DUN Vignette

Dom, Uwe, Niti
2016

1 Introduction

This vignete will show our exact methods used for in-class Kaggle Online news popularity competition. The raw data is split into 30,000 * 62 training set and 9,644 * 61 test set. This vignette will use a subsample of these sets.

Our final model is based on a quite popular multi-layered approach. In the first layer we used fixed five folds to create metafeatures using 4 different classifiers. Metafeatures for the test set were created by training on the full train set. We considered several different classifiers for the first level and in the end kept the following:

- Random Forest (1000 trees, probability output)
- Xgboost (250 rounds, softprob, optimised using grid search in caret package)
- AdaBoost (250 rounds, maboost package)
- Multinomial logistic regression (glmnet package)

In the second layer we again optimize parameters of Xgboost using only metafeatures created in the first layer. We do the same for h2o Neural Net. Both models are then trained using the optimal parameters and with the softprob output.

In the third layer we use arithmetic/geometric averaging to combine Xgboost and Neural Networks to produce the final classification.

2 Load data

```
trainfull <- read.csv("news_popularity_training.csv", stringsAsFactors=FALSE)
test <- read.csv("news_popularity_test.csv", stringsAsFactors=FALSE)</pre>
```

Store test set id column needed later for creating the submission file.

```
idcol <- test[,1]</pre>
```

Remove id and url columns.

```
trainfull <- trainfull[,-c(1,2)]
test <- test[,-c(1,2)]</pre>
```

Transform target variable into a factor.

```
trainfull$popularity <- as.factor(trainfull$popularity)</pre>
```

Label frequency of full train set:

```
round(table(trainfull$popularity)/nrow(trainfull))
```

```
##
## 1 2 3 4 5
## 0 0 0 0 0
```

For the purpose of this vignette we will subsample 1347 rows from the trainset.

```
library(dplyr)
```

```
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'
## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
##
       filter, lag
## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
##
       intersect, setdiff, setequal, union
set.seed(2949)
a1 <- sample_n(filter(trainfull,popularity==1), size=400)
a2 <- sample_n(filter(trainfull,popularity==2), size=600)
a3 <- sample_n(filter(trainfull,popularity==3), size=200)
a4 <- sample_n(filter(trainfull,popularity==4), size=100)
a5 <- sample_n(filter(trainfull,popularity==5), size=47)
train <- rbind(a1,a2,a3,a4,a5)
```

For these examples we increase ratios for class label 4 and 5 in order to keep the set smaller and all of our models working.

```
round(table(train$popularity)/nrow(train))
```

3 Creating metafeatures for layer 1

Random Forest layer 1

randomForest 4.6-12

Next we run random forest and create first set of metafeatures. Our function splits data into 5 folds, trains on 4 and predicts on 1. We used 1000 trees per fold on the full trainset.

```
rfmetatrain <- DUN::fmeta.rf(train, trees = 30, verbose = FALSE)</pre>
```

```
## Type rfNews() to see new features/changes/bug fixes.
##
## Attaching package: 'randomForest'
## The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr':
##
       combine
## [1] "No test set, cross validating train set."
## Loading required package: lattice
## Loading required package: ggplot2
##
## Attaching package: 'ggplot2'
## The following object is masked from 'package:randomForest':
##
##
       {\tt margin}
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 1
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 2
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 3
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 4
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 5
rfmetatest <- DUN::fmeta.rf(train,test = test,trees = 30, verbose = FALSE)
## [1] "test set loaded, learning on train and predicting on test"
Head:
head(rfmetatrain)
          rfp1
                    rfp2
                               rfp3
                                           rfp4
                                                      rfp5 rflabel
## 1 0.5000000 0.3666667 0.06666667 0.03333333 0.03333333
                                                                 1
## 2 0.1666667 0.4333333 0.23333333 0.03333333 0.13333333
                                                                 2
## 3 0.1333333 0.5000000 0.23333333 0.10000000 0.03333333
                                                                 2
## 4 0.4000000 0.5000000 0.06666667 0.00000000 0.03333333
                                                                 2
## 5 0.7666667 0.2000000 0.00000000 0.03333333 0.00000000
                                                                 1
## 6 0.3000000 0.6000000 0.10000000 0.00000000 0.00000000
                                                                 2
```

head(rfmetatest)

```
##
                                             rfp5 rflabel
        rfp1
                 rfp2
                          rfp3
                                    rfp4
## 1 0.2333333 0.5333333 0.10000000 0.13333333 0.00000000
                                                       2
## 2 0.4000000 0.3333333 0.16666667 0.06666667 0.03333333
                                                       1
                                                       2
## 3 0.2333333 0.3000000 0.20000000 0.20000000 0.06666667
1
## 5 0.3666667 0.5000000 0.10000000 0.03333333 0.00000000
                                                       2
## 6 0.1333333 0.4000000 0.30000000 0.03333333 0.13333333
                                                       2
```

Notice that the sixth column is predicted label. This will not be used as a metafeature later on.

```
rfmetatrain <- rfmetatrain[,1:5]
rfmetatest <- rfmetatest[,1:5]</pre>
```

