



THE SECOND INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON SCIENTIFIC, ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL ISSUES

DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION, COOPERATION AND GLOBAL INTEGRATION IN THE NEW NORMAL

SPONSORS

Sacombank
Đồng hành cùng phát triển



FINANCIAL PUBLISHING HOUSE

TABLE OF CONTENT

APPLICATION OF TECHNOLOGY AND BIG DATA IN THE FIELDS OF FINANCE, ACCOUNTING AND AUDITING IN THE CONTEXT OF GLOBALIZATION

BANK RUN AND SILICON VALLEY BANK	1
Lam Dang Xuan Hoa, Ho Minh Khoa, Huynh Vo Nhat Linh	1
BIG DATA AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS.....	14
Le Thi Minh, Vo Trung Hau	14
THE EFFICIENCY OF THE INTERNAL CONTROL SYSTEM IN RISK MANAGEMENT AT THE NAM A COMMERCIAL JOINT STOCK BANK	23
Truong Thanh Loc, Tran Ngoc Thanh.....	23
VIETNAM - AUSTRALIA ECONOMIC AND TRADE COOPERATION IN THE NEW NORMAL: OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES FOR VIETNAMESE INVESTORS.....	30
Nhu Nguyen Phuc Quynh*, Anh Nguyen Thi Nguyet, Duy Nguyen Anh	30
IMPACTS OF CREDIT GROWTH AND CREDIT RISK ON THE PROFIT OF VIETNAM JOINT STOCK COMMERCIAL BANKS	43
Dao Le Kieu Oanh*, Tran Thi Huong Ngan	43
FACTORS AFFECTING CUSTOMERS' DECISIONS TO USE E-BANKING AT JOINT STOCK COMMERCIAL BANKS IN HO CHI MINH CITY	57
Nguyen Duy Khanh ¹ , Pham Quoc Tham ²	57
HOW CHINA_USA POLITICAL TENSIONS AFFECT STOCK MARKET RETURN OF CHINA AND THE USA? A QUANTILE VAR CONNECTEDNESS APPROACH	70
Hao Wen Chang ¹ , Tsangyao Chang ² and Mei-Chih Wang ³	70
BANKING HUMAN RESOURCES BEFORE THE DEVELOPMENT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AI	92
Nguyen Huynh Chi.....	92
IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF TRAINING THROUGH IMPROVEMENT OF STUDENT TESTING AND ASSESSMENT – CASE IN ACCOUNTING BRANCH, UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMICS AND FINANCE	102
Thuy Thi Ha	102
ACTIVITIES OF DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION IN VIETNAMESE COMMERCIAL BANKS: AN OVERVIEW DURING THE COVID-19 RECOVERY PERIOD.....	109
Nguyễn Thị Quỳnh Châu, Đào Lê Kiều Oanh	109
OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES FOR VIETNAM IN ATTRACTIVE FDI IN GLOBAL MINIMUM CORPORATE TAX IMPLEMENTATION	117
Ngo Hoang Thong	117

DIGITAL ECONOMY IN VIETNAM, TRENDS AND POTENTIABILITY

DEVELOPING SMART HOME MODEL FOR APARTMENTS IN HO CHI MINH CITY BASED ON INTERNET OF THINGS (IoT) TECHNOLOGY	182
Dang Thanh Thuy ¹ , Nguyen Thanh Dien ²	182
TRANSPARENCY OF ACCOUNTING INFORMATION OF CONSTRUCTION ENTERPRISES IN HO CHI MINH CITY – CASE STUDY OF APPLICATION OF ACCRUAL ACCOUNTING	193
Truong Thanh Loc ^{1*} , Pham Thi Yen Nhi ²	193
FACTORS AFFECTING THE QUALITY OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF MANUFACTURING ENTERPRISES IN HO CHI MINH CITY	207
Truong Thanh Loc [*] , Dang Nguyen Tuong Han, Nguyen Ngoc Mai Phuong, Nguyen Thi Quynh Huong	207
THE CRITICAL FACTORS OF COLLEGE STUDENTS' INTENTION TO USE METAVERSE TECHNOLOGY FOR SUBJECTS RELATED TO IMPORT-EXPORT LEARNING	221
Van Thuy Nguyen Ho, Chau The Huu, Luan Thanh Nguyen [*]	221
CONSUMER PERCEPTION ABOUT THE SUSTAINABILITY COMMITMENT OF LUXURY BRANDS IN VIETNAM AND CHINA MARKETS.....	233
Tran Minh Tu ¹	233
INFLUENCE OF WOM AND EWOM IN MAKING DECISION BUYING GOODS	247
Doan Anh Tu ¹ , Kim Phi Rum ² , Nguyen Pham Hai Ha ³	247
DIGITAL ECONOMY AND DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL IN VIETNAM.....	257
Hoang Thi Chinh, Nguyen Hoang Phan	257
BLOCKCHAIN APPLICATION IN MODERN LOGISTICS: INTERNATIONAL EXPERIENCE AND SOME RECOMMENDATIONS FOR VIETNAM	266
Nguyen Nu Tuong Vi.....	266
FACTORS AFFECTING THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE DIGITAL ECONOMY IN VIETNAM	272
Vo Tien Si	272
LEGAL FRAME FOR THE OPERATION OF THE REAL ESTATE BUSINESS UTILIZING THE BLOCKCHAIN PLATFORM IN VIETNAM.....	284
Le Thi Khanh Linh.....	284

DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION – COOPERATION – GLOBAL INTEGRATION IN BUSINESS

FACTORS INFLUENCING BUSINESS ACCEPTANCE OF INDUSTRY 4.0 TECHNOLOGY APPLICATIONS IN DONG NAI PROVINCE.....	291
Thanh-Thu Vo*, Minh-Huong Tang.....	291
DIGITAL ORIENTATION, INNOVATION CAPABILITY AND FIRM PERFORMANCE: A PROPOSAL RESEARCH MODEL	298
Nguyen Van Hau	298
PREDICTION OF STUDENT'S BEHAVIORAL INTENTION TO USE SMART LEARNING ENVIRONMENT: A COMBINED MODEL OF SELF-DETERMINATION THEORY AND TECHNOLOGY ACCEPTANCE	309
Nguyen Thi Hai Binh ¹ , Dao Y Nhi ² , Nguyen Thanh Luan ³ , Dang Quan Tri ⁴	309
THE PEDAGOGICAL IMPACT OF GRAMMARLY ON EFL WRITING COMPETENCY: AN EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION IN HIGHER EDUCATION CONTEXT.	323
Nguyen Thi Hong Lien ¹ , Nguyen Truong Gia Minh ² , Nguyen Ngoc Vu ^{3*}	323
FACTORS AFFECTING PURCHASING DECISION OF THE YOUTH ON TIKTOK	336
Ngoc Pham ¹ , Thanh Cong Tran*.....	336
FACTORS AFFECTING OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY BEHAVIORS OF WORKERS DIRECT PRODUCTION AT CU CHI POWER COMPANY.....	345
Minh Luan Le, Thi Trang Tran.....	345
CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND EMPLOYEES' ORGANIZATIONAL CITIZENSHIP BEHAVIOUR.....	355
Nguyen Xuan Hung ¹ , Ha Le Thu Hoai ¹ , Nguyen Huu My Truc ^{2&3} , Pham Tan Nhat ^{2&3}	355
THE INNOVATION CAPACITY - THE ROLE OF LEADERS OF SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN HO CHI MINH CITY, VIETNAM.....	365
Huynh Nhut Nghia	365
PEOPLE'S THOUGHTS ON THE IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ON BUSINESS	376
Ton Nguyen Trong Hien, Bui Tuyet Anh	376
FACTORS AFFECTING BRAND SWITCHING INTENTION IN THE CONTEXT OF HIGHER EDUCATION IN VIETNAM	382
Ly Dan Thanh, Nguyen Phu Quoi, Tran Hoang Nam, Vo Hong Son, Nguyen Ngoc Thuy Tien	382
ENHANCE THE DIGITAL COMPETITIVENESS	398
Tran Quang Canh, Hoang Thi Chinh.....	398

