

Mark Gilbert, Thomas Stainer, Greg Bailey, Olga Vilkhivskaya
United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority

FISPACT-II workshop

October 23-25, 2019, Manchester

This work was funded by the RCUK Energy Programme
[Grant number EP/T012250/1]



Overview

- Introduction to inventory simulations with FISPACT-II
- Tutorial: Getting Started with FISPACT-II
- FISPACT-II validation and verification (V&V): Fusion decay-heat benchmark for nuclear data validation
 - on the importance of selection of nuclear data
- Tutorial: FISPACT-II extended usage
- Case study: Self-shielding & spatial variation – tungsten in fusion
- Usage example: waste assessment of fusion steels
- Tutorial: Advanced Usage
- Advanced applications: integration, scoping and damage metrics
- FISPACT-II Future Developments

Session 1: Introduction to inventory simulations with FISPACT-II

What are inventory simulations?

- Inventory simulations are used to predict how the chemical composition of a material will be altered under (neutron) irradiation
 - ▶ and/or by the decay of radioactive species

Why is this important?

- changes in composition can have a profound influence on how a material behaves
 - ▶ change in mechanical properties; embrittlement, loss of strength, etc. (including from gas production)
 - ▶ change in thermal properties (conductivity, resistivity)
 - ▶ change in magnetic properties (e.g. in coils of fusion tokamaks)
 - ▶ increase in radioactivity
 - build-up of radioactive waste
- therefore, it is vital to have reliable predictions of these time-dependent changes
 - ▶ so they can be included in engineering design studies of reactor components (shielding requirements, maintenance schedules, etc.)
 - ▶ used to define operational limits & lifetimes of components
 - ▶ used to evaluate expected masses and costs of waste disposal
 - ▶ & feed into multi-scale (integrated) modelling

How do we do them?

Inventory rate equations



$$\frac{dN_i}{dt} = \underbrace{-N_i(\lambda_i + \sigma_i\phi)}_{\text{loss}} + \sum_{j \neq i} N_j(\lambda_{ji} + \sigma_{ji}\phi) \underbrace{\quad}_{\text{creation}}$$

- coupled differential equations
 - ▶ one equation for each nuclide i at concentration N_i
 - ▶ solved numerically by FISPACT-II (using Livermore ODE solver, LSODE) and used to update material composition
- σ_{ji} : energy-dependent reaction cross sections for $j \rightarrow i$ reactions (e.g. (n,γ) , (n,α) , $(n,2n)$, etc.) from nuclear libraries collapsed with (normalised) neutron energy spectra from neutron transport; σ_i is sum over all $i \rightarrow j$ reactions
- decay constants λ_i, λ_{ji} (from decay library of measurements)
- total fluxes ϕ from neutron transport (neutronics) simulations

What does this look like?

- Pure tungsten


Time: 0.00 seconds



What does this look like?


- Pure tungsten

Inventory simulation platform




- multiphysics platform for predicting the inventory changes in materials under both neutron and charged-particle interactions
 - ▶ calculates activation, transmutation, burn-up, dpa, gas production, gamma spectra, etc.
- employs the most up-to-date international nuclear data libraries containing:
 - ▶ nuclear reaction data (reaction cross sections)
 - ▶ radioactive decay data (half-lives and decay schemes)
 - ▶ fission yield data (ratios)

Inventory calculations



Inventory calculations



Types of radiological output

- Activity measured in becquerels (Bq) – number of disintegrations (decays) per second – the primary measure
 - ▶ can be separated by decay type – α , β , γ – in FISPACT-II output
- decay heat, measured in kilowatts (kw)
 - ▶ can be separated by decay type - α , β , γ
 - ▶ how much heat will be generated in a material even when not exposed to irradiation
 - ▶ critical to determine if cooling is needed to prevent melting
- γ dose rate, measured in sieverts (Sv) per hour
 - ▶ contact or point dose approximations
 - ▶ J kg^{-1} deposition rate of radiation energy in biological tissue
 - ▶ there are also ingestion and inhalation hazard versions
- clearance index
 - ▶ IAEA based measure
 - ▶ a nuclide can be disposed of as if it were non radioactive when the index is less than 1

Inventory rate equations

$$\frac{dN_i}{dt} = \underbrace{-N_i(\lambda_i + \sigma_i\phi)}_{\text{loss}} + \sum_{j \neq i} \underbrace{N_j(\lambda_{ji} + \sigma_{ji}\phi)}_{\text{creation}}$$

Inventory rate equations

$$\frac{dN_i}{dt} = \underbrace{-N_i(\lambda_i + \sigma_i\phi)}_{\text{loss}} + \sum_{j \neq i} \underbrace{N_j(\lambda_{ji} + \sigma_{ji}\phi)}_{\text{creation}}$$

