

# Numerical Methods for PDEs

## Homework 6

Francisco Jose Castillo Carrasco

November 7, 2018

### Problem 1

In the modified PDE for the Lax-Wendroff method for  $u_t + cu_x = 0$ , derive the coefficient  $\beta = \frac{ch^2}{6}(r^2 - 1)$  of numerical dispersion in  $u_t + cu_x = \beta u_{xxx}$ .

**Solution:** We start by Taylor expanding

$$\begin{aligned} u_j^{n+1} &= u_j^n + \Delta t u_t + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} u_{tt} + \frac{\Delta t^3}{6} u_{ttt} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^4), \\ u_{j\pm 1}^n &= u_j^n \pm \Delta x u_x + \frac{\Delta x^2}{2} u_{xx} \pm \frac{\Delta x^3}{6} u_{xxx} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^4), \end{aligned}$$

and substituting them (neglecting the higher order terms) into the Lax-Wendroff scheme,

$$\begin{aligned} u_j^{n+1} &= u_j^n - \frac{c\Delta t}{2\Delta x} (u_{j+1}^n - u_{j-1}^n) + \frac{c^2\Delta t^2}{2\Delta x^2} (u_{j+1}^n - 2u_j^n + u_{j-1}^n), \\ u_j^n + \Delta t u_t + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} u_{tt} + \frac{\Delta t^3}{6} u_{ttt} &= u_j^n - \frac{c\Delta t}{2\Delta x} \left( 2\Delta x u_x + \frac{\Delta x^3}{3} u_{xxx} \right) + \frac{c^2\Delta t^2}{2\Delta x^2} \Delta x^2 u_{xx}. \end{aligned}$$

Doing some algebraic manipulations we reach,

$$u_t + cu_x = -\frac{\Delta t}{2} u_{tt} - c \frac{\Delta x^2}{6} u_{xxx} + \frac{1}{2} c^2 \Delta t u_{xx} - \frac{\Delta t^2}{6} u_{ttt}.$$

Using the original PDE we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} u_t = -cu_x &\Rightarrow u_{tt} = c^2 u_{xx}, \\ &\Rightarrow u_{ttt} = -c^3 u_{xxx}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + cu_x &= -\frac{\Delta t}{2} c^2 u_{xx} - c \frac{\Delta x^2}{6} u_{xxx} + \frac{1}{2} c^2 \Delta t u_{xx} + \frac{\Delta t^2}{6} c^3 u_{xxx}, \\ &= -c \frac{\Delta x^2}{6} u_{xxx} + \frac{\Delta t^2}{6} c^3 u_{xxx}, \\ &= c \frac{\Delta x^2}{6} \left( \frac{c^2 \Delta t^2}{\Delta x^2} - 1 \right) u_{xxx}, \\ &= c \frac{\Delta x^2}{6} (r^2 - 1) u_{xxx}, \\ &= \beta u_{xxx}. \end{aligned}$$

## Problem 2

Show that the two-step Lax-Wendroff method reduces to the original Lax-Wendroff scheme for  $u_t + Au_x = 0$ .

**Solution:** We start with the first step of the method

$$\begin{aligned} w_{i+1/2}^{n+1/2} &= \frac{1}{2} (w_i^n + w_{i+1}^n) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} A (w_{i+1}^n - w_i^n), \\ w_{i-1/2}^{n+1/2} &= \frac{1}{2} (w_i^n + w_{i-1}^n) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} A (w_i^n - w_{i-1}^n), \end{aligned}$$

and plug the terms into the second step,

$$\begin{aligned} w_i^{n+1} &= w_i^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} A (w_{i+1/2}^{n+1/2} - w_{i-1/2}^{n+1/2}), \\ &= w_i^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} A \left[ \frac{1}{2} (w_i^n + w_{i+1}^n) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} A (w_{i+1}^n - w_i^n) - \frac{1}{2} (w_i^n + w_{i-1}^n) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} A (w_i^n - w_{i-1}^n) \right], \\ &= w_i^n - \frac{1}{2} A \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} (w_{i+1}^n - w_{i-1}^n) + \frac{1}{2} A^2 \frac{\Delta t^2}{\Delta x^2} (w_{i+1}^n - 2w_i^n + w_{i-1}^n), \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain the desired the original Lax-Wendroff scheme for  $u_t + Au_x = 0$ .

## Problem 3

Show that Burgers' equation  $u_t + uu_x = \nu u_{xx}$  with  $u(x, t = 0) = u_l$ ,  $x < 0$  and  $u(x, t = 0) = u_r < u_l$ ,  $x > 0$  has a traveling wave solution of the form  $u(x, t) = w(x - st)$  by deriving an ODE for  $w$  and showing that the ODE is solved by

$$w(y) = u_r + \frac{1}{2}(u_l - u_r) \left[ 1 - \tanh \frac{(u_l - u_r)y}{4\nu} \right]$$

where  $s = (u_l + u_r)/2$ .

