# Another Cone for Integration

### Fred J. Hickernell

Room E1-208, Department of Applied Mathematics, Illinois Institute of Technology, 10 W. 32<sup>nd</sup> St., Chicago, IL 60616

#### Abstract

Keywords: adaptive, automatic, cones, function recovery, guarantee,

integration, quadrature

2010 MSC: 65D05, 65D30, 65G20

#### 1. Introduction

In [2] we considered the problem of integration and the cone of integrands

$$C_{\tau} := \{ f \in \mathcal{V}^1 : \operatorname{Var}(f') \le \tau \| f' - f(1) + f(0) \|_1 \}, \tag{1}$$

where the total variation and the  $\mathcal{L}_p$  norms are defined as

$$\operatorname{Var}(f) := \sup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = 1}} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|,$$

$$\|f\|_p := \left\{ \left[ \int_0^1 |f(x)|^p \, \mathrm{d}x \right]^{1/p}, \quad 1 \le p < \infty, \right.$$

$$\sup_{\substack{0 \le x \le 1 \\ 0 \le x \le 1}} |f(x)|, \qquad p = \infty,$$

We derived an algorithm [2, Algorithm 4] that was guaranteed for integrands in  $\mathcal{C}_{\tau}$ . In this note we consider another algorithm and other cones.

First we recall some notation and results from [2]. For all  $n \in \mathcal{I} := \{0, 2, 3, \ldots\}$  we have the linear spline. By convention  $A_0(f) = 0$ , and for n > 0,

$$x_{i,n} := x_i := \frac{i-1}{n-1}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n,$$
 (2a)

$$A_n(f)(x) := (n-1) \left[ f(x_i)(x_{i+1} - x) + f(x_{i+1})(x - x_i) \right]$$
for  $x_i \le x \le x_{i+1}$ . (2b)

 ${\it Email \ address:} \ {\tt hickernell@iit.edu} \ ({\tt Fred \ J. \ Hickernell})$ 

The cost of each function value is one and so the cost of  $A_n$  is n. The dependence of the nodes,  $x_i$  on n is often suppressed for simplicity. Integrating the linear spline gives us the trapezoidal rule based on n-1 trapezoids:

$$T_n(f) := \int_0^1 A_n(f) \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{2n-2} [f(x_1) + 2f(x_2) + \dots + 2f(x_{n-1}) + f(x_n)]$$

for  $n \geq 2$  and  $T_0(f) = 0$ .

The error of the trapezoidal rule has the following upper bound [1, (7.15)]:

$$\left| \int_0^1 f(x) \, dx - T_n(f) \right| \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}(f')}{8(n-1)^2} \qquad n \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \{0\}.$$
 (3)

For any  $n \in \mathcal{I}$ , let  $\mathcal{J}_n = \{m \in \mathbb{N} : (n-1)/(m-1) \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . This means that  $T_n$  integrates exactly any function that is a linear spline using m nodes for  $m \in \mathcal{J}_n$ . This implies that

$$\left| \int_0^1 f(x) \, dx - T_n(f) \right| = \left| \int_0^1 [f(x) - A_m(f)(x)] \, dx - T_n(f - A_m(f)) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\operatorname{Var}(f' - A_m(f)')}{8(n-1)^2} \quad \forall m \in \mathcal{J}_n, \ n \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{4}$$

The variation of the first derivative of f is bounded below by the variation of the first derivative of the linear spline of f. For all  $f \in \mathcal{V}^1$  it follows that

$$\operatorname{Var}(f') \ge F_n(f) := \operatorname{Var}(A_n(f)')$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & n = 0, 2, \\ (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} |f(x_i) - 2f(x_{i+1}) + f(x_{i+2})|, & n \ge 3. \end{cases}$$
(5)

Also note that

$$F_m(f) = F_m(A_n(f)) < \operatorname{Var}(A_n(f)') = F_n(f) \qquad \forall m \in \mathcal{J}_n. \tag{6}$$

The bound in further implies that

$$F_n(f) \le \text{Var}(f') \le \text{Var}(f' - A_m(f)') + \text{Var}(A_m(f))$$
  
=  $\text{Var}(f' - A_m(f)') + F_m(f)$ . (7)

## 2. New Cone, New Algorithm

The new cone considered here is defined as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\widehat{\tau}} := \{ f \in \mathcal{V}^1 : \min_{m \in \mathcal{T}_n} \operatorname{Var}(f' - A_m(f)') \le \widehat{\tau}(n) F_n(f) \ \forall n \in \widehat{\mathcal{I}} \}, \tag{8}$$

Here  $\hat{\tau}: \widehat{\mathcal{I}} \to [0, \infty)$  is some specified function that defines the cone, and  $\widehat{\mathcal{I}} = \{N_{\min}, N_{\min} + 1, \ldots\}$ , where  $N_{\min}$  is some integer no smaller than 3.

