

Another Cone for Integration

Fred J. Hickernell

Room E1-208, Department of Applied Mathematics, Illinois Institute of Technology,
10 W. 32nd St., Chicago, IL 60616

Abstract

Keywords: adaptive, automatic, cones, function recovery, guarantee, integration, quadrature

2010 MSC: 65D05, 65D30, 65G20

1. Introduction

In [2] we considered the problem of integration and the cone of integrands

$$\mathcal{C}_\tau := \{f \in \mathcal{V}^1 : \text{Var}(f') \leq \tau \|f' - f(1) + f(0)\|_1\}, \quad (1)$$

where the total variation and the \mathcal{L}_p norms are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(f) &:= \sup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0=x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n=1}} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|, \\ \|f\|_p &:= \begin{cases} \left[\int_0^1 |f(x)|^p dx \right]^{1/p}, & 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \sup_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x)|, & p = \infty, \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{V}^k &:= \mathcal{V}^k[0, 1] = \{f \in C[0, 1] : \text{Var}(f^{(k)}) < \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

We derived an algorithm [2, Algorithm 4] that was guaranteed for integrands in \mathcal{C}_τ . In this note we consider another algorithm and other cones.

First we recall some notation and results from [2]. For all $n \in \mathcal{I} := \{0, 2, 3, \dots\}$ we have the linear spline. By convention $A_0(f) = 0$, and for $n > 0$,

$$x_{i,n} := x_i := \frac{i-1}{n-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(f)(x) &:= (n-1) [f(x_i)(x_{i+1} - x) + f(x_{i+1})(x - x_i)] \\ &\quad \text{for } x_i \leq x \leq x_{i+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2b)$$

Email address: hickernell@iit.edu (Fred J. Hickernell)

The cost of each function value is one and so the cost of A_n is n . The dependence of the nodes, x_i on n is often suppressed for simplicity. Integrating the linear spline gives us the trapezoidal rule based on $n - 1$ trapezoids:

$$T_n(f) := \int_0^1 A_n(f) dx = \frac{1}{2n-2} [f(x_1) + 2f(x_2) + \cdots + 2f(x_{n-1}) + f(x_n)]$$

for $n \geq 2$ and $T_0(f) = 0$.

The error of the trapezoidal rule has the following upper bound [1, (7.15)]:

$$\left| \int_0^1 f(x) dx - T_n(f) \right| \leq \frac{\text{Var}(f')}{8(n-1)^2} \quad n \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (3)$$

For any $n \in \mathcal{I}$, let $\mathcal{J}_n = \{m \in \mathbb{N} : (n-1)/(m-1) \in \mathbb{N}\}$. This means that T_n integrates exactly any function that is a linear spline using m nodes for $m \in \mathcal{J}_n$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 f(x) dx - T_n(f) \right| &= \left| \int_0^1 [f(x) - A_m(f)(x)] dx - T_n(f - A_m(f)) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\text{Var}(f' - A_m(f)')}{8(n-1)^2} \quad \forall m \in \mathcal{J}_n, \quad n \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The variation of the first derivative of f is bounded below by the variation of the first derivative of the linear spline of f . For all $f \in \mathcal{V}^1$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(f') &\geq F_n(f) := \text{Var}(A_n(f)') \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & n = 0, 2, \\ (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} |f(x_i) - 2f(x_{i+1}) + f(x_{i+2})|, & n \geq 3. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Also note that

$$F_m(f) = F_m(A_n(f)) \leq \text{Var}(A_n(f)') = F_n(f) \quad \forall m \in \mathcal{J}_n. \quad (6)$$

The bound in further implies that

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(f) &\leq \text{Var}(f') \leq \text{Var}(f' - A_m(f)') + \text{Var}(A_m(f)') \\ &= \text{Var}(f' - A_m(f)') + F_m(f). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Another useful fact from ??? is that

$$\|f' - f(1) + f(0)\|_1 \leq \tilde{F}_n(f) + \tilde{h}(n) \text{Var}(f') \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{V}^1 \quad (8)$$

2. New Cone, New Algorithm

Let $\overline{\mathcal{I}}$ be some non-empty subset of $\{(\ell, m, n) \in \{2, 3, \dots\}^3 : \ell \in \mathcal{J}_m, m \in \mathcal{J}_n\}$, and let $\overline{\tau} : \overline{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be some given function. The new cone considered

here is defined as

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\overline{\tau}} := \{f \in \mathcal{V}^1 : \text{Var}(f' - A_m(f)') \leq F_n(f - A_m(f)) + \overline{\tau}(\ell, m, n) \|f' - A_\ell(f)'\|_1\} \\ \forall (\ell, m, n) \in \overline{\mathcal{I}}. \quad (9)$$

