```
| %md
| ## Training Models in Parallel using Pandas UDF in PySpark
```

Training Models in Parallel using Pandas UDF in PySpark

```
from sklearn.datasets import make_classification
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from sklearn.model_selection import RandomizedSearchCV
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.ensemble import GradientBoostingclassifier, RandomForestClassifier
from pyspark.sql.types import StructType, StructField, IntegerType, FloatType
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.metrics import acuracy_score, precision_score, recall_score, roc_auc_score
from pyspark.sql.functions import pandas_udf, struct, PandasUDFType
```

Create dummy data with 100 ids, each of them having 100 rows and 30 features

```
frames = []
for id in range(100):
    X, y = make_classification(n_samples = 100, n_features = 30, n_classes = 2, weights = [0.5,0.5], random_state = id)
    X_y = np.append(X, y.reshape(-1, 1), axis = 1)
    df = pd.DataFrame(X_y, columns = ['x' + str(i) for i in range(30)] +['y'])
    df'id'] = id
    frames.append(df)
final_df = pd.concat(frames)
```

Show sample data

z.show(final_df.head())

xθ	▼ x1	•	x2	7)	x3 •	x4	•	x5	•	x6 •	1	x7	ŕ
-2.78637403967423	67 -0.68944918454993	76	-0.6522935999350191		-1.8430695501566485	-1.214077403094120	6	-0.4779740040404867		0.9318483741143037	(0.698457149107336	
1.071995641830448	6 -0.134822451094354	106	-0.5840935467949193		-2.437564359199356	0.8962484253561593	3	1.11492455949028		-1.5914478754580315		-0.361113134739866	ċ
-0.36538058231673	33 0.4046954556143003	3	0.19145087202391178		-0.45408036251560513	-1.962732009140752	2	0.08595197343438468		0.25280350541915453		-1.194986805268660	:
0.306165066460928	34 0.5726133530407902	2	-0.8612155533986415	-	1.0985816482311466	0.1416671648715303	3	-0.1270671628385169	3	-0.22157439820400068	3 (0.7538656835623008	
-0.47568347222417	52 0.5311783665356953	3	-0.1282419740277020	2 (9.21717963263828013	-0.602331853582862	21	0.07821118109215218		1.5187593369635801		-1.481245962197298	ž

10K rows, 30 features, a response and an id column

| final_df.shape (10000, 32)

We have 100 ids

final_df.id.nunique()

100

Define schema, the features and response column

```
| schema = StructType(|
| StructField('id', IntegerType()),
| StructField('recall', FloatType()),
| StructField('precision', FloatType()),
| StructField('accuracy', FloatType()),
| StructField('accuracy', FloatType())
| ])
| X_columns = final_df.drop(columns = ['id', 'y']).columns
| y_columns = 'y'
```

Create spark dataframe from the pandas dataframe

```
|| final_df_spark = spark.createDataFrame(final_df)
|| final_df_spark.count()
10000
| %md
|## Gradient Boosting Classifier
```

Gradient Boosting Classifier

Pandas UDF: a function that trains Gradient Boosting Classifier classifier using cross validation with random search and gets accuracy, recall, precision and area under the curve for each id

Training Models in Parallel using Pandas UDF in PySpark

```
'gbm_learning_rate':np.arange(0.01, 0.5, 0.01),
'gbm_n_estimators': range(20, 1000, 10),
'gbm_max_depth': range(5, 100, 5),
'gbm_max_features':['sqrt', 'log2', None],
'gbm_min_samples_split': [2, 5, 10]}
           gbm_cv = RandomizedSearchCV(pipeline, param_distributions, cv = 5, n_iter = 100, n_jobs = -1, scoring = 'f1')
gbm_cv = RandomizedSearchCV(pipeline, param_distributions, cv = 5, n_iter = 100, n_jobs = -1, scoring = 'f1')
gbm_cv.predict(X_test)
accuracy = accuracy.score(y_test, y_pred).tolist()
precision = precision.score(y_test, y_pred).tolist()
recall = precision.score(y_test, y_pred).tolist()
y_pred_prob = gbm_cv.predict_probacX_test[;.1]
auc = roc_auc_score(y_test, y_pred_prob).tolist()
model_results = pd.DataFrame([[id, recall, precision, accuracy, auc]], columns = ['id', 'recall', 'precision', 'accuracy', 'auc'])
return model_results
model_results_by_id = final_df_spark.groupBy('id').apply(model_results_per_id).toPandas()
 %md
 #### As you can see from the time take above, it took about 8 minutes to train the models for all of the ids
As you can see from the time take above, it took about 8 minutes to train the models for all of the ids.
```

Display Sample results

```
model_results_by_id[['recall', 'precision', 'accuracy', 'auc']] = model_results_by_id[['recall', 'precision', 'accuracy', 'auc']].round(3)
model_results_by_id.sort_values(by = 'id').reset_index(drop = True).head()
  id recall precision accuracy auc 0 1.000 1.000 0.867 0.958
                     1.000
   0
          1.000
                                       0.900 0.911
                                       0.900 0.897
0.733 0.878
          0.923
                         0.923
                      1.000
                                    0.733 U.C.
0.933 0.928
         1.000
```

