Partial Differential Equations TA Homework 7

Francisco Jose Castillo Carrasco March 2, 2018

Problem 4.4.1

Consider the wave equation

$$(PDE) \qquad (\partial_t^2 - \partial_x^2)u = 0, \qquad 0 \le x \le \pi, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(IC) \qquad u(x,0) = f(x), \qquad 0 \le x \le \pi,$$

$$(\partial_t u(x,0) = g(x), \qquad 0 \le x \le \pi,$$

$$(BC) \qquad \partial_x u(0,t) = 0 = \partial_x u(L,t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$(1)$$

with f and g in $C[0, \pi]$.

(c) Check whether the d'Alembert formula provides a generalized solution.

Solution: Let $\phi:[0,\pi]\to\mathbb{R}$ be a twice differentiable function with $\phi'(0)=0=\phi'(\pi)$. Define $v(x,t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[f(x+ct)+f(x-ct)\right]$ and $w(x,t)=\frac{1}{2c}\int_{x-ct}^{x+ct}g(y)dy$. Recall that f and g are continuous on $[0,\pi]$ and extended in an even and 2π -periodic way. Then, using Leibniz rule,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^{\pi} \phi(x)v(x,t)dx = \frac{c}{2} \left[\phi(\pi)f(\pi + ct) - \phi(0)f(ct) \right] - \frac{c}{2} \int_{ct}^{\pi + ct} \phi'(y - ct)f(y)dy
- \frac{c}{2} \left[\phi(\pi)f(\pi - ct) - \phi(0)f(-ct) \right] + \frac{c}{2} \int_{-ct}^{\pi - ct} \phi'(y + ct)f(y)dy
= \frac{c}{2} \int_{-ct}^{\pi - ct} \phi'(y + ct)f(y)dy - \frac{c}{2} \int_{ct}^{\pi + ct} \phi'(y - ct)f(y)dy,$$

where we have used that f is even around 0 and π . Notice that

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^{\pi} \phi(x) v(x,t) dx \right|_{t=0} = 0.$$

Using Leibniz rule again,

$$\begin{split} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_0^\pi \phi(x) v(x,t) dx &= -\frac{c^2}{2} \left[\phi'(\pi) f(\pi + ct) - \phi'(0) f(ct) \right] + \frac{c^2}{2} \int_{ct}^{\pi + ct} \phi''(y - ct) f(y) dy \\ &- \frac{c^2}{2} \left[\phi'(\pi) f(\pi - ct) - \phi'(0) f(-ct) \right] + \frac{c^2}{2} \int_{-ct}^{\pi - ct} \phi''(y + ct) f(y) dy \\ &= \frac{c^2}{2} \int_{-ct}^{\pi - ct} \phi''(y + ct) f(y) dy + \frac{c^2}{2} \int_{ct}^{\pi + ct} \phi''(y - ct) f(y) dy, \end{split}$$

and reversing the change of variables we get

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_0^{\pi} \phi(x)v(x,t)dx = \frac{c^2}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \phi''(x)f(x-ct)dx + \frac{c^2}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \phi''(x)f(x+ct)dx$$
$$= \int_0^{\pi} c^2 \phi''(x) \frac{1}{2} \left[f(x+ct) + f(x-ct) \right] dx$$
$$= \int_0^{\pi} c^2 \phi''(x)v(x,t)dx.$$

Now we start working on w. Since g is continuous, w is differentiable with respect to t and x and

$$\partial_t w(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[g(x+ct) + g(x-ct) \right],$$

$$\partial_x w(x,t) = \frac{1}{2c} \left[g(x+ct) - g(x-ct) \right].$$

Note that

$$\partial_t w(x,t)|_{t=0} = g(x).$$

Since $\partial_t w(x,t)$ is continuous, we can differentiate under the integral and obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^{\pi} \phi(x)w(x,t)dx = \int_0^{\pi} \phi(x)\frac{1}{2} \left[g(x+ct) + g(x-ct)\right]dx.$$

Same consideration as before with g replacin f gives us

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_0^{\pi} \phi(x) w(x, t) dx = -\frac{c}{2} \int_{ct}^{\pi + ct} \phi'(y - ct) g(y) dy + \frac{c}{2} \int_{-ct}^{\pi - ct} \phi'(y + ct) g(y) dy,$$

which, after reversing the substitution yields

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_0^{\pi} \phi(x) w(x, t) dx = -\int_0^{\pi} \phi'(x) \frac{c}{2} \left[g(x + ct) - g(x - ct) \right]$$
$$= -\int_0^{\pi} \phi'(x) c^2 \partial_x w(x, t) dx.$$

