

MATH 565 Monte Carlo Methods in Finance

Fred J. Hickernell

In-Class Part of Final Exam

Fall 2009

Wednesday, December 9

Instructions:

- i. This exam consists of FOUR questions for a total of 50 points possible. Answer all of them.
- ii. The time allowed for this exam is 120 minutes
- iii. This exam is closed book, but you may use four double-sided letter-size sheets of notes.
- iv. Show all your work to justify your answers. Answers without adequate justification will not receive credit.

1. (12 points)

Consider the problem of estimating, μ , the average weekly load on a power grid. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables giving the daily load for weekdays (Monday through Friday), and Y_1, Y_2, \dots be i.i.d. random variables giving the daily load for weekends (Saturday and Sunday). Assume that the X_i and the Y_i are independent of each other. Suppose

$$E[X_i] = \mu_X, \quad E[Y_i] = \mu_Y, \quad \text{var}(X_i) = \sigma^2, \quad \text{var}(Y_i) = \sigma^2/4.$$

Let

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n_X} (X_1 + \dots + X_{n_X})$$

be the sample mean of n_X values of the X_i , and let

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n_Y} (Y_1 + \dots + Y_{n_Y})$$

be the sample mean of n_Y values of the Y_i .

- a) Show that $\hat{\mu} = (5/7)\bar{X} + (2/7)\bar{Y}$ is an unbiased estimate of the average weekly load, μ .

Answer: Since there are five weekdays and two weekend days in a week, it follows that $\mu = (5/7)\mu_X + (2/7)\mu_Y$. Furthermore,

$$E(\hat{\mu}) = E[(5/7)\bar{X} + (2/7)\bar{Y}] = (5/7)\mu_X + (2/7)\mu_Y = \mu, .$$

so $\hat{\mu}$ is unbiased.

- b) What is the variance of the estimator $\hat{\mu}$?

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(\hat{\mu}) &= \text{var}[(5/7)\bar{X} + (2/7)\bar{Y}] = (5/7)^2 \text{var}(\bar{X}) + (2/7)^2 \text{var}(\bar{Y}) \\ &= \frac{25\sigma^2}{49n_X} + \frac{4\sigma^2/4}{49n_Y} = \frac{\sigma^2}{49} \left(\frac{25}{n_X} + \frac{1}{n_Y} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- c) For a budget of $n_X + n_Y = 10000$ samples, what choice of n_X and n_Y gives the minimum variance for the estimator $\hat{\mu}$?

Answer: One wants to minimize

$$\text{var}(\hat{\mu}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{49} \left(\frac{25}{n_X} + \frac{1}{10000 - n_X} \right).$$

This may be done by taking the derivative with respect to n_X and setting it to zero, which yields:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{-25}{n_X^2} + \frac{1}{(10000 - n_X)^2}, \\ \frac{25}{n_X^2} &= \frac{1}{(10000 - n_X)^2}, \\ 25(10000 - n_X)^2 &= n_X^2, \\ 5(10000 - n_X) &= n_X, \\ n_X &= \frac{50000}{6} \approx 8333, \quad n_Y = \frac{10000}{6} \approx 1667, \\ \text{var}(\hat{\mu}) &= \frac{\sigma^2}{49} \left(\frac{25 \times 6}{50000} + \frac{6}{10000} \right) = \frac{6\sigma^2}{49 \times 10000} (5 + 1) = \frac{9\sigma^2}{122500}. \end{aligned}$$

For the next three problems you will need the following *uniform* pseudorandom numbers:

0.81472, 0.15761, 0.65574, 0.70605, 0.43874, 0.27603, 0.75127, 0.84072, 0.35166, 0.07585, ...

2. (12 points)

Consider the problem of approximating the integral

$$\int_1^2 \frac{e^{-x}}{x^2} dx.$$

- a) Use the *first four uniform pseudorandom numbers* above to approximate this integral.

Answer: We take the pseudorandom numbers above and add 1 to put them in the interval for integration.

$$\int_1^2 \frac{e^{-x}}{x^2} dx \approx \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{e^{-1.81472}}{1.81472^2} + \frac{e^{-1.15761}}{1.15761^2} + \frac{e^{-1.65574}}{1.65574^2} + \frac{e^{-1.70605}}{1.70605^2} \right) = 0.10400$$

- b) Use a total of four antithetic variates to approximate this integral.

Answer: Note that $2 - 0.81472 = 1.18528$ and $2 - 0.15761 = 1.84239$, so

$$\int_1^2 \frac{e^{-x}}{x^2} dx \approx \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{e^{-1.81472}}{1.81472^2} + \frac{e^{-1.15761}}{1.15761^2} + \frac{e^{-1.18528}}{1.18528^2} + \frac{e^{-1.84239}}{1.84239^2} \right) = 0.13705.$$

By the way, the numerical approximation using MATLAB's quad function is 0.12973, so the antithetic variates give a more accurate answer in this case.

