## Problem 1

We have worked out the elementary vision of Lagrange multipliers, assuming that , from g(x,y)=0, we can find a function y=h(x) such that g(x,h(x))=0.

But sometimes, what we get is that there is an h such that g(x,h(x))=0. Rewrite the Lagrange multiplier analysis in the lecture slides under this assumption.

Consider  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ , and the following minimization problem:

$$\min f(x,y) \text{ s.t. } g(x,y) = 0 \tag{1}$$

Now, we can use the **implicit function theorem** to find a dependence between the variables of the restriction. This theorem (not completely formally) **states** the following: let  $g: \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be a continuously differentiable function,  $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  such that g(x,y) = 0. If the jacobian with respect to the variables in y is invertible, then there exists an open subset U such that h(x) = y and f(x,h(x)) = 0 for all  $x \in U$ .

Assuming that the conditions for this theorem are matched, we can apply it to the jacobian with respect to the variables in y to obtain an U' where h(y) = x and f(h(y), y) = 0 for all  $y \in U$ . Thus, we can write:

$$f(x,y) = f(h(y),y) = \psi(y)$$

The, we can keep the procedure as it is done in the slides. Let us see this: Consider that  $y^*$  is a minimum with  $x^* = h(y^*)$ . Then, we have:

$$0 = \psi'(y^*) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x^*, y^*)h'(y^*) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x^*, y^*).$$

Using that  $(x^*,y^*)$  is a minimum and that g(h(y),y)=0, we have that:

$$0 = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x^*, y^*)h'(y^*) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x^*, y^*) \implies h'(y^*) = \frac{a}{b}$$