

# **Swinburne University of Technology**

## COS30015 Assignment 1

This assignment is worth 40% of the subject assessment.

Due Date: 18th Sep at 23:59pm

# **Introduction:**

This assessment requires students to develop a deeper understanding of cyber security threats from both an attacker and defenders' perspective. In addition to learning further about offensive and defensive security, it also requires students to engage in industry leading frameworks (such as MITRE ATT&CK and the ACSC's Essential 8).

Students are required to consolidate and develop practical application of learning outcomes, applying skills through case study analysis, design and planning, categorisation, analysis, and evaluation of tools, TTPs, threats and procedures.

ALL topics should be done in a virtual environment, without Internet access and attempted safely. Do not use any commercial services or Internet apps. You should not run or complete any tasks on your host device and should not impact other devices outside of your virtual lab. Again, do not use the Internet, real or live malware, live systems or applications on the Internet (Email for example), only within virtual machines. If you fail to follow these instructions you will fail the assessment. AGAIN, do no scan live websites, use commercial email as a tool, Internet sandboxes or antivirus, interact with any live computer system - work is to be complete in a host only networking setup. You may use lab virtual machines or build your own to complete the assignment. You must confirm your project with your tutor.

# **Attack & Security Tools**

You are required to choose ONE attack and ONE security tool from one of the following topics. You need ONLY complete ONE topic:

- Authentication
- Resource Hijacking
- Malicious Software/Activity
- Sniffers
- Denial of Service

#### Requirements:

You will need to research 1 tool attacker's use (offensive), and 1 security tool used to counter or detect attackers in the area chosen (defensive). Your assignment involves **running both tools**, evaluating and analysing their use in means to **evade or detect** threats/detection. That is, how are

you going to use these tools? To show how attackers can bypass detection, or how tools can be used to detect/restrict this threat type? Or show how both operate? From this perspective, you should perform a case study of your chose area outlining the threat, table and justify your choice of tools (over others), determine metrics used to determine how effective the usage from your viewpoint is, outline your testing scenario and what MITRE TTPs will be used. Then install, run and demonstrate the use of tools, producing some output or results from both offensive and defensive positions. You should analyse the results (best run the tools once and show what happens when security controls are not in place, the apply the security controls and run again). Finally, evaluate the usage and results from both attacker and defender perspectives, and potential impact, discussing Essential 8 mitigations, and comparing your scenario TTPs against similar threats.

#### Key steps:

- Determine which threat type you choose,
- Perform a case study outlining the background of this threat, typical adversary trade craft, the potential impact for an organisation
- Justify your threat choice
- Compare attacker and defender tools for this threat type, evaluating them of a criterion of your choice (e.g., ease of installation, complexity, amount of documentation and support, what the tool can do), choose your two tools and justify your choice
- Propose a testing scenario, outline what will be done, which tools will be use
   (e.g., run attacker tool against web server doing Syn Flood without a Firewall, then deploy said Firewall and configure rules which mitigate a Syn Flood)
- Map this testing scenario to MITRE TTPs
- Outline metrics which specify a win for either the attacker tool or defender's tool
- Deploy your environment, run your scenario, record your output
- Analyse your scenario and what happened before and after you applied your defenders' tool
- Evaluate this scenario, is it a win for the attacker or defender
- Outline any Essential 8 mitigations which apply to this scenario given the potential impact
- Highlight the TTPs contained within the scenario against similar threats using MITRE ATT&CK Navigator and outline briefly the commonality
- NOTE: You can produce scripts to run that perform known TTPs as your attacker tool

#### References

All externally sourced information (i.e. not common knowledge or course material) must be cited. Referencing conventions required for this unit is the IEEE referencing style. See <a href="https://ieeeauthorcenter.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/IEEE-Reference-Guide.pdf">https://ieeeauthorcenter.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/IEEE-Reference-Guide.pdf</a>

Helpful information on referencing can be found at <a href="https://www.swinburne.edu.au/library/referencing/">https://www.swinburne.edu.au/library/referencing/</a>

Each citation must have a corresponding reference at the back of the report. ALL REFERENCES MUST BE CITED. There is no minimum requirement for the number of references.

#### Amount of work

Each student should spend at least 30 hours working on the assignment. You are encouraged to keep a logbook for your project.