ASSESSING PATIENT SATISFACTION (BRAND) AFTER THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC AT THU DUC CITY HOSPITAL.....	408
Nguyen Hoang Dung ^{1*} , Nguyen Huynh Bao An ² , Van Phuong Trang ²	408
INDUSTRIAL AND HUMAN RESOURCES FORM THE FOUNDATION FOR BINH DUONG'S SUSTAINABLE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	408
Hoang-An Nguyen	417
IMPACT OF ORGANIZATIONAL FAIRNESS ON THE EMPLOYEES' KNOWLEDGE SHARING IN TRAVEL AND TOURISM ENTERPRISES IN HO CHI MINH CITY	426
Le Thi Nhu Quynh ^{1,2} , Le Thi Giang ² , Truong Quang Dung ¹	426
THE EFFECT OF PERSONAL MOTIVATION ON THE TACIT KNOWLEDGE SHARING BEHAVIOR OF 5-STAR HOTELS' EMPLOYEES IN HO CHI MINH CITY	440
Le Thi Giang, Nguyen Bach Hoang Phung.....	440
DIGITAL COMPETITIVENESS AND OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY OF ENTERPRISES IN THE DIGITAL ERA: THE CASE OF VIETNAMESE ENTERPRISES	453
Diep Nguyen Thi Ngoc ^{1*} , Canh Quang Tran ² , Anh Bach Hoang Ngoc ¹	453
FACTORS INFLUENCING PARENTS' SELECTION OF PRIVATE PRESCHOOLS IN THU DUC CITY	466
Thi-Trang Tran ¹ , Thi-My-Dung Pham ² , Thi-Bich-Diep Le ^{1*}	466

RECOVERY COMMUNICATIONS IN THE TOURISM AND HOSPITALITY INDUSTRY AFTER THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

DEVELOPING A SPIRITUAL TOURISM DESTINATION IMAGE MEASUREMENT SCALE OF AN GIANG	474
Nguyen Vuong Hoai Thao ¹ , Nguyen Quyet Thang ²	474
PROSPECTS OF VIRTUAL REALITY TOURISM APPLICATION IN VIETNAM TOURISM PROMOTION	487
Nguyen Thi Hong Ha, Pham Thi Huong Giang.....	487
PERSONALIZATION TRAVEL TRENDING IN HO CHI MINH CITY IN THE CONTEXT OF POST COVID-19	497
Duong Bao Trung.....	497
IMPACTS OF MEDIA ON CUSTOMERS' DECISION TO CHOOSE FOOD AND BEVERAGE SERVICES POST THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC	511
Nguyen Thi Bich Van	511
DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION APPLICATION TO PROMOTE THE RECOVERY AND DEVELOPMENT OF INBOUND TOURISM IN HO CHI MINH CITY	521
Tran Trong Thanh	521
VIETNAM TOURISM AFTER COVID-19 PANDEMIC	527
Nguyen Hoang Phan ¹ , Hoang Thi Chinh ²	527
NAVIGATING THE EVOLVING LANDSCAPE OF SOCIAL MEDIA DATA MINING AND PRIVACY	537
Pham Thai Hien	537
THE CORRELATION BETWEEN STUDENT SELF-REPORTED GENERAL WELL-BEING AND PERCEIVED SUPPORT FROM FRIENDS, TEACHERS, AND UNIVERSITY	545
Virginia Kelsey ¹ , Đặng Thị Mai Ly ^{2*} , Nguyễn Anh Khoa ² , Nguyễn Văn Tường ²	545

DIGITAL VERSUS NON- DIGITAL

PROVIDING CONVENIENCE TO CUSTOMERS IN THE DIGITAL MARKETING ERA: OBSERVATIONS FROM COMMERCIAL BANKS IN HO CHI MINH CITY	556
Nguyen Quang Trung	556
VIRTUAL REALITY: AN INNOVATIVE TOOL IN TOURISM EXPERIENTIAL MARKETING	564
Thanh Nguyen Ngoc Le ¹ , Khuong Thanh Nguyen ²	564
THEORETICAL CONCEPTS OF STRATEGIC POSITIONING FOR PLACE BRANDING: A CASE STUDY OF DONG THAP PROVINCE	580
Phan Bao Giang.....	580
LITERATURE REVIEW ON THE IMPACT OF DIGITAL MARKETING ON VIETNAM'S SMALL AND THE MEDIUM BUSINESS ENTERPRISES (SMEs)	587
Lê Kim Nguyên *	587

CHALLENGES FACED BY TEACHERS IN NON-TRADITIONAL EDUCATION

PROPOSE AN ONLINE TEACHING COMPETENCE SCALE FOR UNIVERSITY LECTURERS	596
Duong Thi Kim Oanh*, Dang Thi Dieu Hien	596
EXAMINE USAGE OF LEARNING MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS (LMSS) BY FACULTY STAFF AT UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMICS (UEF) AND FINANCE WITH EXPANDED TECHNOLOGY ACCEPTANCE MODEL (TAM).....	608
Ha Truong Minh Hieu, Ngo Minh Hai*, Mach Tran Huy.....	608

DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION AN INDISPENSABLE EVOLUTION FOR SUSTAINABLE CORPORATES

FACTORS AFFECTING THE APPLICATION OF STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTING AT MANUFACTURING ENTERPRISES IN BINH DUONG PROVINCE	618
Truong Thanh Loc ^{1*} , Nguyen Thi Thanh Truc ²	618
HRM DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION: TAKING A ROAD OF SUCCESSION PLANNING ..	629
Trương Phan Hoàng Anh, Giang Ngọc Anh.....	629
THE IMPLICATION OF CONTACTLESS SERVICE AS A TOOL TO IMPROVE CUSTOMER REVISIT INTENTION	640
Linh, Nguyen Duy Yen*	640
TOURISM BRAND LOVE IN THE DIGITAL AGE: THE ROLE OF ONLINE TOURIST EXPERIENCES, TOURIST-BRAND RELATIONSHIP QUALITY AND SUSTAINABILITY	651
Thanh Nguyen Ngoc Le	651
CONDUCTING FOCUS GROUPS IN CROSS-CULTURAL SCHOLARSHIP OF TEACHING AND LEARNING (SoTL): A COMPARATIVE CASE STUDY	662
Punithan Moganathas ¹ , Jenny Hill ² , Andy V.-M. Kok ² , Matt Barr ² , Ruffin Relja ^{2*} , Philippa Ward ² , Duong Tran Quang Hoang ³ , Quynh Phuong Tran ³	662
LEVERAGING DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION FOR SUSTAINABLE CORPORATE EVOLUTION IN VIETNAM	677
Nguyen,Tan Dat ¹ , Le,Dinh Thang ²	677

