# **CE802– Machine Learning and Data Mining**

# Assignment: Design and Application of a Machine Learning System for a Practical Problem

## Students' name:

Francisco Parrilla A 1900939

Professor's name: Dr Luca Citi

**Date:** 15/01/2020

- 1. Pilot-Study Proposal (627 words)
- 2. Comparative Study (1453 words)

### 1. Pilot-Study Proposal

Sometimes, companies are afraid to implement machine learning algorithms in their daily activities, either because the complexity of their current processes are complex and the implementation would be costly and long, or they are stuck to the old way of doing it manually or based on human criteria. Being able to identify misleading/fake information and letting people know about the veracity of information has been something that humans can perform easily, based on intuition and fact checking the information with a proper source, however, it can be time consuming. Currently, companies are looking for a way to use machine learning to identify account that post false information, and this project is aimed to find an optimal algorithm to identify users that are known for posting fake news based on the given data. The only two possible outcomes for this problem, either the user is classified as "troublesome" or not (TRUE and FALSE respectively), therefore, the type of predictive task that must be used is classification.

Before training the machine learning algorithm, it is needed to identify key features that will tells us whether the veracity of the information. The following features are a suggestion of the type of features that will be informative, they are based on the S.H.A.R.E checklist provided by the UK Government [1] and they can be dependent on each other.

# Source [1]

It will be beneficial to rate or measure the reputation of the information source, whether is an organization or a person. A measure of veracity of the source, based on previous posts, who they are as a person or organization, use of credible sources.

#### Headline [1]

Many news or stories posted on internet are only made to attract the public, so the use of incredible headlines is a lot, also known as click bait. A measure of how credible a headline might give a criterion to know if the new is fake.

### Analyze [1]

It is important to check the facts given in the information. The coverage of that information might be high; however, it does not make it true. Instead, the fact/s can be check with reliable sources or fact checking websites/organizations, and based on fact checking, give a measure of how veracious the information is.

### Retouched [1]

A percentage or measure of how much the information has been manipulated, in the case of videos and images, how much it looks like it has been modified. Also, for texts, the information might be taken out of context, and therefore manipulated, usually with older information that is recycled [2].

### Bias [1]

A measure of how bias is the user/organization is their current and previous posts, this might have a dependency with other features.

### Error [1]

Many stories or information that is fake has grammatical errors, or can be found in not genuine websites, so a measure that tells whether the information has grammar errors or/ and is found in not genuine website.

Given that a labelled data set is given, and the ground truth (either TRUE or FALSE) is shown in it, the learning procedures can be narrowed down to supervised learning procedures, such as decision trees, k-NN, SVMs, logistic regression, Naïve Bayes. As a result, the following learning procedures are to be considered: decision trees, k-NN, SVM, Naïve Bayes classifier and logistic regression. To finally narrow down our possible learning techniques, it was taken into consideration an article "Supervised Learning for Fake News Detection" [3], where Random Forests and XGBoost, algorithms not mentioned yet, where the ones with the best performance, however, K-NN and SVM were taken into consideration for research purposes.

To measure the accuracy of the algorithms and how reliable they are, it is suggested to make use of a confusion matrix because the problem is a binary classification. With the information from the confusion matrix, the precision, recall and accuracy of each machine learning procedures can be calculated and have a comparison of each algorithm performance for these data set.

### 2. Comparative Study

### 2.1 Classification algorithms

After narrowing down the possible algorithms to use for this problem, it was decided to use a pruned Decision Tree, K-Nearest Neighbor, Support Vector Machine, Random Forest.Before training and comparing performance between each algorithm, is important to note that each algorithm has its advantages and disadvantages, and that the performance shown here is specific to this dataset.

Decision trees is a classification algorithm that is easy to understand and is able to deal with interaction among features [4]. Decision trees can handle numerical and/or categorical data, redundant attributes, and is able to work with noisy data, as it is non-parametric [4]. At the end, it can provide a good performance using small computational resources. However, when it comes to dataset with high dimensionality, decision trees might require more time to form a tree, and if not pruned correctly, it can over fit easily [4]. Furthermore, it is more effective on large dataset [5].

K-Nearest Neighbor is an instance-based algorithm [5]. These algorithms are often called lazy learners, because of the retention of all training examples, and that new classifiers are not build until an unseen sample is presented, therefore, training is fast and the theory behind it, is easy to understand and implement [5]. At the same time, it can handle training data that is noisy [5]. On the other hand, its performance is better when the target labels are more than two [5] and might vary with how big the dataset is [4], the value of k needs to be chosen, so it can be computational expensive to do cross validation [4], the algorithm is susceptible to the local structure of the data [5] and is susceptible to irrelevant features [6].

Support Vector Machine algorithm is relatively easy to train with small dataset [6]. At the same time, this algorithm tends to perform well on small datasets with high dimensional space and it is adaptable to numerical and numerical classification [7]. It is possible to get high accuracy with the appropriate choose of parameters, and at the same time avoid over fitting [5]. On the other hand, it can be time and resources consuming when using this algorithm with a large dataset and the performance can be affected when there are overlapping classes [7].

