## Calculus.

Section 2.1:

$$2. +) A + 2B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 4 & -5 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + 2. \begin{bmatrix} 7 & -5 & 1 \\ 1 & -4 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 4 & -5 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 14 & -10 & 2 \\ 2 & -8 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 16 & -10 & 1 \\ 6 & -13 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

The expression 3C-E is not defined because 3C has two columns and -E has only 1 columns.

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 17 + 2.1 & 1.(-5) + 2.(-4) & 1.1 + 2.(-3) \\ -2.7 + 1.1 & (-2).(-5) + 1.(-4) & (-2).1 + 1.(-3) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+, E.B = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 7 & -5 & 1 \\ 1 & -4 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

The product FB is not defined because the number of columns of E doesn't match the number of rows of R.

4.	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	8 7 6 - 0 5 0 4 1 8 0 0 5
	[A 1 3]
	$\begin{bmatrix} -8 & -2 & -6 \\ 4 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$
	1 32 - LE 2 DE - LE 2 DE - LE 2
	$+, (5T_3).A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 & -8 & 7 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & -4 & 1 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$
	45 -5 15 -30 -45 35 -30
	[-20 5 40]
9.	We have:
	+, AB = 25
	+) $BA = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -5 \\ 3 & k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ -3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 23 & 15 \\ 6-3k & 15+k \end{bmatrix}$
	$\Rightarrow$ AB = BA $\Rightarrow$ $\begin{cases} -40 + 5k = 15 \\ 6 - 3k = -9 \end{cases} \Rightarrow k = 5.$
	Thus, k=5.

27. 
$$u = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $v = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$   $v = \begin{bmatrix} -2a \\ 3a \\ 3b \end{bmatrix}$   $v = \begin{bmatrix} -2a \\ 3a \\ 3b \end{bmatrix}$   $v = \begin{bmatrix} -2a \\ 3a \\ 3b \end{bmatrix}$   $v = \begin{bmatrix} -2a \\ 3a \end{bmatrix}$ 

a, True (By denigition of invertible). b, False (By Theorem 6.5) c, False (Ig A =  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $ab - cd = 1 - 0 \neq 0$ , but by Theorem 4 show that this matrix isn't invertible because ab-cd=0). d, True (This jollows from Theorem 5, which also says that
the solution of Ax = 6 is unique, for each 6). e, True (By the box just before Ex6) a, False (The product matrix is invertible, but the product g inverses should be in the reverse order) ( By Theorem 6) 5, True (By Theorem 6a). c, True (By Theorem 4). d, True ( By Theorem 7) e, False (The last part of Theorem 7 is missfated) 21. Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots x_n$  denote the columns of A, and let there exist scalars  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  such that. x, v, + 22 v, + ... + x, vn = 0. 7 x1 = x2 = ... = xn = 0

23. If A is nxn matrix and Ax = D has only the trivial solution then every columns of A must be a pivot column. Because every column of A is a pivot column and A has a rows and n column. Then every row of A must contain a privot The only possibility is that A ~ I  31. We have:  1 D -2 1 D D	
-3 1 4 D 1 D	-
[2 -3 4 D D 1]	
	-
1 0 -2 1 0 0	-
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Land and the cold in the state of the state	
1 0 -2 1 0 0	
$\sim$ 0 1 $-2$ 3 1 0	
0 0 2 7 3 1	
and the contract of the second	
1 0 0 8 3 1	(
0 1 0 10 4 1	
[0 0 2 7 3 1]	
1 0 0 8 3 1 7	
~ 0 1 0 10 4	
(0 0 1 7/2 3/2 1/2)	
and the busy the morning with house, and the second	
$A^{-1} - 10 + 1$	
7/2 3/2 1/2	5
( 2 12 12)	6
	-
	-

0	Section 2.3: 1 Market 1
	trataring of death histories and total
3.	Row reduction to echolon form is trivial because there is really no need for or arthmetic calculations:
1000 - 12	and the state of t
	15 p p 7 5 p 0 7 5 0 0
	5 0 0 5 0 0 5 0 0 -3 -7 0 ~ 0 -7 0 ~ 0 -7 0 ~
	8 5 -1 0 5 -1 0 0 -1
	The 3 x 3 matrix has 3 pivot position and hence is
	invertible, by (c) of IMT.
	+ 7 h " T
A.	The matrix 3 0 -1 obviously has linearly dependent
	209
	columns (because one column 15 zero), and so the matrix
	is not invertible by (e) g IMT.
	bringlas with married divinteger a rich
12.	a, True (Ig statement (k) of IMT is true, then so is statement (j)
	state ment (i)
- 30	A tolk to many desiller to themselves
	b, True (If statement (e) of IMT is true, then so is statement (h)).
	Statement (h)
	c, True ( See the remark immediately jollowing the proof
-	g IMT).
	I Folse ( the riret part of the tempert of the said ( )
	In tact it A is arm non made.
	transformation x +> Ax ma b" ind pn
	d, False (the jirst part of statement is not part (i) of IMT.  In jact, if A is any nxn matrix, linear transformation x >> Ax maps R" into R" yet not every such matrix has n pivot position)
-	o dostroit
-	

