

Some extensions to the basic Particle filter

Adaptive resampling, resample move, auxiliary particle filter

Introduction

- ▶ Particle filtering works very well to approximate

$$\pi_n(x_n) = p_\theta(x_n | y_{0:n})$$

- ▶ Performance can vary due to model specifics:
 - ▶ mixing of dynamics, level of observation noise, dimensionality
- ▶ There can still be issues to resolve related to path or weight degeneracy
 - ▶ so far main tool has been improving the proposal $q_\theta(x_n | y_n, x_{n-1})$

Recipes to improve performance

- ▶ There are more elaborate particle filtering algorithms
 - ▶ adaptive resampling
 - ▶ the resample move PF
 - ▶ the auxiliary particle filter
 - ▶ **can combine all the above together**
- ▶ These work better than vanilla PF
 - ▶ in terms of variance of estimators, ESS, accuracy etc.
 - ▶ ... but they do not completely address path degeneracy, often just postpone it for a while.

Adaptive resampling

- ▶ While resampling is a key component to have a good approximation it tends to leave early states being represented by few particles.
- ▶ adaptive resampling
 - ▶ Key idea: use resampling only when you need to
 - ▶ Resample only when $ESS_n \leq \alpha N$
 - ▶ e.g. $\alpha = 1/2$.
 - ▶ When you don't resample continue with SIS

SIR filter with adaptive resampling

At time $n \geq 1$

- ▶ Sample $X_n^i \sim q_\theta(x_n | y_n, X_{n-1}^i)$ and set $X_{0:n}^i \leftarrow (X_{0:n-1}^i, X_n^i)$.
- ▶ Compute the weights $w_n(X_{n-1:n}^i)$ and set $W_n^i \propto W_{n-1}^i w_n(X_{n-1:n}^i)$, $\sum_{i=1}^N W_n^i = 1$.
- ▶ IF $ESS_n \leq \alpha N$
 - ▶ resample $\{W_n^i, X_{0:n}^i\}$ to obtain N new equally-weighted particles $\{\frac{1}{N}, \bar{X}_{0:n}^i\}$.
 - ▶ set $X_{0:n}^i \leftarrow \bar{X}_{0:n}^i$, $W_n^i \leftarrow \frac{1}{N}$

The resample move particle filter

- ▶ (Berzuini & Gilks 2001 JRSSB) Fight path degeneracy by re-inserting lost diversity in the particles using appropriate MCMC moves on the path space

At time $n \geq 1$

- ▶ Sample $X_n^i \sim q_\theta(x_n | y_n, \tilde{X}_{n-1}^i)$ and set $X_{0:n}^i \leftarrow (\tilde{X}_{0:n-1}^i, X_n^i)$.
- ▶ Compute the weights $w_n(X_{n-1:n}^i)$ and set $W_n^i \propto w_n(X_{n-1:n}^i)$, $\sum_{i=1}^N W_n^i = 1$.
- ▶ Resample $\{W_n^i, X_{0:n}^i\}$ to obtain N new equally-weighted particles $\{\frac{1}{N}, \bar{X}_{0:n}^i\}$.
- ▶ Move particles by independently (for each i) sampling

$$\tilde{X}_{0:n}^i \sim K_{MCMC}(\cdot | \bar{X}_{0:n}^i)$$

The resample move particle filter

- ▶ target density for MCMC move is

$$p_{\theta}(x_{0:n} | y_{0:n}) \propto \eta_{\theta}(x_0) \prod_{k=1}^n f_{\theta}(x_k | x_{k-1}) \prod_{k=0}^n g_{\theta}(y_k | x_k)$$

- ▶ MCMC proposal in this context
 - ▶ just provides a jitter or shake in the particle population
 - ▶ does not need to move the whole trajectory, moving only $X_{n-L+1:n}$ can still lead to correct algorithm
 - ▶ we are not relying on ergodic properties of MCMC, just want to preserve statistical properties of sample

The resample move particle filter

Random walk algorithm for $\tilde{X}_{0:n}^i \sim K_{MCMC}(\cdot | \bar{X}_{0:n}^i)$

