Vignette - Team DUN

Dom, Uwe, Niti March 2016

1 Introduction

In this vignette we present a detailed description of the methods used for the in-class Kaggle Online News Popularity competition. Our final model is based on a popular multi-layer approach, inspired by the winners Gilberto Titericz & Stanislav Semenov of the Otto Group Product Classification challenge on Kaggle. The solution is based on a two-fold approach: Feature engineering and Machine Learning techniques. The latter consists of a 3-layer learning architecture as shown in the picture below. The result on the private Kaggle scoreboard was 52.83% accuracy.

In the first layer we used five folds to create metafeatures using 4 different classifiers. The metafeatures for the test set were created by using all available training data. After a thorough investigation of different types of classifiers for the first level we decided to stick with the following list:

- Random Forest (1000 trees, probability output)
- Xgboost (250 rounds, softprob, optimised using grid search in caret package)
- AdaBoost (250 rounds, maboost package)
- Multinomial logistic regression (glmnet package)

In the second layer we again optimize parameters of Xgboost using only metafeatures created in the first layer. We do the same for h2o Neural Net. Both models are then trained using the optimal parameters and with the softprob output.

In the third layer we use arithmetic/geometric averaging to combine Xgboost and Neural Networks to produce the final classification.

2 Load data

```
trainfull <- read.csv("news_popularity_training.csv", stringsAsFactors=FALSE)
test <- read.csv("news_popularity_test.csv", stringsAsFactors=FALSE)</pre>
```

Store the test set id column as it is needed later for creating the submission file.

```
idcol <- test[,1]</pre>
```

Remove id and url columns.

```
trainfull <- trainfull[,-c(1,2)]
test <- test[,-c(1,2)]</pre>
```

Transform target variable into a factor.

```
trainfull$popularity <- as.factor(trainfull$popularity)</pre>
```

Label frequency of full train set:

round(table(trainfull\$popularity)/nrow(trainfull),3)

As mentioned above we will subsample just 1347 rows from the trainset. Due to this small sample size, we increase the proportion of label 4 and 5 to ensure proper functionality of the models used later. As a matter of fact, having too few labels of one kind could create problems in the cross validation part.

```
library(dplyr)
set.seed(2949)
a1 <- sample_n(filter(trainfull,popularity==1), size=400)
a2 <- sample_n(filter(trainfull,popularity==2), size=600)
a3 <- sample_n(filter(trainfull,popularity==3), size=200)
a4 <- sample_n(filter(trainfull,popularity==4), size=100)
a5 <- sample_n(filter(trainfull,popularity==5), size=47)
train <- rbind(a1,a2,a3,a4,a5)</pre>
```

```
round(table(train$popularity)/nrow(train),3)
```

```
## ## 1 2 3 4 5
## 0.297 0.445 0.148 0.074 0.035
```

3 Creating metafeatures for layer 1

Random Forest layer 1

Next, we run random forest and create first set of metafeatures. Our function splits data into 5 folds, trains on 4 and predicts on 1. We used 1000 trees per fold on the full trainset.

```
rfmetatrain <- DUN::fmeta.rf(train, trees = 30, verbose = FALSE)

## [1] "No test set, cross validating train set."
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 1
## [1] 2
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 3
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 4
## [1] 4
## [1] 5

rfmetatest <- DUN::fmeta.rf(train,test = test,trees = 30, verbose = FALSE)</pre>
```

[1] "test set loaded, learning on train and predicting on test"

Head:

head(rfmetatrain)

```
##
                    rfp2
                               rfp3
          rfp1
                                          rfp4
                                                      rfp5 rflabel
## 1 0.5000000 0.3666667 0.06666667 0.03333333 0.03333333
## 2 0.1666667 0.4333333 0.23333333 0.03333333 0.13333333
                                                                 2
                                                                 2
## 3 0.1333333 0.5000000 0.23333333 0.10000000 0.03333333
## 4 0.4000000 0.5000000 0.06666667 0.00000000 0.03333333
                                                                 2
## 5 0.7666667 0.2000000 0.00000000 0.03333333 0.00000000
                                                                 1
## 6 0.3000000 0.6000000 0.10000000 0.00000000 0.00000000
```

head(rfmetatest)

```
##
        rfp1
                 rfp2
                           rfp3
                                              rfp5 rflabel
                                    rfp4
## 1 0.2333333 0.5333333 0.10000000 0.13333333 0.00000000
## 2 0.4000000 0.3333333 0.16666667 0.06666667 0.03333333
                                                       1
                                                       2
## 3 0.2333333 0.3000000 0.20000000 0.20000000 0.06666667
1
## 5 0.3666667 0.5000000 0.10000000 0.03333333 0.00000000
                                                       2
## 6 0.1333333 0.4000000 0.30000000 0.03333333 0.13333333
                                                       2
```

Notice that the sixth column is the predicted label. This will not be used as a metafeature later on.

```
rfmetatrain <- rfmetatrain[,1:5]
rfmetatest <- rfmetatest[,1:5]</pre>
```

