

# Project Proposal: Implementation and Extension of Deep Retinex Decomposition for Low-Light Image Enhancement

Archit Choudhary (2023114002)

Yajat Lakhanpal (2023111015)

## Motivation & Problem

Low-light images suffer from low contrast, detail loss, and noise amplification, degrading both human perception and downstream vision tasks. Traditional enhancement (HE/CLAHE) and hand-crafted Retinex variants improve visibility but often introduce haloing, color shifts, or require scene-specific tuning. *Deep Retinex Decomposition for Low-Light Enhancement* (Wei *et al.*, BMVC 2018) proposes a data-driven Retinex formulation (Retinex-Net) that jointly decomposes an image into reflectance ( $R$ ) and illumination ( $I$ ), adjusts  $I$ , and denoises  $R$ . This project will (i) reproduce Retinex-Net faithfully with clear image-processing emphasis on the decomposition and smoothness priors, and (ii) extend it with classical, edge-preserving image-processing modules to strengthen interpretability and robustness.

## Technical Approach

**Retinex model.** For source image  $S$ ,  $S = R \circ I$  (element-wise product). We implement two subnetworks:

- **Decom-Net** to obtain  $(R, I)$  using only key priors: (a) reflectance consistency across paired low/normal-light images and (b) structure-aware smoothness of  $I$ .
- **Enhance-Net** (encoder–decoder with skips) to brighten  $I$  while preserving global consistency and local contrast; reconstruction  $\hat{S} = \hat{R} \circ \hat{I}$ .

**Losses (image-processing centric).**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{recon}} = \sum_{i \in \{\text{low}, \text{normal}\}} \sum_{j \in \{\text{low}, \text{normal}\}} \lambda_{ij} \|R_i \circ I_j - S_j\|_1, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ir}} = \|R_{\text{low}} - R_{\text{normal}}\|_1, \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{is}} = \sum_i \left( \|\nabla_h I_i \circ e^{-\lambda_g |\nabla_h R_i|}\|_1 + \|\nabla_v I_i \circ e^{-\lambda_g |\nabla_v R_i|}\|_1 \right), \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{enh}} = \|R_{\text{low}} \circ \hat{I} - S_{\text{normal}}\|_1 + \mathcal{L}_{\text{is}}(\hat{I}, R_{\text{low}}). \quad (4)$$

This emphasizes an *edge-aware* (structure-preserving) TV prior on  $I$  driven by  $R$ , a core image-processing contribution.

## Planned Extensions (Image Processing Focus)

**E1. Edge-preserving denoising on  $R$ .** Evaluate BM3D, bilateral filter, guided filter, and wavelet shrinkage on  $\hat{R}$  with illumination-aware strength (stronger in darker regions).

**E2. Alternative structure-aware smoothness for  $I$ .** Replace  $e^{-\lambda_g|\nabla R|}$  with guidance from (i) guided filter weights, (ii) anisotropic diffusion conductance, or (iii) bilateral weights to study artifact suppression vs. structure retention.

**E3. Photometric post-adjustments.** Gamma curve on  $\hat{I}$  and local contrast (DoG/unsharp mask) on  $\hat{R}$  to control global brightness vs. micro-contrast.

## Datasets & Evaluation

**Data.** LOL paired dataset (500 real pairs) for supervised constraints; optional synthetic augmentation following the paper’s RAW-to-low-light protocol.

**Baselines.** HE, CLAHE, LIME; DeHz/NPE (if time permits).

**Metrics.** PSNR/SSIM (paired), NIQE/BRISQUE (no-reference), LPIPS (perceptual), and runtime. Visual assessment: artifacts (haloing/ringing), color fidelity, noise, edge sharpness.

**Ablations.** (i) Remove  $\mathcal{L}_{is}$ , (ii) swap  $I$ -smoothness variants (E2), (iii) denoiser choices (E1), (iv) multi-scale concat on/off in Enhance-Net.

## Expected Outcomes

(i) High-quality enhancement with reduced artifacts and better interpretability via explicit  $(R, I)$ ; (ii) evidence that *edge-aware*  $I$ -smoothness and *illumination-aware* denoising on  $R$  materially improve quality; (iii) clear guidance for when classical image processing complements learned decomposition.

## References

1. C. Wei, W. Wang, W. Yang, J. Liu. “Deep Retinex Decomposition for Low-Light Enhancement.” *BMVC*, 2018.
2. X. Guo, Y. Li, H. Ling. “LIME: Low-Light Image Enhancement via Illumination Map Estimation.” *IEEE TIP*, 26(2):982–993, 2017.
3. S. M. Pizer et al. “Adaptive Histogram Equalization and Its Variations.” *CVGIP*, 39(3):355–368, 1987.