

Review of CS7:

Category	Description	Reviewers Comments	Action Taken
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	Yes, I could clone the repo and build the project using the readme. Readme was easy to follow and clear. Obviously the program doesn't work without the necessary hardware.	N/A
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	The flow of the project made sense and was easy to follow. The variable names were clear and short, yet still conveyed their purpose. The method names were also clear and conveyed the purpose of the method. Proper use of indentations, whitespace. General code guidelines and styling were followed.	N/A
Implementation	is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	The codebase is already small given this is a hardware project. I am sure some improvements could be made but I don't believe any large improvements could be made to shorten the code, improve efficiency, or make code cleaner.	N/A (code was improved and more comments were added - by me/s)

Jose Ramos – CS10
 CS 463- Spring 2020
 Code Review Feedback

Maintainability	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	There is a program that tests the Arduino board. The code coverage is not the best, and including unit tests for individual methods could help improve code coverage.	As discussed with Scott, unit tests are not applicable to our project
Requirements	Does the code fulfill the requirements?	Yes, after reading the project requirements and looking at the codebase, the codebase does appear to satisfy the project requirements.	N/A
Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	Adding some comments could help improve code readability. Other than that the codebase looks great!	Comments have been added.