15:8

CHAPTER 17 ♦ Maximum Likelihood Estimation

The LM statistic has a useful form. Let $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{iR}$ denote the *i*th term in the gradient of the log-likelihood function. Then,

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}_R = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{iR} = \hat{\mathbf{G}}_R' \mathbf{i},$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_R$ is the $n \times K$ matrix with *i*th row equal to \mathbf{g}'_{iR} and \mathbf{i} is a column of 1s. If we use the BHHH (outer product of gradients) estimator in (17-18) to estimate the Hessian, then

$$[\hat{\mathbf{I}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})]^{-1} = [\hat{\mathbf{G}}_R'\hat{\mathbf{G}}_R]^{-1}$$

and

$$LM = \mathbf{i}'\hat{\mathbf{G}}_R[\hat{\mathbf{G}}_R'\hat{\mathbf{G}}_R]^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{G}}_R'\mathbf{i}.$$

Now, since **i'i** equals n, LM = $n(\mathbf{i'}\hat{\mathbf{G}}_R[\hat{\mathbf{G}}'_R\hat{\mathbf{G}}_R]^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{G}}'_R\mathbf{i}/n) = nR_{\mathbf{i}}^2$, which is n times the uncentered squared multiple correlation coefficient in a linear regression of a column of 1s on the derivatives of the log-likelihood function computed at the restricted estimator. We will encounter this result in various forms at several points in the book.

17.5.4 AN APPLICATION OF THE LIKELIHOOD **BASED TEST PROCEDURES**

Consider, again, the data in Example C.1. In Example 17.4, the parameter β in the model

$$f(y_i|x_i,\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta + x_i} e^{-y_i/(\beta + x_i)}$$
(17-29)

was estimated by maximum likelihood. For convenience, let $\beta_i = 1/(\beta + x_i)$. This exponential density is a restricted form of a more general gamma distribution,

$$f(y_i|x_i,\beta,\rho) = \frac{\beta_i^{\rho}}{\Gamma(\rho)} y_i^{\rho-1} e^{-y_i \beta_i}.$$
 (17-30)

The restriction is $\rho = 1.9$ We consider testing the hypothesis

$$H_0: \rho = 1$$
 versus $H_1: \rho \neq 1$

using the various procedures described previously. The log-likelihood and its derivatives are

$$\ln L(\beta, \rho) = \rho \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \beta_{i} - n \ln \Gamma(\rho) + (\rho - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln y_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \beta_{i},$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta} = -\rho \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \beta_{i}^{2}, \qquad \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \rho} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \beta_{i} - n \Psi(\rho) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln y_{i}, \quad \text{(17-31)}$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \ln L}{\partial \beta^{2}} = \rho \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i}^{2} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \beta_{i}^{3}, \qquad \frac{\partial^{2} \ln L}{\partial \rho^{2}} = -n \Psi'(\rho), \qquad \frac{\partial^{2} \ln L}{\partial \beta \partial \rho} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i}.$$

⁹The gamma function $\Gamma(\rho)$ and the gamma distribution are described in Sections B.4.5 and E.5.3.

CHAPTER 17 ♦ Maximum Likelihood Estimation

TABLE 17.1	Maximum Likelihood Estimates	
Quantity	Unrestricted Estimate ^a	Restricted Estimate
β	-4.7198 (2.344)	15.6052 (6.794)
ρ	3.1517 (0.7943)	1.0000 (0.000)
$\ln L$	-82.91444	-88.43771
$\partial \ln L/\partial \beta$	0.0000	0.0000
$\partial \ln L/\partial \rho$	0.0000	7.9162
$\partial^2 \ln L/\partial \beta^2$	-0.85628	-0.021659
$\partial^2 \ln L/\partial \rho^2$	-7.4569	-32.8987
$\partial^2 \ln L/\partial \beta \partial \rho$	-2.2423	-0.66885

^aEstimated asymptotic standard errors based on **V** are given in parentheses.

[Recall that $\Psi(\rho) = d \ln \Gamma(\rho)/d\rho$ and $\Psi'(\rho) = d^2 \ln \Gamma(\rho)/d\rho^2$.] Unrestricted maximum likelihood estimates of β and ρ are obtained by equating the two first derivatives to zero. The restricted maximum likelihood estimate of β is obtained by equating $\partial \ln L/\partial \beta$ to zero while fixing ρ at one. The results are shown in Table 17.1. Three estimators are available for the asymptotic covariance matrix of the estimators of $\theta = (\beta, \rho)'$. Using the actual Hessian as in (17-17), we compute $\mathbf{V} = [-\Sigma_i \partial^2 \ln L/\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}']^{-1}$ at the maximum likelihood estimates. For this model, it is easy to show that $E[y_i | x_i] = \rho(\beta + x_i)$ (either by direct integration or, more simply, by using the result that $E[\partial \ln L/\partial \beta] = 0$ to deduce it). Therefore, we can also use the expected Hessian as in (17-16) to compute $\mathbf{V}_E = \{-\Sigma_i E[\partial^2 \ln L/\partial \theta \partial \theta']\}^{-1}$. Finally, by using the sums of squares and cross products of the first derivatives, we obtain the BHHH estimator in (17-18), $V_B =$ $[\Sigma_i(\partial \ln L/\partial \theta)(\partial \ln L/\partial \theta')]^{-1}$. Results in Table 17.1 are based on **V**.

The three estimators of the asymptotic covariance matrix produce notably different results:

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} 5.495 & -1.652 \\ -1.652 & 0.6309 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{V}_E = \begin{bmatrix} 4.897 & -1.473 \\ -1.473 & 0.5770 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{V}_B = \begin{bmatrix} 13.35 & -4.314 \\ -4.314 & 1.535 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Given the small sample size, the differences are to be expected. Nonetheless, the striking difference of the BHHH estimator is typical of its erratic performance in small samples.

- Confidence Interval Test: A 95 percent confidence interval for ρ based on the unrestricted estimates is $3.1517 \pm 1.96\sqrt{0.6309} = [1.5942, 4.7085]$. This interval does not contain $\rho = 1$, so the hypothesis is rejected.
- **Likelihood Ratio Test:** The LR statistic is $\lambda = -2[-88.43771 (-82.91444)] =$ 11.0465. The table value for the test, with one degree of freedom, is 3.842. Since the computed value is larger than this critical value, the hypothesis is again rejected.
- Wald Test: The Wald test is based on the unrestricted estimates. For this restriction, $c(\theta) - q = \rho - 1$, $dc(\hat{\rho})/d\hat{\rho} = 1$, Est. Asy. $Var[c(\hat{\rho}) - q] = Est.$ Asy. $Var[\hat{\rho}] = Cst.$ 0.6309, so $W = (3.1517 - 1)^2/[0.6309] = 7.3384$.

The critical value is the same as the previous one. Hence, H_0 is once again rejected. Note that the Wald statistic is the square of the corresponding test statistic that would be used in the confidence interval test, $|3.1517 - 1|/\sqrt{0.6309} = 2.70895$.