Marks will be allocated depending on the amount of original work submitted. 0 Mark will be given for plagiarised and/or un-attributed work. eForensic examination of the assignment will be carried out to verify its authenticity.

#### Submission

Submissions should be made <u>through Canvas</u> before the due date. <u>Late submissions</u> will be <u>penalised</u> by <u>10% per day</u> (for 5 days maximum), submissions which are 5 days after due date will not be allowed, and 0 mark will be given.

- Reports must be in the commonly used <u>PDF</u> document format (.pdf) and should <u>not</u> exceed 15 pages in length.
- The first page should contain a filled-in copy of the cover sheet available on Canvas.
- The second page must be a **title page**, which includes:
  - The unit code and title,
  - The name of the assignment,
  - The topic,
  - The author (name and student ID),
  - The submission date/time,
  - The due date/time.
- <u>Pages must be numbered</u> starting with the first page AFTER the cover sheet and title page.
- A table of contents is **NOT** to be used
- The word count is defined at 3,500 words (+- 10%).
- Appendices and a list of references are not to be included in the page count.

#### Misc.

- It's best to avoid quotes, so write without them
- If you change words around to get around Turnitin you still might receive 0 marks. It's best to write in your own words
- A Turnitin score of 10 is the maximum allowed
- Any submissions with photos to avoid detection will result in an instant 0
- Photos of others writing, tables will get 0
- Images used from others work will get a mark of 0, best make your own diagrams
- Writing about industry technology, giving the strengths and weakness of things will score very low
- Don't just give screenshots of you using tools, it's ¼ of the work required
- Again, don't just run some tools and not write anything else for the other sections, this is not enough to pass
- Again, see above. You need to do a case study, evaluate and map things out, run the tools from both perspectives and then evaluate the result.

# **Grading and Rubric**

This assignment will be graded as Fail, Pass, Credit, Distinction or High Distinction. Note that minor deductions may be made for small errors in content or style.

Performance	F (0)	N (0-29)	N (30–49)	P (50-59)	C (60–69)	D (70–79)	HD (80–100)
Criteria 1: Planning and Justification  Scenario, choice of tools, threat/topic choice	Internet tooling, live systems or other inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk and also those systems they have interacted with.  Other students work is clearly identifiable such as images, commands or configuration scripts.	There is little to no evidence of understanding the security challenges, tools, threats and where they exist within the cyber security landscape.	Marginal evidence is given, with some basic justification .	Moderate evidence, considers the landscape and relatednes s to modern challenge s and relevance.	Well- presented justification with examples.  Moderate consultation of the landscape considered. Topic, tools, scenarios presented logically.	Significant level of justification has been provided with relevant examples.  Significant consultation of the landscape considered through reference.  Topic, tools, scenarios presented logically.	Case study provided. High level of justification has been provided with relevant examples.  Landscape challenges have been highly consulted through reference, needs outlined, and choice of tools, scenarios and topics argued well.  Links to TTPs, metrics have been defined.
Criteria 2: Application and Documentatio n  Running of tools or solution, analysis software, etc., and the knowledge, security aspects.  Assignment documentation as a whole	Internet tooling, live systems or other inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk and also those systems they have interacted with.  Other students work is clearly identifiable such as images, commands or configuration scripts.	Minimal application of tools etc.  With little documentation and explanation.  Report is of a low standard.	Basic application of tools etc.  With basic documenta tion and explanatio n.  Report is of a basic standard.	Moderate application of tools etc. With moderate documen tation and explanation.  Report is of a good standard.	Well- presented implementat ion of tools or analysis.  Both attacker and defender knowledge has been outlined.  Report is of a moderate standard.	Highly documented implementatio n of tools or analysis.  Attack, defence and impacts have been explained behind tools, analysis.  Report is of a high standard.	In-depth documentation and high functionality configured.  Leading tools have been chosen and working.  Security functionality usage (Goodware/Malwar e) is discussed indepth.  Report of is excellent quality.
Criteria 3: Analysis  Understanding the results achieved, analysing the impact/use/practicality/etc.	Internet tooling, live systems or other inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk and also those systems they have	A low-level of analysis is presented.  Concepts, impact, challenges and considerations are brief or not given.	Basic analysis is presented.  Concepts, impact, challenges, and consideratio ns are basic,	Moderate analysis is presented. Concepts, impact, challenges and considerat ions are	Well- thought-out analysis is presented.  Logical in nature, covering both attacker and	Highly thought-out analysis is presented.  Connections are made across the topic and security	Excellent analysis is presented.  Thorough and high evaluation of tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  The analysis is

