

MATH 565 Monte Carlo Methods in Finance

Fred J. Hickernell

Take-Home Part of Final Exam

Due 10:30 AM, Wednesday, December 5, 2018

Instructions:

- i. This take-home part has THREE questions for a total of 36 points possible. You should attempt all questions.
- ii. You may consult any book, web page, software repository, notes, old tests, or other inanimate object. You may use the m-files on the Git repositories for GAIL and the class. You may not consult any other person face-to-face, by telephone, by email, Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn or by any other means. **Sign here to acknowledge that you followed this instruction and return this page with your answers:**

Signature

Date

- iii. Show all your work to justify your answers. Submit hard copies of this signed cover page and your derivations, programs, output, and explanations to me before the in-class final exam. Answers without adequate justification will not receive credit. Neat hand-written answers are acceptable. Calculations performed in MATLAB should be submitted as published m-files.

1. (6 points)

You want to sample random numbers X that have a probability density function PDF

$$\varrho(x) = \begin{cases} c(1-x^2), & -1 \leq x \leq 1, \\ 0 & x < -1 \text{ or } x > 1. \end{cases}$$

What should the value of c be? Describe how to obtain independent and identically distributed (IID) X_1, X_2, \dots from $U_1, U_2, \dots \stackrel{\text{IID}}{\sim} \mathcal{U}[0, 1]$. If $U_1 = 0.3456$, what is X_1 ?

Answer: Since

$$1 = \int_{-1}^1 \varrho(x) dx = \int_{-1}^1 c(1-x^2) dx = c \left[x - \frac{x^3}{3} \right]_{-1}^1 = \frac{4c}{3}$$

so $c = 3/4$. Moreover, the CDF is

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < -1 \\ \frac{1}{4}(3x - x^3 + 2), & -1 \leq x \leq 1, \\ 1 & x > 1. \end{cases}$$

To find X_i given U_i you must solve the equation $F(X_i) = U_i$. This can be done using a nonlinear equation solver. The answer is $X_1 = -0.5260$.

2. (14 points)

Consider an *unshifted* digital sequence, $\{z_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$.

- a) Show that for any digital net, $\{\mathbf{z}_i\}_{i=0}^{2^m-1}$, the last 2^{m-1} points are a *digital shift* of the first 2^{m-1} points. What is that digital shift?

Answer: The formula for the digital net is

$$\mathbf{z}_i := i_0 \mathbf{z}_1 \oplus i_1 \mathbf{z}_2 \oplus i_2 \mathbf{z}_4 \oplus \cdots \oplus i_{m-1} \mathbf{z}_{2^{m-1}}, \quad i = i_0 + 2i_1 + 4i_2 + \cdots + 2^{m-1}i_{m-1}.$$

The last 2^{m-1} points correspond to

$$i = j + 2^{m-1} = j_0 + 2j_1 + 4j_2 + \cdots + 2^{m-2}j_{m-2} + 2^{m-1}, \quad j = 0, \dots, 2^{m-1} - 1,$$

and then

$$\mathbf{z}_{j+2^m} := j_0 \mathbf{z}_1 \oplus j_1 \mathbf{z}_2 \oplus j_2 \mathbf{z}_4 \oplus \cdots \oplus j_{m-2} \mathbf{z}_{2^{m-2}} \oplus \mathbf{z}_{2^{m-1}} = \mathbf{z}_j \oplus \mathbf{z}_{2^{m-1}}.$$

Thus, the last 2^{m-1} points are a digital shift of $\mathbf{z}_{2^{m-1}}$ of the first 2^{m-1} points.

- b) If $\mathbf{z}_{13} = (11/16, 1/16, 13/16)$ and $\mathbf{z}_8 = (1/16, 3/16, 11/16)$, then what is \mathbf{z}_5 ?

Answer: Since

$$\begin{aligned} ({}_{(2)}0.1011, {}_{(2)}0.0001, {}_{(2)}0.1101) &= \mathbf{z}_{13} = \mathbf{z}_5 \oplus \mathbf{z}_8 \quad \text{by part a)} \\ &= \mathbf{z}_5 \oplus ({}_{(2)}0.0001, {}_{(2)}0.0011, {}_{(2)}0.1011), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}_5 &= ({}_{(2)}0.1011, {}_{(2)}0.0001, {}_{(2)}0.1101) \oplus ({}_{(2)}0.0001, {}_{(2)}0.0011, {}_{(2)}0.1011) \\ &= ({}_{(2)}0.1010, {}_{(2)}0.0010, {}_{(2)}0.0110) = (5/8, 1/8, 3/8) \end{aligned}$$

- c) For any non-negative integers i and j , let $i \oplus j$ denote digitwise addition, e.g., $6 \oplus 5 = 110_2 + 101_2 = 011_2 = 3$. Show that $\mathbf{z}_{i \oplus j} = \mathbf{z}_i \oplus \mathbf{z}_j$.

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}_i \oplus \mathbf{z}_j &= i_0 \mathbf{z}_1 \oplus i_1 \mathbf{z}_2 \oplus i_2 \mathbf{z}_4 \oplus \cdots \oplus j_0 \mathbf{z}_1 \oplus j_1 \mathbf{z}_2 \oplus j_2 \mathbf{z}_4 \oplus \cdots \\ &= (i_0 + j_0 \bmod 2) \oplus (i_1 + j_1 \bmod 2) \mathbf{z}_2 \oplus (i_2 + j_2 \bmod 2) \mathbf{z}_4 \oplus \cdots \\ &= k_0 \bmod 2 \oplus k_1 \mathbf{z}_2 \oplus k_2 \mathbf{z}_4 \oplus \cdots, \quad \text{where } k = i \oplus j. \end{aligned}$$

3. (16 points)

A stock is governed by a geometric Brownian motion with initial price of \$50, an interest rate of 1%, a volatility of 30%. You monitor the stock price each week for thirteen weeks (one quarter of a year) i.e., you compute $S(1/52), S(2/52), \dots, S(1/4)$. Compute the price of a down-and-in put option with a strike price of \$50 and a barrier of \$45 with an absolute error of \$0.01 using

- a) IID sampling,
- b) IID sampling with a control variate: the European put option with strike price of \$50,
- c) IID sampling with a different control variate: the European put option with strike price of \$45, and
- d) Integration lattice sampling.

Compare the performance of these four methods and attempt to explain intuitively why certain methods perform better than others.