation
 - $ightharpoonup q_n$ increases linearly with time so increasing computational cost per time.

Using deterministic integration

Chapman Kolmogorov is an integral

$$p_{\theta}(x_{n}|y_{0:n-1}) = \int f_{\theta}(x_{n}|x_{n-1}) p_{\theta}(x_{n-1}|y_{0:n-1}) dx_{n-1}$$

so could be numerically computed.

► The update is

$$p_{\theta}(x_{n}|y_{0:n}) = \frac{p_{\theta}(x_{n}|y_{0:n-1})g_{\theta}(y_{n}|x_{n})}{p_{\theta}(y_{n}|y_{0:n-1})}$$

where the recursive likelihood is another integral

$$p_{\theta}(y_n|y_{0:n-1}) = \int p_{\theta}(x_n|y_{0:n-1}) g_{\theta}(y_n|x_n) dx_n$$

Deterministic integration could be useful but the question is at what cost.



Using deterministic integration

- Some selected papers: the Unscented KF and the cubature KF
 - (UKF) Julier & Uhlmann (1995). A new extension of the Kalman filter to nonlinear systems. Int. Symp. Aerospace/Defense Sensing, Simul. and Controls
 - Arasaratnam & Haykin, Cubature kalman filters, IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., 2009
- Key idea: complement the propagation of the moments with deterministic integration (quadrature/cubature methods).
- ➤ To a very basic level one can compute numerically integrals of the form:

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_k f(X_k)$$

by good choice/placement of $\{\alpha_k, X_k\}_{k=1}^N$ based on structure and smoothness of f.

The Unscented tranform

- ► The Unscented Transform (UT) is a quadrature method:
 - it computes the mean and covariance of a random variable that undergoes a nonlinear transformation.
- Let X be a Gaussian random variable

$$X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$$

with $\Sigma = QQ^T$

We want to approximate the mean and covariance of

We will form a set of 2L + 1 "sigma-points" to capture most of the mass in the support of the distr. of X.

The Unscented tranform

Form a set of 2L + 1 "sigma-points". Initialise

$$X^0 = \mu$$

and for $i = 1, \ldots, L$ set

$$X^{i} = \mu + \sqrt{n+\lambda} [Q]_{i}$$

$$X^{i+L} = \mu - \sqrt{n+\lambda} [Q]_{i}$$

with $[Q]_i$ denoting the i-th column of the matrix.

▶ Then propagate the sigma points through $g(\cdot)$

$$Y^i = g(X^i), \quad i = 0, \ldots, 2L$$



The Unscented tranform

Use quadrature estimates

$$\mathbb{E}\left[g(X)\right] = \mu_{g} \approx \sum_{i=0}^{2L} W_{m}^{i} Y^{i}$$

$$\mathbb{C}ov\left[g(X)\right] = \Sigma_{g} \approx \sum_{i=0}^{2L} W_{c}^{i} \left(Y^{i} - \mu_{g}\right) \left(Y^{i} - \mu_{g}\right)^{T}$$

with

$$W_m^0 = \frac{\lambda}{L+\lambda}$$

$$W_c^0 = \frac{\lambda}{L+\lambda} + \kappa$$

$$W_m^i = W_m^i = \frac{1}{2(L+\lambda)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, L$$

 $\triangleright \lambda, \kappa$ are tuning parameters



The Unscented KF

For the model:

$$X_n = \psi_{\theta}(X_{n-1}, V_n) \ Y_n = \phi_{\theta}(X_n, W_n)$$

Note that instead of X, Y, g one can use

- \blacktriangleright (X_{n-1}, V_n) , X_n , ψ_θ to get $\mu_{n|n-1}, \Sigma_{n|n-1}$
- \blacktriangleright (X_n, W_n) , Y_n , ϕ_θ to get m_n , Σ_n
- Update filter then as in KF

$$K_{n} = \sum_{n|n-1} C_{n}^{\mathsf{T}} S_{n}^{-1}$$

$$\mu_{n|n} = \mu_{n|n-1} + K_{n} (Y_{n} - m_{n})$$

$$\sum_{n|n} = \sum_{n|n-1} - K_{n} C_{n} \sum_{n|n-1}$$

Discussion on using numerical integration

- Pros
 - UKF/CKF can work better than EKF
 - UKF can work well when filter is close to a Gaussian distribution
 - CKF can improve on UKF

Cons

- again justification requires strong assumptions on model that are hard to quantify
 - how close are you to a Gaussian model or how close $\phi_{\theta}, \psi_{\theta}$ are to polynomials
- numerical integration
 - ightharpoonup is cumbersome in higher dimensions than 2-3
 - can be difficult to tune
 - \triangleright scales poorly with dimension of X_n, Y_n
- naive implementation might result to increasing computational cost per time, e.g. Gaussian sum filter

Towards Monte Carlo filtering

- ► The issues with deterministic approximations often are very limiting in practice
 - e.g. consider models like stochastic volatility, Lotka-Volterra, epidemics, ...
- ► In the heart of filtering lies the problem of numerical integration
 - different direction is to use simulation and Monte Carlo
 - and take advantage of more computational power available.
- Particle filters are the state of the art!