```
- Module Paxos -
```

This is a specification of the Paxos algorithm without explicit leaders or learners. It refines the spec in Voting

EXTENDS Integers

The constant parameters and the set Ballots are the same as in Voting.

CONSTANT Value, Acceptor, Quorum

```
ASSUME QuorumAssumption \triangleq \land \forall Q \in Quorum : Q \subseteq Acceptor \land \forall Q1, Q2 \in Quorum : Q1 \cap Q2 \neq \{\}
```

 $Ballot \triangleq Nat$

 $None \stackrel{\triangle}{=} CHOOSE \ v : v \notin Ballot$

An unspecified value that is not a ballot number.

This is a message-passing algorithm, so we begin by defining the set Message of all possible messages. The messages are explained below with the actions that send them.

```
VARIABLE maxBal,
```

```
maxVBal, \langle maxVBal[a], maxVal[a] \rangle is the vote with the largest maxVal, ballot number cast by a; it equals \langle -1, None \rangle if a has not cast any vote.

msqs The set of all messages that have been sent.
```

NOTE: The algorithm is easier to understand in terms of the set msgs of all messages that have ever been sent. A more accurate model would use one or more variables to represent the messages actually in transit, and it would include actions representing message loss and duplication as well as message receipt.

In the current spec, there is no need to model message loss because we are mainly concerned with the algorithm's safety property. The safety part of the spec says only what messages may be received and does not assert that any message actually is received. Thus, there is no difference between a lost message and one that is never received. The liveness property of the spec that we check makes it clear what what messages must be received (and hence either not lost or successfully retransmitted if lost) to guarantee progress.

```
vars \triangleq \langle maxBal, maxVBal, maxVal, msgs \rangle
```

It is convenient to define some identifier to be the tuple of all variables. I like to use the identifier vars.

The type invariant and initial predicate.

$$TypeOK \triangleq \land maxBal \in [Acceptor \rightarrow Ballot \cup \{-1\}]$$

```
 \begin{array}{ll} \mathit{Init} \; \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \; \land \mathit{maxBal} = [a \in \mathit{Acceptor} \mapsto -1] \\ & \land \mathit{maxVBal} = [a \in \mathit{Acceptor} \mapsto -1] \\ & \land \mathit{maxVal} = [a \in \mathit{Acceptor} \mapsto \mathit{None}] \\ & \land \mathit{msgs} = \{\} \\ \end{array}
```

The actions. We begin with the subaction (an action that will be used to define the actions that make up the next-state action.

```
Send(m) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} msgs' = msgs \cup \{m\}
```

In an implementation, there will be a leader process that orchestrates a ballot. The ballot b leader performs actions Phase1a(b) and Phase2a(b). The Phase1a(b) action sends a phase 1a message (a message m with m.type = "1a") that begins ballot b.

```
Phase1a(b) \triangleq \land Send([type \mapsto "1a", bal \mapsto b]) \land UNCHANGED \land (maxBal, maxVBal, maxVal)
```

Upon receipt of a ballot b phase 1a message, acceptor a can perform a Phase1b(a) action only if b > maxBal[a]. The action sets maxBal[a] to b and sends a phase 1b message to the leader containing the values of maxVBal[a] and maxVal[a].

```
Phase1b(a) \triangleq \land \exists \ m \in msgs: \\ \land \ m.type = \text{``la''} \\ \land \ m.bal > maxBal[a] \\ \land \ maxBal' = [maxBal \text{ EXCEPT } ![a] = m.bal] \\ \land \ Send([type \mapsto \text{``lb''}, \ acc \mapsto a, \ bal \mapsto m.bal, \\ mbal \mapsto maxVBal[a], \ mval \mapsto maxVal[a]]) \\ \land \ \text{UNCHANGED} \ \langle \ maxVBal, \ maxVal \rangle
```

The Phase2a(b, v) action can be performed by the ballot b leader if two conditions are satisfied: (i) it has not already performed a phase 2a action for ballot b and (ii) it has received ballot b phase 1b messages from some quorum Q from which it can deduce that the value v is safe at ballot b. These enabling conditions are the first two conjuncts in the definition of Phase2a(b, v). This second conjunct, expressing condition (ii), is the heart of the algorithm. To understand it, observe that the existence of a phase 1b message m in msg implies that

m.mbal is the highest ballot number less than m.bal in which acceptor

m.acc has or ever will cast a vote, and that m.mval is the value it voted for in that ballot if $m.mbal \neq -1$. It is not hard to deduce from this that the second conjunct implies that there exists a quorum Q such that ShowsSafeAt(Q, b, v) (where ShowsSafeAt is defined in module Voting).

