BMS 1901 Calculus for Life Sciences

Week8

10/19/2021

Dr. Hsiang-yu Yuan

Study objectives

Understand systems of two autonomous differential equations (coupled systems of differential equations)

Able to plot and interpret a phase portrait (trajectories) on the phase plane

Able to perform Phase Plane analysis


References:

Biocalculus by Stewart and Day

Chp7.5 Systems of Differential Equations

Chp7.6 Phase Plane Analysis

Imagine that a particle moves along the curve *C* shown in Figure 1.



It is impossible to describe C by an equation of the form y = f(x) because C fails the Vertical Line Test. But the x-and y-coordinates of the particle are functions of time and so we can write x = f(t) and y = g(t).

Such a pair of equations is often a convenient way of describing a curve and gives rise to the following definition.

Suppose that *x* and *y* are both given as functions of a third variable *t* (called a **parameter**) by the equations

$$x = f(t) \qquad y = g(t)$$

(called **parametric equations**). Each value of t determines a point (x, y) that we can plot in a coordinate plane. As t varies, the point (x, y) = (f(t), g(t)) varies and traces out a curve C, which we call a **parametric curve**.

The parameter *t* does not necessarily represent time and, in fact, we could use a letter other than *t* for the parameter.

But in the applications of parametric curves to systems of differential equations the parameter will be time and therefore we can interpret (x, y) = (f(t), g(t)) as the position of a particle at time t.

Example 1

Sketch and identify the curve defined by the parametric equations

$$x = t^2 - 2t \qquad y = t + 1$$

Solution:

Each value of *t* gives a point on the curve, as shown in the table.

t	Х	у
-2	8	-1
-1	3	0
0	0	1
1	-1	2 3
2	0	3
2 3	3	4
4	8	5

Example 1 – Solution

cont'd

For instance, if t = 0, then x = 0, y = 1 and so the corresponding point is (0, 1).

In Figure 2 we plot the points (x, y) determined by several values of the parameter t and we join them to produce a curve.




Figure 2

Example 1 – Solution

cont'd

A particle whose position is given by the parametric equations moves along the curve in the direction of the arrows as *t* increases.

Notice that the consecutive points marked on the curve appear at equal time intervals but not at equal distances.

That is because the particle slows down and then speeds up as *t* increases.

It appears from Figure 2 that the curve traced out by the particle may be a parabola.

Example 1 – Solution

cont'd

This can be confirmed by eliminating the parameter t as follows. We obtain t = y - 1 from the second equation and substitute into the first equation.

This gives

$$x = t^2 - 2t = (y - 1)^2 - 2(y - 1) = y^2 - 4y + 3$$

and so the curve represented by the given parametric equations is the parabola $x = y^2 - 4y + 3$.



Let R(t) be the number of prey (using R for rabbits) and W(t) be the number of predators (with W for wolves) at time t.

In the absence of predators, the ample food supply would support exponential growth of the prey, that is,

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = rR$$
 where *r* is a positive constant

In the absence of prey, we assume that the predator population would decline through mortality at a rate proportional to itself, that is,

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = -kW$$
 where k is a positive constant

With both species present, however, we assume that the principal cause of death among the prey is being eaten by a predator, and the birth rate of the predators depends on their available food supply, namely, the prey.

We also assume that the two species encounter each other at a rate that is proportional to both populations and is therefore proportional to the product *RW*.

This is referred to as the **principle of mass action**: the rate of encounter of two entities is proportional to the densities of each.

A system of two *coupled* differential equations that incorporates these assumptions is as follows:

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = rR - aRW \qquad \frac{dW}{dt} = -kW + bRW$$

where k, r, a, and b are positive constants.

Notice that the term -aRW decreases the growth rate of the prey and the term bRW increases the growth rate of the predators.

The equations in (1) are known as the **predator-prey equations**, or the **Lotka-Volterra equations**.

A **solution** of this system of equations is a pair of functions R(t) and W(t) that describe the populations of prey and predator as functions of time.

Therefore a solution can be represented as a parametric curve (x, y) = (R(t), W(t)) in the plane.

Example 2 – Lotka-Volterra equations

Suppose that populations of rabbits and wolves are described by the Lotka-Volterra equations (1) with r = 0.08, a = 0.001, k = 0.02, and b = 0.00002. The time t is measured in months.

- (a) Use the system of differential equations to find an expression for dW/dR.
- (b) Draw a direction field for the resulting differential equation in the RW-plane. Then use that direction field to sketch some parametric curves representing solutions of Equations 1.

