Lecturer: Prof. Rezaul A. Chowdhury

**Date:** March 12, 2013

# Homework # 1

# **Parallel BFS with Work Stealing**

#### 1.a

See Graph::serial \_ bfs() in bfs.cc

#### 1.b

- In PARALLEL-BFS, the following race conditions may occur, but will not affect the correctness of the output: - In PARALLEL-BFS-THREAD, multiple cores can be on line 5 with the same vertex v. It is then possible for two (or more) threads to call line 6 at the same time for v. This does not affect correctness because all threads are writing the same value. - In PARALLEL-BFS-THREAD, when stealing, we lock the thief and victim, but only protecting from other thieves. There can be a race condition if the victim is fast (or MIN-STEAL-SIZE  $\approx |Q^{in}.q[\text{victim}]|$ , where the victim explores some vertexes that were ALSO stolen. This does not affect correctness, it only slightly impacts performance.

#### 1.c

- One vertex can be the end point of many vertexes. So, one vertex can be multiple times in  $Q^{in}$ . In case of stealing, due to overlapping of execution thief and victim may process the same vertex. So that same vertex can exist multiple times in  $Q^{in}$ .
- So, one vertex can not be more than one time in any  $Q^{in}.q[i]$  because the check on line 5 of bfs-thread (and a single queue is serialized). It may end up in different queues (see race conditions above).
- We can add an additional field c[v] for each vertex v which will keep the track of the processor by which it discovered. i.e. c[v] = i in between line 6-7 in PARALLEL-BFS-THREAD.

And before expanding a vertex we check whether the vertex is discovered by this thread or not by checking c[v]. i.e. we can add if (c[v] = i) before for loop at line 4 in PARALLEL-BFS-THREAD. So, only the processor who have won in the race condition for vertex v has right to expand it.

Note this does not affect correctness because exactly one processor will win the race (if it happens).

-  $Q^{in}$  holds the vertices in the current BFS level. So, any vertex v in  $Q^{in}$  implies that d[v] is not infinity. Now it may happen that v is adjacent to any other vertex in  $Q^{in}$ . Since, d[v] is not infinity so it can not be added to  $Q^{in}$  in any other successive iteration by any thread.

#### **1.d**

We consider phases of p steals each.

Let 
$$F_i = \left\lceil \frac{|q_i|}{MIN - STEAL - SIZE} \right\rceil$$

The potential of  $Q_i = W_i = F_i^2$ 

Let

$$S_i = \begin{cases} 1, \text{No steal attempts on this processor during this phase} \\ 0, \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

When at least one steal attempt happens on a victim processor, the potential of the whole system drops by at least  $W_i - (\frac{W_i}{4}) - (\frac{W_i}{4}) = \frac{W_i}{2}$  (Trivially for empty queues).

 $X_i = W_i S_i$  is the portion of the potential that was involved in attempted steals. The potential drop of the whole system  $\geq X_i/2$ .

$$E[S_i] = 1 - (1 - \frac{1}{p})^p \ge (1 - \frac{1}{e}) \ge \frac{1}{2}$$

Now.

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{p} E[X_i] > \left(1 - \frac{1}{e}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{p} E[W_i] > \left(1 - \frac{1}{e}\right) E[W]$$

By Markov's inequality,

$$Pr(X < \beta[W]) = Pr(W - X > (1 - \beta)[W]) < \frac{E[[W] - X]}{(1 - \beta)E[W]} < \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)e}$$

Consider time steps, i and j such that j > i and at least p steal attempts occur between time steps i (inclusive) and j (exclusive) then,

$$Pr\left(\Phi_i - \Phi_j > \frac{\Phi_i}{4}\right) > \frac{1}{4}.$$

Let each processor correspond to a bin and each steal attempt to a throw of a ball. Let Q be the set of processors which were victims of the steal attempts. Let  $X_q = \phi_i(q)$  for each  $q \in Q$  and 0 otherwise.Let

$$X = \sum_{q=1}^{p} X_q.$$

Setting  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$  in Lemma ??, we get,

$$Pr\left(X < \frac{1}{2}\Phi_i\right) < \frac{2}{e} \implies Pr\left(X < \frac{1}{2}\Phi_i\right) \ge \left(1 - \frac{2}{e}\right) = \frac{1}{4}$$

That is the weight of queues of victim processors at time i exceed half the weight of entire set of processors by  $\frac{1}{4}$ .

From ??,  $\Phi_i - \Phi_j \ge \frac{1}{2}X$ . Combining both we get,

$$Pr\left(\Phi_i - \Phi_j \ge \frac{1}{4}\Phi_i\right) \ge \frac{1}{4}$$

#### **1.e**

Using the balls and bins, the probability that any processor choose the wrong victim =  $(1 - \frac{1}{p})^{cp \log p} = ((1 - \frac{1}{p})^p)^{clnp} = (\frac{1}{e})^{clnp} = \frac{1}{p^c}$  So,  $cp \log p$  is a good choice for MAX-STEAL-ATTEMPTS w.h.p.

Moreover, in reference of  $Task\ I(d)$ , it can be shown that  $cp \log p$  is more than expected steal attempts to steal from a victim.

#### 1.f

For initialization:  $D \log p$ 

For stealing:  $O(min\{D^2 \log \frac{\Delta}{MIN-STEAL-SIZE} \log p, D \log \frac{n}{MIN-STEAL-SIZE} \log p\} + Dp \log p\}$ 

For exploring:  $\Delta \times MIN - STEAL - SIZE + \frac{n}{p} + \frac{m}{p}$ 

For synchronization:  $D \log p$ 

Assuming MIN-STEAL-SIZE =  $\Theta(1)$ 

So,  $T_p =$ 

Lower Bound for size of the input graph:

#### 1.g

Let assume a case, when a victim has less than MIN-STEAL-SIZE vertexes. Then a thief will try to steal the edges i.e. it will calculate the PREFIX-SUM of the out degrees of the vertexes and try to figure out whether it can steal(PREFIX-SUM is greater than the MIN-STEAL-SIZE) or not. In the successive steal attempts by other thieves, each one can determine whether it is going to steal or not by checking the PREFIX-SUM.

Therefore, total steal attempts (w.h.p.) =  $p \log p \times (\log q_l + \log \Delta)$  [since MIN-STEAL-SIZE =  $\Theta(1)$ ] =  $p \log p \log \Delta q_l$ 

#### 1.h

We can replace for by parallel for in line 10 of figure 2. So, the line 10 will be

# 10. *parallel for* i=1 *to* p-1 *do*

## **1.i**

See Graph::parallel \_ bfs() in bfs.cc

**1.j** 

Input File	$RT_{SBFS}$	$RT_{PBFS^{(f)}}$	$RT_{PBFS^{(g)}}$	$SF_{PBFS^{(f)}}$	$SF_{PBFS^{(g)}}$
cage15	1	2	3	4	5
cage14	1	2	3	4	5
freescale	1	2	3	4	5
Wikipedia	1	2	3	4	5
kkt-power	1	2	3	4	5
RMAT100M	1	2	3	4	5
RMAT1B	1	2	3	4	5

Table 1: RT = Running Time, SBFS = Serial BFS,  $PBFS^{(f)}$  = Parallel BFS for task 1(f),  $PBFS^{(g)}$  = Parallel BFS for task 1(g) and SF = Speed Factor

## 1.k

Answer:

# 2 Lockfree Parallel BFS

### **2.a**

Answer:

### **2.b**

Answer: