

REPRODUCIBILITY

Reproducible Research, Just Not Reproducible By You

By **DAVID CROTTY** | MAY 24, 2017 | 22 COMMENTS

DATA PUBLISHING | RESEARCH | TECHNOLOGY

2 54 103 2 5 8 174 SHARES PRINT THIS PAGE

We tend to think of research as either being reproducible and thus valid, or irreproducible, and questionable. This sort of binary thinking is problematic, because there's a large body of research that's entirely accurate but not easily reproduced. Do we need a new term for results fall into this in-between zone?

At the recent [STM Annual Meeting in Washington](#), Moshe Pritsker, founder and CEO of the *Journal of Visualized Experiments* (JOVE) gave a talk about the gaping hole present in efforts to drive scientific reproducibility. Enormous amounts of effort, money, and regulation have been put toward opening up the data behind published experiments. But very little attention seems to have been directed toward the protocols and methodologies used to collect those data.



OFFICIAL BLOG OF:



THE CHEFS