Xgboost layer 1

Now create meta features with Xgboost. These are created on same fixed five folds. Xgboost parameters were optimised using caret package grid search. Please refer to the vignette appendix.

```
xgmetatrain <- DUN::fmeta.xgb(train,nrounds = 30, verbose = 0)</pre>
##
## Attaching package: 'xgboost'
## The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr':
##
##
       slice
## [1] "No test set, cross validating train set."
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 1
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 2
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 3
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 4
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 5
## [1] "fold looping complete"
xgmetatest <- DUN::fmeta.xgb(train, test = test, nrounds = 30, verbose = 0)</pre>
```

Print head:

[1] "test set loaded, learning on train and predicting on test"

head(xgmetatrain)

```
## xgbp1 xgbp2 xgbp3 xgbp4 xgbp5

## 1 0.3067535 0.1128550 0.1408965 0.1122514 0.4101729

## 2 0.2023819 0.3287976 0.2788310 0.2161369 0.3141597

## 3 0.1962564 0.1252225 0.1204360 0.1646375 0.3873491

## 4 0.3747770 0.1736327 0.1160845 0.1437512 0.1519284

## 5 0.2967321 0.4243000 0.1248465 0.1097733 0.1703701

## 6 0.1736208 0.2461592 0.1941922 0.1410360 0.1359991
```

head(xgmetatest)

```
## xgbp1 xgbp2 xgbp3 xgbp4 xgbp5
## 1 0.2615191 0.3318696 0.1522494 0.1325101 0.1218518
## 2 0.2603344 0.3052537 0.1718682 0.1429314 0.1196121
## 3 0.2708087 0.1722364 0.1905442 0.2429086 0.1235021
## 4 0.4219496 0.2118131 0.1265353 0.1237301 0.1159721
## 5 0.2912273 0.3036822 0.1527278 0.1291661 0.1231965
## 6 0.2095685 0.2663572 0.1956177 0.1852869 0.1431697
```

maboost(AdaBoost) layer 1

Next create metafeatures on same folds with AdaBoost. We use maboost package which enables multiclass AdaBoost.

```
mabmetatrain <- DUN::fmeta.mab(train,rounds = 30)</pre>
```

```
## Loading required package: rpart
## Loading required package: C50
## [1] "No test set, cross validating train set."
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 1
## [1] "Multiclass boosting is selected"
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 2
## [1] "Multiclass boosting is selected"
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 3
## [1] "Multiclass boosting is selected"
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 4
## [1] "Multiclass boosting is selected"
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 5
## [1] "Multiclass boosting is selected"
```

```
mabmetatest <- DUN::fmeta.mab(train,test = test, rounds = 30)</pre>
## [1] "test set loaded, learning on train and predicting on test"
## [1] "Multiclass boosting is selected"
Print head:
head(mabmetatrain)
##
         mabp1
                   mabp2
                              mabp3
                                         mabp4
                                                    mabp5
## 1 0.4572706 0.3733595 0.11009286 0.05927710 0.00000000 0.0005181254
## 2 0.2713871 0.3875300 0.18977748 0.09426166 0.05704379 0.0002834809
## 3 0.2562182 0.3443722 0.36286118 0.03654840 0.00000000 0.0002848129
## 4 0.2835928 0.4755093 0.18364732 0.04109925 0.01615134 0.0003152426
## 5 0.5118863 0.3302087 0.07616845 0.08173654 0.00000000 0.0005800095
## 6 0.4571852 0.3718660 0.06554734 0.07943201 0.02596946 0.0005082084
            mabF2
                         mabF3
                                      mabF4
## 1 0.0004230472 1.247443e-04 6.716586e-05 0.000000e+00
## 2 0.0004047995 1.982346e-04 9.846225e-05 5.958584e-05
## 3 0.0003828052 4.033576e-04 4.062731e-05 0.000000e+00
## 4 0.0005285775 2.041429e-04 4.568605e-05 1.795388e-05
## 5 0.0003741538 8.630516e-05 9.261426e-05 0.000000e+00
## 6 0.0004133674 7.286262e-05 8.829686e-05 2.886772e-05
head(mabmetatest)
          mabp1
                    mabp2
                               mabp3
                                          mabp4 mabp5
                                                              mabF1
## 1 0.47600402 0.2927019 0.18587365 0.04542045
                                                     0 3.594168e-04
## 2 0.41157420 0.4208645 0.10616159 0.06139968
                                                     0 3.107677e-04
## 3 0.33245581 0.2103433 0.21378307 0.24341781
                                                     0 2.510277e-04
## 4 0.62062616 0.3579223 0.02145151 0.00000000
                                                     0 4.686167e-04
## 5 0.34835601 0.5385614 0.09163106 0.02145151
                                                     0 2.630335e-04
## 6 0.03478679 0.3939962 0.33691926 0.23429775
                                                     0 2.626649e-05
##
            mabF2
                                      mabF4 mabF5
                         mabF3
## 1 0.0002210107 1.403478e-04 3.429566e-05
## 2 0.0003177826 8.015952e-05 4.636111e-05
                                                 0
```

First 5 columns are probability class estimates. Columns 6:10 are ensamble averages produces by selecting type="F" in predict.maboost. These have 0.99 correlation with class probabilities and we use only columns 1:5 further on.