Integrating by parts, recalling that $\phi'(0) = 0 = \phi'(\phi)$, we obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_0^{\pi} \phi(x) w(x,t) dx = \int_0^{\pi} \phi''(x) c^2 w(x,t) dx.$$

Since, by d'Alembert formula, u(x,t)=v(x,t)+w(x,t), we have shown that $\int_0^\pi \phi(x)u(x,t)dx$ is twice differentiable and

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_0^\pi \phi(x) u(x,t) dx = \int_0^\pi c^2 \phi''(x) u(x,t) dx$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^{\pi} \phi(x) u(x,t) dx = \int_0^{\pi} \phi(x) g(x) dx, \quad t = 0.$$

Problem 5.1.1

Let L, a > 0. Consider the problem

(PDE)
$$(\partial_t - a\partial_x^2)u = 0$$
, $0 \le x \le L.t > 0$,

(IC)
$$u(x,0) = f(x), \quad 0 \le x \le L,$$

(BC)
$$\partial_x u(0,t) = 0 = \partial_x u(L,t), \quad t > 0.$$

This equation is a model for heat diffusion in a (finite) rod of length L. The no flux boundary condition means that both ends of the rod are insulated.

- (a) Use Fourier cosine series to solve (5.14), at least as far as (PDE) and (BC) are concerned, under an appropriate condition for f.
- (b) Explore two assumptions for f under which (IC) is satisfied in meaningful though not necessarily literal ways.
- (c) Show that $\int_0^L u(x,t)dx = \int_0^L u(x,0)dx$ for all $t \ge 0$. Hint: These integrals are related to the Fourier cosine coefficient of index zero.
- (d) Show that $u(x,t) \to \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L f(x) dx$ as $t \to \infty$, uniformly in $x \in [0,L]$.

Solution: The solution, if exists, can be expressed as a Fourier cosine series,

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j(t) \cos(\lambda_j x), \qquad \lambda_j = j \frac{\pi}{L},$$

with

$$A_j(t) = \frac{2}{L} \int_0^L u(y, t) \cos(\lambda_j y) dy.$$

If u is a solution, it is sufficiently smooth that we can differentiate under the integral

$$A'_{j}(t) = \frac{2}{L} \int_{0}^{L} \partial_{t} u(y, t) \cos(\lambda_{j} y) dy$$
$$= \frac{2}{L} \int_{0}^{L} a \partial_{y}^{2} u(y, t) \cos(\lambda_{j} y) dy.$$

Since the cosines and u satisfy zero Neumann boundary conditions, we can integrate by parts twice and get

$$A'_j(t) = -a\lambda_j^2 \frac{2}{L} \int_0^L u(y,t) \cos(\lambda_j y) dy = -a\lambda_j^2 A_j(t).$$

We have obtain the following ODE for $A_i(t)$,

$$A_j'(t) + a\lambda_j^2 A_j(t) = 0,$$

which has the following solution,

$$A_j(t) = A_j(0)e^{-a\lambda_j^2 t}, \quad j > 0.$$

For the case j = 0, since $\lambda_0 = 0$, the ODE is

$$A_0'(t) = 0,$$

and

$$A_0(t) = A_0(0).$$

Recall that

$$A_j(0) = \frac{2}{L} \int_0^L f(y) \cos(\lambda_j y) dy, \quad j > 0,$$

and

$$A_0(0) = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L f(y) dy, \quad j > 0,$$

Thus, the solution u(x,t) is uniquely determined. We now concentrate in provine uniqueness.

Claim Let $f \in L^1([0,L],\mathbb{R})$. Then the series u with $A_j(t)$ and $A_j(0)$ given above converges uniformly on $[0,L]x[\epsilon,\infty)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Moreover u is inifitely often differentiable on $[0,L]x(0,\infty)$ and satisfies the PDE and BC.