Example 2 – Lotka-Volterra equations

cont'd

- (c) Suppose that, at some point in time, there are 1000 rabbits and 40 wolves. Draw the corresponding parametric curve representing the solution for these initial conditions. Use it to describe the changes in both population levels.
- (d) Use part (c) to make sketches of *R* and *W* as functions of *t*.

We use the Chain Rule to eliminate *t*:

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = \frac{dW}{dR} \frac{dR}{dt}$$


so
$$\frac{dW}{dR} = \frac{\frac{dW}{dt}}{\frac{dR}{dt}} = \frac{-0.02W + 0.00002RW}{0.08R - 0.001RW}$$

cont'd

If we think of *W* as a function of *R*, we have the differential equation

$$\frac{dW}{dR} = \frac{-0.02W + 0.00002RW}{0.08R - 0.001RW}$$

We draw the direction field for this differential equation in Figure 3.




Direction field for the predator-prey system

Figure 3

cont'd

This direction field is always tangent to the parametric curves representing solutions to Equations 1, as shown in Figure 4.



Phase portrait of the system

Figure 4

cont'd

If we move along a curve, we observe how the relationship between *R* and *W* changes as time passes.

Although it is not obvious from the direction field, it can be shown that the curves are closed in the sense that if we travel along a curve, we always return to our starting point.

When we represent solutions of a system of differential equations as parametric curves in Figure 4, we refer to the *RW*-plane as the **phase plane**.

cont'd

There, when we had a single variable, we plotted arrows on a line corresponding to the variable.


Movement could be in either direction along the line and the arrows indicated the direction of this movement. Now, with two variables, we plot arrows in the plane corresponding to the two variables.

Movement can now be in any direction in the plane and again the arrows indicate the direction of this movement.

cont'd

The parametric curves in the phase plane are called **phase trajectories**, and so a phase trajectory is a path traced out by solutions (*R*, *W*) as time goes by.

A **phase portrait** consists of typical phase trajectories, as shown in Figure 4.




Phase portrait of the system

Figure 4

cont'd

Starting with 1000 rabbits and 40 wolves corresponds to drawing the parametric curve through the point P_0 (1000, 40).

Figure 5 shows this phase trajectory with the direction field removed.



Phase trajectory through (1000, 40)

Figure 5

cont'd

Starting at the point P_0 at time t = 0 and letting t increase, do we move clockwise or counterclockwise around the phase trajectory?

If we put R = 1000 and W = 40 in the first differential equation, we get

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = 0.08(1000) - 0.001(1000)(40) = 80 - 40 = 40$$

Since dR/dt > 0, we conclude that R is increasing at P_0 and so we move counterclockwise around the phase trajectory.

cont'd

We see that at P_0 there aren't enough wolves to maintain a balance between the populations, so the rabbit population increases.

That results in more wolves and eventually there are so many wolves that the rabbits have a hard time avoiding them.

So the number of rabbits begins to decline (at P_1 , where we estimate that R reaches its maximum population of about 2800).

cont'd

This means that at some later time the wolf population starts to fall (at P_2 , where R = 1000 and $W \approx 140$). But this benefits the rabbits, so their population later starts to increase (at P_3 , where W = 80 and $R \approx 210$).


As a consequence, the wolf population eventually starts to increase as well.

This happens when the populations return to their initial values of R = 1000 and W = 40, and the entire cycle begins again.

cont'd

From the description in part (c) of how the rabbit and wolf populations rise and fall, we can sketch the graphs of R(t) and W(t).

Suppose the points P_1 , P_2 , and P_3 in Figure 5 are reached at times t_1 , t_2 , and t_3 .





Phase trajectory through (1000, 40)

Figure 5

cont'd

Then we can sketch graphs of *R* and *W* as in Figure 6.






Graphs of the rabbit and wolf populations as functions of time

Figure 6

cont'd

To make the graphs easier to compare, we draw the graphs on the same axes but with different scales for R and W, as in Figure 7. Notice that the rabbits reach their maximum population size about a quarter of a cycle before the wolves.