0

0

0

0

```
mabmetatrain <- mabmetatrain[,1:5]
mabmetatest <- mabmetatest[,1:5]</pre>
```

Multinomial Logistic layer 1

3 0.0001588241 1.614214e-04 1.837977e-04

4 0.0002702567 1.619741e-05 0.000000e+00

5 0.0004066520 6.918795e-05 1.619741e-05

6 0.0002974951 2.543979e-04 1.769114e-04

Next we create metafeatures with multinomial logistic regression. We use glmnet package.

```
glmmetatrain <- DUN::fmeta.glm(train)</pre>
## Loading required package: Matrix
## Loading required package: foreach
## Loaded glmnet 2.0-4
## [1] "No test set, cross validating train set."
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 1
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 2
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 3
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 4
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 5
glmmetatest <- DUN::fmeta.glm(train,test = test)</pre>
## [1] "test set loaded, learning on train and predicting on test"
Print head:
head(glmmetatrain)
##
         glmp1
                   glmp2
                              glmp3
                                         glmp4
                                                    glmp5
## 1 0.3821564 0.3901132 0.1310679 0.06553396 0.03112863
## 2 0.3140781 0.4021883 0.1638895 0.08194469 0.03789941
## 3 0.3169875 0.4576818 0.1316698 0.06317013 0.03049089
## 4 0.4652534 0.3584626 0.1042513 0.04887125 0.02316145
## 5 0.3899101 0.3780845 0.1335283 0.06676414 0.03171297
## 6 0.3213549 0.4529156 0.1334084 0.06285855 0.02946264
head(glmmetatest)
##
         glmp1
                   glmp2
                             glmp3
                                         glmp4
                                                    glmp5
## 1 0.1700220 0.5101357 0.1843472 0.09217354 0.04332155
## 2 0.3138844 0.4085017 0.1600080 0.08000397 0.03760186
## 3 0.3562509 0.3501097 0.1692447 0.08462227 0.03977246
## 4 0.4046689 0.3767434 0.1259872 0.06299354 0.02960696
## 5 0.3121061 0.4352565 0.1456124 0.07280615 0.03421888
## 6 0.1653496 0.4920719 0.1974517 0.09872577 0.04640110
```

4 Training layer 2 models

First combine metafeatures from the first layer which are used for training models in the second layer.

```
metatrain2 <- data.frame(train$popularity,rfmetatrain,xgmetatrain,mabmetatrain, glmmetatrain)
names(metatrain2)[1]<-"popularity"
metatest2 <- data.frame(rfmetatest,xgmetatest,mabmetatest,glmmetatest)</pre>
```

Xgboost layer 2

h2o Neural networks layer 2

```
library(h2o)
```

```
## Loading required package: statmod
##
      ______
## --
## Your next step is to start H20:
##
     > h2o.init()
##
## For H2O package documentation, ask for help:
##
     > ??h2o
##
## After starting H2O, you can use the Web UI at http://localhost:54321
## For more information visit http://docs.h2o.ai
## --
## Attaching package: 'h2o'
```

```
## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
##
      sd, var
## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
##
      %*%, apply, as.factor, as.numeric, colnames, colnames<-,
##
      ifelse, %in%, is.factor, is.numeric, log, trunc
localH20 = h2o.init(nthreads=-1)
## Successfully connected to http://127.0.0.1:54321/
##
## R is connected to the H2O cluster:
##
      H2O cluster uptime:
                                  6 hours 45 minutes
##
      H2O cluster version:
                                  3.6.0.8
##
      H2O cluster name:
                                  H2O_started_from_R_fizlaz_ete054
##
      H2O cluster total nodes:
                                  1
      H2O cluster total memory: 1.71 GB
##
##
      H2O cluster total cores:
                                  4
##
      H2O cluster allowed cores: 4
                                  TRUE
##
      H2O cluster healthy:
data_train_h <- as.h2o(metatrain2,destination_frame = "h2o_data_train")</pre>
##
                                                                       0%
  |-----| 100%
data_test_h <- as.h2o(metatest2,destination_frame = "h2o_data_test")</pre>
##
                                                                       0%
y <- "popularity"
x <- setdiff(names(data_train_h), y)</pre>
data_train_h[,y] <- as.factor(data_train_h[,y])</pre>
model <- h2o.deeplearning(x = x,
                         y = y,
                         training_frame = data_train_h,
                         #validation\_frame = data\_test\_h,
                         distribution = "multinomial",
                         activation = "RectifierWithDropout",
                         hidden = c(20,20),
```

```
input_dropout_ratio = 0.2,
11 = 1e-7,
epochs = 10)
```

Are you sure you want to shutdown the H2O instance running at http://127.0.0.1:54321/ (Y/N)?

5 Third and final layer

In this layer we perform arithmetic and geometric averaging of second layer model predictions.