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND APPLICATIONS

FB-PROPHET MODEL FOR TIME SERIES FORECASTING IN SALES	691
Thanh Cong Tran	691
USING AI CODE IN C# PROGRAMMING	698
Nguyen Ha Giang.....	698
DETERMINANTS OF CONTINUANCE USAGE INTENTION OF MOBILE FOOD ORDERING APPLICATIONS (MFOAS) AMONG VIETNAMESE USERS: THE MEDIATING ROLE OF E- SATISFACTION	705
Lam Hoang Phuong ^{1*} , Nguyen Thi Kim Lien ² , Tien Hung Nguyen ³ , Vinh Long Nguyen ⁴	705
DECODING MARKETING INSIGHT: INSIGHT FROM OUTSIDE.....	718
Hoàng Thị Hằng, Trần Thành Công*	718
DIGITAL DISRUPTION AND DATA SECURITY: HOW FINTECH IS RESHAPING BANKING ...	724
Hoàng Văn Hiếu, Trần Ngọc Thiên Ngân.....	724

TRENDS AND ISSUES IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH

EFL LEARNERS' ATTITUDES AND LEARNING ENGAGEMENT IN COMMUNICATIVE GAME-BASED GRAMMAR TEACHING	736
Nguyen Thi Thanh Huyen ¹ , Tran Quoc Thao ²	736
APPROACHES TO TEACHING L2 LISTENING:.....	749
CLOSING THE GAP BETWEEN REAL-LIFE AND CLASSROOM-BASED LISTENING	749
Luu Thi Mai Vy	749
DEFINING ROLES OF STUDENT ENGAGEMENT IN THE 21ST CENTURY LANGUAGE CLASSROOM	755
Ho Xuan Tien, Duong My Tham.....	755
EFL STUDENTS' ATTITUDES AND LEARNING INVESTMENT IN PORTFOLIO - BASED ENGLISH WRITING LEARNING: A LITERATURE REVIEW	763
Ly Gia Huy ¹ , Tran Quoc Thao ²	763
EXPLORING EFL LEARNER IDENTITIES IN PROJECT-BASED LANGUAGE LEARNING AT A HIGH SCHOOL IN AN GIANG PROVINCE	774
Nguyen Hong Thien ¹ , Tran Quoc Thao ²	774
THE VALUES OF SYNTACTIC COMPLEXITY IN ACADEMIC WRITING: A LITERATURE REVIEW	791
THE ISSUE OF AMBIGUITY IN THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE.....	801
Nguyen Dinh Tuan	801
RESEARCH PERSPECTIVES ON JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL EFL STUDENTS' MOTIVATION IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNING	812
Huynh Thanh Nhon ¹ , Tran Quoc Thao ²	812
EXPLORING THE INFLUENCE OF WRITING ANXIETY ON VIETNAMESE ESL UNDERGRADUATES' WRITING PERFORMANCE: A QUANTITATIVE STUDY.....	821
Nguyen Ngoc Nguyen, Nguyen Hoang Phan.....	821
THE APPLICATION OF THE "FLIPPED CLASSROOM" MODEL IN TEACHING ENGLISH IN THE VIETNAMESE UNIVERSITY EDUCATION ENVIRONMENT	838
THE USE OF RESOURCE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES IN EFL FLIPPED CLASSROOMS	847
Nguyen Quynh Thao Vy ^{1,*} , Duong My Tham ²	847
INSIGHTS INTO ENGLISH MAJOR STUDENTS' USE OF PHRASAL VERBS IN ACADEMIC WRITING.....	860
Do Thi Thanh Thuy, Tran Quoc Thao	860

LAW IN THE CONTEXT OF INTERNATIONAL INTEGRATION

LEGALISING INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY INFRINGEMENTS IN RUSSIA – A WAR TACTIC IN THE CONTEXT OF RUSSIA’S INVASION OF UKRAINE.....	869
Bui Thi Hong Ninh*	869
MODEL OF ASSET REGISTRATION WORLDWIDE AND LESSONS FOR VIETNAM IN IMPROVING ASSET REGISTRATION LAWS.....	880
Vu Anh Sao ^{1,2} , Nguyen Thi Xuan Mai ²	880
LEGAL ISSUES ARISING FROM THE DEVELOPMENT, IMPLEMENTATION, AND USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI) - INTERNATIONAL EXPERIENCES AND LESSONS FOR VIETNAM	887
Le Hoang Minh Huy*, Nguyen Thi Thu Ha, Dao Trong Duc, Ky Dieu Linh, Bui Thi Thuy Linh, Nguyen Nam Trung.....	887
SOUTH KOREA’S EXPERIENCES ON PROPERTY REGISTRATION LAW - LESSONS FOR VIETNAM	896
Vu Anh Sao, Pham Huynh Bao Oanh.....	896
THE RISE OF REMOTE WORK: LEGAL CHALLENGES AND IMPLICATIONS FOR EMPLOYMENT LAW IN VIETNAM	903
Nguyen Thi Xuan Mai ¹ , Nguyen Thi Ngoc Loan ²	903
CHALLENGES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK IN THE EMERGING AGE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE.....	910
Nguyen Thi Thu Trang	910
THE IMPACTS OF GLOBAL MINIMUM TAX ON FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT (FDI) CORPORATIONS IN VIETNAM.....	921
Trần Ngọc Thanh ¹	921
CROSS-BORDER E-COMMERCE ACTIVITIES AND TAX MANAGEMENT ISSUES	933
Le Huynh Phuong Chinh, Ngo Thi Khanh Linh, Pham Ngoc Lan Anh.....	933
EXPERIENCE IN KOREA AND CHINA ON TAX MANAGEMENT FOR CROSS-BORDER E-COMMERCE ACTIVITIES	941
Duong Anh Son ¹ , Tran Vang Phu ²	941
LEGAL PERSPECTIVE ON REGULATIONS RALATED TO PERSONAL INCOME TAX WHEN EARNING INCOME THROUGH E-COMMERCE PLATFORMS IN VIETNAM, TAKING THE CASE OF INDIVIDUALS DOING BUSINESS THROUGH TIKTOK APPLICATION.....	946
Nguyen Duc Tri ¹ , Hoang Minh Châu ²	946
THE COMPATIBILITY ON THE SCOPE OF MUTUAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE (MLA) IN CRIMINAL MATTERS AND THE CONDITIONS OF REFUSAL MLA IN CRIMINAL MATTERS BETWEEN VIETNAMESE LAW AND INTERNATIONAL TREATIES WHICH VIETNAM HAS SIGNED.	956

Pham Huynh Bao Oanh.....	956
TAX POLICY FOR E-COMMERCE OF COUNTRIES IN THE WORLD AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO VIETNAM.....	967
Nguyen Thanh Minh Chanh, Ha Thi Van Anh, Pham Lam Tam Nhu	967
LEGAL REGULATIONS FOR ENTERPRISE OBLIGATIONS TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON E-COMMERCE PLATFORM	974
Truong Kim Phung*, Nguyen Hoang Chuong	974
“ROBOT TAX” – RECOMMENDATIONS FOR VIETNAM.....	981
Gian Thi Le Na, Pham Phuong Doanh.....	981
WTO APPELLATE BODY REFORM IN THE CONTEXT OF ESCALATING GEOPOLITICAL TENSIONS.....	988
Nguyen Nam Trung.....	988