Comparison of the rabbit and wolf populations

Figure 7

Matlab examples

```
Try plot this
k = 1
eta = 1
mu = 20
ydot = @(t,y) k*(1-y/mu)*y
ode45(ydot,[0 8],eta)
```

```
Also plot this, can see guess whether y1 or y2 is predator?  \begin{aligned}  &\dot{y}_1 &= (1-\frac{y_2}{\mu_2})y_1 \\  &\text{eta} &= [400\ 100]' \\  &\text{signs} &= [1\ -1]' \end{aligned} \qquad \qquad \dot{y}_2 &= -(1-\frac{y_1}{\mu_1})y_2 \\  &\text{pred\_prey\_ode} &= @(t,y)\ \text{signs.*}(1-\text{flipud(y./mu)}).*\text{yperiod} &= 6.5357 \\  &\text{ode45(pred\_prey\_ode,[0\ 3*period],eta)} \end{aligned}
```


Phase Plane Analysis - Equilibria

Equilibria

The predator-prey equations or the population sizes of rabbits and wolves:

(1)
$$\frac{dR}{dt} = rR - aRW \qquad \frac{dW}{dt} = -kW + bRW$$

The corresponding phase plane is shown in Figure 1.



The predator-prey phase plot when r = 0.08, a = 0.001, k = 0.02, and b = 0.00002 Figure 1

Equilibria

An equilibrium of this system of differential equations is a constant population size of rabbits \hat{R} and of wolves \hat{W} at which no further change in either occurs. This requires that both dR/dt = 0 and dW/dt = 0.

Using Equations 1, this gives two equations in two unknowns: rR - aRW = 0 and -kW + bRW = 0.

Definition Consider the autonomous system of differential equations

(2)
$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x, y) \qquad \frac{dy}{dt} = g(x, y)$$

An **equilibrium** is a pair of values (\hat{x}, \hat{y}) such that both dx/dt = 0 and dy/dt = 0 when $x = \hat{x}$ and $y = \hat{y}$. This gives a pair of equations $f(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = 0$ and $g(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = 0$ that define the values of \hat{x} and \hat{y} .


Equilibria

We can connect the pair of equations defining the equilibria of the predator-prey model to the phase plane in Figure 1. The equation rR - aRW = 0 must hold if the population size of rabbits is to remain constant; it can be factored to give R(r - aW) = 0.

Therefore the population size of rabbits will remain constant if either R = 0 or W = r/a.

The first of these equations corresponds to absence of rabbits altogether. The second corresponds to the population size of wolves at which the birth rate of rabbits is exactly balanced by their death rate through predation.

The lines defined by these equations are called the *R-nullclines* and are plotted on the phase plane shown in Figure 2(a).




R-nullclines are blue, *W*-nullclines are red, and equilibria are black dots.

Figure 2(a)

death rate.


The second equation, -kW + bRW = 0, must hold if the population size of wolves is to remain constant; it can be factored and solved to give W = 0 and R = k/b. The first of these equations corresponds to absence of wolves. The second corresponds to the population size of rabbits at which the birth rate of wolves (through consumption of rabbits) is exactly balanced by their

The lines defined by these equations are the *W-nullclines* and are plotted on the phase plane shown in Figure 2(b).



R-nullclines are blue, *W*-nullclines are red, and equilibria are black dots.

Figure 2(c) shows the *R*- and *W-nullclines* plotted together.



R-nullclines are blue, *W*-nullclines are red, and equilibria are black dots.

Figure 2(c)


Definition The *x*-nullclines of differential equations (2) are the curves in the *xy*-plane that satisfy the equation f(x, y) = 0. Along these curves, dx/dt = 0. The *y*-nullclines of differential equations (2) are the curves in the *xy*-plane that satisfy the equation g(x, y) = 0. Along these curves, dy/dt = 0.

Whenever a trajectory in the phase plane crosses a nullcline, it must do so either horizontally or vertically, depending on the nullcline in question. This is because movement in either the vertical or horizontal direction is zero on a nullcline since either dy/dt = 0 or dx/dt = 0.

Finding Equilibria Graphically For differential equations (2) any point at which an *x*-nullcline intersects a *y*-nullcline is an equilibrium.

In addition to this visualization of equilibrium points and nullclines, we can sometimes derive expressions for the equilibria algebraically. The predator-prey model has two equilibria: (i) $\hat{R} = 0$, $\hat{W} = 0$ and (ii) $\hat{R} = k/b$, $\hat{W} = r/a$.

Let's return to Figure 2 from the predator-prey model of Equations 1.



R-nullclines are blue, W-nullclines are red, and equilibria are black dots.

Figure 2(a)

In Figure 2(a) we plotted the R-nullclines. These are curves in the plane along which dR/dt = 0.

Therefore these curves separate the plane into regions within which either dR/dt > 0 or dR/dt < 0. We can determine which of these two situations applies in each region.