Head of 2nd layer probability class estimates.

```
head(xgbprob)
```

```
## [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5]

## [1,] 0.1988432 0.2752962 0.1830504 0.1733799 0.1694303

## [2,] 0.2292054 0.2377290 0.1851720 0.1768383 0.1710552

## [3,] 0.2106026 0.2211210 0.2000915 0.1918101 0.1763749

## [4,] 0.2610941 0.2204228 0.1774678 0.1722736 0.1687417

## [5,] 0.2579787 0.2309289 0.1725481 0.1687019 0.1698423

## [6,] 0.1777316 0.2476686 0.2114745 0.1800035 0.1831217
```

head(nnprob)

```
## p1 p2 p3 p4 p5
## [1,] 0.1727634 0.5868556 0.1672967 0.05668046 0.01640378
## [2,] 0.2038018 0.5435235 0.1658827 0.06474900 0.02204297
## [3,] 0.1925892 0.5301504 0.1742118 0.07847809 0.02457050
## [4,] 0.2585044 0.4684082 0.2028133 0.05068608 0.01958799
## [5,] 0.1992099 0.5342041 0.1890917 0.05927692 0.01821735
## [6,] 0.1570708 0.5520594 0.1694536 0.09586509 0.02555114
```

Arithmetic average

Optimal weights were computed using the avg.arit function found in the appendix.

```
arit <- function(vec){
  pred <- which.max(vec[1:5]*(0.76) + vec[6:10]*(0.24))
  return(pred)
}

combined <- cbind(xgbprob,nnprob)
finallabelsarit <- apply(combined,1,arit)
head(finallabelsarit)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 2 2 2 2 2 2
```

Geometric average

Optimal weights were computed using the avg.geom function found in the appendix.

```
geom <- function(vec){
  pred <- which.max(vec[1:5]^(0.76) * vec[6:10]^(0.24))
  return(pred)
}

combined <- cbind(xgbprob,nnprob)
finallabelsgeom <- apply(combined,1,geom)
head(finallabelsgeom)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 2 2 2 2 2 2
```

Creating submission files

```
submissionarit <- data.frame(id = idcol)
submissionarit$popularity <- finallabelsarit
write.csv(submissionarit, file = "arithmetic_r_gsenews.csv", row.names=FALSE)
submissiongeom <- data.frame(id = idcol)
submissiongeom$popularity <- finallabelsgeom
write.csv(submissiongeom, file = "geometric_r_gsenews.csv", row.names=FALSE)</pre>
```

6 Appendix

Optimising Xgboost

Below code is not executed for this document as it takes some time to run. On the full train set it can easily run for more than 3 hours.

```
library(caret)
library(xgboost)
library(readr)
library(dplyr)
library(tidyr)
```

```
df_train <- train
# set up the cross-validated hyper-parameter search
xgb_grid_1 = expand.grid(
 nrounds = c(150, 200, 250, 300),
  eta = c(0.03, 0.01, 0.001),
 \max_{depth} = c(2, 4, 6),
 gamma = c(0,1),
 colsample_bytree = c(0.6, 0.8, 1),
                                       \#default=1
  min_child_weight = 1 #default=1
# pack the training control parameters
xgb_trcontrol_1 = trainControl(
 method = "cv",
 number = 5,
  verboseIter = TRUE,
 returnData = FALSE,
 returnResamp = "all",
                                               # save losses across all models
                                         # set to TRUE for AUC to be computed
 classProbs = TRUE,
  #summaryFunction = twoClassSummary,
  summaryFunction = defaultSummary,
  allowParallel = TRUE
)
z <- unlist(lapply("Label", paste0, df_train$popularity ))</pre>
xgb_train_1 = train(
 x = as.matrix(df_train %>%
                  select(-popularity)),
 y = as.factor(z),
  trControl = xgb_trcontrol_1,
 tuneGrid = xgb_grid_1,
  method = "xgbTree"
```

Arithmetic and Geometric averaging

Arithmetic averaging

```
arithmeticaverage <- DUN::avg.arit(xgmetatrain,rfmetatrain, label = train$popularity, iter = 11)

## [1] 0

## [1] 0.1

## [1] 0.2

## [1] 0.3

## [1] 0.4

## [1] 0.5

## [1] 0.6

## [1] 0.7

## [1] 0.8</pre>
```

```
## [1] 0.9
## [1] 1
arithmeticaverage
##
         [,1] [,2]
                         [,3]
##
         0.2
               0.8 0.4357832
    [1,]
##
    [2,]
          0.5
               0.5 0.4357832
##
    [3,]
          0.4
               0.6 0.4350408
   [4,]
##
          0.3
               0.7 0.4342984
##
    [5,]
          0.0
               1.0 0.4320713
##
    [6,]
          0.1
               0.9 0.4320713
##
    [7,]
          0.6
               0.4 0.4305865
##
    [8,]
          0.7
               0.3 0.3845583
##
    [9,]
          0.8
               0.2 0.3221975
## [10,]
          0.9
               0.1 0.2613215
## [11,]
          1.0 0.0 0.2078693
Geometric averaging
geometricaverage <- DUN::avg.geom(xgmetatrain,rfmetatrain,label = train$popularity, iter = 11)</pre>
## [1] 0
## [1] 0.1
## [1] 0.2
## [1] 0.3
## [1] 0.4
## [1] 0.5
## [1] 0.6
## [1] 0.7
## [1] 0.8
## [1] 0.9
## [1] 1
geometricaverage
##
         [,1] [,2]
                         [,3]
    [1,] 0.4 0.6 0.4380104
##
##
    [2,]
         0.3
               0.7 0.4365256
##
    [3,]
          0.2
               0.8 0.4357832
    [4,]
          0.5
               0.5 0.4335561
##
    [5,]
               1.0 0.4320713
          0.0
##
    [6,]
               0.9 0.4320713
          0.1
##
    [7,]
          0.6
               0.4 0.4105419
##
    [8,]
          0.7
               0.3 0.3704529
    [9,]
          0.8
               0.2 0.3125464
## [10,]
          0.9
               0.1 0.2724573
```