**Algorithm 1** (New Cone Adaptive Univariate Integration). Let the sequence of algorithms  $\{T_n\}_{n\in\mathcal{I}}$   $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathcal{I}}$ , and  $\widehat{C}_{\hat{\tau}}$  be as described above. Set i=1, and let  $n_1=N_{\min}$ . For any error tolerance  $\varepsilon$  and input function f, do the following:

Step 1. Bound Var(f') and check for convergence. Compute  $F_{n_i}(f)$  in (5). Check whether  $n_i$  is large enough to satisfy the error tolerance, i.e.

$$\hat{\tau}(n_i)F_{n_i}(f) \le 8(n_i - 1)^2 \varepsilon.$$

If this is true, then return  $T_{n_i}(f)$  and terminate the algorithm.

Step 2. Increase the number of trapezoids. If the above condition is false, choose  $n_{i+1} = 2n_i$ , increment i, and go to Step 1.

## 3. The New Cone's Relationship to Other Cones

The cone defined in (8) makes Algorithm 1 work. In this section we show that it contains and is contained in other cones that might be more intuitive. One family of cones of interest is defined by replacing  $F_n(f)$  by Var(f') in (8):

$$\widetilde{C}_{\tilde{\tau}} := \{ f \in \mathcal{V}^1 : \operatorname{Var}(f' - A_n(f)') \le \tilde{\tau}(n) \operatorname{Var}(f'), \ n \in \mathcal{I} \}, \tag{9}$$

where  $\tilde{\tau}: \mathcal{I} \to [0,2]$  is non-increasing. Another family of cones is related to (1) and is defined as

$$C_{\overline{\tau}} := \{ f \in \mathcal{V}^1 : \text{Var}(f' - A_n(f)') \le \overline{\tau}(n) \| f' - A_n(f)' \|_1, \ n \in \mathcal{I} \}, \tag{10}$$

where  $\overline{\tau}: \mathcal{I} \to [0, \infty]$ . Under this definition  $\mathcal{C}_{\tau}$  corresponds to defining  $\overline{\tau}(2) = \tau$ ,  $\overline{\tau}(n) = \infty$  for n > 2.

To facilitate the comparison of  $\widehat{C}_{\hat{\tau}}$ ,  $\widetilde{C}_{\tilde{\tau}}$ , and  $C_{\overline{\tau}}$  we note several inequalities. For all  $f \in \mathcal{V}^1$ ,

$$Var(f') \le Var(f' - A_n(f)') + Var(A_n(f)') = Var(f' - A_n(f)') + F_n(f),$$
 (11)

$$\operatorname{Var}(f' - A_n(f)') \le \operatorname{Var}(f') + \operatorname{Var}(A_n(f')) = \operatorname{Var}(f') + F_n(f). \tag{12}$$

prove the following lemma. From (5) and (12) it follows that

$$\operatorname{Var}(f' - A_n(f)') \le 2 \operatorname{Var}(f') \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{V}^1,$$
 (13)

which is why  $\tilde{\tau}(n) \leq 2$  for all n. Moreover, if  $\tilde{\tau}(n) = 2$  for all  $n \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{\tau}} = \mathcal{V}^1$ .

**Theorem 1.** Given the function  $\hat{\tau}: \widehat{\mathcal{I}} \to [0, \infty)$ , suppose that  $\tilde{\tau}_j: \mathcal{I} \to [0, 2]$ , j = 1, 2 satisfy the inequality

$$\tilde{\tau}_1(n) \le \frac{\hat{\tau}(n)}{1 + \hat{\tau}(n)} \le \min(2, \hat{\tau}(n)) \le \tilde{\tau}_2(n) \quad \forall n \in \hat{\mathcal{I}}.$$
 (14)

It follows that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{\tau}_1} \leq \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{\tau}_2}$ .

