Another Cone for Integration

Fred J. Hickernell

Room E1-208, Department of Applied Mathematics, Illinois Institute of Technology, 10 W. 32^{nd} St., Chicago, IL 60616

Abstract

Keywords: adaptive, automatic, cones, function recovery, guarantee,

integration, quadrature

2010 MSC: 65D05, 65D30, 65G20

1. Introduction

In [1] we considered the problem of integration and the cone of integrands

$$C_{\tau} := \{ f \in \mathcal{V}^1 : \operatorname{Var}(f') \le \tau \| f' - f(1) + f(0) \|_1 \}, \tag{1}$$

where the total variation and the \mathcal{L}_p norms are defined as

$$\operatorname{Var}(f) := \sup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = 1}} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|,$$
$$\|f\|_p := \begin{cases} \left[\int_0^1 |f(x)|^p \, \mathrm{d}x \right]^{1/p}, & 1 \le p < \infty, \\ \sup_{0 \le x \le 1} |f(x)|, & p = \infty, \end{cases}$$
$$\mathcal{V}^k := \mathcal{V}^k[0, 1] = \{ f \in C[0, 1] : \operatorname{Var}(f^{(k)}) < \infty \}.$$

We derived an algorithm [1, Algorithm 4] that was guaranteed for integrands in \mathcal{C}_{τ} . In this note we consider another algorithm and other cones.

First we recall some notation and results from [1]. For all $n \in \mathcal{I} := \{2, 3, \ldots\}$ we have the linear spline:

$$x_{i,n} := x_i := \frac{i-1}{n-1}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n,$$
 (2a)

$$A_n(f)(x) := (n-1) \left[f(x_i)(x_{i+1} - x) + f(x_{i+1})(x - x_i) \right]$$
for $x_i \le x \le x_{i+1}$. (2b)

 $Email\ address: \ {\tt hickernell@iit.edu}\ ({\tt Fred\ J.\ Hickernell})$

The cost of each function value is one and so the cost of A_n is n. The dependence of the nodes, x_i on n is often suppressed for simplicity. Integrating the linear spline gives us the trapezoidal rule based on n-1 trapezoids:

$$T_n(f) := \int_0^1 A_n(f) \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{2n-2} [f(x_1) + 2f(x_2) + \dots + 2f(x_{n-1}) + f(x_n)].$$

The error of the trapezoidal rule has the following upper bound:

$$\left| \int_0^1 f(x) \, dx - T_n(f) \right| \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}(f' - A_n(f)')}{8(n-1)^2} \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}(f')}{8(n-1)^2}. \tag{3}$$

The variation of the first derivative of f is bounded below by the variation of the first derivative of the linear spline of f:

$$\operatorname{Var}(f') \ge F_n(f) := \operatorname{Var}(A_n(f)')$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & n = 2, \\ (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} |f(x_i) - 2f(x_{i+1}) + f(x_{i+2})|, & n \ge 3. \end{cases}$$
(4)

2. New Cone, New Algorithm

The new cone considered here is defined as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\widehat{\tau}} := \{ f \in \mathcal{V}^1 : \operatorname{Var}(f' - A_n(f)') \le \widehat{\tau}(n) F_n(f) \ \forall n \in \widehat{\mathcal{I}} \}, \tag{5}$$

Here $\hat{\tau}: \widehat{\mathcal{I}} \to [0, \infty)$ is some specified function that defines the cone, and $\widehat{\mathcal{I}} = \{N_{\min}, N_{\min} + 1, \ldots\}$, where N_{\min} is some integer no smaller than 2.

Algorithm 1 (New Cone Adaptive Univariate Integration). Let the sequence of algorithms $\{T_n\}_{n\in\mathcal{I}}$ $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathcal{I}}$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}}$ be as described above. Set i=1, and let $n_1=N_{\min}$. For any error tolerance ε and input function f, do the following:

Step 1. Bound Var(f') and check for convergence. Compute $F_{n_i}(f)$ in (4). Check whether n_i is large enough to satisfy the error tolerance, i.e.

$$\hat{\tau}(n_i)F_{n_i}(f) \le 8(n_i - 1)^2 \varepsilon.$$

If this is true, then return $T_{n_i}(f)$ and terminate the algorithm.

Step 2. Increase the number of trapezoids. If the above condition is false, choose $n_{i+1} = 2n_i$, increment i, and go to Step 1.

3. The New Cone's Relationship to Other Cones

The cone defined in (5) makes Algorithm 1 work. In this section we show that it contains and is contained in other cones that might be more intuitive. One family of cones of interest is defined by replacing $F_n(f)$ by Var(f') in (5):

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{\tau}} := \{ f \in \mathcal{V}^1 : \operatorname{Var}(f' - A_n(f)') \le \tilde{\tau}(n) \operatorname{Var}(f'), \ n \in \mathcal{I} \},$$
(6)

where $\tilde{\tau}: \mathcal{I} \to [0,2]$ is non-increasing. Another family of cones is related to (1) and is defined as

$$C_{\overline{\tau}} := \{ f \in \mathcal{V}^1 : \text{Var}(f' - A_n(f)') \le \overline{\tau}(n) \| f' - A_n(f)' \|_1, \ n \in \mathcal{I} \},$$
 (7)

where $\overline{\tau}: \mathcal{I} \to [0, \infty]$. Under this definition \mathcal{C}_{τ} corresponds to defining $\overline{\tau}(2) = \tau$, $\overline{\tau}(n) = \infty$ for n > 2.

