

TBA

Antoine Brandelet<sup>1</sup> and Jeremy Attard<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Philosophy and History of Science, University of Mons, Belgium

<sup>2</sup>Department of Sciences, Philosophies and Societies, University of Namur,  
Belgium

### Abstract

In a recent paper, Moscati provides an analysis of as-if modelling in economics. According to him, most of economical models—be it neoclassical, behavioural or heuristic—are as-if, and that should be no worry at all. That observation is then taken to be a reason to move forward a realist understanding of modelling and embrace a form of instrumentalism.

Here, we wish to support Moscati’s claim of the positive epistemic value of as-if modelling while keeping a realist interpretation. We show that the core of the instrumentalist critique he provides is based on a misunderstanding of the very mechanism of as-if modelling. We then propose a realist framework in which the variety of modelling strategies, in economics as well as in physics, can be described.

## 1 Introduction

In a recent paper [Moscati, 2023]

## References

Ivan Moscati. Behavioural and heuristic models are as-if models too – and that’s ok. *Economics and Philosophy*, pages 1–31, apr 2023. doi: 10.1017/s0266267123000093.