Xgboost layer 1

Now create meta features with Xgboost. These are created on the same five folds. Xgboost parameters were optimised using caret package grid search. Please refer to the vignette appendix. Use default number of rounds with full train set.

```
xgmetatrain <- DUN::fmeta.xgb(train,nrounds = 30, verbose = 0)

## [1] "No test set, cross validating train set."

## [1] "fold"

## [1] 1

## [1] 2

## [1] "fold"

## [1] 3

## [1] "fold"

## [1] 4

## [1] "fold"

## [1] 5

## [1] "fold looping complete"

xgmetatest <- DUN::fmeta.xgb(train, test = test, nrounds = 30, verbose = 0)</pre>
```

[1] "test set loaded, learning on train and predicting on test"

Print head:

head(xgmetatrain)

```
## xgbp1 xgbp2 xgbp3 xgbp4 xgbp5

## 1 0.3067535 0.1128550 0.1408965 0.1122514 0.4101729

## 2 0.2023819 0.3287976 0.2788310 0.2161369 0.3141597

## 3 0.1962564 0.1252225 0.1204360 0.1646375 0.3873491

## 4 0.3747770 0.1736327 0.1160845 0.1437512 0.1519284

## 5 0.2967321 0.4243000 0.1248465 0.1097733 0.1703701

## 6 0.1736208 0.2461592 0.1941922 0.1410360 0.1359991
```

head(xgmetatest)

```
## xgbp1 xgbp2 xgbp3 xgbp4 xgbp5

## 1 0.2615191 0.3318696 0.1522494 0.1325101 0.1218518

## 2 0.2603344 0.3052537 0.1718682 0.1429314 0.1196121

## 3 0.2708087 0.1722364 0.1905442 0.2429086 0.1235021

## 4 0.4219496 0.2118131 0.1265353 0.1237301 0.1159721

## 5 0.2912273 0.3036822 0.1527278 0.1291661 0.1231965

## 6 0.2095685 0.2663572 0.1956177 0.1852869 0.1431697
```

maboost(AdaBoost) layer 1

Next create metafeatures on same folds with AdaBoost. We use maboost package which enables multiclass AdaBoost. Use default number of rounds with full train set.

```
mabmetatrain <- DUN::fmeta.mab(train,rounds = 30)</pre>
```

```
## [1] "No test set, cross validating train set."
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 1
## [1] "Multiclass boosting is selected"
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 2
## [1] "Multiclass boosting is selected"
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 3
## [1] "Multiclass boosting is selected"
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 4
## [1] "Multiclass boosting is selected"
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 5
## [1] "Multiclass boosting is selected"
mabmetatest <- DUN::fmeta.mab(train,test = test, rounds = 30)</pre>
```

[1] "test set loaded, learning on train and predicting on test"

[1] "Multiclass boosting is selected"

Print head:

head(mabmetatrain)

```
##
         mabp1
                   mabp2
                              mabp3
                                         mabp4
                                                    mabp5
                                                                  mabF1
## 1 0.4572706 0.3733595 0.11009286 0.05927710 0.00000000 0.0005181254
## 2 0.2713871 0.3875300 0.18977748 0.09426166 0.05704379 0.0002834809
## 3 0.2562182 0.3443722 0.36286118 0.03654840 0.00000000 0.0002848129
## 4 0.2835928 0.4755093 0.18364732 0.04109925 0.01615134 0.0003152426
## 5 0.5118863 0.3302087 0.07616845 0.08173654 0.00000000 0.0005800095
## 6 0.4571852 0.3718660 0.06554734 0.07943201 0.02596946 0.0005082084
##
                         mabF3
                                      mabF4
            mabF2
                                                   mabF5
## 1 0.0004230472 1.247443e-04 6.716586e-05 0.000000e+00
## 2 0.0004047995 1.982346e-04 9.846225e-05 5.958584e-05
## 3 0.0003828052 4.033576e-04 4.062731e-05 0.000000e+00
## 4 0.0005285775 2.041429e-04 4.568605e-05 1.795388e-05
## 5 0.0003741538 8.630516e-05 9.261426e-05 0.000000e+00
## 6 0.0004133674 7.286262e-05 8.829686e-05 2.886772e-05
```

head(mabmetatest)

```
##
          mabp1
                    mabp2
                               mabp3
                                           mabp4 mabp5
                                                              mabF1
## 1 0.47600402 0.2927019 0.18587365 0.04542045
                                                     0 3.594168e-04
## 2 0.41157420 0.4208645 0.10616159 0.06139968
                                                     0 3.107677e-04
## 3 0.33245581 0.2103433 0.21378307 0.24341781
                                                     0 2.510277e-04
## 4 0.62062616 0.3579223 0.02145151 0.00000000
                                                     0 4.686167e-04
## 5 0.34835601 0.5385614 0.09163106 0.02145151
                                                     0 2.630335e-04
## 6 0.03478679 0.3939962 0.33691926 0.23429775
                                                     0 2.626649e-05
##
            mabF2
                         mabF3
                                      mabF4 mabF5
## 1 0.0002210107 1.403478e-04 3.429566e-05
                                                 0
## 2 0.0003177826 8.015952e-05 4.636111e-05
                                                 0
## 3 0.0001588241 1.614214e-04 1.837977e-04
                                                 0
## 4 0.0002702567 1.619741e-05 0.000000e+00
                                                 0
## 5 0.0004066520 6.918795e-05 1.619741e-05
                                                 0
## 6 0.0002974951 2.543979e-04 1.769114e-04
```