Cross validation function example

1.0 0.0 0.2078693

[11,]

Below is the example of our Random forest 5-fold cross validation function.

```
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 1
## [1] NA NA NA NA NA
## ntree
              00B
                              2
                       1
                                      3
##
           67.65% 58.40% 62.01% 83.82%100.00% 88.89%
##
           66.30% 60.50% 59.07% 84.16% 91.67% 78.57%
##
           65.36% 60.83% 55.46% 83.90% 93.22% 95.65%
##
           65.96% 61.85% 56.68% 85.38% 92.54% 84.62%
           62.94% 62.46% 50.70% 82.84% 90.00% 90.00%
##
##
           62.70% 62.37% 50.78% 80.14% 86.84% 96.97%
##
           63.42% 64.17% 48.59% 84.25% 92.31%100.00%
           61.21% 62.18% 46.14% 84.00% 87.34% 94.59%
##
##
           61.14% 61.39% 45.44% 86.75% 89.87% 92.11%
           61.31% 63.84% 44.42% 85.16% 89.87% 94.74%
##
##
           61.27% 61.44% 44.96% 85.99% 91.14%100.00%
           60.39% 61.13% 42.77% 84.91% 95.00%100.00%
##
##
           59.12% 61.44% 40.59% 84.28% 92.50% 97.37%
##
           58.27% 60.94% 39.54% 84.38% 90.00% 94.74%
           58.68% 62.81% 38.62% 85.62% 91.25% 94.74%
##
           59.24% 63.12% 38.62% 88.12% 95.00% 89.47%
##
           58.63% 62.19% 37.50% 91.25% 92.50% 86.84%
##
      17:
##
           59.74% 64.38% 38.54% 90.00% 92.50% 92.11%
##
           59.55% 63.44% 37.71% 91.88% 93.75% 94.74%
           58.16% 61.56% 37.08% 90.00% 92.50% 89.47%
##
      20:
##
           59.18% 63.44% 37.50% 90.00% 92.50% 97.37%
          57.88% 61.25% 36.46% 90.62% 92.50% 89.47%
##
##
      23: 58.07% 61.56% 35.83% 90.62% 95.00% 94.74%
##
           57.88% 60.31% 36.88% 90.62% 93.75% 89.47%
##
           58.07% 62.19% 36.46% 88.75% 93.75% 92.11%
##
           57.61% 61.56% 35.21% 91.25% 93.75% 89.47%
           57.51% 60.31% 35.00% 92.50% 93.75% 94.74%
##
      27:
##
           58.07% 60.00% 36.25% 92.50% 95.00% 94.74%
          58.16% 62.19% 35.00% 92.50% 95.00% 94.74%
##
           58.53% 62.19% 35.62% 92.50% 95.00% 97.37%
##
  Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
  Prediction 1
                  2 3
                           5
            1 29 30 11
##
                        6
                           0
##
            2 48 81 27 10
                           7
##
            3 2
                  6
                     2
                        3
##
            4 1
                  2
                     0
                        1
                           0
            5
##
               0
                  1
                     0
                        0
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
                  Accuracy: 0.4201
##
                    95% CI: (0.3604, 0.4815)
##
       No Information Rate: 0.4461
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.8211
##
```