- ▶ Set $\Upsilon_{0:n} = \bar{X}_{0:n}^i$
- ▶ For $m = 1, \dots, M$
 - ▶ Sample $\mathfrak{U} \sim N(0, S)$, with S of appropriate dimension
 - ▶ Propose $Z_{n-L+1:n} = \Upsilon_{n-L+1:n} + c\mathfrak{U}$
 - ▶ Compute acceptance ratio

$$\alpha = 1 \wedge \frac{\prod_{k=n-L+1}^n f_{\theta}(Z_k | Z_{k-1}) g_{\theta}(y_k | Z_k)}{\prod_{k=n-L+1}^n f_{\theta}(\Upsilon_k | \Upsilon_{k-1}) g_{\theta}(y_k | \Upsilon_k)}$$

- ▶ with probab. α :
 - ▶ accept $\Upsilon_{0:n} \leftarrow (\Upsilon_{0:n-L}, Z_{n-L+1:n})$
 - ▶ otherwise reject proposal and $\Upsilon_{0:n}$ remains the same

The resample move particle filter

- ▶ M can be quite small 1-5
- ▶ Tuning
 - ▶ Can use particles to design S , e.g. look at the empirical covariance of the particles after resampling
 - ▶ c can be tuned for average acceptance ratio around 0.2 – 0.4
- ▶ Other MCMC moves are possible,
 - ▶ Gibbs, Hybrid Monte Carlo,
- ▶ Method will increase diversity a bit, but notice that
 - ▶ it does not affect the weights
 - ▶ it might be more effective to use **likelihood informed proposals and weights**
- ▶ The last point is related to the auxiliary particle filter by (Pitt & Sheppard 99 JASA)

The auxiliary particle filter

- ▶ Resample Move and adaptive resampling are meant to improve path degeneracy
- ▶ What if weight degeneracy due to IS is still present?
- ▶ Consider the Bayesian recursion:

$$p_{\theta}(x_{0:n}|y_{0:n}) = \frac{1}{Z_n} p_{\theta}(x_{0:n-1}|y_{0:n-1}) f_{\theta}(x_n|x_{n-1}) g_{\theta}(y_n|x_n)$$

with $Z_n = p_{\theta}(y_n|x_{0:n-1})$.

- ▶ Bootstrap filter: move with $f_{\theta}(x_n|x_{n-1})$ and weight with $g_{\theta}(y_n|x_n)$
- ▶ Alternative route : weight with $p_{\theta}(y_n|x_{n-1})$ and then move with $p_{\theta}(x_n|x_{n-1}, y_n)$

The auxiliary particle filter

- ▶ Alternative route : weight with $p_\theta(y_n | x_{n-1})$ and then move with $p_\theta(x_n | x_{n-1}, y_n)$

Recall

$$p_\theta(x_n | x_{n-1}, y_n) = \frac{f_\theta(x_n | x_{n-1}) g_\theta(y_n | x_n)}{p_\theta(y_n | x_{n-1})}$$

- ▶ (Pitt & Sheppard 99 JASA) Can reverse the steps:
 - ▶ move with $p_\theta(x_n | x_{n-1}, y_n)$ weight with $p_\theta(y_{n+1} | x_n)$ and then resample
- ▶ How could we use approximations:
 - ▶ move with $q_\theta(x_n | x_{n-1}, y_n)$ and weight with $q_\theta(y_{n+1}, x_n)$

The auxiliary particle filter

- ▶ On approximations:
 - ▶ here $q_\theta(y_{n+1}, x_n)$ is not necessarily required to be a pdf
 - ▶ just an easy to evaluate non-negative function of (x_n, y_{n+1}) .
 - ▶ often is called a score-function but this is misleading as the name appears elsewhere too
 - ▶ $q_\theta(x_n | x_{n-1}, y_n)$ can be a good importance distribution
 - ▶ that takes into account the current observation

