

MATH 565 Monte Carlo Methods in Finance

Fred J. Hickernell In-Class Part of Final Exam Wednesday, December 6, 2017

Instructions:

- i. This part of the final exam has FIVE questions with a maximum score of 64 points. Added to the 36 point maximum on the take-home part this gives a total maximum for the final exam of 100 points.
 - ii. The time allowed is 120 minutes.
 - iii. This test is closed book, but you may use 4 double-sided letter-size sheets of notes.
 - iv. (Programmable) calculators are allowed, but they must not have stored text.
 - v. Show all your work to justify your answers. Answers without adequate justification will not receive credit.
1. (12 points)

You perform a Monte Carlo simulation drawing 10 000 IID random instances of Y , and compute the sample mean and the sample variance:

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{10\,000} \sum_{i=1}^{10\,000} Y_i, \quad S^2 = \frac{1}{9\,999} \sum_{i=1}^{10\,000} (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2.$$

Give unbiased estimators for $\mathbb{E}(Y)$, $\text{var}(Y)$, and $\mathbb{E}(Y^2)$ in terms of \bar{Y} and/or S^2 .

Answer: \bar{Y} is an unbiased estimator for $\mathbb{E}(Y)$. S^2 is an unbiased estimator for $\text{var}(Y)$. $\frac{1}{10\,000} \sum_{i=1}^{10\,000} Y_i^2$ is an unbiased estimator for $\mathbb{E}(Y^2)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} 9\,999S^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{10\,000} (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{10\,000} (Y_i^2 - 2Y_i\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}^2) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{10\,000} Y_i^2 \right) - 20\,000\bar{Y}^2 - 10\,000\bar{Y}^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{10\,000} Y_i^2 \right) + 10\,000\bar{Y}^2 \\ \frac{9\,999}{10\,000}S^2 + \bar{Y}^2 &= \frac{1}{10\,000} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{10\,000} Y_i^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is the unbiased estimator for $\mathbb{E}(Y^2)$.

2. (15 points)

You want to construct an approximate 99% confidence interval for $\mu = \mathbb{E}(Y)$ with a half-width of 0.1.

- a) You generate 10 000 observations of Y and you find that

the sample mean of the $Y_i = 10.42$

the sample variance of the $Y_i = 348.7$

What sample size would you need to construct your confidence interval for μ using IID Monte Carlo?

Answer: Using the Central Limit Theorem (CLT) approximation, the width of the approximate confidence interval is

$$\frac{2.58 \times 1.2 \times \sqrt{348.7}}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Making this no greater than 0.1 means choosing

$$n \geq \left\lceil \left(\frac{2.58 \times 1.2 \times \sqrt{348.7}}{0.1} \right)^2 \right\rceil \approx 334\,200$$

- b) Now suppose that you generate 10 000 pairs (Y_i, X_i) , you know that $\mathbb{E}(X) = 2$, and you find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{the sample mean of the } Y_i = 10.42 \\ &\text{the sample variance of the } Y_i = 348.7 \\ &\text{the sample mean of the } X_i = 4.384 \\ &\text{the sample variance of the } X_i = 434.1 \\ &\text{the sample covariance of the } Y_i \text{ and the } X_i = 347.8 \end{aligned}$$

Given this additional information, can you use a smaller sample size than in part a) to construct your confidence interval? What would that sample size be?

Answer: We use control variates. Let $Y_{CV} = Y + \beta(2 - X)$. Note that $\mathbb{E}(Y_{CV}) = \mu$ and $\text{var}(Y_{CV}) = \text{var}(Y) - 2\beta \text{cov}(Y, X) + \beta^2 \text{var}(X)$. To minimize this we choose

$$\beta = \frac{\text{cov}(Y, X)}{\text{var}(X)} \approx \frac{347.8}{434.1} = 0.8012.$$

Then

$$\text{var}(Y_{CV}) \approx 348.7 - 2 \times 0.8012 \times 347.8 + 0.8012^2 \times 434.1 = 70.04$$

and the sample size needed now is

$$n \geq \left\lceil \left(\frac{2.58 \times 1.2 \times \sqrt{70.04}}{0.1} \right)^2 \right\rceil \approx 67\,130,$$

which is significantly smaller than without sampling X .

