

UI for RNA-seq quantification *

XXXX XXXXX
SBU ID: xxxxxx
Department of Computer Science
Stony Brook University
xxxxx@cs.stonybrook.edu

XXXX XXXXX
SBU ID: xxx
Department of Computer Science
Stony Brook University
xxxxx@cs.stonybrook.edu

Jianglin Wu
SBU ID: 108075432
Department of Computer Science
Stony Brook University
jiangwu@cs.stonybrook.edu

Jian Yang
SBU ID: 110168771
Department of Computer Science
Stony Brook University
yang16@cs.stonybrook.edu

Abstract

RNA sequencing, is a technology that uses the capabilities of next-generation sequencing to reveal a snapshot of RNA presence and quantity from a genome at a given moment in time. There are many modern algorithms for RNA-seq but there is few visualization about the algorithms' results. Based on this status, we implemented a UI for RNA-Seq quality comparison between various of algorithms. The UI could upload results from algorithms, generate plots and tables for quality metrics and a user could interactively view any data on the plots.

1 Introduction

Software developed by programmer can process high throughput RNA-seq data and create detail results for Biologist and Bioinformatician for further analyzing. However, these tools cannot replace the role of a professional user who knows how to deal with the data and the meaning behind the number and text. A simple graph contains more information than paragraphs of text description. So, to provide an easy way for Biologist to research on the output data, we have our User Interface which consists of following functionalists: Bar chart for basic statistical information about the data; Scatter Plots for algorithm output data visualization, comparison and analysis; and Metrics for performance evaluation.

2 UI Architecture

We implemented this from four components: backend scripts, server, front-end, webpage.

2.1 Backend

At backend scripts, we did the following jobs.

1. Calculate the statistics from the transcript.
We calculate the data such as GC content, ration of each nucleotides.
2. Load data from result files for algorithms and also the ground truth from profile files according to given parser.

*Course Project Report of CSE 549.

3. Concat the data of results, the ground truth and the statistics based on transcript name as index to a large table.
4. Provide the API to query the data for UI layers.
5. Calculate the performance evaluation matrix.

The API contains the following methods.

2.2 Frontend

We used and modified open source bootstrap template SB Admin 2 [1] as our webpage, then integrated and implemented following:

1. Javascript public library:
Jquery: jquery-1.8.3.min.js
D3js: d3.v3.min.js [2]
2. Javascript library which we implemented:
chart.js: plot all the scatter charts.
data.js: using Ajax call to fetch data from server.
dropDownList.js: using Ajax call to fetch algorithm and attribute information from server. Change drop down list dynamicly based on user selection.

Design details:

1. Dynamic drop list.
 - a. Using Ajax call to fetch Json data from user, which contains all feasible algorithms and their relevent attribute.
 - b. Listening to user selection of algorithm, and dynamic change the attributes drop down list.
 - c. Get user's selections from drop down list and send request to server. Then get data to plot scatter charts.
2. There are three type of scatter charts.
 - a. Scatter Plot for a Single Algorithm
Get user input from front end, send requests through Ajax call to get matched data from server. Get each node and plot them on svg using D3js.
Requirement input value from user: algorithm name, x axis, y axis
 - b. Scatter Plot for two Algorithms on the same metrics
Get user input from front end, send requests through Ajax call to get matched data from server. Get each node and plot them on svg using D3js.
Requirement input value from user: algorithm 1, algorithm 2, a axis, y axis
 - c. Scatter Plot for two Algorithms on the same feature
Get user input from front end, send requests through Ajax call to get matched data from server. Get each node and plot them on svg using D3js.
Requirement input value from user: algorithm 1, algorithm 2, attribute
3. Interacter with user.
When user mouse put on the spot from any scatter charts. It will display the name of the node, the x attribute value and y attribute value, and other information that user designed to display.

4. Input check.

Using Javascript to check user selections' validation. For example, user are not allowed to choose empty content and click the submit button. There will be some alert message.

3 UI Features

We implemented the following features for the quality metrics with interactive modes to compare and view quality about algorithms. Currently we support 3 algorithms and a ground truth is added to compare the precision and the recall ratios.

3.1 Bar Chart for algorithms

We support the bar chart for all the algorithms to calculate the number of reads for each algorithm mapped in the experiment. One example is showed in figure ??.

3.2 Scatter Plot for a Single Algorithm

For a single algorithm, we support the scatter plot about any two values such as transcript GC content versus abundance estimates. To plot a scatter plot, the user could go to the scatter plot for single algorithm page, choose an algorithm and the x-axis feature and the y-axis feature. With such a plot, every node on the scatter plot is one transcript and the coordinate is the chosen value pairs and as one example, the scatter plot for sailfish is showed in figure ??.

For an interactive mode, you could move the mouse to a node you want to view and the information would automatically showed as in figure ??.

3.3 Scatter Plot for two Algorithms on the same metrics

We could also compare two algorithms based on the same scatter plots. For example, we could compare the distribution between GC Content and Number of Reads for both Sailfish and Kallisto, as showed in figure ??.

3.4 Scatter Plot for two Algorithms on the same feature

The same features from two algorithms are also comparable. For example, Number of Reads for both Sailfish and Kallisto. We expected they are similar if both of them are good algorithms. And we could also compare it with the ground truth.

3.5 Performance Evaluation

We could also calculate the performance evaluation based on ground truth. The ground truth could be uploaded at upload page showed (??add a figure or not??).

By given the ground truth, we now could calculate the following metrics: Spearman corr., TPEF, TPME, MARD, wMARD [3].

4 Extensibility

4.1 Upload new data

Currently the uploading of ground truth is added to re-calculate the performance evaluation results.

We also considered the extensibility in our implementation. Currently the result files are directly uploaded to the server side and by upload and rename to the targeted name, call *DataAnalyzer.load_data()*, the server could reload all data to replace the old metrics.

4.2 Add new algorithm

To add a new algorithm, one just need to add a related method in *AnalyzerBuilder* with the corresponding result file, parsing method same as in file *ParsingUtility.py* and the new algorithm could automatically be

supported by the whole framework.

At both the front-end and back-end, the algorithms are queried from the *DataAnalyzer*. Once the algorithms and the corresponding methods for reading the data in, the new algorithm and the data would be showed as same as other old features.

4.3 Add Features to compare

Currently we support a wide range of comparison: all possible pairs of

5 Acknowledge

Thanks and regards to Professor Rob Patro's lectures. We were inspired by the algorithms and the vivid examples.

Thanks and regards to TA Hirak. He helped us a lot on the project.

References

- [1] "SB Admin 2 - Free Bootstrap Admin Theme." Start Bootstrap. N.p., n.d. Web. 15 Dec. 2015.
< [http : //startbootstrap.com/template – overviews/sb – admin – 2/](http://startbootstrap.com/template-overviews/sb-admin-2/) >.
- [2] "D3 Scatterplot Example." D3 Scatterplot Example. N.p., n.d. Web. 15 Dec. 2015.
< [http : //bl.ocks.org/weiglemc/6185069](http://bl.ocks.org/weiglemc/6185069) >.
- [3] Srivastava, Avi, Hirak Sarkar, and Rob Patro. "RapMap: A Rapid, Sensitive and Accurate Tool for Mapping RNA-seq Reads to Transcriptomes." *bioRxiv* (2015): 029652.