

| Category | Description | Reviewers Comment | Action taken by reviewed group |
|-----------------|--|--|---|
| Build | Could you clone from Git and build using the README file? | Yes, I could clone from Git and build using the detailed README, but could not run it without the hardware. | N/A |
| Legibility | Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style? | Yes, the flow was sane and I could easily follow the methods. The variable names were specific and easy to tell. | N/A |
| Implementation | is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions? | Most of them are clean and short enough, but I think Home.Xaml.cs could be shorter being divvied. | New functions added to split up code in Home.Xaml.cs |
| Maintainability | Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable? | There is no unit test. | Discussed with Scott prior to code review. Unit Tests are not feasible for our project and how we take in input |
| Requirements | Does the code fulfill the requirements? | Yes the code fulfill the requirement. | N/A |
| Other | Are there other things that stand out that can be improved? | I think it would be nice to have blank lines between comments and codes. It was a little bit hard to read. | Added a few blank lines between comments where it still made sense what code it went with |