**Solution:**

First, let  $y = x - st$ . By the chain rule

$$u_t = \frac{\partial w(y)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial w(y)}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = -sw'.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} u_x &= w', \\ u_{xx} &= w''. \end{aligned}$$

With the obtained derivatives plugged into the Burgers' equation we get a second order, non linear ODE for  $w$ ,

$$-sw' + ww' = \nu w''$$

Given  $w$ , we can compute

$$w' = -\frac{1}{2}(u_l - u_r) \operatorname{sech}^2 \left( \frac{(u_l - u_r)y}{4\nu} \right) \left( \frac{(u_l - u_r)}{4\nu} \right),$$

and,

$$w'' = \frac{(u_l - u_r)^3}{(4\nu)^2} \operatorname{sech}^2 \left( \frac{(u_l - u_r)y}{4\nu} \right) \tanh \left( \frac{(u_l - u_r)y}{4\nu} \right).$$

Substituting the terms into the obtained ODE we find that the given  $w$  is indeed a solution

$$\begin{aligned} -sw' + ww' &= -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sech}^2 \left( \frac{(u_l - u_r)y}{4\nu} \right) \left( \frac{(u_l - u_r)^2}{4\nu} \right) \left[ -s + u_r + \frac{1}{2}(u_l - u_r) \left( 1 - \tanh \left( \frac{(u_l - u_r)y}{4\nu} \right) \right) \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sech}^2 \left( \frac{(u_l - u_r)y}{4\nu} \right) \left( \frac{(u_l - u_r)^2}{4\nu} \right) \left[ -\frac{1}{2}(u_l - u_r) \tanh \left( \frac{(u_l - u_r)y}{4\nu} \right) \right] \\ &= \nu \frac{(u_l - u_r)^3}{(4\nu)^2} \operatorname{sech}^2 \left( \frac{(u_l - u_r)y}{4\nu} \right) \tanh \left( \frac{(u_l - u_r)y}{4\nu} \right) \\ &= \nu w''. \end{aligned}$$

## Problem 4

Prove that the Lax-Friedrichs (LF) method is *positivity-preserving* (i.e., if  $u_j^n > 0$  for all  $j$ , then  $u_j^{n+1} > 0$  for all  $j$ ) for Burgers' equation  $u_t + (u^2/2)_x = 0$ . The LF discretization of Burgers' equation is

$$u_j^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} (u_{j-1}^n + u_{j+1}^n) - \frac{\Delta t}{4\Delta x} ((u_{j+1}^n)^2 - (u_{j-1}^n)^2)$$

*Hint:* For stability,  $\Delta t \leq \Delta x / \max_i \{|u_i^n|\}$ .

### Solution:

Let  $M = \max_j |u_j|$ . Then, for the method to be stable,

$$\Delta t \leq \frac{\Delta x}{M}.$$

Taking common factor in the LF discretization of Burgers' equation,

$$u_j^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} (u_{j-1}^n + u_{j+1}^n) \left[ 1 - \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x} (u_{j+1}^n - u_{j-1}^n) \right].$$

Since we assume that  $u_j^n > 0$  for all  $j$ , the factor  $\frac{1}{2} (u_{j-1}^n + u_{j+1}^n)$  is positive for all  $j$ . Once we know that, we can proceed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} u_j^{n+1} &= \frac{1}{2} (u_{j-1}^n + u_{j+1}^n) \left[ 1 - \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x} (u_{j+1}^n - u_{j-1}^n) \right], \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (u_{j-1}^n + u_{j+1}^n) \left[ 1 - \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x} (u_{j+1}^n + u_{j-1}^n) \right], \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (u_{j-1}^n + u_{j+1}^n) \left[ 1 - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} M \right], \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that

$$\frac{u_{j+1}^n + u_{j-1}^n}{2} \leq M.$$

To finish, by the stability condition,  $\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} M \leq 1$ . Hence,

$$u_j^{n+1} \geq \frac{1}{2} (u_{j-1}^n + u_{j+1}^n) \left[ 1 - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} M \right] \geq 0.$$

Thus LF is *positivity-preserving* for Burger's equation.

## Problem 5

Show that the upwind discretization of Burgers' equation  $u_t + uu_x = 0$  for  $u(x, t) > 0$

$$u_j^{n+1} = u_j^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} u_j^n (u_j^n - u_{j-1}^n)$$

is nonconservative, by showing whether or not the scheme can be put into the form

$$u_j^{n+1} = u_j^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} (F_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - F_{j-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

### Solution:

The upwind discretization for Burger's equation can be written as  $u_t + uu_x = 0$  for  $u(x, t) > 0$ ,

$$u_j^{n+1} = u_j^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \left( (u_j^n)^2 - u_j^n u_{j-1}^n \right).$$

We let  $F_{j+1/2} = (u_j^n)^2$  and note

$$F_{j+1/2} = (u_j^n)^2 \Rightarrow F_{j-1/2} = (u_{j-1}^n)^2 \neq u_j^n u_{j-1}^n.$$

Hence, since it cannot be written in conservative form, the upwind discretization of Burger's equation  $u_t + uu_x = 0$  is not conservative.

Show that the upwind discretization of Burgers' equation  $u_t + (\frac{1}{2}u^2)_x = 0$  for  $u(x, t) > 0$

$$u_j^{n+1} = u_j^n - \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x} ((u_j^n)^2 - (u_{j-1}^n)^2)$$

is conservative, by showing whether or not the scheme can be put into the form

$$u_j^{n+1} = u_j^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} (F_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - F_{j-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

**Solution:**

The upwind discretization of Burgers' equation  $u_t + (\frac{1}{2}u^2)_x = 0$  for  $u(x, t) > 0$  can be written as

$$u_j^{n+1} = u_j^n - \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x} ((u_j^n)^2 - (u_{j-1}^n)^2).$$

Define  $F_{j+1/2} = (u_j^n)^2$  and  $F_{j-1/2} = (u_{j-1}^n)^2$  giving,

$$u_j^{n+1} = u_j^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} (F_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - F_{j-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Thus, the upwind discretization of Burger's equation  $u_t + (\frac{1}{2}u^2)_x = 0$  is conservative.