The auxiliary particle filter

- Instead of the original problem consider the target:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\pi}_n(x_{0:n} | y_{0:n+1}) &\propto \eta_\theta(x_0) g_\theta(y_0 | x_0) q_\theta(y_1, x_0) \\ &\times \prod_{k=1}^n f_\theta(x_k | x_{k-1}) g_\theta(y_k | x_k) \frac{q_\theta(y_{k+1}, x_k)}{q_\theta(y_k, x_{k-1})}\end{aligned}$$

- Note

$$q_\theta(y_1, x_0) \prod_{k=0}^n \frac{q_\theta(y_{k+1}, x_k)}{q_\theta(y_k, x_{k-1})} = q_\theta(y_{n+1}, x_n)$$

- This means

$$\tilde{\pi}_n(x_{0:n} | y_{0:n+1}) \propto p_\theta(x_{0:n} | y_{0:n}) q_\theta(y_{n+1}, x_n)$$

The auxiliary particle filter

- ▶ What is the auxiliary PF?
 - ▶ it is a PF targeting $\tilde{\pi}_n$ using proposal $q_\theta(x_n|y_n, x_{n-1})$
- ▶ We will implement a PF targeting $\tilde{\pi}_n$ using as proposal $q_\theta(x_n|y_n, x_{n-1})$ and then reweight to get approximations for original π_n that is actually of interest. Why do we do this:
 - ▶ the PF for $\tilde{\pi}_n$ is more stable numerically
 - ▶ new likelihood $g_\theta(y_n|x_n) \frac{q_\theta(y_{n+1}, x_n)}{q_\theta(y_n, x_{n-1})}$ might be less “peaky” or informative
 - ▶ $\tilde{\pi}_n$ closer to $\tilde{\pi}_{n-1}$

The auxiliary particle filter

- So in path space target can be written recursively

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\pi}_n(x_{0:n}|y_{0:n+1}) &\propto \tilde{\pi}_{n-1}(x_{0:n-1}|y_{0:n}) \\ &\times f_{\theta}(x_n|x_{n-1}) g_{\theta}(y_n|x_n) \frac{q_{\theta}(y_{n+1}, x_n)}{q_{\theta}(y_n, x_{n-1})}\end{aligned}$$

and proposal

$$q(x_{0:n}) \propto \prod_{k=0}^n q_{\theta}(x_k|y_k, x_{k-1})$$

- This leads to the following incremental weights:

$$\tilde{w}_n(x_n, x_{n-1}) = \frac{f_{\theta}(x_n|x_{n-1}) g_{\theta}(y_n|x_n) q_{\theta}(y_{n+1}, x_n)}{q_{\theta}(y_n, x_{n-1}) q_{\theta}(x_n|y_n, x_{n-1})}$$

The auxiliary particle filter

- ▶ We still need to compute approximations for $\Pi_n = p_\theta(x_{0:n}|y_{0:n})$
- ▶ Use the usual weights:

$$\begin{aligned}w_0(x_0) &= \frac{g_\theta(y_0|x_0) \eta_\theta(x_0)}{q_\theta(x_0|y_0)}, \\w_n(x_{n-1:n}) &= \frac{g_\theta(y_n|x_n) f_\theta(x_n|x_{n-1})}{q_\theta(x_n, y_n|x_{n-1})} \text{ for } n \geq 1\end{aligned}$$

where we denote

- ▶ for $n \geq 1$, $q_\theta(x_n, y_n|x_{n-1}) = q_\theta(x_n|y_n, x_{n-1}) q_\theta(y_n, x_{n-1})$
- ▶ so note

$$\tilde{w}_n(x_n, x_{n-1}) = w_n(x_n, x_{n-1}) q_\theta(y_{n+1}, x_n)$$

The auxiliary particle filter

At time $n = 0$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$:

1. Sample $X_0^i \sim q_\theta(x_0 | y_0)$.
2. Compute $\bar{W}_1^i \propto w_0(X_0^i) q_\theta(y_1, X_0^i)$, $\sum_{i=1}^N \bar{W}_1^i = 1$.
3. Resample $\bar{X}_0^i \sim \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{W}_1^i \delta_{X_0^i}(dx_0)$.