*Proof.* First suppose that  $f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{\tau}_1}$  where  $\tilde{\tau}_1$  satisfies inequality (14). It then follows that

$$Var(f' - A_n(f)') = (1 + \hat{\tau}(n)) Var(f' - A_n(f)') - \hat{\tau}(n) Var(f' - A_n(f)')$$

$$\leq [1 + \hat{\tau}(n)] \tilde{\tau}_1(n) Var(f') - \hat{\tau}(n) Var(f' - A_n(f)') \quad \text{by (9)}$$

$$\leq \hat{\tau}(n) [Var(f') - Var(f' - A_n(f)')] \quad \text{by (14)}$$

$$\leq \hat{\tau}(n) F_n(f) \quad \text{by (11)}.$$

Thus,  $\widetilde{C}_{\tilde{\tau}_1} \leq \widehat{C}_{\hat{\tau}}$ . Now suppose that  $f \in \widehat{C}_{\hat{\tau}}$ . It follows by (5) and (13) that  $f \in \widetilde{C}_{\tilde{\tau}_2}$ .

To prove the relationship between the cones defined in (8) and (10) the following bound is needed.

**Lemma 1.** For all  $n \in \mathcal{I}$  and all  $f \in \mathcal{V}^1$  it follows that

$$F_n(f) \le 2(n-1) \|f' - A_n(f)'\|_1$$
 (15)

*Proof.* For all  $f \in \mathcal{V}^1$  we use the triangle inequality:

$$F_{n}(f) = (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} |f(x_{i}) - 2f(x_{i+1}) + f(x_{i+2})|$$

$$\leq (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} |f(x_{i}) - f(x_{i+1}) + \frac{f(1) - f(0)}{n-1}|$$

$$+ (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} |-f(x_{i+1}) + f(x_{i+2}) - \frac{f(1) - f(0)}{n-1}|$$

$$\leq 2(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_{i}) - \frac{f(1) - f(0)}{n-1}|$$

$$= 2(n-1) ||A_{n}(f)' - f(1) + f(0)||_{1}$$

$$\leq 2(n-1) ||f' - f(1) + f(0)||_{1}.$$

**Theorem 2.** Given the function  $\hat{\tau}: \widehat{\mathcal{I}} \to [0, \infty)$ , suppose that  $\overline{\tau}_j: \mathcal{I} \to [0, \infty)$ , j = 1, 2 satisfy the inequality

$$\min\{2(n-1)\hat{\tau}(n): n \in \widehat{\mathcal{I}}\}??\overline{\tau}_{?}(n)$$
(16)

П

It follows that  $C_{\overline{\tau}_1} \leq \widehat{C}_{\hat{\tau}} \subseteq C_{\overline{\tau}_2}$ .

*Proof.* For all  $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}}$  it follows from (15) that

$$\operatorname{Var}(f') \le \hat{\tau}(n) F_n(f) = 2(n-1)\hat{\tau}(n) \|f' - f(1) + f(0)\|_1 \quad \forall n \ge N_{\min}$$

Applying the definition of  $\tau$  completes the proof.

Now we define a cone that is contained in  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}}$ . Let

$$\widetilde{C}_{\tilde{\tau}} := \{ f \in \mathcal{V}^1 : \operatorname{Var}(f') \le \tilde{\tau}(n) \| f' - f(1) + f(0) \|_1 \ \forall n \ge 3 \}, \tag{17}$$

**Theorem 3.** For any non-increasing  $\hat{\tau}: \mathcal{I} \to (1, \infty)$ , let

$$\tau = \min\{2(n-1)\hat{\tau}(n) : n \ge N_{\min}\}.$$

It follows that  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\tau}$ .

*Proof.* For all  $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}}$  it follows from (15) that

$$\operatorname{Var}(f') \le \hat{\tau}(n) F_n(f) = 2(n-1)\hat{\tau}(n) \|f' - f(1) + f(0)\|_1 \quad \forall n \ge N_{\min}.$$

Applying the definition of  $\tau$  completes the proof.

## References

- [1] H. Brass, K. Petras, Quadrature theory: the theory of numerical integration on a compact interval, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, first edition, 2011.
- [2] N. Clancy, Y. Ding, C. Hamilton, F.J. Hickernell, Y. Zhang, The cost of deterministic, adaptive, automatic algorithms: Cones, not balls, J. Complexity (2013). To appear, arXiv.org:1303.2412 [math.NA].