	interacted with.		with some	well	defender	landscape.	linked to aims,
			detail.	considere	concepts,		discussing the
	Other students work			d, with	impact,	The analysis has been	results obtained given
	is clearly identifiable such as images,			good detail.	challenges and	linked to	configurations and
	commands or			The	consideratio	aims.	usage.
	configuration scripts.			student	ns.		
				has		Both attacker	The student has
	Internet tooling, live systems or other			demonstra ted	These have been giving	and defender	demonstrated excellent level of
	inappropriate			moderate	moderate	concepts, impact,	knowledge to
	technology or			knowledg	depth.	challenges	analyse Criteria 3.
	practice was			e to		and	
	followed.			analyse	The student	considerations	
	The student has put			Criteria 3.	has demonstrate	were presented.	
	themselves at risk				d a good	These have	
	and also those				level of	been given	
	systems they have				knowledge	considerate	
	interacted with.				to analyse	depth.	
	Other students work				Criteria 3.	The student	
	is clearly identifiable					has	
	such as images,					demonstrated	
	commands or					a high	
	configuration scripts.					level of	
						knowledge to analyse	
						Criteria 3.	
Criteria 4:	Internet tooling, live	Little to no	Simple	Evaluatio	Moderate	Good	Both attacker and
<b>Evaluation</b>	arratamas am atlaam						
- ruinillon	systems or other	evaluation is	evaluation	n of	evaluation	evaluation of	defender concepts,
	inappropriate	evaluation is given.	is given.	activity,	of tools,	tools, threats,	impact, challenges
Effectively	inappropriate technology or	given.	is given.	activity, threats,	of tools, threats,	tools, threats, challenges,	impact, challenges and considerations
Effectively judge/critique/su	inappropriate			activity,	of tools,	tools, threats,	impact, challenges
Effectively	inappropriate technology or practice was followed.	given.  Project relies more on demonstrating	is given.  Project has more demonstrati	activity, threats, challenges	of tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is	tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.	impact, challenges and considerations are compared and contrasted. These have been given
Effectively judge/critique/su mmarise the	inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put	given.  Project relies more on demonstrating common	is given.  Project has more demonstrati on of	activity, threats, challenges , results is given.	of tools, threats, challenges, usage,	tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.	impact, challenges and considerations are compared and contrasted. These have been given considerate depth
Effectively judge/critique/su mmarise the result, challenge, usage	inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk	given.  Project relies more on demonstrating common knowledge of	Project has more demonstrati on of common	activity, threats, challenges , results is given.	of tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.	tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Depth is shown and	impact, challenges and considerations are compared and contrasted. These have been given
Effectively judge/critique/su mmarise the result, challenge, usage Outline	inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk and also those	given.  Project relies more on demonstrating common knowledge of tools, threats,	Project has more demonstrati on of common knowledge	activity, threats, challenges , results is given. Basic insight is	of tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.	tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Depth is shown and contrasting	impact, challenges and considerations are compared and contrasted. These have been given considerate depth while linking TTPs,
Effectively judge/critique/su mmarise the result, challenge, usage  Outline mitigations and	inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk	given.  Project relies more on demonstrating common knowledge of	Project has more demonstrati on of common	activity, threats, challenges , results is given.	of tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.	tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Depth is shown and	impact, challenges and considerations are compared and contrasted. These have been given considerate depth
Effectively judge/critique/su mmarise the result, challenge, usage Outline	inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk and also those systems they have interacted with.	given.  Project relies more on demonstrating common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	is given.  Project has more demonstrati on of common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	activity, threats, challenges , results is given. Basic insight is provided	of tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given. Some depth and contrasting are	tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Depth is shown and contrasting and	impact, challenges and considerations are compared and contrasted. These have been given considerate depth while linking TTPs, Essential 8. Connections are made across the
Effectively judge/critique/su mmarise the result, challenge, usage  Outline mitigations and potential impact of the scenario	inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk and also those systems they have interacted with.  Other students work	given.  Project relies more on demonstrating common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	is given.  Project has more demonstrati on of common knowledge of tools, threats,	activity, threats, challenges , results is given. Basic insight is provided and	of tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given. Some depth and contrasting	tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Depth is shown and contrasting and consideration is provided.	impact, challenges and considerations are compared and contrasted. These have been given considerate depth while linking TTPs, Essential 8. Connections are made across the topic and security