The first 5 columns are probability class estimates. Columns 6:10 are ensamble averages produced by selecting type= "F" in predict.maboost. These have 0.99 correlation with class probabilities and we use only columns 1:5 further on.

```
mabmetatrain <- mabmetatrain[,1:5]
mabmetatest <- mabmetatest[,1:5]</pre>
```

Multinomial Logistic layer 1

Next we create metafeatures with multinomial logistic regression. We use glmnet package.

```
glmmetatrain <- DUN::fmeta.glm(train)

## [1] "No test set, cross validating train set."
## [1] "fold"</pre>
```

```
## [1] 1
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 2
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 3
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 4
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 5
glmmetatest <- DUN::fmeta.glm(train,test = test)</pre>
## [1] "test set loaded, learning on train and predicting on test"
Print head:
head(glmmetatrain)
##
         glmp1
                   glmp2
                             glmp3
                                         glmp4
                                                    glmp5
## 1 0.3821564 0.3901132 0.1310679 0.06553396 0.03112863
## 2 0.3140781 0.4021883 0.1638895 0.08194469 0.03789941
## 3 0.3169875 0.4576818 0.1316698 0.06317013 0.03049089
## 4 0.4652534 0.3584626 0.1042513 0.04887125 0.02316145
## 5 0.3899101 0.3780845 0.1335283 0.06676414 0.03171297
## 6 0.3213549 0.4529156 0.1334084 0.06285855 0.02946264
head(glmmetatest)
                   glmp2
                             glmp3
##
         glmp1
                                         glmp4
                                                    glmp5
## 1 0.1700220 0.5101357 0.1843472 0.09217354 0.04332155
## 2 0.3138844 0.4085017 0.1600080 0.08000397 0.03760186
## 3 0.3562509 0.3501097 0.1692447 0.08462227 0.03977246
## 4 0.4046689 0.3767434 0.1259872 0.06299354 0.02960696
## 5 0.3121061 0.4352565 0.1456124 0.07280615 0.03421888
## 6 0.1653496 0.4920719 0.1974517 0.09872577 0.04640110
```

4 Training layer 2 models

First combine metafeatures from the first layer which are used for training models in the second layer.

```
metatrain2 <- data.frame(train$popularity,rfmetatrain,xgmetatrain,mabmetatrain)
names(metatrain2)[1]<-"popularity"
metatest2 <- data.frame(rfmetatest,xgmetatest,mabmetatest)</pre>
```

Xgboost layer 2

h2o Neural networks layer 2

```
library(h2o)
localH20 = h2o.init(nthreads=-1)
## Successfully connected to http://127.0.0.1:54321/
##
## R is connected to the H2O cluster:
##
     H2O cluster uptime:
                        4 minutes 41 seconds
##
     H2O cluster version:
                           3.6.0.8
##
     H2O cluster name:
                           H2O_started_from_R_fizlaz_gmk639
##
     H2O cluster total nodes:
     H2O cluster total memory: 1.71 GB
##
##
     H2O cluster total cores:
##
     H2O cluster allowed cores: 4
     H2O cluster healthy:
                             TRUE
##
data_train_h <- as.h2o(metatrain2,destination_frame = "h2o_data_train")</pre>
##
                                                            0%
 |-----| 100%
data_test_h <- as.h2o(metatest2,destination_frame = "h2o_data_test")</pre>
##
                                                            0%
 |-----| 100%
```

Are you sure you want to shutdown the H2O instance running at http://127.0.0.1:54321/ (Y/N)?

5 Third and final layer

head(nnprob)

In this layer we perform arithmetic and geometric averaging of second layer model predictions. Head of 2nd layer probability class estimates.

```
head(xgbprob)
```

```
## [1,] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5]
## [1,] 0.2392463 0.2378115 0.1831146 0.1710245 0.1688032
## [2,] 0.2214223 0.2279395 0.2030976 0.1748551 0.1726855
## [3,] 0.2029420 0.2325867 0.1967959 0.1941885 0.1734868
## [4,] 0.2382281 0.2292276 0.1892001 0.1689848 0.1743594
## [5,] 0.2598159 0.2264598 0.1823115 0.1666395 0.1647732
## [6,] 0.1877049 0.2404355 0.2173201 0.1768156 0.1777239
```

```
## p1 p2 p3 p4 p5
## [1,] 0.3760184 0.3719765 0.15418455 0.07716164 0.020658817
## [2,] 0.4782280 0.3437684 0.11173427 0.05651322 0.009756096
```

```
## [3,] 0.3785722 0.2908402 0.21435987 0.08577814 0.030449567

## [4,] 0.5330849 0.3333699 0.08325455 0.04627813 0.004012568

## [5,] 0.4628537 0.3688385 0.11259838 0.05050377 0.005205700

## [6,] 0.3304706 0.3213133 0.19815895 0.09923214 0.050824986
```

