

# MATH 565 Monte Carlo Methods in Finance

Fred J. Hickernell

Take-Home Part of Final Exam

Due 10:30 AM, Wednesday December 9, 2015

*Instructions:*

- i. This test has TWO questions for a total of 36 points possible. You should attempt them both.
- ii. You may consult any book, web page, software repository, notes, old tests, or other inanimate object. You may use the m-files on the Git repositories for GAIL and the class. You may not consult any other person face-to-face, by telephone, by email, Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn or by any other means. **Sign here to acknowledge that you followed this instruction and return this page with your answers:**

---

Signature

---

Date

- iii. Show all your work to justify your answers. Submit hard copies of this signed cover page and your derivations, programs, output, and explanations to me before the in-class final exam. Answers without adequate justification will not receive credit.

1. (18 points)

Consider a 2-dimensional digital sequence in base 2,  $\{\mathbf{z}_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ , where

$$\mathbf{z}_1 = \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad \mathbf{z}_2 = \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \right), \quad \mathbf{z}_4 = \left( \frac{1}{8}, \frac{7}{8} \right)$$

- a) Compute  $\{\mathbf{z}_i\}_{i=0}^7$ ,

*Answer: Let  $\oplus$  denote digitwise addition*

$i$	$\mathbf{z}_i$
$0 = 000_2$	$0 \times \mathbf{z}_1 \oplus 0 \times \mathbf{z}_2 \oplus 0 \times \mathbf{z}_4 = (0, 0)$
$1$	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = (20.100, 20.100)$
$2$	$(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}) = (20.010, 20.110)$
$3 = 011_2$	$\mathbf{z}_1 \oplus \mathbf{z}_2 = (20.110, 20.010) = (\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$
$4$	$(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{7}{8}) = (20.001, 20.111)$
$5 = 101_2$	$\mathbf{z}_1 \oplus \mathbf{z}_4 = (20.101, 20.011) = (\frac{5}{8}, \frac{3}{8})$
$6 = 110_2$	$\mathbf{z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{z}_4 = (20.011, 20.001) = (\frac{3}{8}, \frac{1}{8})$
$7 = 111_2$	$\mathbf{z}_1 \oplus \mathbf{z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{z}_4 = (20.111, 20.101) = (\frac{7}{8}, \frac{5}{8})$

- b) Consider the wavenumbers  $\mathcal{K} = \{(0,0), (1,1), (2,2)\}$ . Which wavenumbers in  $\mathcal{K}$  are also in the dual net corresponding to  $\{\mathbf{z}_i\}_{i=0}^3$ ? Which wavenumbers in  $\mathcal{K}$  are also in the dual net corresponding to  $\{\mathbf{z}_i\}_{i=0}^7$ ?

*Answer: The dual net for  $\{\mathbf{z}_i\}_{i=0}^{2^m-1}$  is defined as*

$$\{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 : \langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{z}_i \rangle = 0 \ \forall i = 0, \dots, 2^m - 1\},$$

*where*

$$\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{z}_i \rangle = k_{11}z_{i11} + k_{12}z_{i12} + \dots + k_{21}z_{i21} + k_{22}z_{i22} + \dots \mod 2,$$

where  $k_{j\ell}$  are the binary digits of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  component of  $\mathbf{k}$ , and  $z_{ij\ell}$  are the binary digits of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  component of  $\mathbf{z}_i$ . This definition can be simplified to

$$\{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 : \langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{z}_i \rangle = 0 \ \forall i = 1, 2, 4, \dots, 2^{m-1}\},$$

So we check out the wavenumbers one by one:

$\mathbf{k}$	$\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{z}_1 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{k}, ({}_{(2)}0.1, {}_{(2)}0.1) \rangle$	$\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{z}_2 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{k}, ({}_{(2)}0.01, {}_{(2)}0.11) \rangle$
(0, 0)	0	0
(1, 1) = (1 <sub>2</sub> , 1 <sub>2</sub> )	0	1
(2, 2) = (10 <sub>2</sub> , 10 <sub>2</sub> )	0	0
$\mathbf{k}$	$\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{z}_4 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{k}, ({}_{(2)}0.001, {}_{(2)}0.111) \rangle$	$\in \mathcal{K}_1$
(0, 0)	0	yes
(1, 1) = (1 <sub>2</sub> , 1 <sub>2</sub> )		no
(2, 2) = (10 <sub>2</sub> , 10 <sub>2</sub> )	1	yes
	$\in \mathcal{K}_2$	

- c) Consider a shift,  $\Delta = (1/3, 2/3)$ . Compute  $\{\mathbf{z}_i \oplus \Delta\}_{i=0}^3$ , where  $\oplus$  denotes base 2 digit-wise addition. Your answers should be written as fractions in base 10, not just base 2 expressions.

Answer: Since  $\Delta = (1/3, 2/3) = ({}_{(2)}0.010101\dots, {}_{(2)}0.101010\dots)$ , it follows that

$i$	$\mathbf{z}_i \oplus \Delta$
0	$(0, 0) \oplus ({}_{(2)}0.0101\dots, {}_{(2)}0.1010\dots) = ({}_{(2)}0.0101\dots, {}_{(2)}0.1010\dots) = (1/3, 2/3)$
1	$({}_{(2)}0.100, {}_{(2)}0.100) \oplus ({}_{(2)}0.0101\dots, {}_{(2)}0.1010\dots) = ({}_{(2)}0.110101\dots, {}_{(2)}0.001010\dots) = (5/6, 1/6)$
2	$({}_{(2)}0.010, {}_{(2)}0.110) \oplus ({}_{(2)}0.0101\dots, {}_{(2)}0.1010\dots) = ({}_{(2)}0.000101\dots, {}_{(2)}0.011010\dots) = (1/12, 5/12)$
3	$({}_{(2)}0.110, {}_{(2)}0.010) \oplus ({}_{(2)}0.0101\dots, {}_{(2)}0.1010\dots) = ({}_{(2)}0.100101\dots, {}_{(2)}0.111010\dots) = (7/12, 11/12)$

## 2. (18 points)

Consider an up-and-in barrier call option for a stock modeled by a geometric Brownian motion with an initial price of \$25, an interest rate of 1% year<sup>-1</sup>, and a volatility of 45% year<sup>-1/2</sup>. The stock price is monitored every two weeks. The strike price is \$25, and the time to expiry is 1/2 year. You want to design a product that has a fair price of \$2.00. What should the *barrier* be to the nearest \$0.1?

Answer: See the MATLAB script `TakeHomeAns.m`, which can be published.