Notes on "Finite-Dimensional Vector Spaces" by Paul R. Halmos

September 23, 2022

Each \section corresponds to the scope of one member's assignment, and each \subsection corresponds to one theorem or exercise in the textbook, specified in the format m.n where m is the section number and n is the theorem/exercise number. If n is not given, we use n=1 instead.

1 Toga (2022/09/19)

1.1 Exercise 1.1

(a) Since addition is commutative, $0+\alpha=\alpha+0$ holds. We also have $\alpha+0=\alpha$ by definition, hence $0+\alpha=\alpha$.

2 Mohehe

2.1 Exercise 1.1

- (b) Since addition is commutative, $(\alpha+\beta)+(-\alpha)=(\beta+\alpha)+(-\alpha)$ holds. We have $(\beta+\alpha)+(-\alpha)=\beta+(\alpha+(-\alpha))$ because addition is associative. We obtain $\beta+(\alpha+(-\alpha))=\beta+0$ by definition. We also have $\beta+0=\beta$ because of definition, hence $(\alpha+\beta)+(-\alpha)=\beta$. Since addition is commutative, $(\alpha+\gamma)+(-\alpha)=(\gamma+\alpha)+(-\alpha)$ holds. We have $(\gamma+\alpha)+(-\alpha)=\gamma+(\alpha+(-\alpha))$ because addition is associative. We obtain $\gamma+(\alpha+(-\alpha))=\gamma+0$ by definition. We also have $\gamma+0=\gamma$ because of definition, thus $(\alpha+\gamma)+(-\alpha)=\gamma$. In addition, we have $(\alpha+\beta)+(-\alpha)=(\alpha+\gamma)+(-\alpha)$, therefore $\beta=\gamma$.
- (c) We obtain $\alpha + (\beta \alpha) = \alpha + (\beta + (-\alpha))$ because of the sentence in the problems. Since addition is commutative, $\alpha + (\beta + (-\alpha)) = (\beta + (-\alpha)) + \alpha$ holds. We have $(\beta + (-\alpha)) + \alpha = \beta + ((-\alpha) + \alpha)$ because addition is associative. We obtain $\beta + ((-\alpha) + \alpha) = \beta + (\alpha + (-\alpha))$ because addition is commutive. In additon, the definition leads $\beta + (\alpha + (-\alpha)) = \beta + 0$. We also have $\beta + 0 = \beta$, hence $\alpha + (\beta \alpha) = \beta$.

- (d) We have $\alpha \cdot (\beta + (-\beta)) = \alpha \cdot 0$ by the definition of addition. We obtain $\alpha \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot \alpha$ because multipulication is commutative. The definition of multiplication leads $\alpha \cdot (\beta + (-\beta)) = \alpha\beta + \alpha(-\beta)$. Since multiplication is commutative, $\alpha\beta + \alpha(-\beta) = \beta\alpha + (-\beta)\alpha$. We obtain $\beta\alpha + (-\beta)\alpha = \beta\alpha + (-1)\beta\alpha$ by exercises1.(e) We also have $\beta\alpha + (-1)\beta\alpha = \beta\alpha + (-1)(\beta\alpha)$ because multiplication is associative. Exercises1.(e) leads $\beta\alpha + (-1)(\beta\alpha) = \beta\alpha + (-\beta\alpha)$. We have $\beta\alpha + (-\beta\alpha) = 0$ by the definition of addition, hence $\alpha \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot \alpha = 0$ holds.
- (e) We have $(-1)\alpha = (-\alpha\alpha^{-1})\alpha$ by the definition of multiplication. Since multiplication is associative, $(-\alpha\alpha^{-1})\alpha = (-\alpha)(\alpha^{-1}\alpha)$. We obtain $(-\alpha)(\alpha^{-1}\alpha) = (-\alpha)1$ by the definition of multiplication. We also have $(-\alpha)1 = -\alpha$ by the definition of multiplication, thus $(-1)\alpha = -\alpha$ holds.
- (f) We have $(-\alpha)(-\beta) = ((-1)(\alpha))(-1)(\beta)$ by exercise 1(e) We obtain $((-1)(\alpha))(-1)(\beta) = ((\alpha)(-1))(-1)(\beta)$ because multiplication is commutative. We have $((\alpha)(-1))(-1)(\beta) = (\alpha)((-1)(-1))(\beta)$ because multiplication is associative. We obtain $(\alpha)((-1)(-1))(\beta) = (\alpha)((-1)(-1)^{-1})(\beta)$ because $-1 = (-1)^{-1}$ We have $(\alpha)((-1)(-1)^{-1})(\beta) = (\alpha 1)(\beta)$ by the definition of multiplication. We obtain $(\alpha 1)(\beta) = \alpha\beta$ by the definition of multiplication, thus, $(-\alpha)(-\beta) = \alpha\beta$.
- (g) If $\beta \neq 0$, we have $(\alpha\beta)\beta^{-1} = \alpha(\beta\beta^{-1})$ because multiplication is associative. We obtain $\alpha(\beta\beta^{-1}) = \alpha 1$ by the definition of multiplication. We have $\alpha 1 = \alpha$ by the definition of multiplication. We obtain $0 \cdot \beta^{-1} = 0$ by exercises1(d). thus if $\beta \neq 0$, $\alpha = 0$. If $\alpha \neq 0$, we have $(\alpha\beta)\alpha^{-1} = (\beta\alpha)\alpha^{-1}$ because multiplication is commutative. We obtain $(\beta\alpha)\alpha^{-1} = \beta(\alpha\alpha^{-1})$ because multiplication is associative. We have $\beta(\alpha\alpha^{-1}) = \beta 1$ by the definition of multiplication. We have $\beta 1 = \beta$ by the definition of multiplication. We obtain $0 \cdot \beta^{-1} = 0$ by exercises1(d). thus if $\alpha \neq 0$, $\beta = 0$. If $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 0$, $\alpha\beta = 0$ by exercise1.(d) Therefore, If $\alpha\beta = 0$, then either $\alpha = 0$ or $\beta = 0$ (or both)

3 Joh (2022/09/19)

3.1 Exercise 1.2

- (a) The set of positive integers is not a field since there is no additive inverse for 1.
- (b) The set of integers is not a field since there is no multiplicative inverse for 2.
- (c) There exists a bijective map φ from \mathbb{N} (or \mathbb{Z}) to \mathbb{Q} [1], where \mathbb{Q} is a field [2]. We can make \mathbb{N} a field by re-defining (i) addition by $a \oplus b = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(a) + \varphi(b))$ and (ii) multiplication by $a \otimes b = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(a)\varphi(b))$ for each $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that the additive and multiplicative identities become $\varphi^{-1}(0)$ and $\varphi^{-1}(1)$, respectively. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, the additive inverse becomes

 $\varphi^{-1}(-\varphi(\alpha))$, and the multiplicative inverse becomes $\varphi^{-1}(1/\varphi(\alpha))$ if $\alpha \neq \varphi^{-1}(0)$.

References

- $[1] \ \mathtt{https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Rational_Numbers_are_Countably_Infinite}$
- $[2] \ \mathtt{https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Rational_Numbers_form_Field}$