IMPACTS OF STATE OWNERSHIP AND BUSINESS CHARACTERISTICS ON TAX AVOIDANCE: EVIDENCE IN VIETNAM.....	128
Huyen Ngoc Nguyen, Thanh Dan Bui	128
RUSSIA'S IMPACTS AND SCENES ON BEING BANNED FROM SWIFT	143
Lam Dang Xuan Hoa ¹ , Phan Ngoc Anh ²	143
THE ROLE OF ACCESS TO FINANCE AND THE ENTREPRENEURIAL INTENTION OF YOUNGERS IN THE SOUTHWESTERN PROVINCE, VIETNAM.....	151
Vu Truc Phuc*, Nguyen Dang Hat, Nguyen An Phu, Dao Le Kieu Oanh	151

THE EFFECT OF PERSONAL MOTIVATION ON THE TACIT KNOWLEDGE SHARING BEHAVIOR OF 5-STAR HOTELS' EMPLOYEES IN HO CHI MINH CITY

Le Thi Giang, Nguyen Bach Hoang Phung

Ho Chi Minh City University of Economics and Finance

gianglt@uef.edu.vn

Abstract:

The objective of this study is to identify the motivations that positively affect the employees' behavior of sharing a specific type of knowledge, who are working in an organization that is a 5-star hotel. The research results provide evidence that the tacit knowledge sharing behavior being explained by 5 factors (ranked from strongest to weakest): Attitude ($\beta = 0.356$), Reward ($\beta = 0.264$), Equity ($\beta = 0.249$), Leadership ($\beta = 0.212$) and Organizational commitment ($\beta = 0.193$) accounts for 52.5%, therefore the hypotheses are accepted and statistically significant. The study ends with a discussion of experimental results, implications and limitations, and also opens up for future research directions.

Keywords: knowledge sharing, personal motivation, knowledge sharing behavior, tacit knowledge sharing, 5-star hotels.

1. Introduction

The hotel industry is facing rapid technological development, increased competition and rising expectations of new and interesting experiences from customers and tourists (Kim & Lee, 2013). Knowledge sharing is considered a basic survival strategy in the era of knowledge-intensive, promoting the emergence of service-creative behavior (Luu, 2021), generating new ideas, providing better solutions, and continuously improving high-class service quality, in order to strengthen the ability to meet the diverse and rapidly changing needs of customers (Kim & Lee, 2012) and become the foundation for the success of the organization (Podrug et al., 2017, Akram, Lei, Haider, & Hussain, 2018).

Knowledge is considered an important resource affecting the effectiveness and sustainability of any organization (Caputo et al., 2019, Del Giudice et al., 2017). However, knowledge management is complex and challenging, as it has to deal with many issues about individuals, groups, organizational goals, organizational strategies, knowledge sharing is not mandatory in all organizations, knowledge sharing is also not a voluntary behavior... Therefore, despite the different positive effects of knowledge sharing, most individuals will intentionally conceal the knowledge gained from actual experience, this kind of knowledge is often hidden within each individual and is difficult to "code" and transfer, often including: beliefs, experiences, know-how, skills with their colleagues (Connelly et al., 2019). Although some scholars have argued that knowledge hiding is an intentional behavior, or incomplete sharing of information sought by other individuals (Connelly et al., 2012). The author also argues that either way (hiding or only sharing a part of tacit knowledge) are individual behaviors that depend on the individual's motivation for sharing or not sharing the tacit knowledge that the individual possesses. More specifically, the author acknowledges that when individuals have positive feelings and become proactive about sharing experiences and

professional know-how, it will lead to tacit knowledge sharing behavior. On the contrary, if an individual's perception is negative, it will lead to knowledge hiding behavior.

The positive influence of knowledge sharing on employee and organizational performance (Anand et al., 2021) has triggered a series of popular studies (Singh, 2019). Recent studies in the restaurant, hotel and tourism sectors have confirmed the role of knowledge management and knowledge sharing in the development of new products as the foundation for organizational success (Chowdhury et al., 2020; Martínez-Pérez et al., 2016; Ogunmokun et al., 2020). However, the available literature does not seem to pay enough attention to individual tacit knowledge hiding behavior (Singh, 2019a, Skerlavaj et al., 2018). Although tacit knowledge sharing has been a common challenge for organizations for a long time (Fong et al., 2018). Especially, when intentionally concealing tacit knowledge is too strong, it can be detrimental to the organization's operations (Cegarra - Navarro & Martelo - Landroguéz, 2020). Therefore, the main objective of this study is to try to understand the relationship between the factors of personal motivation and tacit knowledge sharing behavior, as a basis for providing management implications to promote employees' tacit knowledge sharing behavior with colleagues at a 5-star hotel, in Ho Chi Minh City.

2. Theoretical basis and the development of research hypotheses

2.1. Theoretical basis

2.1.1. Resource-Based Theory

Resource-based theory (RBT) is an important foundation in strategic management with perspectives in explaining the success of an organization. By focusing on resources, the success of a business depends on the general resources and capabilities that it possesses, and this sets it apart from its competitors (Olalla FM, 1999). These resources include “assets, capabilities, organizational processes, organizational attributes, information, knowledge, etc., that are controlled by the organizations to help make them aware of and implement strategies to improve effectiveness and efficiency” (Barney J, 1991). RBT theory explains that an organization can be viewed as a collection of human, material and organizational resources. These resources are valuable and inimitable and are key factors of sustainable competitive advantage and long-lasting high performance (Barney J, 1991). Among these assets, human resources and intellectual capital are the most important. Without these resources, no organization can achieve a competitive advantage (Holste JS, Fields D, 2010).

2.1.2. Social exchange theory

Social exchange theory is social interactions in which individuals believe that they will derive certain benefits from exchange behaviors (Blau, 1964). According to the social exchange theory, commitments in the enterprise form when employees perceive positive signals from the enterprise, such as social support, fairness in the reward system, fairness in working relationships (Colquitt et al., 2013).

Lý thuyết trao đổi xã hội được sử dụng phổ biến để giải thích hành vi chia sẻ tri thức (Bock, 2005; Bavik & cộng sự, 2018; Kim & Shim, 2018; Kim & cộng sự et al.). Điểm cốt lõi của lý thuyết này là bàn đến những tương tác và quyết định của mỗi cá nhân trong xã hội dựa trên giá trị mà họ thu được. Các cá nhân sẽ thực hiện hành vi chia sẻ tri thức nếu như họ tin rằng có được những lợi ích nhất định từ hành vi này, ngược lại họ sẽ không thực hiện nếu chia sẻ tri thức mang lại những tổn thất (Bùi Thị Thanh, 2014).

Social exchange theory is commonly used to explain knowledge sharing behavior (Bock, 2005; Bavik et al., 2018; Kim & Shim, 2018; Kim et al.). The core of this theory is to deal with the interactions and decisions of individuals in the society based on the value they receive. Individuals will perform

knowledge sharing behavior if they believe that there are certain benefits from this practice, otherwise they will not do it if knowledge sharing brings losses (Bui Thi Thanh, 2014).