Arithmetic average

Optimal weights were computed using the avg.arit function found in the appendix.

```
arit <- function(vec){
  pred <- which.max(vec[1:5]*(0.76) + vec[6:10]*(0.24))
  return(pred)
}

combined <- cbind(xgbprob,nnprob)
finallabelsarit <- apply(combined,1,arit)
head(finallabelsarit)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 1 1 2 1 1 2
```

Geometric average

Optimal weights were computed using the avg.geom function found in the appendix.

```
geom <- function(vec){
   pred <- which.max(vec[1:5]^(0.76) * vec[6:10]^(0.24))
   return(pred)
}

combined <- cbind(xgbprob,nnprob)
finallabelsgeom <- apply(combined,1,geom)
head(finallabelsgeom)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 1 1 2 1 1 2
```

Creating submission files

```
submissionarit <- data.frame(id = idcol)
submissionarit$popularity <- finallabelsarit
write.csv(submissionarit, file = "arithmetic_r_gsenews.csv", row.names=FALSE)
submissiongeom <- data.frame(id = idcol)
submissiongeom$popularity <- finallabelsgeom
write.csv(submissiongeom, file = "geometric_r_gsenews.csv", row.names=FALSE)</pre>
```

6 Appendix

Optimising Xgboost

Below code is not executed for this document as it takes some time to run. On the full train set it can easily run for more than 3 hours.

```
library(caret)
library(xgboost)
library(readr)
library(dplyr)
library(tidyr)
df_train <- train
# set up the cross-validated hyper-parameter search
xgb_grid_1 = expand.grid(
 nrounds = c(150, 200, 250, 300),
 eta = c(0.03, 0.01, 0.001),
 max depth = c(2, 4, 6),
 gamma = c(0,1),
 colsample_bytree = c(0.6, 0.8, 1),
                                        \#default=1
 min_child_weight = 1 #default=1
)
# pack the training control parameters
xgb_trcontrol_1 = trainControl(
 method = "cv",
 number = 5,
 verboseIter = TRUE,
 returnData = FALSE,
 returnResamp = "all",
                                               # save losses across all models
 classProbs = TRUE,
                                         # set to TRUE for AUC to be computed
  #summaryFunction = twoClassSummary,
 summaryFunction = defaultSummary,
  allowParallel = TRUE
z <- unlist(lapply("Label", paste0, df_train$popularity ))</pre>
xgb_train_1 = train(
 x = as.matrix(df_train %>%
                  select(-popularity)),
 y = as.factor(z),
 trControl = xgb_trcontrol_1,
 tuneGrid = xgb_grid_1,
  method = "xgbTree"
)
```

Arithmetic and Geometric averaging

Arithmetic averaging

```
arithmeticaverage <- DUN::avg.arit(xgmetatrain,rfmetatrain, label = train$popularity, iter = 11)
### [1] 0
## [1] 0.1
## [1] 0.2</pre>
```

```
## [1] 0.3
## [1] 0.4
## [1] 0.5
## [1] 0.6
## [1] 0.7
## [1] 0.8
## [1] 0.9
## [1] 1
arithmeticaverage
                       [,3]
##
         [,1] [,2]
##
   [1,] 0.2 0.8 0.4357832
##
   [2,] 0.5 0.5 0.4357832
##
   [3,] 0.4 0.6 0.4350408
##
  [4,] 0.3 0.7 0.4342984
  [5,] 0.0 1.0 0.4320713
  [6,] 0.1 0.9 0.4320713
##
##
   [7,] 0.6 0.4 0.4305865
## [8,] 0.7
             0.3 0.3845583
## [9,] 0.8 0.2 0.3221975
## [10,] 0.9 0.1 0.2613215
## [11,] 1.0 0.0 0.2078693
Geometric averaging
geometricaverage <- DUN::avg.geom(xgmetatrain,rfmetatrain,label = train$popularity, iter = 11)</pre>
## [1] 0
## [1] 0.1
## [1] 0.2
## [1] 0.3
## [1] 0.4
## [1] 0.5
## [1] 0.6
## [1] 0.7
## [1] 0.8
## [1] 0.9
## [1] 1
geometricaverage
                       [,3]
##
         [,1] [,2]
##
   [1,] 0.4 0.6 0.4380104
   [2,] 0.3 0.7 0.4365256
##
##
   [3,] 0.2 0.8 0.4357832
##
   [4,] 0.5
              0.5 0.4335561
##
   [5,] 0.0
             1.0 0.4320713
##
  [6,]
         0.1 0.9 0.4320713
##
  [7,] 0.6 0.4 0.4105419
##
   [8,] 0.7
              0.3 0.3704529
  [9,] 0.8 0.2 0.3125464
##
## [10,] 0.9 0.1 0.2724573
## [11,] 1.0 0.0 0.2078693
```