3. (12 points)

The Pareto distribution has a probability density function defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}, \quad 1 \leq x < \infty.$$

- a) Use the uniform pseudorandom numbers above to compute four Pareto pseudorandom numbers.

Answer: The cumulative distribution function is $F(x) = \int_1^x f(t) dt = 1 - 1/x$. The inverse cumulative probability distribution is given by setting $u = F(x) = 1 - 1/x$ and solving for x , i.e., $x = 1/(1-u)$. Thus, we have

u_i	0.81472	0.15761	0.65574	0.70605
x_i	5.39734	1.18710	2.90479	3.40189

- b) Use these four Pareto pseudorandom numbers to estimate the integral

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{x^2} dx.$$

Answer:

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{x^2} dx \approx \frac{1}{4} (e^{-5.39734} + e^{-1.18710} + e^{-2.90479} + e^{-3.40189}) = 0.09943.$$

The true answer is ≈ 0.15 , so the small sample size hurts the accuracy.

4. (14 points)

Consider the following 8-point, 2-dimensional unshifted rank-1 lattice $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^8$:

i	Unshifted lattice \mathbf{x}_i
1	(0.000, 0.000)
2	(0.125, 0.375)
3	(0.250, 0.750)
4	(0.375, 0.125)
5	(0.500, 0.500)
6	(0.625, 0.875)
7	(0.750, 0.750)
8	(0.875, 0.625)

For $r = 1, \dots, 30$, let $\{\mathbf{t}_i^{(r)}\}_{i=1}^8$ be shifted copies of this rank-1 lattice where

$$\mathbf{t}_i^{(r)} = \mathbf{x}_i + \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{(r)} \pmod{1},$$

and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{(1)}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{(30)} \in [0, 1]^2$ are i.i.d. uniform 2-dimensional vectors. This problem concerns the approximation of

$$\mu = \int_{[0,1]^2} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

for some function $f : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$\hat{\mu}_r = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^8 f(\mathbf{t}_i^{(r)}), \quad r = 1, \dots, 30, \quad \hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{30} \sum_{r=1}^{30} \hat{\mu}_r.$$

- a) Are $\mathbf{t}_1^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{t}_8^{(1)}$ independent?

Answer: Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}_8^{(1)} - \mathbf{t}_1^{(1)} \pmod{1} &= (\mathbf{x}_8 + \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{(1)} \pmod{1}) - (\mathbf{x}_1 + \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{(1)} \pmod{1}) \\ &= \mathbf{x}_8 - \mathbf{x}_1 + (\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{(1)} - \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{(1)}) \pmod{1} \\ &= (0.875, 0.625) \pmod{1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathbf{t}_8^{(1)} = (0.875, 0.625) + \mathbf{t}_1^{(1)} \pmod{1}$. Given $\mathbf{t}_1^{(1)}$, one knows $\mathbf{t}_8^{(1)}$ exactly. They are dependent.

- b) Are $\mathbf{t}_1^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{t}_8^{(2)}$ independent?

Answer: Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}_8^{(2)} &= (0.875, 0.625) + \mathbf{t}_1^{(1)} + (\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{(1)}) \pmod{1} \\ &= (0.875, 0.625) + \mathbf{t}_1^{(1)} + \boldsymbol{\Delta} \pmod{1} \end{aligned}$$

for some $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ uniform on $[0, 1]^2$, it follows that $\mathbf{t}_1^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{t}_8^{(2)}$ are independent.

- c) Suppose that $\hat{\mu} = 1.56$, $\text{var}(f(\mathbf{t}_i^{(r)})) \approx 4$ and $\text{var}(\hat{\mu}_r) \approx 1/16$. Give an approximate 95% confidence interval for μ .

Answer: Since $\text{var}(\hat{\mu}) = \text{var}(\hat{\mu}_r)/30 \approx 1/480$, it follows that the confidence interval is

$$1.56 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{1}{480}} = 1.56 \pm 0.089 = [1.47, 1.65].$$

- d) Using the pseudo-random numbers above, compute $\mathbf{t}_1^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{t}_8^{(2)}$.

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}_1^{(1)} &= (0.000, 0.000) + (0.81472, 0.15761) \pmod{1} = (0.81472, 0.15761) \\ \mathbf{t}_8^{(2)} &= (0.875, 0.625) + (0.65574, 0.70605) \pmod{1} = (0.53074, 0.33105) \end{aligned}$$