2.1.3. Personal motivation and tacit knowledge sharing behavior

Personal motivation: Motivation has been identified as a determinant of general behavior and work-related behavior, it is a factor that promotes knowledge transfer (Lin, 2007). In previous studies on personal motivation, motivation was divided into extrinsic motivation and intrinsic motivation (Kankanhalli et al., 2005).

Extrinsic motivation focuses on goal-directed reasons, such as the rewards or benefits obtained from performing an action, while intrinsic motivation points to the pleasure and self-satisfaction resulting from a particular action. Extrinsic and intrinsic motivations influence individuals' intentions regarding an action as well as their actual behaviors (Lin, 2007). Extrinsic motivation includes organizational rewards and reciprocity (Kankanhalli et al., 2005). Organizational rewards are often given to knowledge providers by the organization's compensation system as a kind of external stimulus based on an exchange relationship between employers and employees (Hau et al., 2013). The joy of participating in knowledge sharing affects the internal motivation of employees. The joy that originates from the giver of knowledge, is a kind of inner motivation based on the relationship between the giver of knowledge and their own ego. Thus, intrinsic motivation often indicates psychological motivation such as liking to share knowledge. Previous studies have confirmed that intrinsic motivation has a positive effect on knowledge sharing (Hau et al., 2013).

Tacit Knowledge Sharing (TKS): Nonaka (1994), classified organizational knowledge including explicit and tacit knowledge. Explicit knowledge can exist in the form of symbols or text, so explicit knowledge sharing covers most knowledge sharing forms of institutionalized control in organizations. The practice of explicit knowledge sharing is more common in the workplace because explicit knowledge can easily be captured, systematized and transmitted (Wang Z, Wang N, Liang H., 2014). In contrast, since tacit knowledge cannot be expressed in verbal, symbolic, and written form, it is subjective insights, intuitions, and hunches that are deeply rooted in an individual's actions and experiences, as well as in the ideas, values, or feelings that he or she accepts (Nonaka & Takeuchi, 1995). Tacit knowledge is essentially in the minds of individuals, so face-to-face interaction is the primary means of sharing tacit knowledge and is expressed in the form of human actions such as attitudes, commitments and personal motivations that promote tacit knowledge sharing (Nonaka & Krogh, 2009; Holste J, Fields D, 2010). Thus, tacit knowledge sharing (TKS) may be the result of formal meetings such as conferences and training programmes, but much of the TKS behavior takes place through social networks and interactions among employees (Holste & Fields, 2010). In addition, the key to TKS is the capacity and willingness of individuals to share what they know (knowledge contributing) and to use what they learn (knowledge gathering) (Holste & Fields, 2010).

2.2. Hypotheses development

2.2.1. Attitude

Employee attitudes and motivations towards common aspects of knowledge sharing are other factors affecting knowledge sharing. Duong, (2008) found that hotel staff's attitude towards learning, sharing and storing knowledge has a positive impact on knowledge sharing behavior. Among them, learning attitude has the strongest influence on behavior. Similarly, other studies in the hospitality sector reveal that employees' motivation to adopt certain interpersonal behaviors has an influence on their intention to share knowledge (Quan et al., 2018; Srivastava et al., 2006). Shamim et al., (2017) found that the learning orientation of hotel staff is positively related to their knowledge-sharing behavior, which is also the finding of Kim & Lee, (2013); Other previous hospitality literature regarding employee motivational aspects of

knowledge sharing discussed positive roles of employee motivation (Tsai et al., 2015), including employee needs for achievement, power, and alignment in their knowledge-sharing behavior (Hon and Leung, 2011; Lee & Kim, 2017). In addition, those who take pleasure in helping others by contributing knowledge may have a more favorable orientation towards knowledge sharing and are more inclined to share knowledge (Lin, 2007). Cabrera et al. (2006) also show that the more employees feel the internal reward (joy) of sharing their knowledge, the more they tend to participate in knowledge sharing. Chennamaneni et al. (2012) found that individuals contribute to knowledge sharing because they feel satisfied when helping others. Therefore, this study proposes the following hypothesis:

H1: The more positive an employee's attitude towards knowledge sharing, the more likely it will be to promote their tacit knowledge sharing behavior.

2.2.2. Fairness

Research on hotel management has shown that employees who perceive that their organization is treating them with a high degree of fairness are more likely to share their knowledge (Chen & Cheng, 2012, Tsai et al., 2015). Tran & Thanh, (2012) find that this perceived fairness is one of the strong antecedents of knowledge sharing intentions in the hospitality context, suggesting that perceived fairness is very important for them to share knowledge. In addition, based on social exchange theory, Ngo & Tran, (2015) found that the implementation of psychological contracts, including aspects of transaction contracts related to fairness in pay, are strongly related to knowledge exchange behavior of hotel staff. After these arguments, this study postulates the following hypothesis:

Hypothesis H2: Employees' perception of fairness is positively related to their tacit knowledge sharing behavior.

2.2.3. Organizational commitment

Organizational commitment often refers to the psychological attachment that an employee feels to his or her organization (O'Reilly & Chatman, 1986). The existing hotel management literature demonstrates the importance of committed staff to organizations in sharing their knowledge. Lombardi, (2019) revealed that hotel staff's emotional commitment encourages them to share knowledge with colleagues. In addition, hotel employees' emotional responses (e.g., perceived respect and trust) towards their organizations are influential factors that affect employees' knowledge-sharing behavior (Duo et al., 2019). In addition, Vuong Phi Lai, (2018) found that hotel staff's knowledge of behavior transfer and commitment are strongly correlated. Because organizational commitment facilitates affirmative responses among employees (Lombardi et al., 2019), a positive relationship between organizational commitment of hotel employees and knowledge sharing behavior can be expected. Based on arguments from relevant previous literature, this study proposes the following hypothesis:

Hypothesis H3: Employees' organizational commitment is positively related to their tacit knowledge sharing behavior.

2.2.4. Rewards

Rewards can be monetary and non-monetary. Monetary rewards can be bonuses or incentives. Non-monetary rewards can be certificates, public recognition, appreciation (Javaid et al., 2020). Organizational rewards are useful for motivating individuals to perform desired behaviors (Lin, 2007). When employees receive economic rewards for sharing their knowledge, they feel more motivated to share knowledge (Hau et al., 2013). Choi et al. (2008) provided evidence that reward mechanisms are more important than technical assistance in motivating knowledge sharing; monetary rewards can promote knowledge-sharing intentions through individual contributions to databases, formal interactions within and between groups,

and among stakeholders. However, knowledge sharing through informal interactions must be rewarded with intangible incentives such as recognition (Bartol & Srivastava, 2002). Therefore, it is expected that if employees have trust in receiving rewards, they will be more inclined to share knowledge:

Hypothesis H4: Organizational rewards have a positive effect on employees' intention to share tacit knowledge.

