

### MEM3-MALLOC: 181-210

- 181 [ T / F ] I now know why in the example on slide 2, there's a 32-byte distance between the allocations even though I only malloc(4 bytes).
- 182 [ T / F ] The logical address boundaries (the BASE addresses) of the code, heap, and stacks are set together by the OS, CPU, and compiler architects. The malloc library has a macro (a constant variable such as "HEAP\_BASE") that is predefined based on the OS/CPU architecture.
- 183 [ T / F ] A pointer value returned by malloc() is just a virtual value crafted by the malloc library. Also, a pointer value typically looks "random" (e.g., 0x0800C840). This is because users call malloc() of different sizes and there are header/footer bytes in every malloc allocation.
- 184 [ T / F ] While the OS attempts to reduce memory fragmentation (wasted memory) within its own memory management, it cannot prevent wasted memory within your program (e.g., you malloc() a lot of bytes but never use them).
- 185 [ T / F ] In our 32-bit lecture, a word is 32 bits (4 bytes).
- 186 [ T / F ] The major fragmentation problem that malloc() library faces is external fragmentation.
- 187 [ T / F ] After you malloc() a structure or object and get the memory address, the malloc() library is allowed to shuffle their positions.
- 188 [ T / F ] The "size" value in the block's header is the *total* size of the header+footer (8 bytes; in 32-bit addressing) *and* the payload (and perhaps some paddings). In other words, the "size" represents *the size of the block*, not just the payload.
- 189 [ T / F ] The best-fit policy will cause big leftover blocks.
- 190 [ T / F ] The worst-fit policy is slow as it needs to scan all the blocks to find the worst fit.
- 191 [ T / F ] The next-fit policy is an optimized version of the first-fit policy. The next-fit policy does not need to scan from the beginning.
- 192 [ T / F ] In the malloc library, merging is all about knowing where your and left/right neighbor's heads and feet are with only one information "p". From "p", you can reach all other information such as block sizes n, m1, m2, and the allocation bits.
- 193 [ T / F ] (Assume a 32-bit addressing), given the current pointer "p" in "free(p)", your foot is at  $p + n - 4$ . (n is the size of your block).
- 194 [ T / F ] Your left neighbor's head is at  $p - m1 + 4$ . (m1 is your left neighbor's block size).
- 195 [ T / F ] Your right neighbor's foot is at  $p + n + m2 - 4$ . (m2 is your right neighbor's block size).
- 196 [ T / F ] An "implicit" list contains pointers to allocated and free blocks.
- 197 [ T / F ] Explicit list is a list of direct pointers to all the blocks (both allocated and free blocks).
- 198 [ T / F ] When calling malloc(), we don't need to traverse through allocated blocks.
- 199 [ T / F ] When malloc library uses an explicit list, it doesn't need the "implicit" list anymore.



**200 [ T / F ]** `free()` is guaranteed to have a  $O(1)$  complexity, but `malloc()` can be unluckily  $O(N)$  when no free blocks fit the new large allocation.

**201 [ T / F ]** In a 32-bit explicit list, the smallest block size possible is 12 bytes: header (4 bytes), payload (4), and footer (4).

**202 [ T / F ]** In a 64-bit addressing with explicit list, the smallest block size possible is 16 bytes: header (4 bytes), next (4), prev (4) and footer (4).

**203 [ T / F ]** Mallocing super tiny allocations too many times, *e.g.* `malloc(1-byte)`, leads to heavy internal fragmentation.

**204 [ T / F ]** In explicit list, the "next" pointer contains the address of the first byte of the header of the next free block.

**205 [ T / F ]** In explicit list, the "prev" pointer contains the address of the first byte of the header of the previous free block.

**206 [ T / F ]** I have mastered the "C Pointer Primer" example (the "p" and "pp" example with all the \*, \*\*, & prefixes. This knowledge is important for updating the content of the "root", "next" and "prev" memory lines.

**207 [ T / F ]** Suppose a 32-bit addressing, and I call `free(p)` where the p's block will be the new root. This is a correct C code to update the root's content: `root = p;`

**208 [ T / F ]** (Referring back to mem2-seg lecture, slide 17). Say, you have a 16-bit segment offset, while in the class we simplify by saying that you can `malloc 216` bytes, in reality you can't because there are `malloc` header bytes.

**209 [ T / F ]** I want to have an early Thanksgiving break! No class on Friday! But I promise to watch sy1 and sy2 videos before Wednesday.

**210 [ T / F ]** The OS manages the entire physical memory (DRAM) and the `malloc()` library just manages the heap area of a process. One good analogy to think about these different levels of memory management is like this: The overhead bin is the physical memory (DRAM), and the flight attendant is the OS. A passenger is a process. A passenger bring several carry-on bags (code, data, stack, and heap segments). The flight attendant manages the overhead bin, storing and removing carry-on bags as the passengers board/deboard the plane (as processes start and exit). Now, you are a passenger (you are a process). One bag that you have (imagine a suitcase) is the heap segment. Inside this bag (suitcase), there will be many chocolate bars. When you buy a chocolate bar (*i.e.*, calls `malloc()`), you put it in your suitcase in a fixed position that *cannot* be moved around. When you want to eat a specific chocolate bar (*i.e.*, calls `free()`), you remove it from your suitcase. How you add/remove a chocolate bar in your suitcase is basically how `malloc()` library manages the heap. Over time in your suitcase you will have external fragmentation (gaps/empty spaces between the chocolate bars that are still there). Next, you buy a new big chocolate bar that does not fit any of the gaps. What happens now? Well you will call the flight attendant (*i.e.*, the `malloc()` library make an `sbrk()` system call to the OS). The flight attendant has a magical skill that will make your suitcase grow larger as long as there is room in the overhead bin next to your suitcase (*i.e.*, the OS will increase the "bound" of your heap segment). This is what happened inside the `malloc` library with *segmentation*. Today, with *paging* (which you should not worry about, but just FYI), the heap segment is broken into several fixed-size pages (several fixed-sized suitcases). If your suitcase is full, the flight attending will give you another small fixed-size suitcase where you can put your new chocolate bars. Wow .. what a long analogy! Hope helps :) — 210