

# Partial Differential Equations

## TA Homework 9

Francisco Jose Castillo Carrasco

March 15, 2018

### Problem 5.2.1

Let  $T > 0$  and  $u : \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$  be continuous. Further let  $c, F : \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F$  non-negative and  $c$  bounded above and  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be nonnegative. Let the partial differential operator  $L$  be as in the text before. Assume that  $u$  is once partially differentiable with respect to  $t \in (0, T)$  and twice partially differentiable with respect to  $x_k$  at each  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Assume that

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_t - L - c)u &= F(x, t), & x \in \Omega, t \in (0, T), \\ u(x, t) &= 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t \in (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) &= f(x), & x \in \Omega.\end{aligned}$$

Show that  $u(x, t) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ .

**Solution:** Define  $v : \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$ ,  $v(x, t) = -u(x, t)$ . Then the PDE yields

$$\begin{aligned}-(\partial_t - L)v + cv &= F(x, t), & x \in \Omega, t \in (0, T), \\ v(x, t) &= 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t \in (0, T), \\ v(x, 0) &= -f(x), & x \in \Omega.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $F(x, t)$  and  $f(x)$  are nonnegative,

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_t - L)v &\leq cv, & x \in \Omega, t \in (0, T), \\ v(x, t) &= 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t \in (0, T), \\ v(x, 0) &\leq 0, & x \in \Omega.\end{aligned}$$

Then, by *Theorem 5.11*,  $v(x, t) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ . Thus,  $u(x, t) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ .

### Problem 5.2.3

Let  $T > 0$  and  $u : \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$  be continuous. Further let  $c, F : \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F$  bounded and  $c$  bounded above. Assume that  $u$  is once partially differentiable with respect to  $t \in (0, T)$  and twice partially differentiable with respect to  $x_k$  at each  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Assume that

$$(\partial_t - L - c)u = F(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, t \in (0, T).$$

Let  $M, N \geq 0$  such that

$$|u(x, t)| \leq M \text{ whenever } x \in \partial\Omega, t \in [0, T] \text{ or } x \in \overline{\Omega}, t = 0$$

and

$$|F(x, t)| \leq N \text{ for all } x \in \Omega, t \in (0, T).$$

Show:  $|u(x, t)| \leq (M + tN)e^{kt}$  for all  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , where  $k \geq 0$  is chosen such that  $c(x, t) \leq k$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $t \in (0, T)$ .

**Solution:** Since  $c$  is bounded, there exists some  $k \geq 0$  such that  $c(x, t) \leq k$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $t \in (0, T)$ . Define  $v(x, t) = u(x, t)e^{-kt}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - L - c)v(x, t) &= e^{-kt}(\partial_t - L - c)u(x, t) - kv(x, t) \\ &= e^{-kt}F(x, t) - kv(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

Reorganizing the terms we get

$$(\partial_t - L - (c - k))v(x, t) = F(x, t)e^{-kt}.$$

Define  $\beta = c - k \leq 0$ . Then the previous equation yields

$$(\partial_t - L - \beta)v(x, t) = F(x, t)e^{-kt}.$$

We continue with

$$|v(x, 0)| = |u(x, 0)| \leq M, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega},$$

$$|v(x, t)| = |u(x, t)e^{-kt}| = |u(x, t)|e^{-kt} \leq |u(x, t)| \leq M \leq M + tN, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, t \in [0, T],$$

and

$$|F(x, t)e^{-kt}| = |F(x, t)|e^{-kt} \leq |F(x, t)| \leq N, \quad x \in \Omega, t \in (0, T).$$

Then, by *Theorem 5.13*,  $|v(x, t)| \leq M + tN$  for all  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |v(x, t)| &= |u(x, t)e^{-kt}| \\ &= |u(x, t)|e^{-kt} \\ &\leq M + tN. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|u(x, t)| \leq (M + tN)e^{kt}, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}, t \in [0, T].$$

## Problem 5.2.6

a) Find the solution  $w$  of

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_x^2 w(x) &= 2, & 0 < x < 1, \\ w(0) &= 0 = w(1). \end{aligned}$$

**Solution:** We can rewrite the PDE as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x^2 w(x) &= -2, & 0 < x < 1, \\ w(0) &= 0 = w(1), \end{aligned}$$

which clearly has a polynomial solution

$$w(x) = -x^2 + C_1 x + C_2.$$

Imposing the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} w(0) &= C_2 = 0, \\ w(1) &= -1 + C_1 + C_2 = -1 + C_1 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

we get  $C_1 = 1$  and  $C_2 = 0$ . Hence, the solution is

$$w(x) = x(1 - x), \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

b) Suppose that  $u$  is the solution to

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \partial_x^2)u &= 2 && \text{on } (0, 1) \times (0, \infty), \\ u(0, t) &= 0 = u(1, t) && t \geq 0 \\ u(x, 0) &= 0 && 0 \leq x \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Show that

$$x(1 - x)(1 - e^{-8t}) \leq u(x, t) \leq x(1 - x), \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