cv <- DUN::cv.rf(train = train, tree = 30)</pre>

```
##
                     Kappa: 0.064
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
##
                        Class: 1 Class: 2 Class: 3 Class: 4 Class: 5
## Sensitivity
                                   0.6750 0.050000 0.050000 0.000000
                          0.3625
                                   0.3826 0.943231 0.987952 0.996154
## Specificity
                          0.7513
## Pos Pred Value
                          0.3816
                                   0.4682 0.133333 0.250000 0.000000
## Neg Pred Value
                          0.7358
                                   0.5938 0.850394 0.928302 0.966418
## Prevalence
                          0.2974
                                   0.4461 0.148699 0.074349 0.033457
                                   0.3011 0.007435 0.003717 0.000000
## Detection Rate
                          0.1078
## Detection Prevalence
                          0.2825
                                   0.6431 0.055762 0.014870 0.003717
                          0.5569
                                   0.5288 0.496616 0.518976 0.498077
## Balanced Accuracy
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 2
## [1] 0.4200743
                                             NA
                                                       NA
                        NA
                                  NA
                              2
## ntree
              00B
                       1
                                     3
                                             4
                                                    5
##
       1: 61.50% 57.02% 50.89% 81.67% 92.59% 90.00%
##
           63.31% 60.82% 52.25% 80.43% 92.45% 94.44%
           62.91% 59.83% 50.28% 84.82% 90.91% 96.30%
##
##
           64.07% 62.31% 49.88% 88.37% 92.96% 96.67%
           64.58% 62.94% 51.75% 83.80% 95.89% 96.67%
##
##
           63.71% 65.00% 48.65% 84.35% 93.51% 93.94%
##
           64.13% 61.18% 52.52% 83.55% 93.67% 91.18%
##
           61.13% 59.94% 47.30% 81.70% 92.41% 94.29%
##
           61.94% 61.59% 47.54% 81.82% 96.20% 91.67%
           60.09% 58.73% 45.86% 80.89% 92.50% 94.59%
##
           60.02% 60.82% 42.83% 83.54% 96.25% 94.59%
##
           61.62% 61.76% 44.65% 86.08% 98.75% 94.59%
##
##
           60.28% 60.62% 43.42% 84.28% 96.25% 94.59%
      13:
##
           59.94% 60.31% 42.08% 86.16% 97.50% 94.59%
           59.42% 59.38% 42.50% 84.38% 95.00% 94.59%
##
##
           60.07% 61.56% 42.29% 85.62% 93.75% 94.59%
      16:
##
           59.70% 62.50% 40.62% 85.62% 95.00% 94.59%
##
           59.61% 60.94% 40.83% 86.88% 96.25% 94.59%
##
           60.63% 61.88% 41.46% 90.62% 93.75% 97.30%
##
      20:
          59.42% 61.56% 38.96% 90.00% 95.00% 97.30%
           59.89% 64.06% 38.54% 91.25% 91.25% 97.30%
##
##
           59.89% 62.81% 39.17% 91.25% 92.50% 97.30%
##
           58.59% 62.19% 37.50% 88.75% 93.75% 94.59%
##
           59.05% 61.25% 38.54% 88.75% 96.25% 97.30%
           58.22% 59.69% 36.88% 90.62% 97.50% 97.30%
##
           57.75% 59.69% 35.42% 91.88% 97.50% 97.30%
##
           58.96% 61.88% 36.88% 93.12% 93.75% 97.30%
##
      28: 59.80% 61.56% 38.54% 93.12% 96.25% 97.30%
##
           58.68% 60.00% 37.71% 92.50% 95.00% 94.59%
##
      30: 57.94% 60.00% 35.83% 93.12% 95.00% 94.59%
  Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
## Prediction 1 2 3
##
            1 32 23 2
                        2
##
            2 44 92 34 17 7
```

```
##
               2 5 4 1
##
            4
                  0
                     0
               2
                        0
##
##
##
  Overall Statistics
##
##
                  Accuracy : 0.4815
                    95% CI: (0.4205, 0.5429)
##
##
       No Information Rate: 0.4444
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.1224
##
                     Kappa: 0.1471
##
##
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
  Statistics by Class:
##
##
                        Class: 1 Class: 2 Class: 3 Class: 4 Class: 5
## Sensitivity
                                   0.7667 0.10000 0.000000 0.200000
                                   0.3200 0.96087 0.992000 1.000000
## Specificity
                          0.8579
## Pos Pred Value
                          0.5424
                                   0.4742 0.30769 0.000000 1.000000
## Neg Pred Value
                          0.7725
                                   0.6316
                                           0.85992 0.925373 0.970149
## Prevalence
                          0.2963
                                   0.4444
                                           0.14815 0.074074 0.037037
                                            0.01481 0.000000 0.007407
## Detection Rate
                          0.1185
                                    0.3407
                                            0.04815 0.007407 0.007407
## Detection Prevalence
                          0.2185
                                   0.7185
## Balanced Accuracy
                          0.6289
                                   0.5433
                                          0.53043 0.496000 0.600000
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 3
  [1] 0.4200743 0.4814815
                                  NA
                                             NA
                                                       NA
                              2
  ntree
              00B
                                      3
                                             4
                       1
           67.40% 70.40% 56.42% 77.94% 92.59% 88.89%
##
           68.97% 72.59% 57.14% 82.47% 92.50% 94.12%
##
##
           66.29% 67.63% 55.10% 84.17% 85.71% 89.29%
##
           68.53% 69.81% 56.51% 87.60% 91.67% 90.62%
##
           68.27% 69.66% 55.81% 89.05% 91.04% 85.29%