- decay constants λ_i, λ_{ji} (s^{-1})
- **GETDECAY** to read-in from pre-prepared **ARRAYX** file
 - ▶ or to create **ARRAYX**


Inventory rate equations

$$\frac{dN_i}{dt} = \underbrace{-N_i(\lambda_i + \sigma_i\phi)}_{\text{loss}} + \sum_{j \neq i} \underbrace{N_j(\lambda_{ji} + \sigma_{ji}\phi)}_{\text{creation}}$$

- (neutron) fluxes ϕ and energy dependent spectra in neutrons $\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$
- GETXS to collapse (fold) FLUXES file with reaction data to produce COLLAPX file of σ_i, σ_{ji} values (or read from it)
- FLUX to specify total flux ϕ

ϕ & spectra from neutron transport – Monte Carlo simulations

- Geometry of a reactor or other neutron environment is converted into a finite-element model of “cells”
- Then a Monte Carlo simulation (usually with MCNP[§]) generates and transports neutrons one (history) at-a-time
 - ▶ using same nuclear reaction physics data as an inventory simulation
 - ▶ decisions (reaction type, recoil direction, etc.) are made using probabilities
 - ▶ statistical results are built-up (tallied) in regions of interest




ITER finite-element model

[§]<https://mcnp.lanl.gov/>

ϕ & spectra from neutron transport – Monte Carlo simulations


- Geometry of a reactor or other neutron environment is converted into a finite-element model of “cells”
- Then a Monte Carlo simulation (usually with MCNP[§]) generates and transports neutrons one (history) at-a-time
 - ▶ using same nuclear reaction physics data as an inventory simulation
 - ▶ decisions (reaction type, recoil direction, etc.) are made using probabilities
 - ▶ statistical results are built-up (tallied) in regions of interest



Initial trajectories for a neutron beam test set-up


[§]<https://mcnp.lanl.gov/>

Typical result for a fusion design



Neutron irradiation fields: fusion vs. fission


- For a fusion DEMOnstration power plant
& typical fission reactors:




- fusion spectrum in first wall (FW) dominated by 14 MeV peak
- well-moderated (averaged) fission spectra don't have such dominant peaks but can have tails that explore the 14 MeV region of fusion

FBR – superphenix Fast Breeder Reactor
HFR – High Flux Reactor, Petten
PWR – Pressurized Water-cooled Reactor

Inventory calculations



Inventory calculations



TENDL (latest version 2017[†])

- TALYS-based Evaluated Nuclear Data Libraries
- generated using various physical, theoretical, and semi-empirical models
- fully-automated production with complete coverage of nuclide & reaction set
 - ▶ avoids under-estimation due to missing data
- contains data for 2809 target nuclides with half-lives > 1 second
 - ▶ the FISPACT-II decay files includes data on a further 1000 radionuclides with sub-second half-lives
- processed version for FISPACT-II covers energies up to 1 GeV
 - ▶ in a fine, high-resolution 709 energy group structure


[†]A. J. Koning, D. Rochman, *et al.*

Release date: December 30, 2017.

https://tendl.web.psi.ch/tendl_2017/tendl2017.html

TENDL nuclide coverage


- Target nuclide coverage in TENDL libraries is more complete than elsewhere:




- Many more isomeric states are included as both targets (parents) and daughters of reactions – vital for correct prediction of activity

Why is nuclide coverage important?

- Comparison to 5 minute experimental irradiation of pure palladium



Nuclear contributions




- a complex case with many contributing nuclides
 - particularly metastables: ^{108m}Rh , ^{109m}Pd , and ^{106m}Rh
 - a mixture of (n,2n) and (n,p) reactions dominate
 - TENDL-2017 outperforms all others


	TENDL-2017	ENDF/B-VIII.0	JEFF-3.3	EAF2010
mean % diff. from E	8	64	32	24

Why is nuclide coverage important?

