

MATH 565 Monte Carlo Methods in Finance

Fred J. Hickernell

Take-Home Part of Final Exam

Due 10:30 AM, Wednesday, December 6, 2017

Instructions:

- i. This test has *FOUR* questions for a total of 36 points possible. You should attempt them all.
- ii. You may consult any book, web page, software repository, notes, old tests, or other inanimate object. You may use the m-files on the Git repositories for GAIL and the class. You may not consult any other person face-to-face, by telephone, by email, Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn or by any other means. **Sign here to acknowledge that you followed this instruction and return this page with your answers:**

Signature

Date

- iii. Show all your work to justify your answers. Submit hard copies of this signed cover page and your derivations, programs, output, and explanations to me before the in-class final exam. Answers without adequate justification will not receive credit. Calculations performed in MATLAB should be submitted as published m-files.

1. (4 points)

Let $\hat{\mu}$ be any estimator for the quantity $\mu = \mathbb{E}(Y)$.

- a) How does the root mean squared error of the estimator depend on its bias and on its variance? Derive your answer.

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{RMSE}(\hat{\mu}) &= \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[(\mu - \hat{\mu})^2]} \\ &= \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\{(\mu - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\mu})) + (\mathbb{E}(\hat{\mu}) - \hat{\mu}_n)\}^2]} \\ &= \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[(\mu - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\mu}))^2] + 2\mathbb{E}[(\mu - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\mu}))(\mathbb{E}(\hat{\mu}) - \hat{\mu})] + \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}(\hat{\mu}) - \hat{\mu})^2]} \\ &= \sqrt{(\mu - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\mu}))^2 + 2 \times 0 + \text{var}(\hat{\mu})} \\ &= \sqrt{[\text{bias}(\hat{\mu})]^2 + \text{var}(\hat{\mu})}\end{aligned}$$

- b) Give an example of an estimator that is unbiased. What is its variance?

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mu} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i, \quad Y_i \stackrel{\text{IID}}{\sim} Y \\ \mathbb{E}(\hat{\mu}) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(Y_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu = \mu \\ \text{var}(\hat{\mu}) &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{var}(Y_i) = \frac{\text{var}(Y)}{n}\end{aligned}$$

- c) Give an example of a zero variance estimator. What is its bias?

Answer:

$$\hat{\mu} = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}(\hat{\mu}) = 0, \quad \text{bias}(\hat{\mu}) = \mu, \quad \text{var}(\hat{\mu}) = 0$$

2. (12 points)

Suppose that the generators of a two-dimensional (unshifted) digital net are

$$\mathbf{z}_1 = (1/2, 1/2), \quad \mathbf{z}_2 = (1/4, 3/4), \quad \mathbf{z}_4 = (7/8, 7/8)$$

- a) Compute the points $\mathbf{z}_0, \dots, \mathbf{z}_7$, and explain how it is done.