Random Forest algorithm is an ensemble method that might surpass SVM in classification problems [4]. Its advantages are that it is a fast algorithm, handle noise, not susceptible to over fitting, and are easy to interpret [4]. However, increasing the number of trees will lead to a higher training and prediction time,

therefore, the complexity increases and most likely lead to more computational resources [4].

### 2.2 Imputation methods

Before training the algorithm, it is important to explore the data and search for anomalies, such as missing values. In our case, the dataset has missing values for one feature, which is a problem when using scikit-learn models, as they do not support having missing values.

In order to solve this, it is needed to impute the missing values, this study will compare performance results ignoring F20 feature and using imputation methods that consider F20 feature. The missing values will be replaced in two ways, the mean of all the remaining values in F20, and by using a K-nearest neighbor's imputation. The last method works by imputing the missing values with the mean value from its "n" nearest neighbors in the dataset. This will be done using scikit-learn imputation library.

### 2.3 Approach

The general approach will be to split the data intro training and testing, to get a confusion matrix and evaluate our model with label data that has not been seen by the model.

First, each algorithm will be trained without taking the F20 feature. First, if needed, the features will be normalized, this applies to K-NN and SVM algorithm, and then grid search will be used to get the "best" parameters. Next, with those parameters, a 10 folds cross validation will be done to get an average accuracy of the model based on the training data. Then, the model will predict the target values for our testing data and will compared it to the correct label. From those results, a confusion matrix and a classification report will be made based on the comparison of our predicted values and the ground truth.

After that, the F20 feature will be considered, but using both imputation methods, mean and K- nearest neighbor, and following the same method mentioned recently.

#### 2.4 Results

#### 2.4.1 Without F20 feature

#### Pruned decision tree

After using grid search to tun the following parameters, max\_depth, min\_samples\_splits, min\_samples\_leaf and max\_features, the best parameters were the following:

Average accuracy with a 10 k-fold cross validation: 0.613444 Confusion matrix:

		Predicted	
	n =125	TRUE	FALSE
Actual	TRUE	8	47
7 totaai	FALSE	5	65

<sup>\*</sup>Prediction made on the testing set and compared to ground truth

## Classification report:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
False True	0.58 0.62	0.93 0.15	0.71 0.24	70 55
accuracy macro avg weighted avg	0.60 0.60	0.54 0.58	0.58 0.47 0.50	125 125 125

## **K-Nearest Neighbor**

Best parameters with grid search and cross validation:

*n\_neighbors=42*, *weights= 'distance'* 

Average accuracy with a 10 k-fold cross validation: 0.60284495 Confusion matrix:

		Pred	dicted
	n =125	TRUE	FALSE
Actual	TRUE	12	43
riotaai	FALSE	7	63

<sup>\*</sup>Prediction made on the testing set and compared to ground truth

# Classification report:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
False	0.59	0.90	0.72	70
True	0.63	0.22	0.32	55
accuracy			0.60	125
macro avg	0.61	0.56	0.52	125
weighted avg	0.61	0.60	0.54	125

# **Support Vector Machine**

Best parameters with grid search and cross validation:

C=1000.0, gamma= 0.01

Average accuracy with a 10 k-fold cross validation: 0.669487

# Confusion matrix:

		Predicted	
	n =125	TRUE	FALSE
Actual	TRUE	33	22
/ totaai	FALSE	21	49

<sup>\*</sup>Prediction made on the testing set and compared to ground truth

# Classification report:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
False	0.69	0.70	0.70	70
True	0.61	0.60	0.61	55
accuracy			0.66	125
macro avg	0.65	0.65	0.65	125
weighted avg	0.66	0.66	0.66	125

# **Random Forest**

Best parameters with grid search and cross validation:

 $max_depth=4$ ,  $n_estimators=550$ 

Average accuracy with a 10 k-fold cross validation: 0.5893314

# Confusion matrix:

		Pred	dicted
	n =125	TRUE	FALSE
Actual	TRUE	12	43
	FALSE	11	59

<sup>\*</sup>Prediction made on the testing set and compared to ground truth

# Classification report:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
False	0.58	0.84	0.69	70
True	0.52	0.22	0.31	55
accuracy			0.57	125
macro avg	0.55	0.53	0.50	125
weighted avg	0.55	0.57	0.52	125

## 2.4.2 With F20 mean imputation

#### Pruned decision tree

After using grid search to tun the following parameters, max\_depth, min\_samples\_splits, min\_samples\_leaf and max\_features, the best parameters were the following:

max\_depth=10, min\_samples\_splits= 4, min\_samples\_leaf= 6
Average accuracy with a 10 k-fold cross validation: 0.615931

# Confusion matrix:

		Pred	dicted
	n =125	TRUE	FALSE
Actual	TRUE	33	22
, ioidai	FALSE	33	37

<sup>\*</sup>Prediction made on the testing set and compared to ground truth

# Classification report:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
False	0.63	0.53	0.57	70
True	0.50	0.60	0.55	55
accuracy			0.56	125
macro avg	0.56	0.56	0.56	125
weighted avg	0.57	0.56	0.56	125

# **K-Nearest Neighbor**

Best parameters with grid search and cross validation:

n\_neighbors=49, weights= 'distance'