Note that for all $(\ell, m, n) \in \overline{\mathcal{I}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f' - A_\ell(f)'\|_1 &= \|f' - A_m(f)' + A_m(f)' - A_\ell(f)'\|_1 \\ &\leq \|f' - A_m(f)'\|_1 + \tilde{F}_m(f - A_\ell(f)) \\ &\leq \tilde{F}_m(f - A_m(f)) + \tilde{h}(m) \text{Var}(f' - A_m(f)') + \tilde{F}_m(f - A_\ell(f)) \\ &= \tilde{h}(m) \text{Var}(f' - A_m(f)') + \tilde{F}_m(f - A_\ell(f)) \quad \text{by (8)} \end{aligned}$$

For all $f \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\overline{\tau}}$ it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(f' - A_m(f)') &\leq F_n(f - A_m(f)) + \overline{\tau}(\ell, m, n) \tilde{F}_\ell(f) \\ &\leq F_n(f - A_m(f)) + \overline{\tau}(\ell, m, n) [\tilde{h}(m) \text{Var}(f' - A_m(f)') \\ &\quad + \tilde{F}_m(f - A_\ell(f))] \\ \text{Var}(f' - A_m(f)') &\leq \frac{F_n(f - A_m(f)) + \overline{\tau}(\ell, m, n) \tilde{F}_m(f - A_\ell(f))}{1 - \overline{\tau}(\ell, m, n) \tilde{h}(m)}, \\ &\quad \text{provided } \overline{\tau}(\ell, m, n) \tilde{h}(m) < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\hat{\tau} : \hat{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is some specified function that defines the cone, and $\hat{\mathcal{I}} = \{N_{\min}, N_{\min} + 1, \dots\}$, where N_{\min} is some integer no smaller than 3.

Algorithm 1 (New Cone Adaptive Univariate Integration). Let the sequence of algorithms $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathcal{I}}$, $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathcal{I}}$, and $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}}$ be as described above. Set $i = 1$, and let $n_1 = N_{\min}$. For any error tolerance ε and input function f , do the following:

Step 1. Bound $\text{Var}(f')$ and check for convergence. Compute $F_{n_i}(f)$ in (5). Check whether n_i is large enough to satisfy the error tolerance, i.e.

$$\hat{\tau}(n_i) F_{n_i}(f) \leq 8(n_i - 1)^2 \varepsilon.$$

If this is true, then return $T_{n_i}(f)$ and terminate the algorithm.

Step 2. Increase the number of trapezoids. If the above condition is false, choose $n_{i+1} = 2n_i$, increment i , and go to Step 1.

3. New Cone, New Algorithm

The new cone considered here is defined as

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}} := \{f \in \mathcal{V}^1 : \min_{m \in \mathcal{I}_n} \text{Var}(f' - A_m(f)') \leq \hat{\tau}(n) F_n(f) \quad \forall n \in \hat{\mathcal{I}}\}, \quad (10)$$

Here $\hat{\tau} : \hat{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is some specified function that defines the cone, and $\hat{\mathcal{I}} = \{N_{\min}, N_{\min} + 1, \dots\}$, where N_{\min} is some integer no smaller than 3.

Algorithm 2 (New Cone Adaptive Univariate Integration). Let the sequence of algorithms $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathcal{I}}$, $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathcal{I}}$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}}$ be as described above. Set $i = 1$, and let $n_1 = N_{\min}$. For any error tolerance ε and input function f , do the following:

Step 1. Bound $\text{Var}(f')$ and check for convergence. Compute $F_{n_i}(f)$ in (5). Check whether n_i is large enough to satisfy the error tolerance, i.e.

$$\hat{\tau}(n_i)F_{n_i}(f) \leq 8(n_i - 1)^2\varepsilon.$$

If this is true, then return $T_{n_i}(f)$ and terminate the algorithm.

Step 2. Increase the number of trapezoids. If the above condition is false, choose $n_{i+1} = 2n_i$, increment i , and go to Step 1.

4. The New Cone's Relationship to Other Cones

The cone defined in (10) makes Algorithm 2 work. In this section we show that it contains and is contained in other cones that might be more intuitive. One family of cones of interest is defined by replacing $F_n(f)$ by $\text{Var}(f')$ in (10):

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{\tau}} := \{f \in \mathcal{V}^1 : \text{Var}(f' - A_n(f')) \leq \tilde{\tau}(n) \text{Var}(f'), n \in \mathcal{I}\}, \quad (11)$$

where $\tilde{\tau} : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow [0, 2]$ is non-increasing. Another family of cones is related to (1) and is defined as

$$\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\tau}} := \{f \in \mathcal{V}^1 : \text{Var}(f' - A_n(f')) \leq \bar{\tau}(n) \|f' - A_n(f')\|_1, n \in \mathcal{I}\}, \quad (12)$$

where $\bar{\tau} : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$. Under this definition $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\tau}}$ corresponds to defining $\bar{\tau}(2) = \tau$, $\bar{\tau}(n) = \infty$ for $n > 2$.