- Comparison to 5 minute experimental irradiation of pure palladium




% Nuclear contributions




- a complex case with many contributing nuclides
 - particularly metastables: ^{108m}Rh , ^{109m}Pd , and ^{106m}Rh
 - a mixture of ($n,2n$) and (n,p) reactions dominate
 - TENDL-2017 outperforms all others

	TENDL-2017	ENDF/B-VIII.0	JEFF-3.3	EAF2010
mean % diff. from E	8	64	32	24

Palladium nuclide comparisons



- EAF2010 overpredicts ^{108m}Rh production
- ENDF/B-VIII.0 & JEFF-3.3 (not shown) miss ^{108m}Rh , ^{109m}Pd , and ^{106m}Rh
- This example is part of the decay-heat benchmark used to validate FISPACT-II – see later lecture



Inventory rate equations

$$\frac{dN_i}{dt} = \underbrace{-N_i(\lambda_i + \sigma_i\phi)}_{\text{loss}} + \sum_{j \neq i} \underbrace{N_j(\lambda_{ji} + \sigma_{ji}\phi)}_{\text{creation}}$$

- neutron reaction cross sections σ
in barns ($\times 10^{-24}$ cm 2)
- GETXS to collapse (fold) data in `xs_endf` ENDF data folder (or in a `crossec` file for legacy EAF data) with irradiation spectrum to produce `COLLAPX` file of σ_i, σ_{ji} values (or read from it)
 - ▶ these are essentially reaction rates per unit flux of the current irradiation spectrum


Inventory rate equations

$$\frac{dN_i}{dt} = \underbrace{-N_i(\lambda_i + \sigma_i\phi)}_{\text{loss}} + \sum_{j \neq i} \underbrace{N_j(\lambda_{ji} + \sigma_{ji}\phi)}_{\text{creation}}$$

- neutron reaction cross sections σ
in barns ($\times 10^{-24}$ cm 2)
- **GETXS** to collapse (fold) data in **xs_endf** ENDF data folder (or in a **crossec** file for legacy EAF data) with irradiation spectrum to produce **COLLAPX** file of σ_i, σ_{ji} values (or read from it)
 - ▶ these are essentially reaction rates per unit flux of the current irradiation spectrum


Typical cross sections σ

Cross sections (barns)



- Some (reaction) channels are only 'open' at high energy (thresholds)

- cross section (xs)
 \approx 'reaction likelihood'
- Many different reactions possible on each nuclide/isotope



Typical reaction chains (via FISPACT-II tree search algorithm)

- High energy (threshold reactions):

- ▶ $^{56}\text{Fe}(\text{n},\text{p})^{56}\text{Mn}$ (3 MeV threshold)
- ▶ $^{186}\text{W}(\text{n},2\text{n})^{185}\text{W}(\beta^-)^{185}\text{Re}$ (7.2 MeV)

- Low energy (capture and decay chains):

- ▶ $^{54}\text{Fe}(\text{n},\gamma)^{55}\text{Fe}(\beta^+)^{55}\text{Mn}(\text{n},\gamma)^{56}\text{Mn}$
- ▶ $^{186}\text{W}(\text{n},\gamma)^{187}\text{W}(\beta^-)^{187}\text{Re}$

- Gas production:

- ▶ helium: $^{56}\text{Fe}(\text{n},\alpha)^{53}\text{Cr}$ (negligible xs below 4 MeV)
- ▶ hydrogen: $^{63}\text{Cu}(\text{n},\text{p})^{63}\text{Ni}$, $^{63}\text{Cu}(\text{n},\text{np})^{62}\text{Ni}$




- Long chains (24-reaction chain)

- ▶ $^{153}\text{Eu}(\text{n},\gamma)^{154}\text{Eu}(\text{n},\gamma)^{155}\text{Eu}(\text{n},\gamma)^{156}\text{Eu}(\text{n},\gamma)^{157}\text{Eu}(\beta^-)$
 $^{157}\text{Gd}(\text{n},\gamma)^{158}\text{Gd}(\text{n},\gamma)^{159}\text{Gd}(\beta^-)^{159}\text{Tb}(\text{n},\gamma)^{160}\text{Tb}(\text{n},\gamma)$
 $^{161}\text{Tb}(\beta^-)^{161}\text{Dy}(\text{n},\gamma)^{162}\text{Dy}(\text{n},\gamma)^{163}\text{Dy}(\text{n},\gamma)^{164}\text{Dy}(\text{n},\gamma)$
 $^{165}\text{Dy}(\beta^-)^{165}\text{Ho}(\text{n},\gamma)^{166}\text{Ho}(\text{n},\gamma)^{167}\text{Ho}(\beta^-)^{167}\text{Er}(\text{n},\gamma)$
 $^{168}\text{Er}(\text{n},\gamma)^{169}\text{Er}(\beta^-)^{169}\text{Tm}(\text{n},\gamma)^{170}\text{Tm}(\text{n},\gamma)^{171}\text{Tm}$

Inventory evolution example

- Evolution in time of compositions from FISPACT-II output
- nuclide picture shown on a "chart of the nuclides"
- e.g. 5-year irradiation of pure tungsten in DEMO

Time: 0.00 seconds



- Large spread of isotopes produced – increasing as a function of time as longer & longer reaction chains are created