Average accuracy with a 10 k-fold cross validation: 0.6136557

# Confusion matrix:

		Pred	dicted
	n =125	TRUE	FALSE
Actual	TRUE	19	36
	FALSE	8	62

<sup>\*</sup>Prediction made on the testing set and compared to ground truth

# Classification report:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
False	0.63	0.89	0.74	70
True	0.70	0.35	0.46	55
accuracy			0.65	125
macro avg	0.67	0.62	0.60	125
weighted avg	0.66	0.65	0.62	125

# **Support Vector Machine**

Best parameters with grid search and cross validation:

C=100.0, gamma=0.01

Average accuracy with a 10 k-fold cross validation: 0.648435

Confusion matrix:

		Predicted		
	n =125	TRUE	FALSE	
Actual	TRUE	32	23	
	FALSE	21	49	

<sup>\*</sup>Prediction made on the testing set and compared to ground truth

# Classification report:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
False	0.68	0.70	0.69	70
True	0.60	0.58	0.59	55
accuracy			0.65	125
macro avg	0.64	0.64	0.64	125
weighted avg	0.65	0.65	0.65	125

### **Random Forest**

Best parameters with grid search and cross validation:

max\_depth=11, n\_estimators= 450

Average accuracy with a 10 k-fold cross validation: 0.642532

# Confusion matrix:

		Predicted	
	n =125	TRUE	FALSE
Actual	TRUE	26	29
	FALSE	18	52

<sup>\*</sup>Prediction made on the testing set and compared to ground truth

# Classification report:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
False	0.64	0.74	0.69	70
True	0.59	0.47	0.53	55
accuracy			0.62	125
macro avg	0.62	0.61	0.61	125
weighted avg	0.62	0.62	0.62	125

# 2.4.3 With F20 k-nearest neighbor imputation

### Pruned decision tree

After using grid search to tun the following parameters, max\_depth, min\_samples\_splits, min\_samples\_leaf and max\_features, the best parameters were the following:

max\_depth=5, min\_samples\_splits= 19, min\_samples\_leaf= 8

Average accuracy with a 10 k-fold cross validation: 0.602702 Confusion matrix:

		Predicted		
	n =125	TRUE	FALSE	
Actual	TRUE	4	51	
, totaai	FALSE	6	64	

<sup>\*</sup>Prediction made on the testing set and compared to ground truth

# Classification report:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
False	0.56	0.91	0.69	70
True	0.40	0.07	0.12	55
accuracy			0.54	125
macro avg	0.48	0.49	0.41	125
weighted avg	0.49	0.54	0.44	125

# **K-Nearest Neighbor**

Best parameters with grid search and cross validation: *n\_neighbors=49*, *weights= 'distance'* 

Average accuracy with a 10 k-fold cross validation: 0.6056899

# Confusion matrix:

		Predicted		
	n =125	TRUE	FALSE	
Actual	TRUE	9	46	
/ totaai	FALSE	5	65	

<sup>\*</sup>Prediction made on the testing set and compared to ground truth

## Classification report:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
False	0.59	0.93	0.72	70
True	0.64	0.16	0.26	55
accuracy			0.59	125
macro avg	0.61	0.55	0.49	125
weighted avg	0.61	0.59	0.52	125

# **Support Vector Machine**

Best parameters with grid search and cross validation: C=100.0, gamma=0.01

Average accuracy with a 10 k-fold cross validation: 0.6694167

## Confusion matrix:

		Predicted		
	n =125	TRUE	FALSE	
Actual	TRUE	33	22	
	FALSE	22	48	

<sup>\*</sup>Prediction made on the testing set and compared to ground truth

# Classification report:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
False	0.69	0.69	0.69	70
True	0.60	0.60	0.60	55
accuracy			0.65	125
macro avg	0.64	0.64	0.64	125
weighted avg	0.65	0.65	0.65	125

#### **Random Forest**

Best parameters with grid search and cross validation:

 $max_depth=16$ ,  $n_estimators=550$ 

Average accuracy with a 10 k-fold cross validation: 0.626386

#### Confusion matrix:

		Predicted		
	n =125	TRUE	FALSE	
Actual	TRUE	28	27	
7 totaai	FALSE	17	53	

<sup>\*</sup>Prediction made on the testing set and compared to ground truth

### Classification report:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
False	0.66	0.76	0.71	70
True	0.62	0.51	0.56	55
accuracy			0.65	125
macro avg	0.64	0.63	0.63	125
weighted avg	0.64	0.65	0.64	125

#### 2.5 Feature Selection

Since the dataset has a considerable number of features, it might be useful to do feature selection to reduce the high dimensionality of the dataset and reduce training and see if the prediction error on the testing set reduces. While searching how to do feature selection, not just by looking a feature importance, but also filtering the correlation between features, a code from GitHub was found, created

by Will Koehrsen [8]. He created a class that does feature selection based on features with high percentage of missing values, collinear features, zero importance features, low importance features, at the end, those features can be removed from the dataset and train your model with the remaining one.

Based on this class, 9 features were removed based on best score. See code for better understanding.

The best accuracy at classifying the testing set was Support Vector Machine with the following parameters:

C = 100.0 and gamma= 0.01

Average accuracy after doing cross validation: 0.716927

#### Confusion matrix:

		Predicted		
	n =125	TRUE	FALSE	
Actual	TRUE	39	16	
	FALSE	16	54	

<sup>\*</sup>Prediction made on the testing set and compared to ground truth

### Classification report:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
False True	0.77 0.71	0.77 0.71	0.77 0.71	70 55
accuracy			0.74	125
macro avg	0.74	0.74	0.74	125
weighted avg	0.74	0.74	0.74	125

However, more research and experimenting must be done with feature selection.

