

# Guaranteed Automatic Algorithms with a Generalized Error Criterion

Yuhan Ding, Fred J. Hickernell, Yizhi Zhang

Room E1-208, Department of Applied Mathematics, Illinois Institute of Technology,  
10 W. 32<sup>nd</sup> St., Chicago, IL 60616

---

## Abstract

*Keywords:* adaptive, cones, function recovery, integration, quadrature  
*2010 MSC:* 65D05, 65D30, 65G20

---

## 1. A General Global Error Criterion

The criterion used for the automatic algorithms in [?] is an *absolute* error criterion. Given an error tolerance,  $\varepsilon_a$ , one seeks an algorithm,  $A$ , such that

$$\|S(f) - A(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \varepsilon_a. \quad (1)$$

This is done through a sequence of non-adaptive algorithms,  $A_n$ , with cost  $n$ . For each  $n$  one can compute from only data the quantity  $\hat{\varepsilon}_n$ , a reliable upper bound on  $\|S(f) - A_n(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ , i.e.,

$$\|S(f) - A_n(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \hat{\varepsilon}_n. \quad (2)$$

The automatic algorithms in [?] uses a sequence of  $A_n$  with  $n$  increasing until  $\hat{\varepsilon}_n \leq \varepsilon_a$ .

In many practical situations, one needs to approximate the answer with a certain *relative* accuracy, e.g., correct to three significant digits. In this case, given a tolerance,  $\varepsilon_r$ , one seeks an algorithm,  $A$ , such that

$$\|S(f) - A(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \varepsilon_r \|S(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (3)$$

This is a global relative error criterion, rather than a point-wise relative error criterion. One may generalize the pure absolute and pure relative error criteria as follows:

$$\|S(f) - A(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \text{tol}(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_r \|S(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}}). \quad (4)$$

Here  $\text{tol} : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is non-decreasing in each of its arguments and satisfies a Lipschitz condition in terms of its second argument:

$$|\text{tol}(a, b) - \text{tol}(a, b')| \leq |b - b'| \quad \forall a, b, b' \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

Two examples that one might choose are

$$\text{tol}(a, b) = \max(a, b), \quad (6)$$

$$\text{tol}(a, b) = (1 - \theta)a + \theta b, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 1. \quad (7)$$

Both of these examples include absolute error and relative error as special cases.

Using the  $\hat{\varepsilon}_n$ , the aforementioned reliable upper bounds on  $\|S(f) - A(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ , the aim is to take enough samples so that the generalized error criterion can be satisfied, but not too many. The triangle inequality implies that

$$\|A_n(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}} - \|S(f) - A_n(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|S(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|A_n(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|S(f) - A_n(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Supposing that one can evaluate  $\|A_n(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}}$  strictly from the data, this implies that any algorithm satisfying the data-dependent criterion

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_n \leq \text{tol}(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_r \max(\|A_n(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}} - \hat{\varepsilon}_n, 0)) \quad (8)$$

must also satisfy (4). This criterion becomes the stopping criterion for the automatic Algorithm ?? below. Using the triangle inequality again implies that if

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_n \leq \text{tol}(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_r \max(\|S(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}} - 2\hat{\varepsilon}_n, 0)), \quad (9)$$

then (8) must also be satisfied. This criterion is used to construct an upper bound on the cost of automatic Algorithm ?? in Theorem ??.

## 2. A General Pointwise Error Criterion

In many cases it is possible to work with a point-wise generalized error criterion. Suppose that the space of solutions,  $\mathcal{H}$ , is a vector space of real-valued functions on  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and that the  $\mathcal{H}$ -norm is a sup norm:

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \sup_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} |h(\mathbf{y})|. \quad (10)$$

Then a point-wise generalized error criterion would take the form:

$$\left| (S - \tilde{A}_n)(f)(\mathbf{y}) \right| \leq \text{tol}(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_r |S(f)(\mathbf{y})|) \quad \forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}, \quad (11)$$

where again  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ . Here  $\tilde{A}_n$  may not be the same as  $A_n$ , but as shall be seen below is defined in terms of  $A_n$ . Suppose one has a reliable pointwise upper bound on the error of a non-adaptive algorithm,  $A_n$ , with cost  $n$ :

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y}) \geq |(S - A_n)(f)(\mathbf{y})| \quad \forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}. \quad (12)$$

Here,  $\hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})$  might be independent of  $\mathbf{y}$ . Furthermore, suppose that  $A_n(f)(\mathbf{y})$  can be evaluated from the data.