Effectively judge/critique/su mmarise the result, challenge, usage  Outline mitigations and potential impact of the scenario  Compare TTPs	inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk and also those systems they have interacted with.  Other students work is clearly identifiable	given.  Project relies more on demonstrating common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	is given.  Project has more demonstrati on of common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	activity, threats, challenges , results is given. Basic insight is provided and	of tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Some depth and contrasting are provided.	tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Depth is shown and contrasting and consideration is provided.  Moderate	impact, challenges and considerations are compared and contrasted. These have been given considerate depth while linking TTPs,  Essential 8. Connections are made across the topic and security landscape, along
Effectively judge/critique/su mmarise the result, challenge, usage  Outline mitigations and potential impact of the scenario  Compare TTPs and/or	inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk and also those systems they have interacted with.  Other students work	given.  Project relies more on demonstrating common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	is given.  Project has more demonstrati on of common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	activity, threats, challenges , results is given. Basic insight is provided and	of tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given. Some depth and contrasting are	tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Depth is shown and contrasting and consideration is provided.	impact, challenges and considerations are compared and contrasted. These have been given considerate depth while linking TTPs, Essential 8. Connections are made across the topic and security
Effectively judge/critique/su mmarise the result, challenge, usage  Outline mitigations and potential impact of the scenario  Compare TTPs	inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk and also those systems they have interacted with.  Other students work is clearly identifiable such as images,	given.  Project relies more on demonstrating common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	is given.  Project has more demonstrati on of common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	activity, threats, challenges , results is given. Basic insight is provided and	of tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Some depth and contrasting are provided.  Some	tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Depth is shown and contrasting and consideration is provided.  Moderate support through reference is	impact, challenges and considerations are compared and contrasted. These have been given considerate depth while linking TTPs,  Essential 8. Connections are made across the topic and security landscape, along with future challenges.
Effectively judge/critique/su mmarise the result, challenge, usage  Outline mitigations and potential impact of the scenario  Compare TTPs and/or threat/need within the security	inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk and also those systems they have interacted with.  Other students work is clearly identifiable such as images, commands or	given.  Project relies more on demonstrating common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	is given.  Project has more demonstrati on of common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	activity, threats, challenges , results is given. Basic insight is provided and	of tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Some depth and contrasting are provided.  Some support is given.	tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Depth is shown and contrasting and consideration is provided.  Moderate support through	impact, challenges and considerations are compared and contrasted. These have been given considerate depth while linking TTPs,  Essential 8. Connections are made across the topic and security landscape, along with future challenges.  Essential 8
Effectively judge/critique/su mmarise the result, challenge, usage  Outline mitigations and potential impact of the scenario  Compare TTPs and/or threat/need within the	inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk and also those systems they have interacted with.  Other students work is clearly identifiable such as images, commands or	given.  Project relies more on demonstrating common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	is given.  Project has more demonstrati on of common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	activity, threats, challenges , results is given. Basic insight is provided and	of tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Some depth and contrasting are provided.  Some support is given.  Essential 8	tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Depth is shown and contrasting and consideration is provided.  Moderate support through reference is given.	impact, challenges and considerations are compared and contrasted. These have been given considerate depth while linking TTPs,  Essential 8. Connections are made across the topic and security landscape, along with future challenges.  Essential 8 mitigations and
Effectively judge/critique/su mmarise the result, challenge, usage  Outline mitigations and potential impact of the scenario  Compare TTPs and/or threat/need within the security	inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk and also those systems they have interacted with.  Other students work is clearly identifiable such as images, commands or	given.  Project relies more on demonstrating common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	is given.  Project has more demonstrati on of common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	activity, threats, challenges , results is given. Basic insight is provided and	of tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Some depth and contrasting are provided.  Some support is given.	tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Depth is shown and contrasting and consideration is provided.  Moderate support through reference is given.  Essential 8	impact, challenges and considerations are compared and contrasted. These have been given considerate depth while linking TTPs,  Essential 8. Connections are made across the topic and security landscape, along with future challenges.  Essential 8 mitigations and risks are discussed