#### 2.6 Conclusion

The last model will be used to make prediction because of the high accuracy on unseen data, compared to other ones. However, features will be removed from the dataset given for predictions.

#### References

- [1 HM Government, "Share Checklist," 2019. [Online]. Available:
- https://sharechecklist.gov.uk/?gclid=Cj0KCQiA0NfvBRCVARIsAO4930kl2xTxaxy4rnCNAtLGIWEUYnm ROosK0uw9s68rQEUi1by4OqX7G4UaAl-eEALw\_wcB. [Accessed 24 December 2019].
- [2 C. Nagler, "4 Tips for Spotting a Fake News Story," 2019. [Online]. Available:
- https://www.summer.harvard.edu/inside-summer/4-tips-spotting-fake-news-story. [Accessed 24 December 2019].
- [3 J. C. Reis, A. Correia, F. Murai, A. Veloso and F. Benevenuto, "Supervised Learning for Fake News ] Detection," *IEEE Computer Society*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 76-81, 2019.
- [4 A. Singh, N. Thakur and A. Sharma, "A Review of Supervised Machine Learning," in *3rd International* 
  [2 Conference on Computing for Sustainable Global Development (INDIACom), 2016.
- [5 S. D. Jadhav and H. Channe, "Comparative Study of K-NN, Naive Bayes and Decision Tree
- Classification Techniques," *International Journal of Science and Research,* vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1842-1845, 2013.
- [6 A. E. Mohamed, "Comparative Study of Four Supervised Machine Learning Techniques for," [1] International Journal of Applied Science and Technology, vol. 7, no. 2, 2017.
- [7 K. Stahl, "California State University Stanislaus," 20 April 2018. [Online]. Available:
- https://www.csustan.edu/sites/default/files/groups/University%20Honors%20Program/Journals/02 \_stahl.pdf. [Accessed 11 January 2020].

### **Appendix**

The code was divided into 4 jupyter notebooks.

- Jupyter notebook without F20

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from sklearn.model_selection import KFold, cross_val_score, GridSearchCV
from sklearn import tree
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.metrics import classification_report, confusion_matrix
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
from sklearn import svm
from sklearn.impute import KNNImputer
from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
from sklearn.neighbors import accuracy_score
```

```
#Read the dataset
data full = pd.read csv("CE802 Ass 2019 Data.csv")
#Lets drop F20 feature
data nof20 = pd.read csv("CE802 Ass 2019 Data.csv")
data nof20.drop(columns=['F20'], inplace = True)
#Lets separate the features and target
data nof20 class = data nof20["Class"]
data nof20 feat = data nof20.drop(columns = ["Class"], axis = 1)
#Lets first normalize the features for K-NN and SVM
scaler = StandardScaler()
scaler.fit(data nof20 feat)
data nof20 feat = scaler.transform(data nof20 feat)
#Splitting of data to see model accuracy after cross validation and gridse
arch
data feat train, data feat test, data class train, data class test = train
test split(data nof20 feat, data nof20 class, test size=0.25, stratify=data
nof20 class,random state=1234)
```

#### #Pruned Decision Tree

```
#Decision tree using grid search
clf_tree = tree.DecisionTreeClassifier(criterion = 'entropy',ccp_alpha=0.015,
random_state=1234)
param_grid = {'max_depth': np.arange(4,21),'min_samples_split': np.arange(4,2
1),'min_samples_leaf': np.arange(4,21),
```

```
'max features': ['sqrt','auto','log2']}
tree gridcv = GridSearchCV(clf tree,param grid,cv=10 ,n jobs=-1)
tree gridcv.fit(data feat train, data class train)
print("Best parameters: " + str(tree gridev.best params ))
print("Best score: " + str(tree gridcv.best score ))
#Now with these parameters, lets perform cross validation
clf tree prunned = tree.DecisionTreeClassifier(criterion = 'entropy',ccp a
lpha=0.015,
                                                max depth= tree gridcv.best
params ['max depth'],
                                                min samples leaf= tree grid
cv.best params ['min samples leaf'],
                                                min samples split=tree grid
cv.best params ['min samples split'],
                                                max features=tree gridcv.be
st params ['max features'], random state=1234)
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
score tree = cross val score(clf tree prunned, data feat train, data class t
rain, cv=10, n jobs=-1)
print('Average accuracy:', np.mean(score tree))
print(score tree.std())
#Now lets compute the confussion matrix by splitting the data into trainni
ng and testing
clf tree prunned.fit(data feat train, data class train)
tree_pred = clf_tree_prunned.predict(data_feat_test)
print(confusion matrix(data class test, tree pred))
print(classification report(data class test, tree pred))
#K-NN
knn gridcv = KNeighborsClassifier()
#create a dictionary with the number of neighbors to try
param gridsearch = {'n neighbors': np.arange(1,80),'weights':['uniform','dist
ance']}
knn gridsearch = GridSearchCV(knn gridcv,param gridsearch,cv=10)
knn gridsearch.fit(data feat train, data class train)
print("Best parameters: " + str(knn gridsearch.best params ))
print("Best score: "+ str(knn gridsearch.best score ))
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
knn model = KNeighborsClassifier(n neighbors = knn gridsearch.best params
['n neighbors'],
```