Consider the region above the line W = r/a in Figure 2(a). This corresponds to large values of W, in which case Equations 1 give


$$\frac{dR}{dt} = rR - aRW \approx -aRW < 0$$

for large enough values of W.


Therefore dR/dt < 0 in this region. Conversely, if W is close to zero, we have

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = rR - aRW \approx rR > 0$$

We can therefore indicate whether *R* is increasing or decreasing in each of these regions of the phase plane with a single arrow as in Figure 4(a).



We can follow the same procedure for the *W*-nullclines in Figure 2(b).



R-nullclines are blue, *W*-nullclines are red, and equilibria are black dots.

Figure 2(b)

To the right of the line R = k/b the value of R will be very large.

From Equations 1 we have

$$dW/dt = -kW + bRW \approx bRW > 0$$

Conversely, if R is close to zero then

$$dW/dt = -kW + bRW \approx -kW < 0$$

This gives the direction arrows for *W* in Figure 4(b).





Figure 4(b)

Putting these two plots together then gives the overall direction of movement by the purple arrows in the phase plane shown in Figure 4(c).



Purple arrows indicate direction of motion.

Figure 4(c)

This provides a very general, qualitative picture of the dynamics without having to plot a large number of direction arrows. In this case we see that spiraling trajectories in the phase plane are expected.

Example 1 – Lotka-Volterra competition equations

The differential equation for logistic population growth can be extended to model competitive interactions between two species. Let's use $N_1(t)$ and $N_2(t)$ to denote the population size of species 1 and 2 at time t. Suppose that the per capita growth rate of each species decreases linearly with the population size of each species. Specifically, the per capita growth rate of species 1 is

$$r\left(1-\frac{N_1+\alpha N_2}{K_1}\right)$$

where α , r, and K_1 are positive constants. Likewise, the per capita growth rate of species 2 is

$$r\left(1-\frac{N_2+\beta N_1}{K_2}\right)$$

Example 1 – Lotka-Volterra competition equations

where β and K_2 are positive constants. This gives the system

(3)
$$\frac{dN_1}{dt} = r \left(1 - \frac{N_1 + \alpha N_2}{K_1} \right) N_1 \qquad \frac{dN_2}{dt} = r \left(1 - \frac{N_2 + \beta N_1}{K_2} \right) N_2$$

- (a) Suppose r = 1, $K_1 = 1000$, $K_2 = 600$, $\alpha = 2$, and $\beta = 1$. Find the N_1 and N_2 -nullclines and plot them on the phase plane. Indicate all equilibria.
- (b) Calculate the equilibria algebraically.
- (c) Suppose instead that $\beta = 0$, but all other constants have the same values as in part (a). Calculate the equilibria algebraically.


Example 1(a) – *Hints*

The N_1 -nullclines satisfy $dN_1/dt = 0$ or

$$\left(1 - \frac{N_1 + 2N_2}{1000}\right) N_1 = 0$$

Therefore the N_1 -nullclines are $N_1 = 0$ and $N_1 + 2N_2 = 1000$. The second equation can be rewritten as $N_2 = 500 - \frac{1}{2}N_1$.

It is plotted in Figure 3(a).



 N_1 -nullclines are blue, N_2 -nullclines are red, and equilibria are black dots. Figure 3(a)


Example 1(a) – *Hints*

cont'd

The N_2 -nullclines satisfy $dN_2/dt = 0$ or

$$\left(1 - \frac{N_2 + N_1}{600}\right) N_2 = 0$$

The N_2 -nullclines are therefore $N_2 = 0$ and $N_1 + N_2 = 600$. The second equation can be rewritten as $N_2 = 600 - N_1$ and is plotted in Figure 3(b).




 N_1 -nullclines are blue, N_2 -nullclines are red, and equilibria are black dots.

Figure 3(b)

Example 1(a) – *Hints*

The nullclines are plotted together, along with the equilibria, in Figure 3(c).



 N_1 -nullclines are blue, N_2 -nullclines are red, and equilibria are black dots.


Figure 3(c)

Example 2 – Lotka-Volterra competition equations (continued)

- (a) Determine the qualitative dynamics in the phase plane for the Lotka-Volterra competition equations of Example 1.
- (b) Plot the variables as a function of time.

Example 2(a) – *Hints*

Putting together these two plots gives the qualitative dynamics shown in Figure 5(c).



Purple arrows indicate direction of motion Figure 5(c)

This reveals that equilibria (ii) and (iii) are both locally stable (if we start near either of these equilibria, we will move toward the equilibrium).