##
           67.74% 66.56% 54.85% 90.48% 94.29% 91.67%
##
           65.21% 65.71% 51.42% 86.49% 90.79% 94.44%
##
           65.87% 65.93% 52.16% 87.92% 93.51% 91.67%
##
           65.28% 64.47% 50.75% 88.89% 96.20% 91.89%
##
           64.60% 64.89% 48.73% 91.03% 92.50% 92.11%
##
           63.74% 64.58% 47.79% 87.97% 93.75% 92.11%
##
           63.40% 64.38% 46.74% 89.24% 95.00% 89.47%
##
           62.85% 64.69% 45.70% 89.31% 92.50% 89.47%
           61.77% 62.19% 44.56% 89.94% 92.50% 92.11%
##
##
           61.87% 59.69% 45.62% 93.12% 95.00% 84.21%
           60.85% 57.50% 45.00% 90.00% 95.00% 94.74%
##
           61.97% 60.94% 44.17% 92.50% 95.00% 97.37%
##
##
      18:
           61.87% 61.88% 44.17% 90.00% 97.50% 92.11%
##
           60.30% 57.81% 43.54% 90.00% 96.25% 92.11%
##
           59.37% 58.44% 41.04% 91.25% 93.75% 92.11%
           59.18% 55.94% 41.04% 92.50% 96.25% 97.37%
##
##
           60.95% 59.06% 43.33% 91.88% 95.00% 97.37%
##
          59.37% 58.13% 40.21% 91.25% 97.50% 97.37%
##
          58.44% 58.75% 38.12% 91.25% 97.50% 92.11%
      25: 58.26% 59.38% 38.33% 89.38% 96.25% 89.47%
##
```

```
58.35% 57.19% 37.92% 94.38% 96.25% 94.74%
##
##
      27: 58.16% 58.75% 37.08% 91.88% 97.50% 94.74%
          59.74% 60.62% 39.17% 93.12% 96.25% 94.74%
##
      29: 57.61% 59.06% 35.00% 95.00% 96.25% 92.11%
##
           57.98% 58.44% 36.88% 93.75% 95.00% 92.11%
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
            Reference
## Prediction 1 2 3 4
##
            1 20 21 10 2
##
            2 55 96 27 17
            3 3 2 2
##
                       0 1
##
            4
              1 1 0
                       0
##
            5
              1
                 0 1 1
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
                  Accuracy: 0.4387
##
                    95% CI: (0.3785, 0.5002)
##
      No Information Rate: 0.4461
##
      P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.6196
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.0695
##
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
                        Class: 1 Class: 2 Class: 3 Class: 4 Class: 5
## Sensitivity
                                   0.8000 0.050000 0.00000 0.00000
                         0.25000
## Specificity
                         0.81481
                                   0.3087 0.973799
                                                    0.98394
                                                             0.98846
## Pos Pred Value
                         0.36364
                                   0.4824 0.250000
                                                    0.00000
                                                             0.00000
## Neg Pred Value
                         0.71963
                                   0.6571 0.854406
                                                    0.92453
                                                             0.96617
## Prevalence
                         0.29740
                                   0.4461 0.148699
                                                    0.07435
                                                             0.03346
                                                    0.00000
## Detection Rate
                         0.07435
                                   0.3569 0.007435
                                                             0.00000
## Detection Prevalence
                         0.20446
                                   0.7398 0.029740
                                                    0.01487
                                                             0.01115
## Balanced Accuracy
                                                             0.49423
                         0.53241
                                   0.5544 0.511900 0.49197
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 4
## [1] 0.4200743 0.4814815 0.4386617
                                                      NA
## ntree
              00B
                              2
                       1
                                     3
##
       1: 66.15% 61.16% 57.99% 88.33% 88.89% 70.00%
##
       2: 68.54% 61.73% 61.35% 88.54% 93.62% 83.33%
          68.71% 65.38% 59.22% 87.20% 92.73% 88.89%
##
##
          67.10% 65.09% 56.20% 86.76% 90.62% 93.75%
##
          66.56% 65.52% 53.70% 87.07% 92.86% 96.97%
          65.64% 59.60% 55.06% 88.00% 94.44% 97.14%
##
       6:
          64.33% 60.84% 51.86% 88.00% 93.33% 94.29%
##
       7:
##
       8: 64.59% 60.51% 51.61% 90.85% 93.59% 94.29%
##
      9: 64.02% 60.57% 51.17% 88.31% 93.75% 91.67%
##
      10: 64.09% 62.78% 49.15% 89.74% 92.50% 97.22%
##
      11: 65.05% 63.64% 50.00% 91.82% 95.00% 91.67%
##
      12: 63.62% 63.44% 47.17% 91.19% 95.00% 91.67%
##
      13: 63.66% 62.19% 47.39% 94.38% 91.25% 94.59%
      14: 62.49% 60.94% 46.67% 90.62% 92.50% 94.59%
##
```

```
15: 62.02% 62.50% 45.00% 92.50% 88.75% 89.19%
##
##
           63.32% 64.69% 45.83% 91.88% 93.75% 89.19%
           61.47% 63.44% 42.50% 91.25% 95.00% 89.19%
##
##
           61.65% 62.50% 42.50% 92.50% 96.25% 94.59%
##
           61.00% 63.12% 41.46% 91.88% 92.50% 94.59%
##
          59.89% 63.75% 39.58% 90.62% 90.00% 91.89%
           60.72% 61.88% 41.46% 91.88% 93.75% 94.59%
##
          59.70% 60.31% 41.25% 91.25% 90.00% 91.89%
##
##
           60.07% 62.81% 39.79% 93.12% 90.00% 91.89%
           61.28% 64.06% 41.67% 93.12% 90.00% 91.89%
##
##
           60.91% 63.75% 40.42% 95.00% 90.00% 91.89%
      26: 59.42% 62.19% 38.75% 93.12% 91.25% 89.19%
##
          61.10% 61.25% 42.29% 95.00% 92.50% 89.19%
##
      27:
      28: 60.35% 63.12% 40.42% 92.50% 91.25% 89.19%
##
##
      29: 60.54% 64.06% 40.00% 91.88% 93.75% 89.19%
          61.00% 65.31% 40.00% 92.50% 92.50% 91.89%
##
  Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
            Reference