```
weights=knn gridsearch.best params ['weig
hts'])
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
score knn = cross val score(knn model,data feat train,data class train,cv=
10, n jobs=-1)
print('Average accuracy:', np.mean(score knn))
print(score knn.std())
#Now lets compute the confussion matrix by splitting the data into trainni
ng and testing
knn model.fit(data feat train, data class train)
knn pred = knn model.predict(data feat test)
print(confusion matrix(data class test, knn pred))
print(classification report(data class test, knn pred))
#Support Vector Machine
clf svm = svm.SVC()
param grid = {'C': np.logspace(-1, 3, 9),
              'gamma': np.logspace(-7, -0, 8)}
svm gridsearch = GridSearchCV(clf svm,param grid,n jobs=-1, cv = 10)
svm gridsearch.fit(data feat train, data class train)
print("Best parameters: " + str(svm gridsearch.best params ))
print("Best score : " + str(svm gridsearch.best score ))
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
svm model = svm.SVC(C = svm gridsearch.best params ['C'], gamma=svm gridsea
rch.best params ['qamma'])
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
score svm = cross val score(svm model,data feat train,data class train,cv=
10, n jobs=-1)
print('Average accuracy:', np.mean(score svm))
print(score svm.std())
#Now lets compute the confussion matrix by splitting the data into trainni
ng and testing
svm model.fit(data feat train, data class train)
svm pred = svm model.predict(data feat test)
print(confusion matrix(data class test, svm pred))
print(classification report(data class test, svm pred))
rf = RandomForestClassifier(criterion='entropy', random state=1234)
param grid = {'n estimators':[400,450,500,550,600],'max depth': np.arange(
4,20)}
```

```
#'max depth': np.arange(4,19),'min samples split': np.arange(4,19),'min sa
mples leaf': np.arange(4,25)}
rf = GridSearchCV(rf, param grid, cv=10, n jobs=-1)
rf.fit(data feat train, data class train)
print("Best parameters: "+ str(rf.best params ))
print("Best score: " + str(rf.best score ))
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
rf model = rf = RandomForestClassifier(criterion='entropy', n estimators= r
f.best params ['n estimators'],
                                      max depth=rf.best params_['max_depth
'], random state=1234)
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
score rf = cross val score(rf model,data feat train,data class train,cv=10
n jobs=-1
print('Average accuracy:', np.mean(score rf))
print(score rf.std())
#Now lets compute the confussion matrix by splitting the data into trainni
ng and testing
rf model.fit(data feat train, data class train)
rf pred = rf model.predict(data feat test)
print(confusion matrix(data class test, rf pred))
print(classification report(data class test, rf pred))
```

- Jupyter notebook with F20 mean imputation

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from sklearn.model_selection import KFold, cross_val_score, GridSearchCV
from sklearn import tree
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.metrics import classification_report, confusion_matrix
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
from sklearn import svm
from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score
data_full = pd.read_csv("CE802_Ass_2019_Data.csv")

#Replace missing values with mean

data_full.fillna(data_full['F20'].mean(),inplace = True)
```

```
#Lets separate the features and target
data f20mean class = data full["Class"]
data f20mean feat = data full.drop(columns = ["Class"],axis = 1)
#Lets first normalize the features for K-NN and SVM
scaler = StandardScaler()
scaler.fit(data f20mean feat)
data f20mean feat = scaler.transform(data f20mean feat)
#Splitting of data to see model accuracy after cross validation and gridsearc
data feat train, data feat test, data class train, data class test = train te
st split(data f20mean feat,data f20mean class,test size=0.25,stratify=data f2
Omean class, random state=1234)
#Pruned Decision Tree
#Decision tree using grid search
clf tree = tree.DecisionTreeClassifier(criterion = 'entropy', random state=123
param grid = {'max depth': np.arange(4,21),'min samples split': np.arange(4,2
1), 'min samples leaf': np.arange(4,21)}
tree gridcv = GridSearchCV(clf tree,param grid,cv=10 ,n jobs=-1)
tree gridcv.fit(data feat train, data class train)
print("Best parameters: " + str(tree gridcv.best params ))
print("Best score: " + str(tree gridcv.best score ))
#Now with these parameters, lets perform cross validation
clf tree prunned = tree.DecisionTreeClassifier(criterion = 'entropy',rando
m state=1234,
                                                 max depth= tree gridcv.best
params ['max depth'],
                                                min samples leaf= tree grid
cv.best params ['min samples leaf'],
                                                min samples split=tree grid
cv.best params ['min samples split'] )
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
score tree = cross val score(clf tree prunned, data feat train, data class t
rain, cv=10, n jobs=-1)
print('Average accuracy:', np.mean(score tree))
#Now lets compute the confussion matrix by splitting the data into trainni
ng and testing
clf tree prunned.fit(data feat train, data class train)
tree pred = clf tree prunned.predict(data feat test)
print(confusion_matrix(data_class_test, tree_pred))
print(classification_report(data_class test, tree pred))
```

