

MATH 565 Monte Carlo Methods in Finance

Fred J. Hickernell
Make-Up Test

Fall 2009
Friday, October 9

Instructions:

- i. This test consists of FOUR questions. Answer all of them.
 - ii. The time allowed for this test is 75 minutes
 - iii. This test is closed book, but you may use 4 double-sided letter-size sheets of notes.
 - iv. Calculators, even of the programmable variety, are allowed. Computers, but only using MATLAB or JMP, are also allowed. No internet access.
 - v. Show all your work to justify your answers. Answers without adequate justification will not receive credit.
1. (25 marks)

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent and identically distributed random variables with mean μ and variance σ^2 . Define the *weighted* sample mean by

$$\bar{X} = w_1 X_1 + \dots + w_n X_n,$$

for deterministic real-valued weights, w_1, \dots, w_n .

- a) Derive the mean and variance of \bar{X} .

Answer:

$$E(\bar{X}) = E(w_1 X_1 + \dots + w_n X_n) = w_1 \mu + \dots + w_n \mu = \mu(w_1 + \dots + w_n),$$
$$\text{var}(\bar{X}) = \text{var}(w_1 X_1 + \dots + w_n X_n) = w_1^2 \text{var}(X_1) + \dots + w_n^2 \text{var}(X_n) = \sigma^2(w_1^2 + \dots + w_n^2).$$

- b) Under what condition on the weights is \bar{X} an unbiased estimator of μ ?

Answer: The estimator \bar{X} is unbiased if $w_1 + \dots + w_n = 1$.

- c) What choice of weights makes $\text{var}(\bar{X})$ minimum? Is \bar{X} unbiased for this choice of weights?

Answer: If $w_1 = \dots = w_n = 0$, then $\text{var}(\bar{X}) = 0$, the smallest value possible. In this case $\bar{X} = 0$, and so \bar{X} is biased (unless $\mu = 0$).

- d) What choice of the weights gives an unbiased estimator with minimum variance?

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(\bar{X}) &= \sigma^2(w_1^2 + \dots + w_n^2) \\ &= \sigma^2 \{ [(w_1 - 1/n)^2 + \dots + (w_n - 1/n)^2] + 2(w_1 + \dots + w_n)/n - (1 + \dots + 1)/n^2 \} \\ &= \sigma^2 \{ [(w_1 - 1/n)^2 + \dots + (w_n - 1/n)^2] + 2/n - 1/n \} \\ &= \sigma^2 \{ [(w_1 - 1/n)^2 + \dots + (w_n - 1/n)^2] + 1/n \} \geq \sigma^2/n \end{aligned}$$

Note that this lower bound can be reached if $w_1 = \dots = w_n = 1/n$, i.e., equal weights give minimum variance.

2. (20 marks)

Consider linear congruential generator

$$x_0 = m_0/7, \quad x_i = ax_{i-1} \pmod{1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where the seed, m_0 , is some integer between 1 and 6, and a is also some integer between 1 and 6. For what values of a will this random number generator have the largest period possible. What is this maximum period?

Answer: The maximum period possible is $6 = 7 - 1$. We can check the possible values of a with any seed (say $m_0 = 1$) to see what the period is:

a	x_0	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	\dots
1	1/7	1/7	1/7	1/7	1/7	1/7	1/7	...
2	1/7	2/7	4/7	1/7	2/7	4/7	1/7	...
3	1/7	3/7	2/7	6/7	4/7	5/7	1/7	...
4	1/7	4/7	2/7	1/7	4/7	2/7	1/7	...
5	1/7	5/7	4/7	6/7	2/7	3/7	1/7	...
6	1/7	6/7	1/7	6/7	1/7	6/7	1/7	...

There will be a full period for $a = 3, 5$.

3. (25 marks)

Consider a random variable X with the geometric distribution with mean 2:

$$\text{Prob}(X = x) = 2^{-x}, \quad x = 1, 2, \dots$$

You may think of X as denoting the number of tries that it takes for an email message to be passed successfully to the recipient if the chance of a success on each try is $1/2$, independent of every other try. Use the linear congruential generator in the previous problem with $a = 3$ and $m_0 = 1$ to produce five pseudorandom numbers with this distribution.

Answer: First we find the cumulative distribution function:

$$F(x) = \text{Prob}(X \leq x) = \sum_{y=1}^x \text{Prob}(X = y) = \sum_{y=1}^x 2^{-y} = 1 - 2^{-x}, \quad x = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then we use the inverse cumulative distribution function method. Let $x = F(t)$, and $t = F^{-1}(x)$. Then

$$F^{-1}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq x \leq 1/2, \\ 2, & 0.5 < x \leq 0.75, \\ \dots & \\ t, & 1 - 2^{-t+1} < x \leq 1 - 2^{-t}, \\ \dots. & \end{cases}$$

Then, we compute $T_i = F^{-1}(X_i)$ to get

X_i	1/7	3/7	2/7	6/7	4/7	5/7	\dots
T_i	1	1	1	3	2	2	\dots

4. (30 marks)

Let X_1, X_2 are i.i.d. uniform random variables on the interval $[0, 1]$. Use Monte Carlo simulation to estimate hat the probability to the nearest 1% that $\min(X_1, X_2) \leq 0.5 \leq \max(X_1, X_2)$.

Answer: The code for this program plus the output is given below. The value of n may be found by choosing a trial value, n_0 , obtaining an absolute error estimate ε_0 , and then estimating $n = 1.2n_0(\varepsilon_0/0.01)^2$. The value $n = 12000$ is adequate. The true value is 50%.

```
% Probability of bracketing
n=1e3 %number of samples
tol=0.01; %error tolerance
%compute ordered pairs of random variables
x=rand(n,2); %generate ordered pairs of uniform numbers
bracket=(min(x,[],2)<=0.5)&(max(x,[],2)>=0.5);
prob=mean(bracket) %MC estimate of probability of bracketing
ciwidth=1.96*sqrt(prob*(1-prob))/sqrt(n) %confidence interval half-width
nest=1.2*n*(ciwidth/tol)^2 %estimated n to meet tolerance

n =
    1000
prob =
    0.4990
ciwidth =
    0.0310
nest =
    1.1525e+04
n =
    12000
prob =
    0.5061
ciwidth =
    0.0089
nest =
    1.1523e+04
```