**Proposition 1.** Suppose that  $\varepsilon_r \leq 1$ , and define

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\pm}(\mathbf{y}) &= \frac{1}{2} [\text{tol}(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_r |A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) - \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})|) \pm \text{tol}(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_r |A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) + \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})|)], \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and the approximation

$$\tilde{A}_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) = A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) + \Delta_{-}(\mathbf{y}). \quad (14)$$

If point-wise error bound (12) holds, where  $\hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})$  satisfies the following condition,

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y}) \leq \Delta_{+}(\mathbf{y}) \quad \forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}, \quad (15)$$

then the point-wise generalized error criterion for  $\tilde{A}_n(f)$ , (11), is satisfied. If  $\hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})$  becomes small enough to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y}) &\leq \frac{1}{2} [\text{tol}(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_r |S(f)(\mathbf{y}) - \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})|) + \text{tol}(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_r |S(f)(\mathbf{y}) + \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})|)], \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for all  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}$ , then (15) must be satisfied.

*Proof.* The proof follows by applying the condition (12) that bounds the absolute error in terms of  $\hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})$ , upper bound condition (15) on  $\hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})$ , the definition of the approximate solution in (14), and definition (13):

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tilde{A}_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) - A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) - \Delta_{-}(\mathbf{y}) \right| &= 0 \leq \Delta_{+}(\mathbf{y}) - \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y}) \quad \text{by (14) and (15)} \\ \iff A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) + \Delta_{-}(\mathbf{y}) - \Delta_{+}(\mathbf{y}) + \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y}) &\leq \tilde{A}_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) \\ &\leq A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) + \Delta_{-}(\mathbf{y}) + \Delta_{+}(\mathbf{y}) - \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y}) \\ \iff A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) - \text{tol}(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_r |A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) + \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})|) + \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y}) &\leq \tilde{A}_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) \\ &\leq A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) + \text{tol}(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_r |A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) - \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})|) - \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y}) \quad \text{by (13)} \\ \implies S(f)(\mathbf{y}) - \text{tol}(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_r |S(f)(\mathbf{y})|) &\leq \tilde{A}_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) \\ &\leq S(f)(\mathbf{y}) + \text{tol}(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_r |S(f)(\mathbf{y})|) \\ &\quad \text{by (12) and since } b \mapsto b \pm \text{tol}(a, \varepsilon_r |b|) \text{ is non-decreasing by (5)} \\ \iff \left| \tilde{A}_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) - S(f)(\mathbf{y}) \right| &\leq \text{tol}(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_r |S(f)(\mathbf{y})|). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

For the special case of (6)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_+ &= \frac{1}{2} [\max(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_r |A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) - \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})|) + \max(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_r |A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) + \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})|)] \\
&= \begin{cases} \max(|A_n(f)(\mathbf{y})|, \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})), & \varepsilon_a \leq \varepsilon_r |A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) - \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})|, \\ \frac{1}{2} [\varepsilon_a + \varepsilon_r (|A_n(f)(\mathbf{y})| + \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y}))], & \varepsilon_r |A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) - \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})| \leq \varepsilon_a \leq \varepsilon_r (|A_n(f)(\mathbf{y})| + \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})), \\ \varepsilon_a, & \varepsilon_r (|A_n(f)(\mathbf{y})| + \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})) \leq \varepsilon_a. \end{cases} \\
\Delta_- &= \frac{1}{2} [\max(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_r |A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) - \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})|) - \max(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_r |A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) + \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})|)] \\
&= \begin{cases} -\text{sign}(A_n(f)(\mathbf{y})) \min(|A_n(f)(\mathbf{y})|, \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})), & \varepsilon_a \leq \varepsilon_r |A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) - \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})|, \\ \frac{-\text{sign}(A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}))}{2} [\varepsilon_r (|A_n(f)(\mathbf{y})| + \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})) - \varepsilon_a], & \varepsilon_r |A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) - \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})| \leq \varepsilon_a \leq \varepsilon_r (|A_n(f)(\mathbf{y})| + \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})), \\ 0, & \varepsilon_r (|A_n(f)(\mathbf{y})| + \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})) \leq \varepsilon_a. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

For the special case of (7)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_+ &= (1 - \theta)\varepsilon_a + \theta\varepsilon_r \frac{1}{2} [|A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) - \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})| + |A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) + \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})|] \\
&= (1 - \theta)\varepsilon_a + \theta\varepsilon_r \max(|A_n(f)(\mathbf{y})|, \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})) \\
\Delta_- &= \theta\varepsilon_r \frac{1}{2} [|A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) - \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})| - |A_n(f)(\mathbf{y}) + \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y})|] \\
&= -\theta\varepsilon_r \text{sign}(A_n(f)(\mathbf{y})) \min(|A_n(f)(\mathbf{y})|, \hat{\varepsilon}_n(\mathbf{y}))
\end{aligned}$$

## Acknowledgements