Effectively judge/critique/su mmarise the result, challenge, usage  Outline mitigations and potential impact of the scenario  Compare TTPs and/or threat/need within the security	inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk and also those systems they have interacted with.  Other students work is clearly identifiable such as images, commands or	given.  Project relies more on demonstrating common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	is given.  Project has more demonstrati on of common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	activity, threats, challenges , results is given. Basic insight is provided and	of tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Some depth and contrasting are provided.  Some support is given.  Essential 8 mitigations and risks are discussed at	tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Depth is shown and contrasting and consideration is provided.  Moderate support through reference is given.  Essential 8 mitigations and risks are	impact, challenges and considerations are compared and contrasted. These have been given considerate depth while linking TTPs,  Essential 8. Connections are made across the topic and security landscape, along with future challenges.  Essential 8 mitigations and
Effectively judge/critique/su mmarise the result, challenge, usage  Outline mitigations and potential impact of the scenario  Compare TTPs and/or threat/need within the security	inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk and also those systems they have interacted with.  Other students work is clearly identifiable such as images, commands or	given.  Project relies more on demonstrating common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	is given.  Project has more demonstrati on of common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	activity, threats, challenges , results is given. Basic insight is provided and	of tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Some depth and contrasting are provided.  Some support is given.  Essential 8 mitigations and risks are discussed at a basic	tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Depth is shown and contrasting and consideration is provided.  Moderate support through reference is given.  Essential 8 mitigations and risks are discussed,	impact, challenges and considerations are compared and contrasted. These have been given considerate depth while linking TTPs,  Essential 8. Connections are made across the topic and security landscape, along with future challenges.  Essential 8 mitigations and risks are discussed in depth, along with
Effectively judge/critique/su mmarise the result, challenge, usage  Outline mitigations and potential impact of the scenario  Compare TTPs and/or threat/need within the security	inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk and also those systems they have interacted with.  Other students work is clearly identifiable such as images, commands or	given.  Project relies more on demonstrating common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	is given.  Project has more demonstrati on of common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	activity, threats, challenges , results is given. Basic insight is provided and	of tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Some depth and contrasting are provided.  Some support is given.  Essential 8 mitigations and risks are discussed at a basic level, along	tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Depth is shown and contrasting and consideration is provided.  Moderate support through reference is given.  Essential 8 mitigations and risks are discussed, along with	impact, challenges and considerations are compared and contrasted. These have been given considerate depth while linking TTPs,  Essential 8. Connections are made across the topic and security landscape, along with future challenges.  Essential 8 mitigations and risks are discussed in depth, along with
Effectively judge/critique/su mmarise the result, challenge, usage  Outline mitigations and potential impact of the scenario  Compare TTPs and/or threat/need within the security	inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk and also those systems they have interacted with.  Other students work is clearly identifiable such as images, commands or	given.  Project relies more on demonstrating common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	is given.  Project has more demonstrati on of common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	activity, threats, challenges , results is given. Basic insight is provided and	of tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Some depth and contrasting are provided.  Some support is given.  Essential 8 mitigations and risks are discussed at a basic level, along with	tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Depth is shown and contrasting and consideration is provided.  Moderate support through reference is given.  Essential 8 mitigations and risks are discussed,	impact, challenges and considerations are compared and contrasted. These have been given considerate depth while linking TTPs,  Essential 8. Connections are made across the topic and security landscape, along with future challenges.  Essential 8 mitigations and risks are discussed in depth, along with
Effectively judge/critique/su mmarise the result, challenge, usage  Outline mitigations and potential impact of the scenario  Compare TTPs and/or threat/need within the security	inappropriate technology or practice was followed.  The student has put themselves at risk and also those systems they have interacted with.  Other students work is clearly identifiable such as images, commands or	given.  Project relies more on demonstrating common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	is given.  Project has more demonstrati on of common knowledge of tools, threats, challenges,	activity, threats, challenges , results is given. Basic insight is provided and	of tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Some depth and contrasting are provided.  Some support is given.  Essential 8 mitigations and risks are discussed at a basic level, along	tools, threats, challenges, usage, results is given.  Depth is shown and contrasting and consideration is provided.  Moderate support through reference is given.  Essential 8 mitigations and risks are discussed, along with	impact, challenges and considerations are compared and contrasted. These have been given considerate depth while linking TTPs,  Essential 8. Connections are made across the topic and security landscape, along with future challenges.  Essential 8 mitigations and risks are discussed in depth, along with