Answer: Let \oplus denote bitwise addition

| i | \mathbf{z}_i |
|-------------|--|
| $0 = 000_2$ | $0 \times \mathbf{z}_1 \oplus 0 \times \mathbf{z}_2 \oplus 0 \times \mathbf{z}_4 = (0, 0)$ |
| $1 = 001_2$ | $1 \times \mathbf{z}_1 \oplus 0 \times \mathbf{z}_2 \oplus 0 \times \mathbf{z}_4 = \mathbf{z}_1 = (1/2, 1/2) = (2^{0.100}, 2^{0.100})$ |
| $2 = 010_2$ | $0 \times \mathbf{z}_1 \oplus 1 \times \mathbf{z}_2 \oplus 0 \times \mathbf{z}_4 = \mathbf{z}_2 = (1/4, 3/4) = (2^{0.010}, 2^{0.110})$ |
| $3 = 011_2$ | $1 \times \mathbf{z}_1 \oplus 1 \times \mathbf{z}_2 \oplus 0 \times \mathbf{z}_4 = (2^{0.100}, 2^{0.100}) \oplus (2^{0.010}, 2^{0.110}) = (2^{0.110}, 2^{0.010}) = (3/4, 1/4)$ |
| $4 = 100_2$ | $0 \times \mathbf{z}_1 \oplus 0 \times \mathbf{z}_2 \oplus 1 \times \mathbf{z}_4 = \mathbf{z}_4 = (7/8, 7/8) = (2^{0.111}, 2^{0.111})$ |
| $5 = 101_2$ | $1 \times \mathbf{z}_1 \oplus 0 \times \mathbf{z}_2 \oplus 1 \times \mathbf{z}_4 = (2^{0.100}, 2^{0.100}) \oplus (2^{0.111}, 2^{0.111}) = (2^{0.011}, 2^{0.011}) = (3/8, 3/8)$ |
| $6 = 110_2$ | $0 \times \mathbf{z}_1 \oplus 1 \times \mathbf{z}_2 \oplus 1 \times \mathbf{z}_4 = (2^{0.010}, 2^{0.110}) \oplus (2^{0.111}, 2^{0.111}) = (2^{0.101}, 2^{0.001}) = (5/8, 1/8)$ |
| $7 = 111_2$ | $1 \times \mathbf{z}_1 \oplus 1 \times \mathbf{z}_2 \oplus 1 \times \mathbf{z}_4 = (2^{0.100}, 2^{0.100}) \oplus (2^{0.010}, 2^{0.110}) \oplus (2^{0.111}, 2^{0.111}) = (2^{0.001}, 2^{0.101}) = (1/8, 5/8)$ |

- b) The set $\{\mathbf{z}_0, \dots, \mathbf{z}_7\}$ is a group, which means that under digitwise addition, \oplus , any two points in the set added together equals one of the points in this set. Demonstrate that this is true by filling out the following 8×8 addition table:

| \oplus | \mathbf{z}_0 | \mathbf{z}_1 | \mathbf{z}_2 | \mathbf{z}_3 | \mathbf{z}_4 | \mathbf{z}_5 | \mathbf{z}_6 | \mathbf{z}_7 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| \mathbf{z}_0 | | | | | | | | |
| \mathbf{z}_1 | | | | | | \mathbf{z}_5 | | |
| \mathbf{z}_2 | | | | | | | | |
| \mathbf{z}_3 | | | | | | | | |
| \mathbf{z}_4 | | | | | | | | |
| \mathbf{z}_5 | | | | | | | | |
| \mathbf{z}_6 | | | | | | | | |
| \mathbf{z}_7 | | | | | | | | |

For each row i and column j in the table enter the corresponding element $\mathbf{z}_i \oplus \mathbf{z}_j$. One answer has been entered for you. Fill in the other 63. Explain how you obtained your answer.

Answer: Referring to the binary digit representations in part a) and performing digitwise addition, we find that $\mathbf{z}_i \oplus \mathbf{z}_j = \mathbf{z}_{i \oplus j}$. Also, note that $i \oplus j = j \oplus i$. So,

| \oplus | $0 = 000_2$ | $1 = 001_2$ | $2 = 010_2$ | $3 = 011_2$ | $4 = 100_2$ | $5 = 101_2$ | $6 = 110_2$ | $7 = 111_2$ |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| $0 = 000_2$ | $000_2 = 0$ | $001_2 = 1$ | $010_2 = 2$ | $011_2 = 3$ | $100_2 = 4$ | $101_2 = 5$ | $110_2 = 6$ | $111_2 = 7$ |
| $1 = 001_2$ | | $000_2 = 0$ | $011_2 = 3$ | $010_2 = 2$ | $101_2 = 5$ | $100_2 = 4$ | $111_2 = 7$ | $110_2 = 6$ |
| $2 = 010_2$ | | | $000_2 = 0$ | $001_2 = 1$ | $110_2 = 6$ | $111_2 = 7$ | $100_2 = 4$ | $101_2 = 5$ |
| $3 = 011_2$ | | | | $000_2 = 0$ | $111_2 = 7$ | $110_2 = 6$ | $101_2 = 5$ | $100_2 = 4$ |
| $4 = 100_2$ | | | | | $000_2 = 0$ | $001_2 = 1$ | $010_2 = 2$ | $011_2 = 3$ |
| 5 | | | | | | $000_2 = 0$ | $011_2 = 3$ | $010_2 = 2$ |
| 6 | | | | | | | $000_1 = 0$ | $001_2 = 1$ |
| 7 | | | | | | | | $000_2 = 0$ |