2.1.5. Leadership

Mishra & Pandey, (2019) argued that different leadership styles have a positive influence on knowledge sharing. Effective leadership has strong potential to enhance the level and quality of knowledge sharing by creating a knowledge-friendly culture, providing shared goals and clear vision, improving relationships based on trust, using incentives, and removing barriers such as lack of communication or mutual misunderstanding (Amayah, 2013). Several recent studies have consistently found an important influence of leadership, emphasizing that leaders should create an enabling environment that promotes knowledge-sharing or knowledge-transfer behavior by subordinates (J. C. Lee, Shiue, & Chen, 2016; Liao et al., 2018). Although the influence of leadership on employees' knowledge-sharing behavior has been experimentally confirmed in many studies, a few studies have not found a relationship between the leader's role and the knowledge-sharing behavior of subordinates (Koseoglu et al., 2010). In addition, leadership towards the behavior of close supervision and frequent demands on employees, is not positively correlated with employees' knowledge-sharing behavior (Shamim et al., 2017). Based on previous findings in published studies, this study looks at leadership at an integrated level, encompassing a variety of dimensions including mentoring, empowerment, and innovation, all of which enable employees to make their own decisions. Therefore, this study makes the following hypothesis:

Hypothesis H5: Leadership has a positive relationship with employees' tacit knowledge sharing behavior.

The research model with the proposed hypotheses is presented in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Proposed research model

3. Research methodology

3.1. Sample selection and data collection

With the advantage of being less costly and less time-consuming than interviews and observations, pre-designed questionnaires are used as survey tools, using convenient sampling methods for data collection. Ho Chi Minh City is home to many of Vietnam's leading accommodation, food and beverage, shopping, and conference facilities (Nguyen Tan Trung, 2021). In this study, the respondents are employees who are working in the sales, operations and market development departments and service departments of 5-star hotels. To achieve the necessary reliability, the larger the sample size, the better, but at least 5 times

the number of observed variables (Hair, Black, Babin, & Anderson, 2014; Kline, 2015). In this research model, there are 06 latent variables with 30 observed variables, so the number of samples must be at least 150. After eliminating incomplete responses, the author obtained 306 votes (reaching a response rate of 90.0%), of which 280 samples met the requirements for conducting data analysis. All data of valid samples will be processed by SPSS 20.0 software in order to conduct reliability analysis, exploratory factor analysis (EFA), correlation analysis and multivariable regression to test hypothesis, ANOVA analysis is used to test the influence of qualitative variables on these relationships.

3.2. Scales

A 5-point Likert scale is used to measure observed variables, where “1” is “strongly disagree” and “5” is “strongly agree”. The scales are referenced from previous studies in the same field. Specifically: Attitude (denoted as TD) with 4 observed variables was developed based on the the scale of Bock et al., (2005). Fairness (denoted as CB) with 5 observed variables was developed based on the scale of Chen & Cheng, (2012) and Tsai et al., (2015). Organizational commitment (denoted as CK) with 5 observed variables was developed based on the scale of Lombardi et al., (2019). The reward (denoted as PT) with 5 observed variables was developed based on the scale of Lin, (2007) and Huang et al., (2011). Leadership (denoted as LD) measured by 5 observed variables was developed based on the scale of Bock et al., (2005); Mishra & Pandey (2019) and Shamim et al., (2017). The tacit knowledge sharing behavior (denoted as HV) is measured with 06 observed variables adjusted from the authors: Reychav & Weisberg (2010); Z. Wang & Wang (2012); Van den Hooff & De Ridder, 2004).

4. Research results and discussion

4.1. Descriptive statistics

From the data collection results, all 280 answer sheets are valid. The detailed description of the survey sample is presented in the following table (Table 1).

Table 1: Description of surveyed sample

Gender	Quantity	Percentage (%)
Male	145	51,8
Female	135	48,2
Age	Quantity	Percentage (%)
≤ 25	54	19,3
26 - 35	153	54,6
36 - 45	73	26,1
Education level	Quantity	Percentage (%)
Vocational training/college	32	11,4
University	194	65,0
Post-graduate	63	22,5
Experience (years)	Quantity	Percentage (%)
From 1 to 5 years	42	15,0
From 6 to 10 years	125	44,6

From 11 to 15 years	113	40,4
Number of observations N = 280		

4.2. Evaluate the reliability of the scale and factor analysis

4.2.1. Evaluate the reliability of the scale

Cronbach's Alpha coefficient is used to eliminate inappropriate variables. After removing one variable (CK2) of organizational commitment factor, statistically, Cronbach's Alpha increased (from 0.628 to 0.820 > 0.6); Observational variable HV6 of the tacit knowledge sharing behavioral variable, has a total correlation coefficient of $0.127 < 0.3$, after removing HV6, Cronbach's Alpha has increased (from 0.577 to 0.815 > 0.6). The results in Table 2 show that: In the study's model, all normalized factor loads are significant at the 0.001 level. All Cronbach's alpha reliabilities (from 0.815: HV to 0.902: PT) are above the accepted level of 0.70 (Fornell & Larcker, 1981; Hair et al., 2014). Tacit knowledge sharing behavior (HV) are all above the accepted value of 0.50 (Fornell & Larcker, 1981; Hair et al., 2014). This indicates that the study's model meets the criteria for convergent validity. The Cronbach's alpha reliability of the five constructs ranged from 0.820 (CK) to 0.902 (PT) indicating that the internal scales meet the recommended standard of 0.70 (Kline, 2015). Therefore, all observed variables are accepted and will be used in factor analysis.

Table 2: Cronbach's alpha reliability analysis

Scale	Cronbach's Alpha	Remaining variables
Attitude (TĐ)	0.866	04
Fairness (CB)	0.829	05
Organizational commitment (CK)	0.820	04 (Loại CK2)
Rewards (PT)	0.902	05
Leadership (LĐ)	0.887	05
Tacit knowledge sharing behavior (HV)	0.815	05 (Loại HV6)

(Source: from the data analysis of the author)

4.2.2. Exploratory factor analysis (EFA)

EFA results for independent variables using Principal extraction method and Varimax rotation, are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3: Results of factor analysis for independent variables

No.	Coded variable	Factor				
		1	2	3	4	5
1	PT2	0.896				
2	PT3	0.842				
3	PT4	0.817				
4	PT5	0.803				
5	CB1		0.851			
6	CB2		0.822			
7	CB3		0.715			
8	CB5		0.675			
9	CK5			0.867		
10	CK4			0.842		
11	CK1			0.813		
12	CK3			0.765		
13	LĐ5				0.837	
14	LĐ1				0.823	

No.	Coded variable	Factor				
		1	2	3	4	5
15	LD4				0.818	
16	LD2				0.782	
17	LD3				0.731	
18	TD4					0.868
19	TD2					0.844
20	TD3					0.782
21	TD1					0.766
Eigenvalues		3.551	3.267	2.915	2.441	2.106
Extracted variance (%)		65.822				
KMO		0.831				
Bartlett's test		Sig = 0.000				

After analyzing EFA factors, the research model has some changes as follows: The fairness factor has 4 observed variables and the reward factor has 4 observed variables.

The results of EFA exploratory factor analysis showed that the extracted variance reached 65.822% > 50%. This means that 65.82% of the variation of the factors is explained by the observed variables and is consistent with the evaluation criteria of the EFA exploratory factor analysis method.