Proof. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$u_m(x,t) = A_m(0)\cos(\lambda_m x)e^{-a\lambda_m^2 t}.$$

Then u is infinitely differentiable and

$$\partial_x^k \partial_t^l u_m(x,t) = A_m(0) \frac{d^k}{dx^k} cos(\lambda_m x) \frac{d^l}{dt^l} e^{-a\lambda_m^2 t}.$$

Notice that

$$|A_m(0)| \le \frac{2}{L} \int_0^L |f(x)| dx =: M_0 < \infty.$$

Let $t \geq \epsilon > 0$. Then, by the form of λ_m ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_x^k \partial_t^l u_m(x,t) \right| &\leq |A_m(0)| \lambda_m^{k+2l} a^l e^{-a\lambda_m^2 t} \\ &\leq M_0 \lambda_m^{k+2l} a^l e^{-a\lambda_m^2 \epsilon} \\ &\leq M_0 c m^{k+2l} \eta^{(m^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta = e^{-a\lambda_1^2\epsilon}$. The ratio test implies that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} M_0 \ c \ m^{k+2l} \eta^{(m^2)}$ converges. Then, by Theorem 5.1, each series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial_x^k \partial_t^l u_m(x,t)$ (in particular the series for u) converges uniformly on $[0,L]x(0,\infty)$. Let $x \in [0,L] = I_1$ and t > 0. Choose $I_2 = (t_1,t_2)$ with $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$. Applying Theorem 5.3 repeatedly implies that $u = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_m$ has partial derivatives of all order and can be differentiated term by term on $I_x I_2$. Since each u_m satisfies the PDE and BC, so does u on $[0,L]x(0,\infty)$. Hence, we have proved existence. For part b) we will prove two claims.

Claim Let $f:[0,L] \to \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz continuous, f(L)=0=f(0). Then u is continuous on $[0,L]x[0,\infty)$ and u(x,0)=f(x) for all $x\in[0,L]$. In particular, $u(x,t)\to f(x)$ as $t\to 0$, uniformly in $x\in[0,L]$.

Proof. Notice that the functions u_m satisfy the estimate

$$|u_m(x,t)| \le |A_m(0)|, \quad x \in [0,L], \ t \ge 0, \ m \in \mathbb{N},$$

with $A_m(0)$ given above. Since f is Lipschitz continuous, and f(0) = 0 = f(L), the series

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |A_m(0)| < \infty,$$

by Exercise 4.3.3 and even extension. By Theorem 5.1, $u = \sum m = 1^{\infty} u_m$ converges uniformly and is continuous on $[0, L]x[0, \infty)$. In Particular, u(x, 0) = f(x) by Exercise 4.3.4. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|u(x,t)-u(y,0)| < \epsilon$$
 whenever $|x-y|+|t-0| < \delta$.

In particular

$$|u(x,t) - u(x,0)| < \epsilon$$
 whenever $0 \le t < \delta$,
 $|u(x,t) - f(x)| < \epsilon$ whenever $0 \le t < \delta$.

Claim 2 Assume that $f:[0,L]\to\mathbb{R}$ is intergable and $\int_0^L |f(x)|^2 dx < \infty$. Then the series u defined satisfies

$$\int_{0}^{L} |u(x,t) - f(x)|^{2} dx \to 0, \quad t \to 0.$$

Proof. Let $\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \frac{2}{L} \int_0^L \phi(x) \psi(x) dx$ be the inner product of choice on $L^2([0, L], \mathbb{R})$, the space of square integrable functions. Then $v_j; j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $v_j = \cos(\lambda_j x)$ and $v_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ is an orthonormal basis. By the considerations at the beginning of section 5.1,

$$\langle u(\cdot,t), v_m \rangle = \langle f, v_m \rangle e^{-a\lambda_m^2 t},$$

which are uniformly continuous functions on \mathbb{R}_+ . Further,

$$|\langle u(\cdot,t),v_m\rangle| \leq |\langle f,v_m\rangle|,$$

and, by Parseval's relation,

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle f, v_m \rangle|^2 = ||f||^2.$$

The assertion now follows from Theorem 4.11.

For part c) we just compute both integrals seperately and we find the same result. We start with

$$\int_0^L u(x,t)dx = \int_0^L \sum_{j=0}^\infty A_j(t)\cos(\lambda_j x)dx$$

$$= \int_0^L A_0(t)dx + \sum_{j=1}^\infty A_j(t) \int_0^L \cos(\lambda_j x)dx$$

$$= \int_0^L A_0(t)dx$$

$$= A_0(t)L = A_0(0)L,$$

where we have used that $\lambda_0 = 0$, the integrals of the cosines are zero and recall that $A_0(t) = A_0(0)$. Now we calculate

$$\int_{0}^{L} u(x,0)dx = \int_{0}^{L} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_{j}(0) \cos(\lambda_{j}x)dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{L} A_{0}(0)dx + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_{j}(0) \int_{0}^{L} \cos(\lambda_{j}x)dx$$
$$= A_{0}(0)L.$$