- c) If the sample covariance of the Y_i and X_i were as *bad* as possible in part b), what sample size would be required to construct your confidence interval?

Answer: Since $\text{var}(Y_{CV}) = \text{var}(Y)[1 - \text{corr}^2(Y, X)]$, the worst situation is $\text{cov}(Y, X) = 0$, in which case the sample size required is the same as in part a).

3. (12 points)

You are simulating a random variable X that represents the life of a machine part in years. There is a 30% chance that the part is defective out of the box, but otherwise the part life follows an exponential distribution. The cumulative distribution function for X is

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & -\infty < x < 0, \\ 1 - 0.7e^{-x/5}, & 0 \leq x < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Given three IID $\mathcal{U}[0, 1]$ random numbers:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{cccc} i & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline U_i & 0.4914 & 0.2845 & 0.7976 \end{array}}{,}$$

find three IID random numbers, X_1 , X_2 , and X_3 , which follow the distribution for the part life above.

Answer: We use the inverse CDF method. For $0 \leq u \leq 0.3$, $F^{-1}(u) = 0$. For $0.3 < u < 1$,

$$u = F(x) = 1 - 0.7e^{-x/5} \implies e^{-x/5} = \frac{1-u}{0.7} \implies x = -5 \log\left(\frac{1-u}{0.7}\right) =: F^{-1}(u).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{array}{cccc} i & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline U_i & 0.4914 & 0.2845 & 0.7976 \\ \hline X_i = F^{-1}(U_i) & 1.5971 & 0.0000 & 6.2042 \end{array}$$

4. (15 points)

Consider the integral

$$\mu = \int_{[-1,1]^3} g(\mathbf{x}) e^{-(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)/2} d\mathbf{x}.$$

Suppose that you wish to approximate μ in an unbiased way by the estimator

$$\hat{\mu}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\mathbf{Y}_i), \quad Y_i \stackrel{\text{IID}}{\sim} \mathbf{Y},$$

where \mathbf{Y} is a random 3-vector. What is f for

a) $\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathcal{U}[-1, 1]^3$?

Answer: The probability density function for this distribution is $\varrho(\mathbf{x}) = (1/2)^3 = 1/8$, so

$$\mu = \int_{[-1,1]^3} \underbrace{8g(\mathbf{x}) e^{-(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)/2}}_{f(\mathbf{x})} \underbrace{\frac{1}{8}}_{\varrho(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}.$$

b) $\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$?

Answer: This time the probability density function for this distribution is $\varrho(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \exp(-(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)/2)$ on the domain \mathbb{R}^3 , so

$$\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \underbrace{(2\pi)^{3/2} g(\mathbf{x}) \mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]^3}}_{f(\mathbf{x})} \underbrace{\frac{e^{-(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)/2}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}}_{\varrho(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}.$$

c) $\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathcal{U}[0, 1]^3$?

Answer: This time the probability density function is $\varrho(t) = 1$. We first need to perform a transformation. Starting with the expression in part a), we define \mathbf{t} by $\mathbf{x} = 2\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{1}$. Then, $d\mathbf{x} = 8d\mathbf{t}$ and

$$\mu = \int_{[0,1]^3} \underbrace{8g(2\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{1}) e^{-[(2t_1-1)^2 + (2t_2-1)^2 + (2t_3-1)^2]/2}}_{f(\mathbf{t})} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varrho(\mathbf{t})}}_{\varrho(\mathbf{t})} d\mathbf{t}.$$

5. (10 points)

Let x_0, x_1, \dots denote the van der Corput sequence.

a) What is x_{29} ?

Answer: Since $29 = 11101_2$, it follows that

$$x_{29} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{32} = \frac{23}{32}.$$

b) Is $\hat{\mu}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(x_i)$ an unbiased estimator of $\int_0^1 f(x) dx$ for all n and all f ? Why or why not?

Answer: It is biased because it is not random, and e.g., for $n = 1$, $\hat{\mu}_1 = f(0)$ which is not the same as $\int_0^1 f(x) dx$ for general f .