The smallest Eigenvalues coefficient ensures extraction 2.106 > 1. This shows that using the exploratory factor analysis coefficient is suitable with the research data, and the convergence of the analysis stops at the 5th factor, which means that there are 05 factors extracted from the survey data. The factor loading coefficient of each observed variable of all factors is greater than 0.5, showing that the observed variables all show the influence with the factors that these variables represent. Thus, the results of factor analysis have shown 5 distinguishing factors from the survey data obtained through the observed variables that can ensure the reliability of the data. The obtained factors will act as independent variables in the research model.

The results of EFA analysis for the dependent variable showed that 1 factor was extracted, all variables had factor loading coefficients greater than 0.5, Eigenvalue reached 3,216 which is greater than 1; extracted variance reached 8,157 (greater than 50). KMO coefficient reached 0.841 (greater than 0.5 and less than 1). Bartlett's Test has sig equal to 0.000 (less than 0.05). These indicators all meet the requirements, so all observed variables are kept in the dependent variable scale.

4.3. Correlation analysis and regression analysis

4.3.1. Correlation analysis

Table 4: Correlation analysis between independent and dependent variables

	TD	CB	CK	PT	LD	HV
TD	1	.467**	.324**	.364**	.426**	.572**
CB	.467**	1	.458**	.281**	.422**	.413**
CK	.324**	.458**	1	.231**	.475**	.235**
PT	.364**	.281**	.231**	1	.214**	.324**
LD	.426**	.422**	.475**	.214**	1	.427**
HV	.572**	.413**	.235**	.324**	.427**	1

** . Correlation is significant at 0.01 (2-tailed).

The results of the Pearson test between 5 independent variables and 1 dependent variable (summarized in Table 4) show that most of the independent variables have a strong correlation with the dependent variable at 99% confidence level with correlation coefficients > 0.3.

4.3.2. Regression analysis

Multivariate regression analysis is used to analyze the relationship between the independent variables and the dependent variable of the tacit knowledge sharing behavior in the above model. Analysis was performed using the Enter method. Table 5 shows that the given regression model is relatively consistent at 99% confidence. The adjusted R² coefficient = 0.525 means that the model can explain 52.5% of the overall relationship between the independent factors identified as the driving force affecting the employee's tacit knowledge sharing behavior.

Table 5: Evaluation of the fit of the regression model

Model	R	R ²	Adjusted R ²	Standard error estimate	Durbin - Watson
1	.645 ^a	.531	.525	.54526	1.872

a. Independent variable: (Constant), TĐ, CB, CK, PT, LĐ

b. Dependent variable: HV

Testing the fit of the model, we find that the sig value is $0.000 < 0.05$, so the model is consistent with the data and the independent variables in the model can explain the change of the dependent variable. The analysis results show that the VIF index of the independent variables is less than 2 (1,211 - 1,564). Therefore, there is no multicollinearity (Table 6).

Table 6: ANOVA analysis in regression

Model		Sum of squares	Df	Mean squared	F	Sig.
1	Regression	38.537	5	7.263	36.578	.000 ^a
	Residual	47.675	274	.205		
	Total	86.212	279			

a. Independent variable: (Constant), TĐ, CB, CK, PT, LĐ

b. Dependent variable: HV

Table 7: Results of regression analysis using Enter method

Model		Unstandardized coefficients		Standardized coefficients	T	Sig.	Multicollinear Analysis	
		B	Std. Error	Beta			Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	1.247	.352		4.632	.000		
	TĐ	.335	.062	.356	6.704	.000	.752	1.522
	CB	.182	.047	.249	2.831	.001	.664	1.356
	CK	.190	.055	.193	3.817	.000	.675	1.432
	PT	.221	.064	.264	2.241	.003	.841	1.211
	LĐ	.237	.048	.212	3.575	.002	.657	1.564

4.4. Hypothesis testing

Based on the results of regression analysis, the hypotheses of the given model are tested. The results of testing the hypotheses are summarized as follows: all five initial hypotheses are accepted (Table 8).

Table 8: Summary of results of testing the research hypotheses

Notation	Hypothesis	Coefficient	Sig	Conclusion
H1	There is a positive correlation between employees' tacit knowledge sharing attitude and behavior.	0.356	0.000	Accepted
H2	There is a positive correlation between organizational fairness and employee tacit knowledge sharing behavior.	0.249	0.001	Accepted
H3	There is a positive correlation between organizational commitment and employee tacit knowledge sharing behavior.	0.193	0.000	Accepted
H4	There is a positive correlation between reward and tacit knowledge sharing behavior of employees.	0.264	0.003	Accepted
H5	There is a positive correlation between leadership and employees' tacit knowledge sharing behavior.	0.212	0.002	Accepted

4.5. ANOVA test

The results of the test of differences of qualitative variables show that there is only a difference in the tacit knowledge sharing behavior of the gender group: Male and female gender, in which men tend to share knowledge such as experience, professional know-how they have accumulated more than women do. In addition, there was no difference between people in terms of age, education level, and working seniority.

4.6. Discussing the research results

The research results show that the tacit knowledge sharing behavior of employees working in a 5-star hotel in Ho Chi Minh City is affected by factors identified as motivation, ranked from strong to weak: Attitude ($\beta = 0.356$), Reward ($\beta = 0.264$), Fairness ($\beta = 0.249$), Leadership ($\beta = 0.212$) and Organizational commitment ($\beta = 0.193$). The results of regression analysis with adjusted $R^2 = 0.525$ mean that 52.5% of the tacit knowledge sharing behavior is explained by the above 5 factors, the hypotheses are accepted and statistically significant. In which, the strongest impact belongs to the Attitude factor:

The more positive the perceived attitude, the more employees will tend to be proactive about the behavior of sharing tacit knowledge, which are experience and professional know-how. Research also shows that learning attitude has the strongest influence on behavior, that is, individuals who have the goal of learning in parallel with sharing their stored knowledge tend to associate their behavior with others' with positive motivations such as sharing to create better work performance, achievement creation, attachment and strengthening their teamwork. The above conclusions are consistent with many studies on knowledge sharing behavior of employees working in the hotel service industry by scholars such as: Quan et al., 2018; Srivastava et al., 2006; Shamim & associates. 2017; Kim & Lee, 2013; Tsai et al., 2015; Lee & Kim, 2017... This study also reaffirms the conclusion that those who have positive attitudes towards the feeling on internal rewards (joy) when helping others by contributing knowledge will tend to be more active and proactive with knowledge sharing than other individuals (Lin, 2007; Cabrera et al. 2006; Chennamaneni et al. 2012).

Reward is identified as a very positive motivating factor promoting knowledge sharing behavior of employees in 5-star hotel organizations, this conclusion is similar to those from other studies in the service sector such as: reward system is very important to promote knowledge sharing behaviors (Al-Alawi et al. 2007; Amayah, 2013; Ali et al., 2019; Javaid et al., 20120; Non-monetary rewards as given in the form of intangible motivations such as recognition, appreciation (Bartol & Srivastava, 2002; Javaid et al., 2020); Material rewards such as year-end bonus, salary increase, stock reward... make people feel more motivated to share knowledge (Huang et al., 2011). Hau et al (2013) demonstrated that rewards have a positive effect

on tacit knowledge sharing. However, this study does not agree with the conclusion of Islam et al. (2011) in service organizations in Bangladesh, the reward system did not have any impact on knowledge sharing.