| \oplus | \mathbf{z}_0 | \mathbf{z}_1 | \mathbf{z}_2 | \mathbf{z}_3 | \mathbf{z}_4 | \mathbf{z}_5 | \mathbf{z}_6 | \mathbf{z}_7 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| \mathbf{z}_0 | \mathbf{z}_0 | \mathbf{z}_1 | \mathbf{z}_2 | \mathbf{z}_3 | \mathbf{z}_4 | \mathbf{z}_5 | \mathbf{z}_6 | \mathbf{z}_7 |
| \mathbf{z}_1 | \mathbf{z}_1 | \mathbf{z}_0 | \mathbf{z}_3 | \mathbf{z}_2 | \mathbf{z}_5 | \mathbf{z}_4 | \mathbf{z}_7 | \mathbf{z}_6 |
| \mathbf{z}_2 | \mathbf{z}_2 | \mathbf{z}_3 | \mathbf{z}_0 | \mathbf{z}_1 | \mathbf{z}_6 | \mathbf{z}_7 | \mathbf{z}_4 | \mathbf{z}_5 |
| \mathbf{z}_3 | \mathbf{z}_3 | \mathbf{z}_2 | \mathbf{z}_1 | \mathbf{z}_0 | \mathbf{z}_7 | \mathbf{z}_6 | \mathbf{z}_5 | \mathbf{z}_4 |
| \mathbf{z}_4 | \mathbf{z}_4 | \mathbf{z}_5 | \mathbf{z}_6 | \mathbf{z}_7 | \mathbf{z}_0 | \mathbf{z}_1 | \mathbf{z}_2 | \mathbf{z}_3 |
| \mathbf{z}_5 | \mathbf{z}_5 | \mathbf{z}_4 | \mathbf{z}_7 | \mathbf{z}_6 | \mathbf{z}_1 | \mathbf{z}_0 | \mathbf{z}_3 | \mathbf{z}_2 |
| \mathbf{z}_6 | \mathbf{z}_6 | \mathbf{z}_7 | \mathbf{z}_4 | \mathbf{z}_5 | \mathbf{z}_2 | \mathbf{z}_3 | \mathbf{z}_0 | \mathbf{z}_1 |
| \mathbf{z}_7 | \mathbf{z}_7 | \mathbf{z}_6 | \mathbf{z}_5 | \mathbf{z}_4 | \mathbf{z}_3 | \mathbf{z}_2 | \mathbf{z}_1 | \mathbf{z}_0 |

- c) Does the wavenumber $\mathbf{k} = (1, 2)$ belong to the dual net? Why or why not?

Answer: $(1, 2) = (01_2, 10_2)$ does not lie in the dual net because using the notation in the notes

$$\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{z}_1 \rangle = \langle (01_2, 10_2), (20.10, 20.10) \rangle = (1 \times 1 + 0 \times 0) + (0 \times 1 + 1 \times 0) \bmod 2 = 1 \neq 0$$

3. (8 points)

A stock is governed by a geometric Brownian motion with initial price of \$50, an interest rate of 1%, a volatility of 30%. You monitor the stock price each week for thirteen weeks (one quarter of a year) i.e., you compute $S(1/52), S(2/52), \dots, S(1/4)$. Compute the price of an arithmetic mean call option with a strike price of \$50 with an absolute error of \$0.005.

4. (12 points)

Consider a stock under the same assumptions as in the previous problem. What is the expected number of thirteen weekly stock prices that will be over \$55 to the nearest 0.02?

Answer: See the MATLAB script `F17FinalProb3_4.m`, which can be published.