The action sends a phase 2a message that tells any acceptor a that it can vote for v in ballot b, unless it has already set maxBal[a] greater than b (thereby promising not to vote in ballot b).

```
\begin{array}{ll} Phase2a(b,\,v) \; \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \\ \wedge \, \neg \exists \; m \in msgs \quad : m.type = \text{``2a''} \wedge m.bal = b \\ \wedge \, \exists \; Q \in Quorum : \\ \text{LET } Q1b \; \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \; \{m \in msgs \; : \wedge m.type = \text{``1b''} \end{array}
```

The Phase2b(a) action is performed by acceptor a upon receipt of a phase 2a message. Acceptor a can perform this action only if the message is for a ballot number greater than or equal to maxBal[a]. In that case, the acceptor votes as directed by the phase 2a message, setting maxBVal[a] and maxVal[a] to record that vote and sending a phase 2b message announcing its vote. It also sets maxBal[a] to the message's. ballot number

```
Phase2b(a) \triangleq \exists \, m \in msgs : \land m.type = \text{"2a"} \\ \land \, m.bal \geq maxBal[a] \\ \land \, maxBal' = [maxBal \text{ EXCEPT } ![a] = m.bal] \\ \land \, maxVBal' = [maxVBal \text{ EXCEPT } ![a] = m.bal] \\ \land \, maxVal' = [maxVal \text{ EXCEPT } ![a] = m.val] \\ \land \, Send([type \mapsto \text{"2b"}, \, acc \mapsto a, \\ bal \mapsto m.bal, \, val \mapsto m.val])
```

In an implementation, there will be learner processes that learn from the phase 2b messages if a value has been chosen. The learners are omitted from this abstract specification of the algorithm.

Below are defined the next-state action and the complete spec.

```
Next \triangleq \forall \exists b \in Ballot : \forall Phase1a(b) \\ \forall \exists v \in Value : Phase2a(b, v) \\ \forall \exists a \in Acceptor : Phase1b(a) \forall Phase2b(a)Spec \triangleq Init \land \Box [Next]_{vars}
```

We now define the refinement mapping under which this algorithm implements the specification in module Voting.

As we observed, votes are registered by sending phase 2b messages. So the array votes describing the votes cast by the acceptors is defined as follows.

```
votes \stackrel{\triangle}{=} [a \in Acceptor \mapsto \{\langle m.bal, m.val \rangle : m \in \{mm \in msgs : \land mm.type = "2b" \land mm.acc = a\}\}]
```

We now instantiate module Voting, substituting the constants Value, Acceptor, and Quorum declared in this module for the corresponding constants of that module Voting, and substituting the variable maxBal and the defined state function votes for the correspondingly-named variables of module Voting.

```
V \triangleq \text{Instance } Voting
```

Here is a first attempt at an inductive invariant used to prove this theorem.

```
Inv \triangleq \land TypeOK \\ \land \forall \ a \in Acceptor : \text{IF } maxVBal[a] = -1 \\ \text{THEN } maxVal[a] = None \\ \text{ELSE } \langle maxVBal[a], \ maxVal[a] \rangle \in votes[a] \\ \land \forall \ m \in msgs : \\ \land (m.type = \text{"1b"}) \Rightarrow \land maxBal[m.acc] \geq m.bal \\ \land (m.mbal \geq 0) \Rightarrow \\ \langle m.mbal, \ m.mval \rangle \in votes[m.acc] \\ \land (m.type = \text{"2a"}) \Rightarrow \land \exists \ Q \in Quorum : \\ V ! ShowsSafeAt(Q, \ m.bal, \ m.val) \\ \land \forall \ mm \in msgs : \land mm.type = \text{"2a"} \\ \land \ mm.bal = m.bal \\ \Rightarrow \ mm.val = m.val \\ \land \ V ! Inv
```