To facilitate the comparison of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{\tau}}$, and $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\tau}}$ we note several inequalities. For all $f \in \mathcal{V}^1$,

$$\text{Var}(f') \leq \text{Var}(f' - A_n(f')) + \text{Var}(A_n(f')) = \text{Var}(f' - A_n(f')) + F_n(f), \quad (13)$$

$$\text{Var}(f' - A_n(f')) \leq \text{Var}(f') + \text{Var}(A_n(f')) = \text{Var}(f') + F_n(f). \quad (14)$$

prove the following lemma. From (5) and (14) it follows that

$$\text{Var}(f' - A_n(f')) \leq 2 \text{Var}(f') \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{V}^1, \quad (15)$$

which is why $\tilde{\tau}(n) \leq 2$ for all n . Moreover, if $\tilde{\tau}(n) = 2$ for all $n \in \mathcal{I}$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{\tau}} = \mathcal{V}^1$.

Theorem 1. *Given the function $\hat{\tau} : \widehat{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, suppose that $\tilde{\tau}_j : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow [0, 2]$, $j = 1, 2$ satisfy the inequality*

$$\tilde{\tau}_1(n) \leq \frac{\hat{\tau}(n)}{1 + \hat{\tau}(n)} \leq \min(2, \hat{\tau}(n)) \leq \tilde{\tau}_2(n) \quad \forall n \in \widehat{\mathcal{I}}. \quad (16)$$

It follows that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{\tau}_1} \leq \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{\tau}_2}$.

Proof. First suppose that $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{\tau}_1}$ where $\tilde{\tau}_1$ satisfies inequality (16). It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(f' - A_n(f)') &= (1 + \hat{\tau}(n)) \text{Var}(f' - A_n(f)') - \hat{\tau}(n) \text{Var}(f' - A_n(f)') \\ &\leq [1 + \hat{\tau}(n)] \tilde{\tau}_1(n) \text{Var}(f') - \hat{\tau}(n) \text{Var}(f' - A_n(f)') \quad \text{by (11)} \\ &\leq \hat{\tau}(n) [\text{Var}(f') - \text{Var}(f' - A_n(f)')] \quad \text{by (16)} \\ &\leq \hat{\tau}(n) F_n(f) \quad \text{by (13)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{\tau}_1} \leq \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}}$. Now suppose that $f \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}}$. It follows by (5) and (15) that $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{\tau}_2}$. \square

To prove the relationship between the cones defined in (10) and (12) the following bound is needed.

Lemma 1. *For all $n \in \mathcal{I}$ and all $f \in \mathcal{V}^1$ it follows that*

$$F_n(f) \leq 2(n-1) \|f' - A_n(f)'\|_1. \quad (17)$$

Proof. For all $f \in \mathcal{V}^1$ we use the triangle inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(f) &= (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} |f(x_i) - 2f(x_{i+1}) + f(x_{i+2})| \\ &\leq (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left| f(x_i) - f(x_{i+1}) + \frac{f(1) - f(0)}{n-1} \right| \\ &\quad + (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left| -f(x_{i+1}) + f(x_{i+2}) - \frac{f(1) - f(0)}{n-1} \right| \\ &\leq 2(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i) - \frac{f(1) - f(0)}{n-1} \right| \\ &= 2(n-1) \|A_n(f)' - f(1) + f(0)\|_1 \\ &\leq 2(n-1) \|f' - f(1) + f(0)\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Theorem 2. *Given the function $\hat{\tau} : \hat{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, suppose that $\bar{\tau}_j : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $j = 1, 2$ satisfy the inequality*

$$\min\{2(n-1)\hat{\tau}(n) : n \in \hat{\mathcal{I}}\} \leq \bar{\tau}_j(n) \quad (18)$$

It follows that $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\tau}_1} \leq \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\tau}_2}$.

Proof. For all $f \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}}$ it follows from (17) that

$$\text{Var}(f') \leq \hat{\tau}(n) F_n(f) = 2(n-1) \hat{\tau}(n) \|f' - f(1) + f(0)\|_1 \quad \forall n \geq N_{\min}.$$

Applying the definition of τ completes the proof. \square

Now we define a cone that is contained in $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}}$. Let

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}} := \{f \in \mathcal{V}^1 : \text{Var}(f') \leq \tilde{\tau}(n) \|f' - f(1) + f(0)\|_1 \quad \forall n \geq 3\}, \quad (19)$$

Theorem 3. *For any non-increasing $\hat{\tau} : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow (1, \infty)$, let*

$$\tau = \min\{2(n-1)\hat{\tau}(n) : n \geq N_{\min}\}.$$

It follows that $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\tau}$.

Proof. For all $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{\tau}}$ it follows from (17) that

$$\text{Var}(f') \leq \hat{\tau}(n) F_n(f) = 2(n-1)\hat{\tau}(n) \|f' - f(1) + f(0)\|_1 \quad \forall n \geq N_{\min}.$$

Applying the definition of τ completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] H. Brass, K. Petras, Quadrature theory: the theory of numerical integration on a compact interval, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, first edition, 2011.
- [2] N. Clancy, Y. Ding, C. Hamilton, F.J. Hickernell, Y. Zhang, The cost of deterministic, adaptive, automatic algorithms: Cones, not balls, J. Complexity (2013). To appear, arXiv.org:1303.2412 [math.NA].