#### #K-NN

```
knn gridcv = KNeighborsClassifier()
#create a dictionary with the number of neighbors to try
param gridsearch = {'n neighbors': np.arange(1,80), 'weights':['uniform','dist
ance'l}
knn gridsearch = GridSearchCV(knn gridcv,param gridsearch,cv=10)
knn gridsearch.fit(data feat train, data class train)
print("Best parameters: " + str(knn gridsearch.best params ))
print("Best score: "+ str(knn gridsearch.best score ))
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
knn model = KNeighborsClassifier(n neighbors = knn gridsearch.best params
['n neighbors'],
                                 weights=knn gridsearch.best params ['weig
hts'])
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
score knn = cross val score(knn model, data feat train, data class train, cv=
10, n jobs=-1)
print('Average accuracy:', np.mean(score knn))
#Now lets compute the confussion matrix by splitting the data into trainni
ng and testing
knn model.fit(data feat train, data class train)
knn pred = knn model.predict(data feat test)
print(confusion matrix(data class test, knn pred))
print(classification report(data class test, knn pred))
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
svm model = svm.SVC(C = svm gridsearch.best params ['C'], gamma=svm gridsea
rch.best params ['gamma'])
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
score svm = cross val score(svm model,data feat train,data class train,cv=
10, n jobs=-1)
print('Average accuracy:', np.mean(score svm))
#Now lets compute the confussion matrix by splitting the data into trainni
ng and testing
svm model.fit(data feat train, data class train)
svm pred = svm model.predict(data feat test)
print(confusion matrix(data class test, svm pred))
print(classification report(data class test, svm pred))
```

# #Random Forest rf = RandomForestClassifier(criterion='entropy', random state=1234) param grid = {'n estimators':[400,450,500,550,600],'max depth': np.arange(4,2 #'max depth': np.arange(4,19),'min samples split': np.arange(4,19),'min sampl es leaf': np.arange(4,25)} rf = GridSearchCV(rf, param grid, cv=10, n jobs=-1) rf.fit(data feat train, data class train) print("Best parameters: "+ str(rf.best params )) print("Best score: " + str(rf.best score )) #Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p arameters by gridsearch rf model = rf = RandomForestClassifier(criterion='entropy', n estimators= r f.best params ['n estimators'], max depth=rf.best params ['max depth '], random state=1234) #Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p arameters by gridsearch score rf = cross val score(rf model, data feat train, data class train, cv=10 n jobs=-1print('Average accuracy:', np.mean(score rf)) print(score rf.std()) #Now lets compute the confussion matrix by splitting the data into trainni ng and testing rf model.fit(data feat train, data class train)

# Jupyter notebook with F20 K-NN imputation

print(confusion\_matrix(data\_class\_test, rf\_pred))
print(classification report(data class test, rf pred))

rf pred = rf model.predict(data feat test)

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from sklearn.model_selection import KFold, cross_val_score, GridSearchCV
from sklearn import tree
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.metrics import classification_report, confusion_matrix
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
from sklearn import svm
from sklearn.impute import KNNImputer
from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
from xgboost import XGBClassifier
```

```
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score
#Read the dataset
data full = pd.read csv("CE802 Ass 2019 Data.csv")
data class = data full["Class"]
data_full = data_full.drop(columns = ["Class"],axis = 1)
#Replace missing values with K-Nearest Neighbor imputation method
data features= data full.to numpy()
imputer = KNNImputer(n neighbors=2, weights="uniform")
data features = imputer.fit transform(data features)
data feat = pd.DataFrame(data features, index=range(data_features.shape[0]
),
                          columns=range(data features.shape[1]))
#Lets first normalize the features for K-NN and SVM
scaler = StandardScaler()
scaler.fit(data feat)
data feat = scaler.transform(data feat)
#Splitting of data to see model accuracy after cross validation and gridse
data feat train, data feat test, data class train, data class test = train
test split(data feat, data class, test size=0.25, stratify=data class, random
state=1234)
#Pruned Decision Tree
#Decision tree using grid search
clf tree = tree.DecisionTreeClassifier(criterion = 'entropy',random state=123
4)
param grid = {'max depth': np.arange(4,21),'min samples split': np.arange(4,2
1), 'min samples leaf': np.arange(4,21)}
tree gridcv = GridSearchCV(clf tree,param grid,cv=10 ,n jobs=-1)
tree gridcv.fit(data feat train, data class train)
print("Best parameters: " + str(tree gridev.best params ))
print("Best score: " + str(tree gridcv.best score ))
#Now with these parameters, lets perform cross validation
clf tree prunned = tree.DecisionTreeClassifier(criterion = 'entropy', rando
m state=1234,
                                                max depth= tree gridcv.best
params ['max depth'],
                                                min samples leaf= tree grid
cv.best params ['min samples leaf'],
```

#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p

cv.best params ['min samples split'] )

arameters by gridsearch

min samples split=tree grid