## Prediction 1 2 3
##
            1 24 27
                    2
                        6
##
            2 52 87 35
            3 4
                  3 3
##
                        2
            4
              0
                  3
                     0
                        3
##
##
              0
                  0
                     0
                        1 0
## Overall Statistics
##
                  Accuracy: 0.4333
##
                    95% CI: (0.3734, 0.4948)
      No Information Rate: 0.4444
##
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.6652
##
##
                     Kappa : 0.0773
##
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
##
                        Class: 1 Class: 2 Class: 3 Class: 4 Class: 5
                                   0.7250 0.07500 0.15000 0.000000
## Sensitivity
                         0.30000
## Specificity
                         0.81579
                                   0.3200 0.94783 0.98800 0.996154
## Pos Pred Value
                         0.40678
                                   0.4603 0.20000 0.50000 0.000000
## Neg Pred Value
                                   0.5926 0.85490
                         0.73460
                                                   0.93561 0.962825
## Prevalence
                         0.29630
                                   0.4444
                                          0.14815
                                                    0.07407 0.037037
## Detection Rate
                         0.08889
                                   0.3222
                                           0.01111
                                                    0.01111 0.000000
## Detection Prevalence
                         0.21852
                                   0.7000
                                           0.05556
                                                    0.02222 0.003704
## Balanced Accuracy
                         0.55789
                                   0.5225 0.51141 0.56900 0.498077
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 5
## [1] 0.4200743 0.4814815 0.4386617 0.4333333
## ntree
              00B
                              2
                                     3
                                                   5
                       1
                                            4
       1: 60.54% 56.00% 49.16% 88.24% 77.78% 88.89%
##
##
       2: 63.02% 58.25% 54.61% 82.29% 85.71% 88.24%
       3: 62.73% 60.08% 55.59% 75.83% 83.33% 79.17%
##
```

```
63.10% 60.22% 52.12% 83.21% 87.88% 93.33%
##
##
           61.86% 58.33% 52.76% 80.00% 86.96% 87.10%
##
           63.48% 58.78% 54.70% 82.52% 87.84% 88.57%
##
           63.26% 58.84% 53.90% 80.00% 90.91% 91.89%
       7:
##
           62.57% 61.71% 50.00% 81.46% 92.31% 89.19%
##
           62.04% 59.62% 48.94% 83.12% 94.94% 91.89%
##
           62.73% 61.01% 48.63% 86.71% 93.75% 89.19%
           61.25% 60.31% 46.01% 85.44% 93.75% 91.89%
##
##
           61.36% 62.19% 44.56% 87.34% 95.00% 86.84%
##
           59.44% 59.69% 44.35% 82.39% 90.00% 86.84%
##
           60.09% 60.94% 43.10% 86.79% 91.25% 89.47%
           59.80% 63.12% 41.46% 85.53% 88.75% 94.74%
##
           61.56% 64.69% 42.29% 89.94% 93.75% 92.11%
##
##
           61.19% 61.56% 43.54% 90.57% 93.75% 89.47%
##
           60.67% 61.25% 42.71% 90.00% 91.25% 94.74%
##
      19:
           59.93% 61.88% 40.00% 91.88% 91.25% 94.74%
##
           60.39% 63.12% 39.79% 92.50% 92.50% 94.74%
##
           60.39% 62.50% 41.04% 90.62% 91.25% 94.74%
##
          59.18% 60.62% 39.38% 91.25% 93.75% 89.47%
          59.74% 61.88% 39.79% 90.62% 93.75% 92.11%
##
##
           60.11% 62.50% 39.79% 90.62% 96.25% 92.11%
##
           59.55% 60.31% 40.21% 91.25% 95.00% 89.47%
           58.44% 59.69% 37.92% 91.88% 95.00% 89.47%
##
##
           59.65% 61.56% 38.96% 93.75% 92.50% 92.11%
##
          59.74% 60.94% 40.21% 91.25% 95.00% 89.47%
          59.09% 60.31% 39.38% 91.88% 91.25% 92.11%
##
           60.11% 62.50% 39.79% 92.50% 93.75% 89.47%
   Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
## Prediction 1 2
                     3
##
            1 26 34
                     9
                        5
            2 49 79 29 15
##
            3 3
##
                 5
                    1
                        0
               2
##
            4
                  2
                     1
                        0
##
            5
              0
                  0
                     0
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
                  Accuracy: 0.4015
##
                    95% CI: (0.3424, 0.4627)
       No Information Rate: 0.4461
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.9378
##
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.0281
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
##
##
  Statistics by Class:
##
                        Class: 1 Class: 2 Class: 3 Class: 4 Class: 5
##
                                   0.6583 0.025000 0.00000 0.222222
## Sensitivity
                         0.32500
## Specificity
                         0.74603
                                   0.3356 0.960699 0.97992 1.000000
## Pos Pred Value
                         0.35135
                                   0.4438 0.100000 0.00000 1.000000
## Neg Pred Value
                                 0.5495 0.849421 0.92424 0.973783
                         0.72308
```

```
## Prevalence 0.29740 0.4461 0.148699 0.07435 0.033457

## Detection Rate 0.09665 0.2937 0.003717 0.00000 0.007435

## Detection Prevalence 0.27509 0.6617 0.037175 0.01859 0.007435

## Balanced Accuracy 0.53552 0.4970 0.492849 0.48996 0.611111
```

The output is accuracy per fold and average accuracy of all 5 folds.

cv

```
## $vec
## [1] 0.4200743 0.4814815 0.4386617 0.4333333 0.4014870
##
## $avg
## [1] 0.4350076
```