Nucl. Sci. Eng **117** (2014) 291-306

appm - atomic parts per million
 * nuclide present in input composition

m - concentration dominated by metastable state

Inventory evolution example

- Evolution in time of compositions from FISPACT-II output
- nuclide picture shown on a "chart of the nuclides"
- e.g. 5-year irradiation of pure tungsten in DEMO

Z+1 (Re)	β^+ out			
Z (W)	(n,3n)	(n,2n) n out	Original nucleus	(n, γ)
Z-1 (Ta)		(n,t)	(n,d)	(n,p) β^- out
Z-2 (Hf)	α out	(n, α)		
	N-2	N-1	N	N+1

- Large spread of isotopes produced – increasing as a function of time as longer & longer reaction chains are created

Nucl. Sci. Eng 117 (2014) 291-306



appm - atomic parts per million
* nuclide present in input composition

m_i - concentration dominated by metastable state

Inventory evolution example

- Evolution in time of compositions from FISPACT-II output
- nuclide picture shown on a "chart of the nuclides"
- e.g. 5-year irradiation of pure tungsten in DEMO

Time: 0.00 seconds



- Large spread of isotopes produced – increasing as a function of time as longer & longer reaction chains are created

Nucl. Sci. Eng 117 (2014) 291-306

appm - atomic parts per million
 * nuclide present in input composition

m - concentration dominated by metastable state

Inventory evolution example

- Evolution in time of compositions from FISPACT-II output
- nuclide picture shown on a "chart of the nuclides"
- e.g. 5-year irradiation of pure tungsten in DEMO

- Large spread of isotopes produced – increasing as a function of time as longer & longer reaction chains are created

Nucl. Sci. Eng **117** (2014) 291-306

appm - atomic parts per million



*nuclide present in input composition

$m_{\text{--}}$ concentration dominated by metastable state

Inventory evolution example

- Evolution in time of compositions from FISPACT-II output
- nuclide picture shown on a "chart of the nuclides"
- e.g. 5-year irradiation of pure tungsten in DEMO

Time: 5.00 years



- Large spread of isotopes produced – increasing as a function of time as longer & longer reaction chains are created

Nucl. Sci. Eng 117 (2014) 291-306

appm - atomic parts per million
 * nuclide present in input composition



m - concentration dominated by metastable state

Radiological response evolution example

- e.g. dose rate after 5.00 years (irradiation)
- total value for material a standard FISPACT-II output
- nuclide chart shows decay of radionuclides

Time: 5.00 years (irradiation)

Total Dose Rate (Sv/hr): 1.32E+05



m – Dose Rate dominated by metastable nuclide(s)

Radiological response evolution example

- e.g. dose rate after 5-year irradiation of pure W in DEMO
- total value for material a standard FISPACT-II output
- nuclide chart shows decay of radionuclides



m – Dose Rate dominated by metastable nuclide(s)

Radiological response evolution example

- e.g. dose rate after 5-year irradiation of pure W in DEMO
- total value for material a standard FISPACT-II output
- nuclide chart shows decay of radionuclides

Time: 1.11E+05 years (cooling)


Total Dose Rate (Sv/hr): 5.37E-06



m – Dose Rate dominated by metastable nuclide(s)


Activation response – full nuclide contributions

- FISPACT-II automated plotting for (dominant) nuclide contributions as a function of time




Activation response – full nuclide contributions

- FISPACT-II automated plotting for (dominant) nuclide contributions as a function of time




Activation response – full nuclide contributions


- FISPACT-II automated plotting for (dominant) nuclide contributions as a function of time



Activation response – full nuclide contributions

- FISPACT-II automated plotting for (dominant) nuclide contributions as a function of time





More complex
 materials:
Eurofer steel


* - nuclide present in initial composition
 m - concentration dominated by metastable nuclide(s)

105 106 107 108 109 110 111

More complex
materials:
Eurofer steel

Time: 0.00 seconds

Total Decay Heat (kW/kg): 1.838E-08



Uranium in PWR

Uranium in PWR

Summary

- Inventory simulations are a powerful tool for studying the impact that neutrons (and other irradiating particles) have on the chemical composition of materials
- FISPACT-II is a world-leading example, with, in particular advanced features and the ability to utilise the latest nuclear data
- More details about FISPACT-II at
<http://fispact.ukaea.uk>
- Further reading:
 - ▶ User-manual, validation reports, material response handbooks, and much more available at
<http://fispact.ukaea.uk>
 - ▶ Sublet, Eastwood, Morgan, Gilbert, Fleming, and Arter,
"FISPACT-II: An Advanced Simulation System for Activation, Transmutation and Material Modelling",
Nucl. Data Sheets **139** (2017) 77137
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2017.01.002>