Thus,

$$\int_0^L u(x,t)dx = \int_0^L u(x,0)dx, \quad \text{ for all } t \ge 0.$$

For part d) notice that the limit to prove is no other than A_0 , then

$$|u(x,t) - A_0| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j \cos(\lambda_j x) e^{-a\lambda_j^2 t} - A_0 \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \cos(\lambda_j x) e^{-a\lambda_j^2 t} \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |A_j| e^{-a\lambda_j^2 t}$$

$$\leq A_0 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-a\lambda_j^2 t}$$

$$\leq A_0 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (e^{-akt})^j, \quad \text{for } t > 0,$$

where we have made $k=(\pi/L)^2$ and using that $\lambda_j^2 \leq k^2 j^2$. Now, using the geometric series formula,

$$|u(x,t) - A_0| \le A_0 \frac{e^{-akt}}{1 - e^{-akt}} \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty.$$

Hence,

$$u(x,t) \to \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L f(x) dx$$

as $t \to \infty$ uniformly in $x \in [0, L]$.

Problem 5.1.2

Let $f:[0,L]\to\mathbb{R}$ be integrable and $\int_0^L |f(x)| dx < \infty$, i.e. $f\in L^1([0,L],\mathbb{R})$. Consider the heat equation with zero boundary condition and initial data f. Show: there exists a function $u:[0,L]\times(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ that solves (PDE) and (BC) and satisfies the initial condition in the following weak sense: if $\phi:[0,L]\to\mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz continuous and $\phi(0)=0=\phi(L)$, then

$$\int_0^L \phi(x) u(x,t) dx \to \int_0^L \phi(x) f(x) dx, \quad t \to 0.$$

Hint: Notice (and prove) that

$$\int_0^L \phi(x)u(x,t)dx = \int_0^L f(x)v(x,t)dx$$

where v is the solution of the heat equation with initial data ϕ .

Solution: Let us express the solutions of the PDE u(x,t) and v(x,t) as

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j(t) \sin(\lambda_j x),$$

with

$$B_j(t) = B_j(0)e^{-a\lambda_j t} = \frac{2}{L}e^{-a\lambda_j t} \int_0^L f(y)\sin(\lambda_j y)dy$$

and

$$v(x,t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{B}_j(t) \sin(\lambda_j x),$$

with

$$\tilde{B}_j(t) = \tilde{B}_j(0)e^{-a\lambda_j t} = \frac{2}{L}e^{-a\lambda_j t} \int_0^L \phi(y)\sin(\lambda_j y)dy$$

Then,

$$\int_0^L \phi(x)u(x,t)dx = \int_0^L \phi(x) \sum_{j=0}^\infty B_j(t) \sin(\lambda_j x) dx$$

$$= \int_0^L \phi(x) \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{2}{L} e^{-a\lambda_j t} \int_0^L f(y) \sin(\lambda_j y) dy \sin(\lambda_j x) dx$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{2}{L} e^{-a\lambda_j t} \int_0^L \phi(x) \sin(\lambda_j x) dx \int_0^L f(y) \sin(\lambda_j y) dy$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^\infty \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_0^L f(y) \sin(\lambda_j y) dy$$

$$= \int_0^L f(y) \sum_{j=0}^\infty \tilde{B}_j(t) \sin(\lambda_j y) dy$$

$$= \int_0^L f(y) v(y, t) dy.$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^L \phi(x)u(x,t)dx = \int_0^L f(x)v(x,t)dx.$$

Then,

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \int_0^L \phi(x)u(x,t)dx = \lim_{t \to 0} \int_0^L f(x)v(x,t)dx$$
$$= \int_0^L \lim_{t \to 0} f(x)v(x,t)dx$$
$$= \int_0^L f(x) \lim_{t \to 0} v(x,t)dx$$
$$= \int_0^L f(x)\phi(x)dx,$$

where we have introduced the limit inside the integral since $v(x,t) \to \phi(x)$ as $t \to 0$ uniformly in $x \in [0,L]$ according to Theorem 5.6. Thus,

$$\int_0^L \phi(x)u(x,t)dx \to \int_0^L \phi(x)f(x)dx, \quad t \to 0.$$