```
score tree = cross val score(clf tree prunned, data feat train, data class t
rain, cv=10, n jobs=-1)
print('Average accuracy:', np.mean(score tree))
#Now lets compute the confussion matrix by splitting the data into trainni
ng and testing
clf tree prunned.fit(data feat train, data class train)
tree pred = clf tree prunned.predict(data feat test)
print(confusion matrix(data class test, tree pred))
print(classification report(data class test, tree pred))
#K-NN
knn gridcv = KNeighborsClassifier()
#create a dictionary with the number of neighbors to try
param gridsearch = {'n neighbors': np.arange(1,80), 'weights':['uniform','dist
ance']}
knn gridsearch = GridSearchCV(knn gridcv,param gridsearch,cv=10)
knn gridsearch.fit(data feat train, data class train)
print("Best parameters: " + str(knn gridsearch.best params ))
print("Best score: "+ str(knn gridsearch.best score ))
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
knn model = KNeighborsClassifier(n neighbors = knn gridsearch.best params
['n neighbors'],
                                  weights=knn gridsearch.best params ['weig
hts'])
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
score knn = cross val score(knn model, data feat train, data class train, cv=
10, n \text{ jobs}=-1)
print('Average accuracy:', np.mean(score knn))
#Now lets compute the confussion matrix by splitting the data into trainni
ng and testing
knn model.fit(data feat train, data class train)
knn pred = knn model.predict(data feat test)
print(confusion matrix(data class test, knn pred))
print(classification report(data class test, knn pred))
#Support Vector Machine
clf svm = svm.SVC()
param grid = \{'C': np.logspace(-1, 3, 9),
              'qamma': np.logspace(-7, -0, 8)}
```

svm gridsearch = GridSearchCV(clf svm,param grid,n jobs=-1, cv = 10)

```
svm gridsearch.fit(data feat train, data class train)
print("Best parameters: " + str(svm gridsearch.best params ))
print("Best score : " + str(svm gridsearch.best score ))
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
svm model = svm.SVC(C = svm gridsearch.best params ['C'], gamma=svm gridsea
rch.best params ['gamma'])
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
score svm = cross val score(svm model, data feat train, data class train, cv=
10, n jobs=-1)
print('Average accuracy:', np.mean(score svm))
#Now lets compute the confussion matrix by splitting the data into trainni
ng and testing
svm model.fit(data feat train, data class train)
svm pred = svm model.predict(data feat test)
print(confusion matrix(data class test, svm pred))
print(classification report(data class test, svm pred))
#Random Forest
rf = RandomForestClassifier(criterion='entropy', random state=1234)
param grid = {'n estimators':[400,450,500,550,600],'max depth': np.arange(4,2
#'max depth': np.arange(4,19),'min samples split': np.arange(4,19),'min sampl
es leaf': np.arange(4,25)}
rf = GridSearchCV(rf, param grid, cv=10, n jobs=-1)
rf.fit(data_feat_train,data_class_train)
print("Best parameters: "+ str(rf.best params ))
print("Best score: " + str(rf.best score ))
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
rf model = rf = RandomForestClassifier(criterion='entropy', n estimators= r
f.best params ['n estimators'],
                                       max depth=rf.best params ['max depth
'],random state=1234)
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
score rf = cross val score(rf model,data feat train,data class train,cv=10
n jobs=-1
```

```
print('Average accuracy:', np.mean(score_rf))
print(score_rf.std())
#Now lets compute the confussion matrix by splitting the data into trainni
ng and testing
rf_model.fit(data_feat_train,data_class_train)
rf_pred = rf_model.predict(data_feat_test)
print(confusion_matrix(data_class_test, rf_pred))
print(classification_report(data_class_test, rf_pred))
```

### -Jupyter notebook with feature selection

#Read the dataset

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from sklearn.model selection import KFold, cross val score, GridSearchCV
from sklearn import tree
from sklearn.model selection import train test split
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.metrics import classification report, confusion matrix
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
from sklearn import svm
from sklearn.impute import KNNImputer
from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
from xgboost import XGBClassifier
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score
from feature selector import FeatureSelector #credits to Will Koehrsen
#his class is on github https://github.com/WillKoehrsen/feature-selector/b
lob/master/Feature%20Selector%20Usage.ipynb
```