At time $n \geq 1$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$:

1. Sample $X_n^i \sim q_\theta(x_n | y_n, \bar{X}_{n-1}^i)$ and set $X_{0:n}^i \leftarrow (\bar{X}_{0:n-1}^i, X_n^i)$.
2. Compute $\bar{W}_{n+1}^i \propto w_n(X_{n-1:n}^i) q_\theta(y_{n+1}, X_n^i)$, $\sum_{i=1}^N \bar{W}_{n+1}^i = 1$.
3. Resample $\bar{X}_{0:n}^i \sim \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{W}_{n+1}^i \delta_{X_{0:n}^i}(dx_{0:n})$.

The auxiliary particle filter

- ▶ BUT note we want the approximations of
 - ▶ $p_{\theta}(x_{0:n}|y_{0:n})$ and $p_{\theta}(y_n|y_{0:n-1})$
- ▶ These are given by:

$$\hat{p}_{\theta}(dx_{0:n}|y_{0:n}) = \sum_{i=1}^N W_n^i \delta_{X_{0:n}^i}(dx_{0:n}), \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{p}_{\theta}(y_n|y_{0:n-1}) = \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N w_n(X_{n-1:n}^i) \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N W_{n-1}^i q_{\theta}(y_n, X_{n-1}^i) \right) \quad (2)$$

where

$$W_n^i \propto w_n(X_{n-1:n}^i), \quad \sum_{i=1}^N W_n^i = 1$$

and

$$\hat{p}_{\theta}(y_0) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N w_0(X_0^i).$$

Discussion on APF

- ▶ (Pitt & Sheppard 99 JASA) recommends using if available $q_{\theta}(x_n|y_n, x_{n-1}) = p_{\theta}(x_n|y_n, x_{n-1})$ and $q_{\theta}(y_n, x_{n-1}) = p_{\theta}(y_n|x_{n-1})$ or approximations of them
- ▶ What are we doing
 - ▶ we are changing carefully the weight so that algorithm is well behaved
 - ▶ by multiplying with something and dividing at the next step
- ▶ This is effective when
 - ▶ g_{θ} too informative or
 - ▶ in some other way Π_n is a bit different from Π_{n+1} so we need to bridge them in some way.

Discussion on APF: extensions

- ▶ Neat extension for discretised continuous time models (e.g. SDEs)
- ▶ Set

$$\frac{q(y_{k+1}, x_k)}{q(y_k, x_{k-1})} = \prod_{m=1}^M \frac{r_{k,m}(y_{k+1}, y_k, x_{k,m})}{r_{k,m-1}(y_{k+1}, y_k, x_{k,m-1})}$$

with $X_{0,m} = X_{k-1}$ and $X_{k,M} = X_k$.

- ▶ Doing the same thing as above means that you do intermediate M weight resample steps to process observation Y_{k+1} .
- ▶ Detailed exposition in (Del Moral, Murray 2015).

Discussion on extensions: tempering

- ▶ Another example that fits this framework is tempering with PF (Godsill & Clapp 2001)
- ▶ Consider

$$r_{k,m} = g(Y_{k+1}|x_{k,m})^{\phi_m}$$

$$r_{k,0} = g(Y_k|x_{k,0})$$

with $\phi_M = 1$ and $0 < \phi_1 < \phi_2 < \dots < \phi_m$

- ▶ Can tune ϕ_m according to ESS.
- ▶ Can use MCMC steps if dynamics cannot be split in m steps like continuous time models.
 - ▶ ie we combined together with resample move MCMC jittering
- ▶ Quite effective in high dimensions

Discussion: summary

- ▶ Path degeneracy can be addressed partially by:
 - ▶ adaptive resampling: applying resampling only when necessary
 - ▶ using MCMC moves to jitter the particles and reintroduce lost diversity in particle approximations
 - ▶ note that path degeneracy will be still present!
- ▶ Weight degeneracy can be addressed
 - ▶ by good selection of importance proposals
 - ▶ changing the target sequence to an easier problem as in APF
 - ▶ introducing intermediate artificial weighting-resampling sequence, e.g. tempering.
- ▶ Can use all ideas above together to get a very powerful algorithm
 - ▶ but also a bit complicated algorithm