**Solution:** Set  $v(x, t) = x(1 - x)$ . Then

$$(\partial_t - \partial_x^2)v(x, t) = 0 - (-2) = 2.$$

Define  $w(x, t) = u(x, t) - v(x, t)$ . Then

$$(\partial_t - \partial_x^2)w(x, t) = (\partial_t - \partial_x^2)u(x, t) - (\partial_t - \partial_x^2)v(x, t) = 2 - 2 = 0 \leq 0, \quad x \in [0, 1], t \in (0, \infty).$$

Further,

$$w(x, 0) = u(x, 0) - v(x, 0) = 0 - x(1 - x) = x(x - 1) \leq 0, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

and

$$w(0, t) = u(0, t) - v(0, t) = 0 = u(1, t) - v(1, t) = w(1, t), \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

Therefore, by *Theorem 5.11*,  $w(x, t) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Thus,

$$u(x, t) \leq v(x, t) = x(1 - x), \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

To prove the other side of the inequality we proceed similarly. Now set  $v(x, t) = x(1 - x)(1 - e^{-8t})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \partial_x^2)v(x, t) &= 8x(1 - x)e^{-8t} + 2(1 - e^{-8t}) \\ &= 8x(1 - x)e^{-8t} + 2 - 2e^{-8t}. \end{aligned}$$

The function  $f(x) = x(1 - x)$  has a maximum at  $x = \frac{1}{2}$  of value  $\frac{1}{4}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \partial_x^2)v(x, t) &= 8x(1 - x)e^{-8t} + 2 - 2e^{-8t} \\ &\leq 2e^{-8t} + 2 - 2e^{-8t} \\ &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

Define  $w(x, t) = v(x, t) - u(x, t)$ . Then

$$(\partial_t - \partial_x^2)w(x, t) = (\partial_t - \partial_x^2)v(x, t) - (\partial_t - \partial_x^2)u(x, t) \leq 0, \quad x \in [0, 1], t \in (0, \infty).$$

Further,

$$w(x, 0) = v(x, 0) - u(x, 0) = x(1 - x)(1 - 1) - 0 = 0 \leq 0, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

and

$$w(0, t) = v(0, t) - u(0, t) = 0 = v(1, t) - u(1, t) = w(1, t), \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

Therefore, by *Theorem 5.11*,  $w(x, t) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Thus,

$$v(x, t) \leq u(x, t), x(1 - x)(1 - e^{-8t}) \leq u(x, t), \quad x \in [0, 1], t \in [0, \infty).$$

Hence, we have proved that

$$x(1 - x)(1 - e^{-8t}) \leq u(x, t) \leq x(1 - x), \quad x \in [0, 1], t \in [0, \infty).$$

## Problem 5.2.11

Let  $L, T > 0$  and  $u : [0, L] \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous, and sufficiently often differentiable and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \partial_t u(x, t) - x^3(L - x)^5 \partial_x^2 u(x, t) + a \partial_x u(x, t) + (L - x)u(x, t), \quad x \in (0, L), t \in (0, T), \\ 0 &\leq u(0, t), \quad u(L, t) \geq 0 \quad t \in [0, T], \\ 0 &\leq u(x, 0) \quad x \in [0, L] \end{aligned}$$

Show:  $u(x, t) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in [0, L], t \in [0, T]$ .

**Solution:** We will prove the statement by contradiction. Assume that there exists a  $y \in [0, L]$  and an  $r \in [0, T]$  such that  $u(y, r) < 0$ . Consider  $u : [0, L] \times [0, r]$ . Since  $u$  is continuous and  $[0, L] \times [0, r]$  is compact,  $u$  has a minimum in  $[0, L] \times [0, r]$ . Denote such minimum as  $u_m = u(x_m, t_m)$  with  $x_m \in [0, L]$  and  $t_m \in [0, r]$ . Therefore,  $u(x_m, t_m) \leq u(z, s)$  for any  $z \in [0, L]$  and  $s \in [0, r]$ . Particularly,

$$u(x_m, t_m) \leq u(y, r) < 0.$$

Since  $u_m$  is a minimum,

$$\partial_x u(x, t)|_{(x_m, t_m)} = 0,$$

and

$$\partial_x^2 u(x, t)|_{(x_m, t_m)} \geq 0.$$

Lastly, note that

$$\partial_t u(x, t)|_{(x_m, t_m)} = \lim_{s \rightarrow t_m^-} \frac{u(x_m, s) - u(x_m, t_m)}{s - t_m} \leq 0.$$

Having the previous results, we can evaluate the *PDE* at the minimum point  $(x_m, t_m)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u(x_m, t_m) - x_m^3(L - x_m)^5 \partial_x^2 u(x_m, t_m) + a \partial_x u(x_m, t_m) + (L - x_m)u(x_m, t_m) = \\ = \partial_t u(x_m, t_m) - x_m^3(L - x_m)^5 \partial_x^2 u(x_m, t_m) + (L - x_m)u(x_m, t_m) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the PDE of the problem and we found our contradiction. Thus,  $u(x, t) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in [0, L], t \in [0, T]$ .