'cumulative importance': np.arange(0.65,1,0.05)}

```
#the following for with search for the best score based on a svm classifie
r
#and with the best score, it will find the best parameters to do feature s
election
score = 0.0000000
for idx,x in enumerate(parameters_features['missing_threshold']):
    for idy,y in enumerate(parameters_features['correlation_threshold']):
        for idz,z in enumerate(parameters_features['cumulative_importance']):
        fs.identify_all(selection_params = {'missing_threshold': parameters_features['missing_threshold'][idx],
```

```
'correlation threshold': p
arameters features['correlation threshold'][idy],
                                               'task': 'classification',
'eval metric': 'auc',
                                                'cumulative importance':
parameters features['cumulative importance'][idz]})
           train removed all once = fs.remove(methods = 'all', keep one h
ot = True)
           data features = train removed all once.to numpy()
           imputer = KNNImputer(n neighbors=2, weights="uniform")
           data features = imputer.fit transform(data features)
           data feat = pd.DataFrame(data features, index=range(data featu
res.shape[0]),
                                     columns=range(data features.shape[1]
) )
           #Lets first normalize the features for K-NN and SVM
           scaler = StandardScaler()
           scaler.fit(data feat)
           data feat = scaler.transform(data feat)
           #Splitting of data to see model accuracy after cross validatio
n and gridsearch
           data feat train, data feat test, data class train, data class
test = train test split(data feat, data class, test size=0.25, stratify=data
class, random state=1234)
           clf svm = svm.SVC()
           param grid = {'C': np.logspace(-1, 3, 9),
                         'gamma': np.logspace(-7, -0, 8)}
           svm gridsearch = GridSearchCV(clf svm,param grid,n jobs=-1, cv
= 10)
           svm gridsearch.fit(data feat train, data class train)
           if svm gridsearch.best score >= score:
               score = svm gridsearch.best score
               parameters values = [parameters features['missing threshol
d'][idx], parameters features['correlation threshold'][idy], parameters feat
ures['cumulative importance'][idz]]
           #print("Best parameters: " + str(svm gridsearch.best params ))
           #print("Best score : " + str(svm gridsearch.best score ))
print(score)
print(parameters values)
fs = FeatureSelector(data = data full, labels = data class)
97,
                                   'correlation threshold': 0.7,
                                   'task': 'classification',
                                   'eval metric': 'auc',
```

```
'cumulative importance': 0.75000000000
00001)
train removed all once = fs.remove(methods = 'all', keep one hot = True)
print(train removed all once)
data features= train removed all once.to numpy()
imputer = KNNImputer(n neighbors=2, weights="uniform")
data features = imputer.fit transform(data features)
data feat = pd.DataFrame(data features, index=range(data features.shape[0]
),
                                      columns=range(data features.shape[1]
) )
#Lets first normalize the features for K-NN and SVM
scaler = StandardScaler()
scaler.fit(data feat)
data feat = scaler.transform(data feat)
#Splitting of data to see model accuracy after cross validation and gridse
data feat train, data feat test, data class train, data class test = train
test split(data feat, data class, test size=0.25, stratify=data class, random
state=1234)
clf svm = svm.SVC()
param grid = {'C': np.logspace(-1, 3, 9),
              'gamma': np.logspace(-7, -0, 8)}
svm gridsearch = GridSearchCV(clf svm,param grid,n jobs=-1, cv = 10)
svm gridsearch.fit(data feat train, data class train)
print("Best parameters: " + str(svm gridsearch.best params ))
print("Best score : " + str(svm gridsearch.best score ))
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
svm model = svm.SVC(C = svm gridsearch.best params ['C'],gamma=svm gridsea
rch.best params ['gamma'])
#Now lets used cross validation in the whole data set, but with the best p
arameters by gridsearch
score svm = cross val score(svm model,data feat train,data class train,cv=
10, n jobs=-1)
print('Average accuracy:', np.mean(score svm))
#Now lets compute the confussion matrix by splitting the data into trainni
ng and testing
svm model.fit(data feat train, data class train)
svm pred = svm model.predict(data feat test)
print(confusion matrix(data class test, svm pred))
print(classification report(data class test, svm pred))
```

- Jupyter notebook making prediction

) )

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from sklearn.model selection import KFold, cross val score, GridSearchCV
from sklearn import tree
from sklearn.model selection import train test split
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.metrics import classification report, confusion matrix
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
from sklearn import svm
from sklearn.impute import KNNImputer
from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
from xgboost import XGBClassifier
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score
from feature selector import FeatureSelector #credits to Will Koehrsen
#his class is on github https://github.com/WillKoehrsen/feature-selector/b
lob/master/Feature%20Selector%20Usage.ipynb
#Read the dataset that is for training the model
data training = pd.read csv("CE802 Ass 2019 Data.csv")
data class train = data training["Class"]
data_full_train = data_training.drop(columns = ["Class","F1","F2","F5","F8
","F11","F12","F15","F17","F18"],axis = 1)
imputer = KNNImputer(n neighbors=2, weights="uniform")
data_features = imputer.fit_transform(data_full_train)
data feat = pd.DataFrame(data features, index=range(data features.shape[0]
),
                                      columns=range(data features.shape[1]
) )
#Lets first normalize the features for K-NN and SVM
scaler = StandardScaler()
scaler.fit(data feat)
data feat = scaler.transform(data feat)
#Training model
svm model = svm.SVC (C= 100.0, gamma= 0.01)
svm model.fit(data feat, data class train)
#Read the dataset that is going to be predicted
data pred= pd.read csv("CE802 Ass 2019 Test.csv")
data_pred = data_pred.drop(columns = ["Class", "F1", "F2", "F5", "F8", "F11", "F
12", "F15", "F17", "F18"], axis = 1)
imputer = KNNImputer(n neighbors=2, weights="uniform")
data features = imputer.fit transform(data pred)
data pred = pd.DataFrame(data features, index=range(data features.shape[0]
),
                                      columns=range(data features.shape[1]
```

```
#Lets first normalize the features for K-NN and SVM
scaler = StandardScaler()
scaler.fit(data_pred)
data_pred = scaler.transform(data_pred)
```

```
svm_pred = svm_model.predict(data_pred)
pred = pd.DataFrame(svm_pred,columns=['Class'])
final_pred = pd.read_csv('CE802_Ass_2019_Test.csv')
final_pred.drop(columns = ['Class'],inplace = True)
submit_csv = pd.concat([final_pred,pred],axis=1)
submit_csv.to_csv("CE802_Ass_2019_Test.csv", index = False)
```