To facilitate the comparison of $\widehat{C}_{\hat{\tau}}$, $\widetilde{C}_{\tilde{\tau}}$, and $C_{\overline{\tau}}$ we note several inequalities. For all $f \in \mathcal{V}^1$,

$$Var(f') \le Var(f' - A_n(f)') + Var(A_n(f)') = Var(f' - A_n(f)') + F_n(f),$$
 (8)

$$\operatorname{Var}(f' - A_n(f)') \le \operatorname{Var}(f') + \operatorname{Var}(A_n(f')) = \operatorname{Var}(f') + F_n(f). \tag{9}$$

prove the following lemma. From (4) and (9) it follows that

$$\operatorname{Var}(f' - A_n(f)') \le 2 \operatorname{Var}(f') \qquad \forall f \in \mathcal{V}^1,$$
 (10)

which is why $\tilde{\tau}(n) \leq 2$ for all n. Moreover, if $\tilde{\tau}(n) = 2$ for all $n \in \mathcal{I}$, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{\tau}} = \mathcal{V}^1$.

Theorem 1. Given the function $\hat{\tau}: \widehat{\mathcal{I}} \to [0, \infty)$, suppose that $\tilde{\tau}_j: \mathcal{I} \to [0, 2]$, j = 1, 2 satisfy the inequality

$$\tilde{\tau}_1(n) \le \frac{\hat{\tau}(n)}{1 + \hat{\tau}(n)} \le \min(2, \hat{\tau}(n)) \le \tilde{\tau}_2(n) \qquad \forall n \in \widehat{\mathcal{I}}.$$
(11)

It follows that $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{\tau}_1} \leq \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{\tau}_2}$.

Proof. First suppose that $f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{\tau}_1}$ where $\tilde{\tau}_1$ satisfies inequality (11). It then follows that

$$Var(f' - A_n(f)') = (1 + \hat{\tau}(n)) Var(f' - A_n(f)') - \hat{\tau}(n) Var(f' - A_n(f)')$$

$$\leq [1 + \hat{\tau}(n)] \tilde{\tau}_1(n) Var(f') - \hat{\tau}(n) Var(f' - A_n(f)') \quad \text{by (6)}$$

$$\leq \hat{\tau}(n) [Var(f') - Var(f' - A_n(f)')] \quad \text{by (11)}$$

$$\leq \hat{\tau}(n) F_n(f) \quad \text{by (8)}.$$

Thus, $\widetilde{C}_{\tilde{\tau}_1} \leq \widehat{C}_{\hat{\tau}}$. Now suppose that $f \in \widehat{C}_{\hat{\tau}}$. It follows by (4) and (10) that $f \in \widetilde{C}_{\tilde{\tau}_2}$.

To prove the relationship between the cones defined in (5) and (7) the following bound is needed.

Lemma 1. For all $n \in \mathcal{I}$ and all $f \in \mathcal{V}^1$ it follows that

$$F_n(f) \le 2(n-1) \|f' - A_n(f)'\|_1$$
 (12)

Proof. For all $f \in \mathcal{V}^1$ we use the triangle inequality:

$$F_{n}(f) = (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left| f(x_{i}) - 2f(x_{i+1}) + f(x_{i+2}) \right|$$

$$\leq (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left| f(x_{i}) - f(x_{i+1}) + \frac{f(1) - f(0)}{n-1} \right|$$

$$+ (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left| -f(x_{i+1}) + f(x_{i+2}) - \frac{f(1) - f(0)}{n-1} \right|$$

$$\leq 2(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_{i}) - \frac{f(1) - f(0)}{n-1} \right|$$

$$= 2(n-1) \left\| A_{n}(f)' - f(1) + f(0) \right\|_{1}$$

$$\leq 2(n-1) \left\| f' - f(1) + f(0) \right\|_{1}.$$

Theorem 2. Given the function $\hat{\tau}: \widehat{\mathcal{I}} \to [0, \infty)$, suppose that $\overline{\tau}_j: \mathcal{I} \to [0, \infty)$, j = 1, 2 satisfy the inequality

$$\min\{2(n-1)\hat{\tau}(n): n \in \widehat{\mathcal{I}}\}??\overline{\tau}_{?}(n)$$
(13)

It follows that $C_{\overline{\tau}_1} \leq \widehat{C}_{\hat{\tau}} \subseteq C_{\overline{\tau}_2}$.

Proof. For all $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}}$ it follows from (12) that

$$\operatorname{Var}(f') \le \hat{\tau}(n) F_n(f) = 2(n-1)\hat{\tau}(n) \|f' - f(1) + f(0)\|_1 \quad \forall n \ge N_{\min}.$$

Applying the definition of τ completes the proof.

Now we define a cone that is contained in $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}}$. Let

$$\widetilde{C}_{\widetilde{\tau}} := \{ f \in \mathcal{V}^1 : \operatorname{Var}(f') \le \widetilde{\tau}(n) \| f' - f(1) + f(0) \|_1 \ \forall n \ge 3 \},$$
 (14)

Theorem 3. For any non-increasing $\hat{\tau}: \mathcal{I} \to (1, \infty)$, let

$$\tau = \min\{2(n-1)\hat{\tau}(n) : n \ge N_{\min}\}.$$

It follows that $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\tau}$.

Proof. For all $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}}$ it follows from (12) that

$$\operatorname{Var}(f') \le \hat{\tau}(n) F_n(f) = 2(n-1)\hat{\tau}(n) \|f' - f(1) + f(0)\|_1 \quad \forall n \ge N_{\min}.$$

Applying the definition of τ completes the proof.

References

[1] N. Clancy, Y. Ding, C. Hamilton, F.J. Hickernell, Y. Zhang, The cost of deterministic, adaptive, automatic algorithms: Cones, not balls, 2013. Submitted for publication, arXiv.org:1303.2412 [math.NA].