Cross validation function example

Below is the example of our Random forest 5-fold cross validation function.

```
cv <- DUN::cv.rf(train = train, tree = 30)</pre>
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 1
## [1] NA NA NA NA NA
##
   ntree
              00B
                               2
                                      3
                        1
##
           67.65% 58.40% 62.01% 83.82%100.00% 88.89%
           66.30% 60.50% 59.07% 84.16% 91.67% 78.57%
##
##
           65.36% 60.83% 55.46% 83.90% 93.22% 95.65%
##
       4:
           65.96% 61.85% 56.68% 85.38% 92.54% 84.62%
##
           62.94% 62.46% 50.70% 82.84% 90.00% 90.00%
##
           62.70% 62.37% 50.78% 80.14% 86.84% 96.97%
       6:
           63.42% 64.17% 48.59% 84.25% 92.31%100.00%
##
       7:
##
           61.21% 62.18% 46.14% 84.00% 87.34% 94.59%
           61.14% 61.39% 45.44% 86.75% 89.87% 92.11%
##
##
           61.31% 63.84% 44.42% 85.16% 89.87% 94.74%
      10:
           61.27% 61.44% 44.96% 85.99% 91.14%100.00%
##
      11:
##
           60.39% 61.13% 42.77% 84.91% 95.00%100.00%
      12:
##
      13:
           59.12% 61.44% 40.59% 84.28% 92.50% 97.37%
##
      14:
           58.27% 60.94% 39.54% 84.38% 90.00% 94.74%
##
      15:
           58.68% 62.81% 38.62% 85.62% 91.25% 94.74%
##
           59.24% 63.12% 38.62% 88.12% 95.00% 89.47%
##
      17:
           58.63% 62.19% 37.50% 91.25% 92.50% 86.84%
##
      18:
           59.74% 64.38% 38.54% 90.00% 92.50% 92.11%
##
           59.55% 63.44% 37.71% 91.88% 93.75% 94.74%
      19:
##
           58.16% 61.56% 37.08% 90.00% 92.50% 89.47%
##
           59.18% 63.44% 37.50% 90.00% 92.50% 97.37%
      21:
           57.88% 61.25% 36.46% 90.62% 92.50% 89.47%
##
      22:
##
           58.07% 61.56% 35.83% 90.62% 95.00% 94.74%
      23:
           57.88% 60.31% 36.88% 90.62% 93.75% 89.47%
##
##
           58.07% 62.19% 36.46% 88.75% 93.75% 92.11%
      25:
##
      26:
           57.61% 61.56% 35.21% 91.25% 93.75% 89.47%
           57.51% 60.31% 35.00% 92.50% 93.75% 94.74%
##
      27:
           58.07% 60.00% 36.25% 92.50% 95.00% 94.74%
##
           58.16% 62.19% 35.00% 92.50% 95.00% 94.74%
##
##
           58.53% 62.19% 35.62% 92.50% 95.00% 97.37%
##
   Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
                  2
                            5
##
   Prediction 1
                     .3
                         4
##
            1 29 30 11
            2 48 81 27 10
##
                            7
##
            3
               2
                  6
                         3
            4
                  2
                      0
##
               1
                         1
                            0
               0
##
                  1
##
## Overall Statistics
##
                  Accuracy: 0.4201
##
                    95% CI: (0.3604, 0.4815)
##
```

```
##
       No Information Rate: 0.4461
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.8211
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.064
##
    Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
##
                        Class: 1 Class: 2 Class: 3 Class: 4 Class: 5
                          0.3625
                                    0.6750 0.050000 0.050000 0.000000
## Sensitivity
## Specificity
                           0.7513
                                    0.3826 0.943231 0.987952 0.996154
## Pos Pred Value
                           0.3816
                                    0.4682 0.133333 0.250000 0.000000
## Neg Pred Value
                           0.7358
                                    0.5938 0.850394 0.928302 0.966418
## Prevalence
                                    0.4461 0.148699 0.074349 0.033457
                           0.2974
## Detection Rate
                          0.1078
                                    0.3011 0.007435 0.003717 0.000000
## Detection Prevalence
                           0.2825
                                    0.6431 0.055762 0.014870 0.003717
## Balanced Accuracy
                                    0.5288 0.496616 0.518976 0.498077
                           0.5569
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 2
## [1] 0.4200743
                        NA
                                   NA
                                             NA
                                                       NA
##
  ntree
              00B
                               2
                                      3
                                             4
                       1
##
           61.50% 57.02% 50.89% 81.67% 92.59% 90.00%
           63.31% 60.82% 52.25% 80.43% 92.45% 94.44%
##
           62.91% 59.83% 50.28% 84.82% 90.91% 96.30%
##
##
           64.07% 62.31% 49.88% 88.37% 92.96% 96.67%
           64.58% 62.94% 51.75% 83.80% 95.89% 96.67%
##
           63.71% 65.00% 48.65% 84.35% 93.51% 93.94%
           64.13% 61.18% 52.52% 83.55% 93.67% 91.18%
##
##
           61.13% 59.94% 47.30% 81.70% 92.41% 94.29%
