

Peer Review Grading Rubric

Grading Criteria	1 - Poor	2	3 - Average	4	5 - Exceptional
Quality of Work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Work had to be redone <i>most</i> times. - <i>Poor</i> contributions during meetings. - Work worth of an 'F' or 'D' grade. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Work was <i>acceptable</i>, but never exceeded expectations. - Work needed to be improved on <i>sometimes</i>. - <i>Average quality</i> contribution during meetings. - Work worthy of a 'B-' grade. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Work <i>usually exceeded</i> expectations. - Work never really needed to be improved upon. - <i>Great</i> contributions during meetings. - Work worthy of an 'A' grade.
Dependability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Never</i> completed tasks on time. - <i>Never</i> showed up to meetings on time. - <i>Never</i> showed up to meeting prepared. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Completed tasks on time 70% of the time. - Showed up on time and prepared for meetings 70% of the time. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Always</i> completed tasks on time. - <i>Always</i> showed up on time and prepared for meetings.
Team Player Skills	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Very</i> difficult to work with. - Would <i>not</i> want to work with again. - <i>Not</i> receptive to others' opinions and ideas. - <i>Not</i> inclusive of other group members. - Did <i>not</i> make an effort to integrate. - Group would have been better without this member. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Average</i> person to work with. - Would be <i>indifferent</i> to work with again. - Was receptive to others' opinions and ideas to a <i>reasonable</i> degree. - <i>Reasonably</i> inclusive of other group members. - Made a <i>reasonable</i> effort to integrate into the group 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Pleasure</i> to work with. - Would <i>actively</i> want to work with again. - Was <i>very</i> receptive to others' opinions and ideas. - <i>Always</i> inclusive of other group members. - Made an <i>active effort</i> to integrate into the group. - Group would have suffered significantly without this member.
Communication	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Never</i> willing or able to effectively communicate opinions or answer questions in a cohesive and coherent manner. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Effectively communicate opinions or answer questions in a cohesive and coherent manner around 70% of the time. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Always</i> effectively communicate opinions or answer questions in a cohesive and coherent manner.

	- Overall, <i>not</i> an effective presenter of information.		- Overall, an presenter of information.		- Overall, an exceptional presenter of information.
Work Ethic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Appeared disinterested in the projects outcome <i>most the time</i>. - Did <i>not</i> pull his/her weight relative to the rest of the group - <i>Never</i> willing to do additional work. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Appeared <i>moderately</i> interested in the projects outcome most times - Pulled his or her weight relative to the rest of the group - <i>Sometimes</i> willing to do additional work 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Appeared <i>very</i> interested in the projects outcome all the time. - Pulled <i>much more</i> weight relative to the rest of the group - <i>Always</i> willing to do additional work for the team.