Fairness in hotel organizations is identified as a factor that has a strong influence on employees' intention to share knowledge, especially in matters related to fairness in terms of material rewards and wages. This is also found in previous studies in the hotel sector such as those of Chen & Cheng, 2012, Tsai et al., 2015; Tran & Thanh, 2012; Ngo & Tran, 2015).

The role of Leadership in this study is in accordance with the following conclusions: increasing tacit knowledge sharing behavior through the supportive and motivating spirit of line managers is necessary to encourage employees to share knowledge with each other (Chen & Cheng, 2012 and Ali et al., 2019). The leaders should create an enabling environment that promotes knowledge-sharing or knowledge-transfer behavior among employees (J. C. Lee, Shiue, & Chen, 2016; Liao et al., 2018). This is different from the perception that leadership has no impact on knowledge sharing behavior (Koseoglu et al., 2010) or has the opposite effect if the leader has an imposing and supervisory working style (Shamim et al., 2017).

In addition, the positive correlation between organizational commitment and tacit knowledge sharing behavior of hotel staff is also demonstrated through psychological attachment (O'Reilly & Chatman, 1986); hotel staff's affection towards colleagues encourages sharing behavior (Lôm-bardi et al. (2019), the sentiment specifically expressed in the belief has the strongest impact on tacit knowledge sharing behavior (Ali et al., 2019 and Javaid et al., 2020).

The results of testing the difference of qualitative variables show that men tend to share more knowledge such as experience, professional know-how they have accumulated than women do. It has been observed that men tend to be more confident in sharing knowledge and information, especially in areas where men are often dominant or have higher executive ability, such as engineering, management, or information technology. Barriers to women's willingness to share are prevalent in those with lower self-esteem than men or low personal interest (individual level) and a lack of connection to beneficial relationships or a lack of typical character (relationship level). This may affect the willingness of each gender to share professional know-how. However, knowledge sharing depends not only on gender but also on individuals and working environment. Each person may have their own goals and views on knowledge sharing in their profession.

5. Management Implications

This result indicates that in order to improve employees' tacit knowledge sharing behavior, organizations need to understand better about their attitudes towards this behavior. When individuals are encouraged and motivated, they will show positive attitudes and behaviors towards knowledge sharing as they can feel more free and open to discuss work and workplace related issues. At the same time, in order to positively affect knowledge-sharing attitudes, rewards are emphasized as a driving force to accelerate the process from intention to behavior through practical motivational tools such as: bonuses, recognition, honor, promotion, etc., in general, tools that have both material and spiritual impacts. Issues related to rights and recognition of sharing of any kind of knowledge must take place in an organization that upholds fairness to all people, this is also an important premise of the intention leading to knowledge sharing behavior in the hotel context. Workers pay great attention to the fairness, they are well aware that the higher the level of fairness in the organization, the more likely they will develop knowledge-sharing behavior. When direct managers have an open leadership style, build a friendly, supportive working environment, share responsibility, give autonomy to employees, and overcome the autocratic leadership style and supervision, it will be the key to breaking down barriers affecting the knowledge sharing behavior of subordinates. It will contribute to build trust, connect responsibility, create motivation and promote knowledge sharing

behavior to take place more often. Moreover, the leadership style as a role model has a direct influence on arousing the sense of boldness in exchanging personal knowledge as well as the willingness to learn and absorb knowledge through the exploitation and discovery of the knowledge source of oneself and other individuals by the act of sharing knowledge. Individuals who perceive respect and trust for their organization will increase their feelings of attachment, thereby tending to develop themselves towards the common goals of the organization. As a result, there will be a close correlation between the emotional commitment, the responsibility of the employees to the organization, the commitment that acts as a catalyst to facilitate the response of the individual's knowledge-sharing behavior with their colleagues and their organization.

6. Conclusion

Although there are a number of meaningful contributions from diverse perspectives to the problem of identifying motivational factors for knowledge sharing behavior in the context of the hospitality industry. Research results show that internal motivational factors (Attitude, Reward) have a stronger impact than external motivational factors (Leadership, organizational commitment). However, this study has only exploited the aspect of personal motivation, not deeply identified the relationship between knowledge sharing behavior and motivational factors from the organization. The results of the regression analysis show that the explanation level of 05 independent factors through the coefficient of determination is 52.5%, meaning that the impact on the tacit knowledge sharing behavior has not been fully explained, the rest are other factors not mentioned in the research model.

Further studies are needed to more fully measure motivational factors at both individual and organizational levels such as: learning goals, beliefs, environment, organizational culture... Future meta-analytical studies can overcome the shortcomings by conducting least squares regression analysis with the collected data extending in scope and space in many 5-star cities for the hotel system or across the country.

References

1. Afsar, B., Safdar, U., Dost, M., & Ali, Z. (2017). Flight attendant's knowledge sharing, innovative work behaviour, and new service development. *International Journal of Work Innovation*, 2(2/3), 193-215.
2. Bui Thi Thanh (2014), "Factors affecting knowledge sharing behavior with colleagues of lecturers in universities", *Journal of Economics and Development*, 199, 71 - 79.
3. Chen, W. J., & Cheng, H. Y. (2012). Factors affecting the knowledge sharing attitude of hotel service personnel. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 31(2), 468-476.
4. Guan, X.H., Xie, L., Huan, T.C., 2018. Customer knowledge sharing, creativity and value co-creation: a triad model of hotels, corporate sales employees and their customers. *Int. J. Contemp. Hosp. Manag.* 30 (2), 961–979.
5. Huang, C.E., Liu, C.H., 2019. Impacts of social capital and knowledge acquisition on service innovation: an integrated empirical analysis of the role of shared values. *J. Hosp. Mark. Manag.* 28 (5), 645–664.
6. Hsu IC. Knowledge sharing practices as a facilitating factor for improving organizational performance through human capital: A preliminary test. *Expert Systems with Applications* 2008;35(3):1316-1326; Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2007.08.012>.
7. Holste J, Fields D. Trust and tacit knowledge sharing and use. *J Knowledge Management* 2010;14(1):128-140; Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1108/13673271011015615>.

8. Mishra, M., & Pandey, A. (2019). The impact of leadership styles on knowledge-sharing behavior: A review of literature. *Development and Learning in Organizations: An International Journal*, 33(1), 16-19.
8. Nonaka I. & Takeuchi H. (1995). *The knowledge-creating company*, Oxford University Press, New York.
10. Wang Z, Wang N, Liang H. Knowledge sharing, intellectual capital and firm performance. *Management Decision* 2014;52(2):230-258;Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-02-2013-0064>.
11. Olalla FM. The resource-based theory and human resources. *International Advances in Economic Research* 1999;5(1):84- 92;Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02295034>.
12. Barney J. Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage. *Journal of Management* 1991;17(1):99-120;Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108>.
13. Pham Quoc Trung, Lac Thai Phuoc (2014), Improving knowledge sharing motivation of employees of power construction consulting joint stock company 3, *Journal of Science*, Ho Chi Minh City Open University.

NOT FOR SALE



978-604 79-3782-0

ISBN: 978-604-79-3782-0

HO CHI MINH CITY UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMICS AND FINANCE

141 - 145 Dien Bien Phu, Ward 15, Binh Thanh District, HCM City

Website: uef.edu.vn - Hotline: (028) 5422 6666 * (028) 5422 5555