           61.94% 61.59% 47.54% 81.82% 96.20% 91.67%
##
           60.09% 58.73% 45.86% 80.89% 92.50% 94.59%
##
      10:
##
           60.02% 60.82% 42.83% 83.54% 96.25% 94.59%
##
           61.62% 61.76% 44.65% 86.08% 98.75% 94.59%
##
           60.28% 60.62% 43.42% 84.28% 96.25% 94.59%
      13:
##
           59.94% 60.31% 42.08% 86.16% 97.50% 94.59%
##
           59.42% 59.38% 42.50% 84.38% 95.00% 94.59%
##
           60.07% 61.56% 42.29% 85.62% 93.75% 94.59%
##
      17:
           59.70% 62.50% 40.62% 85.62% 95.00% 94.59%
##
           59.61% 60.94% 40.83% 86.88% 96.25% 94.59%
           60.63% 61.88% 41.46% 90.62% 93.75% 97.30%
##
##
           59.42% 61.56% 38.96% 90.00% 95.00% 97.30%
##
      21:
           59.89% 64.06% 38.54% 91.25% 91.25% 97.30%
           59.89% 62.81% 39.17% 91.25% 92.50% 97.30%
##
##
           58.59% 62.19% 37.50% 88.75% 93.75% 94.59%
           59.05% 61.25% 38.54% 88.75% 96.25% 97.30%
##
           58.22% 59.69% 36.88% 90.62% 97.50% 97.30%
##
      25:
           57.75% 59.69% 35.42% 91.88% 97.50% 97.30%
##
##
           58.96% 61.88% 36.88% 93.12% 93.75% 97.30%
##
           59.80% 61.56% 38.54% 93.12% 96.25% 97.30%
           58.68% 60.00% 37.71% 92.50% 95.00% 94.59%
##
           57.94% 60.00% 35.83% 93.12% 95.00% 94.59%
##
  Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
```

```
## Prediction 1 2 3 4
##
            1 32 23 2 2
##
            2 44 92 34 17
            3
##
              2 5
##
            4
              2
                 0
                     0
            5
              0
                 0
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
                  Accuracy : 0.4815
##
                    95% CI: (0.4205, 0.5429)
##
       No Information Rate: 0.4444
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.1224
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.1471
##
    Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
                        Class: 1 Class: 2 Class: 3 Class: 4 Class: 5
##
## Sensitivity
                          0.4000
                                  0.7667 0.10000 0.000000 0.200000
## Specificity
                          0.8579
                                   0.3200 0.96087 0.992000 1.000000
                                   0.4742 0.30769 0.000000 1.000000
## Pos Pred Value
                          0.5424
## Neg Pred Value
                                   0.6316
                                          0.85992 0.925373 0.970149
                          0.7725
                                   0.4444 0.14815 0.074074 0.037037
## Prevalence
                          0.2963
## Detection Rate
                          0.1185
                                   0.3407
                                          0.01481 0.000000 0.007407
## Detection Prevalence
                          0.2185
                                   0.5433  0.53043  0.496000  0.600000
## Balanced Accuracy
                          0.6289
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 3
## [1] 0.4200743 0.4814815
                                  NA
                                            NA
                                                      NA
  ntree
              00B
                      1
                              2
                                     3
                                            4
                                                   5
          67.40% 70.40% 56.42% 77.94% 92.59% 88.89%
##
##
       2: 68.97% 72.59% 57.14% 82.47% 92.50% 94.12%
##
          66.29% 67.63% 55.10% 84.17% 85.71% 89.29%
##
          68.53% 69.81% 56.51% 87.60% 91.67% 90.62%
##
          68.27% 69.66% 55.81% 89.05% 91.04% 85.29%
##
          67.74% 66.56% 54.85% 90.48% 94.29% 91.67%
##
          65.21% 65.71% 51.42% 86.49% 90.79% 94.44%
##
           65.87% 65.93% 52.16% 87.92% 93.51% 91.67%
##
           65.28% 64.47% 50.75% 88.89% 96.20% 91.89%
##
           64.60% 64.89% 48.73% 91.03% 92.50% 92.11%
           63.74% 64.58% 47.79% 87.97% 93.75% 92.11%
##
##
           63.40% 64.38% 46.74% 89.24% 95.00% 89.47%
          62.85% 64.69% 45.70% 89.31% 92.50% 89.47%
##
      14: 61.77% 62.19% 44.56% 89.94% 92.50% 92.11%
##
          61.87% 59.69% 45.62% 93.12% 95.00% 84.21%
##
##
          60.85% 57.50% 45.00% 90.00% 95.00% 94.74%
##
          61.97% 60.94% 44.17% 92.50% 95.00% 97.37%
          61.87% 61.88% 44.17% 90.00% 97.50% 92.11%
##
##
      19: 60.30% 57.81% 43.54% 90.00% 96.25% 92.11%
      20: 59.37% 58.44% 41.04% 91.25% 93.75% 92.11%
##
##
      21: 59.18% 55.94% 41.04% 92.50% 96.25% 97.37%
      22: 60.95% 59.06% 43.33% 91.88% 95.00% 97.37%
##
```

```
23: 59.37% 58.13% 40.21% 91.25% 97.50% 97.37%
##
##
      24: 58.44% 58.75% 38.12% 91.25% 97.50% 92.11%
##
      25: 58.26% 59.38% 38.33% 89.38% 96.25% 89.47%
      26: 58.35% 57.19% 37.92% 94.38% 96.25% 94.74%
##
##
          58.16% 58.75% 37.08% 91.88% 97.50% 94.74%
##
      28: 59.74% 60.62% 39.17% 93.12% 96.25% 94.74%