Sklearn: how long does it take using vanilla Sklearn

```
gbm\_cv = RandomizedSearchCV(pipeline, param\_distributions, cv = 5, n\_iter = 100, n\_jobs = -1, scoring = 'f1') \\ gbm\_cv.fit(X\_train, y\_train)
gbm_cv.fit(X_train, y_train)
y_pred = gbm_cv.predict(X_test)
accuracy = accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred).tolist()
precision = precision_score(y_test, y_pred).tolist()
precision = precision_score(y_test, y_pred).tolist()
recall = precision_score(y_test, y_pred).tolist()
y_pred_prob = gbm_cv.predict_proba(X_test)[:,1]
auc = roc_auc_score(y_test, y_pred_prob)
model_results = pd.bataFrame([[id, recall, precision, accuracy, auc]], columns = ['id', 'recall', 'precision', 'accuracy', 'auc'])
frames_append(model_results)
all_model_results_sklearn = pd.concat(frames)
```

%md
As you can see from the cell above, sklearn without pandas UDF took about 31 minutes to train all the models. About 4 times slower than Pandas UDF.

As you can see from the cell above, sklearn without pandas UDF took about 31 minutes to train all the models. About 4 times slower than Pandas UDF.

Sample results

```
all_model_results_sklearn['id'] = all_model_results_sklearn['id'].astype(int)
all_model_results_sklearn[('recall', 'precision', 'accuracy', 'auc')] = all_model_results_sklearn[['recall', 'precision', 'accuracy', 'auc']].round(3)
all_model_results_sklearn.sort_values(by = 'id').head()
  id recall precision accuracy auc
  0 1.000
1 1.000
                       1.000
    2
          0.786
                          0.786
                                         0.800 0.915
          0.923
                          0.923
                                         0 800 0 910
```

Pandas UDF: a function that trains Randomforest classifier using cross validation with random search and gets accuracy, recall, precision and area under the curve for each id

```
@pandas_udf(schema, PandasUDFType.GROUPED_MAP)
def model_results_per_id_rf(df):
      pipeline = Pipeline(steps)
      rf_cv = RandomizedSearchCV(pipeline, param_distributions, cv = 5, n_jobs = -1, n_iter=100, scoring = 'f1')
rf_cv.fit(X.train, y.train)
y_pred = rf_cv.predict(X.test)
accuracy = accuracy.score(y_test, y_pred).tolist()
precision = precision.score(y_test, y_pred).tolist()
recall = precision.score(y_test, y_pred).tolist()
y_pred_prob = rf_cv.predict_probA(X.test)[.]
accuracy = accuracy.score(y_test, y_pred).tolist()
y_pred_prob = rf_cv.predict_probA(X.test)[.]
accuracy = roc_auc_score(y_test, y_pred_prob).tolist()
model_results = pd.DataFrame([[id, recall, precision, accuracy, auc]], columns = ['id', 'recall', 'precision', 'accuracy', 'auc'])
return model_results
```

```
%md
```

Random Forest with Pandas UDF took about 13 minutes.

Random Forest with Pandas UDF took about 13 minutes.

Sample results

```
| model_results_by_id_spark_rff[['recall', 'precision', 'accuracy', 'auc']] = model_results_by_id_spark_rff[['recall', 'precision', 'accuracy', 'auc']].round(3)
| id recall precision accuracy | auc | | | | |
| 0 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.933 | 0.977 |
| 1 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.980 | 0.969 |
| 2 | 2 | 0.846 | 0.846 | 0.843 | 0.911 |
| 3 | 3 | 0.917 | 0.917 | 0.767 | 0.887 |
| 4 | 4 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.933 | 0.959 |
```

Sklearn: how long does it take using vanilla Sklearn

%md

Sklearn without Pandas UDF took 61 minutes (7.63 times slower)

Sklearn without Pandas UDF took 61 minutes (7.63 times slower)

```
| all_model_results_sklearn_rf['id'] = all_model_results_sklearn_rf['id'].astype(int) |
| all_model_results_sklearn_rf[('recall', 'precision', 'accuracy', 'auc']] = all_model_results_sklearn_rf[('recall', 'precision', 'accuracy', 'auc']].round(3) |
| all_model_results_sklearn_rf[('recall', 'precision', 'accuracy', 'auc']].round(3) |
| d recall precision | accuracy | auc | | | |
| 0 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.933 | 0.963 |
| 1 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.993 | 0.900 |
| 2 | 0.786 | 0.786 | 0.800 | 0.915 |
| 0 | 3 | 0.929 | 0.929 | 0.833 | 0.921 |
| 0 | 4 | 0.917 | 0.917 | 0.900 | 0.955 |
```

Main Points

```
%md
#### When you are training models per group or per ID, using Pandas UDF in PySpark can save you lots of time.
#### The amount of time you save will depend on how many distinct groups(IDs) you have and the power of your cluster. If you have more groups(IDs) and if you can use more nodes, you can save lots of time.
##### To get the similar results from Spark and Python, make sure to set random seed for train_test_split and RandomizedSearchCV.
##### Your data should not be very skewed to only very few of the grouping field.
```

When you are training models per group or per ID, using Pandas UDF in PySpark can save you lots of time.

The amount of time you save will depend on how many distinct groups(IDs) you have and the power of your cluster. If you have more groups(IDs) and if you can use more nodes, you can save lots of time.

To get the similar results from Spark and Python, make sure to set random seed for train_test_split and RandomizedSearchCV.

Your data should not be very skewed to only very few of the grouping field.