      29: 57.61% 59.06% 35.00% 95.00% 96.25% 92.11%
      30: 57.98% 58.44% 36.88% 93.75% 95.00% 92.11%
##
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
## Prediction 1 2 3
                           5
            1 20 21 10 2
            2 55 96 27 17
##
##
            3 3
                 2 2 0
##
            4
              1
                 1
                     0
                        0
##
            5 1
                 0 1 1 0
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
                  Accuracy: 0.4387
##
                    95% CI: (0.3785, 0.5002)
##
      No Information Rate: 0.4461
##
      P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.6196
##
                     Kappa: 0.0695
##
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
## Statistics by Class:
##
##
                        Class: 1 Class: 2 Class: 3 Class: 4 Class: 5
## Sensitivity
                         0.25000
                                   0.8000 0.050000 0.00000
                                                             0.00000
                                                   0.98394
## Specificity
                         0.81481
                                   0.3087 0.973799
                                                             0.98846
                                  0.4824 0.250000
                                                    0.00000
## Pos Pred Value
                         0.36364
                                                             0.00000
## Neg Pred Value
                         0.71963
                                  0.6571 0.854406
                                                    0.92453
                                                             0.96617
## Prevalence
                         0.29740
                                  0.4461 0.148699
                                                    0.07435
                                                             0.03346
## Detection Rate
                         0.07435
                                   0.3569 0.007435
                                                    0.00000
                                                             0.00000
## Detection Prevalence 0.20446
                                   0.7398 0.029740
                                                    0.01487
                                                             0.01115
## Balanced Accuracy
                         0.53241
                                   0.5544 0.511900 0.49197
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 4
## [1] 0.4200743 0.4814815 0.4386617
                                                      NA
                                            NΑ
## ntree
              00B
                      1
                              2
                                     3
##
       1: 66.15% 61.16% 57.99% 88.33% 88.89% 70.00%
          68.54% 61.73% 61.35% 88.54% 93.62% 83.33%
##
          68.71% 65.38% 59.22% 87.20% 92.73% 88.89%
##
       4: 67.10% 65.09% 56.20% 86.76% 90.62% 93.75%
##
##
       5: 66.56% 65.52% 53.70% 87.07% 92.86% 96.97%
##
       6: 65.64% 59.60% 55.06% 88.00% 94.44% 97.14%
       7: 64.33% 60.84% 51.86% 88.00% 93.33% 94.29%
##
##
       8: 64.59% 60.51% 51.61% 90.85% 93.59% 94.29%
##
      9: 64.02% 60.57% 51.17% 88.31% 93.75% 91.67%
##
      10: 64.09% 62.78% 49.15% 89.74% 92.50% 97.22%
      11: 65.05% 63.64% 50.00% 91.82% 95.00% 91.67%
##
```

```
12: 63.62% 63.44% 47.17% 91.19% 95.00% 91.67%
##
##
      13: 63.66% 62.19% 47.39% 94.38% 91.25% 94.59%
##
      14: 62.49% 60.94% 46.67% 90.62% 92.50% 94.59%
##
          62.02% 62.50% 45.00% 92.50% 88.75% 89.19%
##
          63.32% 64.69% 45.83% 91.88% 93.75% 89.19%
##
      17: 61.47% 63.44% 42.50% 91.25% 95.00% 89.19%
##
          61.65% 62.50% 42.50% 92.50% 96.25% 94.59%
          61.00% 63.12% 41.46% 91.88% 92.50% 94.59%
##
##
          59.89% 63.75% 39.58% 90.62% 90.00% 91.89%
##
          60.72% 61.88% 41.46% 91.88% 93.75% 94.59%
      21:
##
      22: 59.70% 60.31% 41.25% 91.25% 90.00% 91.89%
      23: 60.07% 62.81% 39.79% 93.12% 90.00% 91.89%
##
      24: 61.28% 64.06% 41.67% 93.12% 90.00% 91.89%
##
      25: 60.91% 63.75% 40.42% 95.00% 90.00% 91.89%
##
##
      26: 59.42% 62.19% 38.75% 93.12% 91.25% 89.19%
##
      27: 61.10% 61.25% 42.29% 95.00% 92.50% 89.19%
##
      28: 60.35% 63.12% 40.42% 92.50% 91.25% 89.19%
##
      29: 60.54% 64.06% 40.00% 91.88% 93.75% 89.19%
      30: 61.00% 65.31% 40.00% 92.50% 92.50% 91.89%
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
            Reference
## Prediction 1 2 3 4
            1 24 27 2
                        6
##
           2 52 87 35
                       8 7
##
            3 4 3 3
                       2 3
##
            4 0
                 3 0
                       3 0
            5
              0
                 0
##
                     0
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
                  Accuracy: 0.4333
##
                    95% CI: (0.3734, 0.4948)
##
      No Information Rate: 0.4444
##
      P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.6652
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.0773
##
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
##
                        Class: 1 Class: 2 Class: 3 Class: 4 Class: 5
                                  0.7250 0.07500 0.15000 0.000000
## Sensitivity
                         0.30000
## Specificity
                         0.81579
                                   0.3200 0.94783 0.98800 0.996154
## Pos Pred Value
                                          0.20000 0.50000 0.000000
                         0.40678
                                   0.4603
## Neg Pred Value
                                   0.5926
                                           0.85490 0.93561 0.962825
                         0.73460
## Prevalence
                         0.29630
                                   0.4444
                                           0.14815
                                                   0.07407 0.037037
## Detection Rate
                         0.08889
                                   0.3222
                                           0.01111
                                                    0.01111 0.000000
## Detection Prevalence 0.21852
                                   0.7000
                                           0.05556
                                                   0.02222 0.003704
## Balanced Accuracy
                         0.55789
                                   0.5225  0.51141  0.56900  0.498077
## [1] "fold"
## [1] 5
## [1] 0.4200743 0.4814815 0.4386617 0.4333333
                                                      NA
## ntree
              00B
                       1
                              2
                                     3
```

```
60.54% 56.00% 49.16% 88.24% 77.78% 88.89%
##
##
          63.02% 58.25% 54.61% 82.29% 85.71% 88.24%
          62.73% 60.08% 55.59% 75.83% 83.33% 79.17%
##
##
          63.10% 60.22% 52.12% 83.21% 87.88% 93.33%
          61.86% 58.33% 52.76% 80.00% 86.96% 87.10%
##
##
          63.48% 58.78% 54.70% 82.52% 87.84% 88.57%
##
          63.26% 58.84% 53.90% 80.00% 90.91% 91.89%
          62.57% 61.71% 50.00% 81.46% 92.31% 89.19%
##
##
          62.04% 59.62% 48.94% 83.12% 94.94% 91.89%
##
          62.73% 61.01% 48.63% 86.71% 93.75% 89.19%
##
          61.25% 60.31% 46.01% 85.44% 93.75% 91.89%
##
          61.36% 62.19% 44.56% 87.34% 95.00% 86.84%
          59.44% 59.69% 44.35% 82.39% 90.00% 86.84%
##
##
          60.09% 60.94% 43.10% 86.79% 91.25% 89.47%
##
      15: 59.80% 63.12% 41.46% 85.53% 88.75% 94.74%
          61.56% 64.69% 42.29% 89.94% 93.75% 92.11%
##
##
          61.19% 61.56% 43.54% 90.57% 93.75% 89.47%
          60.67% 61.25% 42.71% 90.00% 91.25% 94.74%
##
##
          59.93% 61.88% 40.00% 91.88% 91.25% 94.74%
          60.39% 63.12% 39.79% 92.50% 92.50% 94.74%
##
##
          60.39% 62.50% 41.04% 90.62% 91.25% 94.74%
##
          59.18% 60.62% 39.38% 91.25% 93.75% 89.47%
      23: 59.74% 61.88% 39.79% 90.62% 93.75% 92.11%
##
          60.11% 62.50% 39.79% 90.62% 96.25% 92.11%
##
##
          59.55% 60.31% 40.21% 91.25% 95.00% 89.47%
##
          58.44% 59.69% 37.92% 91.88% 95.00% 89.47%
##
          59.65% 61.56% 38.96% 93.75% 92.50% 92.11%
          59.74% 60.94% 40.21% 91.25% 95.00% 89.47%
##
      29: 59.09% 60.31% 39.38% 91.88% 91.25% 92.11%
      30: 60.11% 62.50% 39.79% 92.50% 93.75% 89.47%
   Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
##
            Reference
## Prediction 1 2 3
            1 26 34 9 5
##
##
           2 49 79 29 15
##
           3 3 5 1
##
            4
              2
                 2
                       0
                    1
##
            5
              0
                 0
                    0
                       0
##
  Overall Statistics
##
                 Accuracy: 0.4015
##
##
                   95% CI: (0.3424, 0.4627)
##
      No Information Rate: 0.4461
      P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.9378
##
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.0281
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
##
                       Class: 1 Class: 2 Class: 3 Class: 4 Class: 5
                        ## Sensitivity
```

```
## Specificity 0.74603 0.3356 0.960699 0.97992 1.000000  
## Pos Pred Value 0.35135 0.4438 0.100000 0.00000 1.000000  
## Neg Pred Value 0.72308 0.5495 0.849421 0.92424 0.973783  
## Prevalence 0.29740 0.4461 0.148699 0.07435 0.033457  
## Detection Rate 0.09665 0.2937 0.003717 0.00000 0.007435  
## Detection Prevalence 0.27509 0.6617 0.037175 0.01859 0.007435  
## Balanced Accuracy 0.53552 0.4970 0.492849 0.48996 0.611111
```

The output is accuracy per fold and average accuracy of all 5 folds.

cv

```
## $vec
## [1] 0.4200743 0.4814815 0.4386617 0.433333 0.4014870
##
## $avg
## [1] 0.4350076
```